

# Publication: Review C: Body elements (00811824-DD\_1)

## Topic: fn (DA00509414)

### Paragraph-level comments

A footnote is ancillary information that typically is rendered in the footer of a page or at the end of an online article. Such content is usually inappropriate for inline inclusion.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
I recall (vaguely) some discussion about restricting the content model for <fn>. Currently in DITA 1.3, I can break DITA-OT PDF by inserting 20-30 block elements in an <fn> block -- effectively the footnote become larger than the rendered page. Does DITA 2.0 use the same content model?	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:49:18	
Yes; no changes to the content model.	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:29:24	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

A footnote is ancillary information that typically is rendered in the footer of a page or at the end of an online article. Such content is usually inappropriate for inline inclusion.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Should we add "at the bottom of a table" to the two use cases we already have listed? Many of my clients use table end notes, or whatever they're officially called.	gjoseph	updated	comment	29/11/2021 10:24:50	
I don't think so - we already describe the end-of-page behavior saying "typically", which definitely means that it is not required. I don't think I've seen any tools that do the table end-note approach (though it's clearly a valid use), which makes me reluctant to list it as another common behavior. The same would be true of other possible behaviors, like turning the FN into hover help or a pop-up.	randerson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 21:28:36	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	new	comment	1/12/2021 20:13:31	

# Rendering expectations

The two footnote types typically produce different types of output:

## Single-use footnote

When rendered, a superscript symbol (numeral or character) is produced at the location of the <fn> element. The superscript symbol is hyperlinked to the content of the footnote, which is placed at the bottom of a PDF page or the end of an online article. The superscript symbol can be specified by the value of the @callout attribute. When no @callout value is specified, footnotes are typically numbered.

## Use-by-reference footnote

Nothing is rendered at the location of the <fn> element. The content of a use-by-reference footnote is only rendered when it is referenced by an <xref> with the @type attribute set to fn. If an <xref> with the @type attribute set to fn is present, a superscript symbol is rendered at the location of the <xref> element. Unless conref or conkeyref is used, the <fn> and <xref> must be located in the same topic.

However, the details of footnote processing and formatting are implementation dependent. For example, a tool that renders DITA as PDF might lack support for the @callout attribute, or footnotes might be collected as end notes for certain types of publications.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The behavior described for the use-by-reference footnote is not what I recall from the DITA-OT. We typically process the fn with an id attribute the same way we do without the id attribute. So the first occurrence of a fn uses the standard fn content model and subsequent uses of the same fn use the xref as described. I think the text as it stands is a bit confusing. Must users really use xref even on first use of a fn that's used more than once in the topic?	gjoseph	updated	comment	29/11/2021 10:44:56	
Remember that the spec drives implementations, including DITA-OT, not the other way around!	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 11:27:26	
Gershon, when you say "We typically process ...", are you speaking of custom processing of fn?					
This is the same design that has existed since DITA 1.0, where the footnote with ID was described as not appearing unless referenced: <a href="https://docs.oasis-open.org/dita/v1.0/langspec/fn.html">https://docs.oasis-open.org/dita/v1.0/langspec/fn.html</a>	randerson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 21:26:06	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	new	comment	1/12/2021 20:14:18	

Did we decide that we wanted to use screen captures to show possible presentation?

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021	

Screen captures might be less accessible. We'd want to ensure there is adequate alt descriptions if we add them.

19:28:39

Actually, Scott -- the reason Robert and I had talked about using screen captures is that the DITA 1.3 "presentation" of renderings was really useless for folks who rely on screen readers; it assumed that people were sighted and could infer rendering details from the visual presentation. In contrast, if we use screen captures, we can include a lot of information in the alternate text. We've been pretty careful about having good alternate text in the spec topics.

Also, by including screen captures, we could use different style sheets than what we use for the spec -- and we could stress that whatever we show is just one possible formatting.

keberlein updated comment 29/11/2021 19:42:21

And thanks for raising this; it prompted me to:

- Explain editors' rationale for moving to screen captures
- Add draft comments to those topics that need screen captures

Marking this comment **CLOSED**

When rendered, typically a superscript symbol is placed at the location of the <fn> element; this superscript symbol is hyperlinked to the content of the <fn>, which is typically is placed at the bottom of a PDF page or the end of an online article. The type of symbol used is implementation specific.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
When rendered, typically a superscript symbol is placed at the location of the element; this superscript symbol is hyperlinked to the content of the ??, which is typically is placed at the bottom of a PDF page or the end of an online article. The type of symbol used is implementation specific.	cbrennecke	updated	change	26/11/2021 16:40:24	
Changed to "is typically placed" Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated comment		26/11/2021 18:30:28	

The rendered output is similar to that of the previous example, although processors that support it will render the footnote symbol as # (hashtag).

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
If we do screen captures, we should have an image for this	gjoseph	updated comment		29/11/2021 10:50:25	

example too.

Modified the existing draft comment at the start of this section to read as follows:

"In this section, we need to replace each of "examples of rendered output" with screen captures. This effects the following examples:

- A single-use footnote
- A single-use footnote with a @callout attribute
- A use-by-reference footnote"

keberlein updated comment 29/11/2021 11:56:24

Marking this comment **COMPLETED**.

## Topic: object (DA00509603)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Should we add a link to the new media domain for 2.0 as a possible alternate way to reference audio/video objects?	esirois	updated	comment	23/11/2021 17:25:28	
It's no longer a domain -- that was part of the design when people anticipated LwDITA being released before DITA 2.0.	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:45:27	
I added the following paragraph to the "Usage information" section:  "The base DITA vocabulary also includes the audio and video elements, which are based on the corresponding elements in HTML5."	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 13:36:15	
Marking as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					

### Paragraph-level comments

The DITA <object> element corresponds to the HTML <object> element, and the attribute semantics derive from the HTML definitions. For example, the @type attribute differs from the @type attribute on many other DITA elements.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
	sdoherly	updated	change	26/11/2021	

The DITA [IMAGE: ()]

17:01:41

No, I think including a comma improves the readability of the first sentence.

keberlein updated comment 26/11/2021 18:46:18

Marking this comment as **CLOSED**.

Defines a unique name for the object.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
<p>Should we state the scope in which the name is unique? Is it across the root map? The set of maps being processed? I'm just wondering whether we should anything about its scope. Do we address the scope of uniqueness on the @id attribute? If we do, we should probably do that here too.</p> <p>For @id - the same rules apply here that apply on every non-topic element. I do not think we should restate them here, as we do not restate them on any other element. (For me, calling out the rules for @id here when we don't do so on every element would make me struggle to find out why this element is different, when it's not.)</p> <p>For @name ... my initial thought is yes, we should define the scope. But - this element is entirely based on HTML (with most attributes ported directly from HTML). Given when this was created, that means it was based on HTML4. But our generic definition does not match HTML4 or HTML5. <a href="https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTML/Element/object#attr-name">https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTML/Element/object#attr-name</a></p> <p>That's the more readable Mozilla version of the spec, the w3 spec describes it as: " The name attribute, if present, must be a valid browsing context name . The given value is used to name the nested browsing context , if applicable."</p> <p>Given the history and use of this element, I think we should replace our current definition with one based on HTML.</p> <p>Changed to "Specifies a valid browsing context name."</p> <p>Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b>.</p>	gjoseph	updated	comment	30/11/2021 16:16:59	
	randerson	updated	comment	1/12/2021 14:19:59	
	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 15:01:17	

## Example

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Example <b>s</b>	gjoseph	updated	change	30/11/2021 16:18:57	
Done. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED.</b>	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 13:25:29	

## Topic: include (DA00715306)

### Paragraph-level comments

The <include> element is intended as a specialization base and for the following use cases:

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The element is intended as a <b>base for</b> specialization <b>base</b> and for the following use cases:	gjoseph	updated	change	29/11/2021 12:08:04	
Done. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED.</b>	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 12:17:43	

Processors *SHOULD* support the @parse values text and xml .

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Processors <i>SHOULD</i> support the @parse values text and "xml.	sdoherty	updated	change	26/11/2021 16:51:42	
Thanks for catching this. I've corrected the issue. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED.</b>	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:36:09	

In the following code sample, the <include> element uses a proprietary @parse value that instructs a processor how to render a comma separated data set within the figure:

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
In the following code sample, the element uses a proprietary @parse value that instructs a processor how to render a comma -separated data set within the figure:	sdoherty	updated	change	26/11/2021 16:52:17	

Thanks; have hyphenated comma-separated.

keberlein updated comment 26/11/2021  
18:37:09

Marking this comment as **COMPLETED**.

## Topic: param (DA00508750)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
In the first paragraph of the Processing expectations para, the final word is missing a letter "t".	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:06:29	
Thanks for catching the typo. It's fixed. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:49:26	

### Paragraph-level comments

Any number of <param> elements might appear in the content of an <object> in any order, but must be placed at the start of the content of the enclosing object. This element is comparable to the HTML <param> element, and its attributes' semantics derive from their HTML definitions. For example, the @type attribute differs from the @type attribute on many other DITA elements.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Any number of elements might appear in the content of an [IMAGE: ()]	sdoherly	updated	change	26/11/2021 17:05:07	
Fixed Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:53:09	

Specifies the type of the @value attribute. Allowed values are:

data

A value of data means that the value will be evaluated and passed to the object's implementation as a string.

ref

A value of ref indicates that the value of the @value attribute is a URL that designates a resource where run-time values are stored. This allows support tools to identify URLs that are given as parameters.

object

A value of object indicates that the value of the @value attribute is an identifier that refers to an object declaration in the document. The identifier must be the value of the @id attribute set for the declared <object> element.

-dita-use-conref-target

See for more information.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
This comment applies to the text describing "ref".					
This allows support tools to identify... should be:	gjoseph	updated	comment	30/11/2021 16:34:02	
This provides support for tools that identify URLs that are passed as parameters.					
Done. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 13:12:19	

## Topic: fallback (DB00649705)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
&lt;shortdesc>: "or other referenced content" requires qualification or some examples. Could be almost anything in DITA.	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:45:34	
Stan, it <b>could be</b> almost any type of content, since fallback can contain include. I'm not sure that we can be more specific here in the short description.	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:19:19	
The "other referenced content" would specifically be "something referenced by the parent element". We could say that but it gets pretty wordy, something like -- "Fallback content is content to be presented when multimedia objects or other content referenced by the parent of the fallback content cannot be rendered."	randerson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 21:33:29	
Keeping the short description as-is and marking this as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 22:25:01	

### Paragraph-level comments



# Processing expectations

The contents of this element are displayed only when the media that is referenced by the containing element cannot be displayed or viewed.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Processing expectationsThe contents of this element are displayed only when the media that is referenced by the containing element cannot be <del>dis</del> played , viewed, or otherwise <del>viewed</del> executed.	gjoseph	updated	change	27/11/2021 19:48:42	
Hmm ... We certainly cannot use the phrase "executed"; it's not allowed by our styleguide. Other considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Fallback is allowed in audio, video, object, and include</li><li>• Audio is played, video is viewed ... Kind of hard to know what will happen with include or object</li></ul>	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 00:53:32	
Might be better to keep this as-is. Or simplify it even further:  " The contents of this element are displayed only when the media that is referenced by the containing element cannot be displayed."					
I'd suggest rather than saying "cannot be displayed or viewed", we might want to say "cannot be rendered", which covers both of those and seems in sync with wording elsewhere in the spec?	randerson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 21:35:43	
And really we should have used "rendered" in the first part of the sentence as well, given that the fallback might be a display, a text-to-speech, or anything else rendered in place of the parent.	randerson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 21:37:57	
Changed to read: "The contents of the fallback element are rendered only when the media that is referenced by the containing element cannot be rendered."	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 22:28:12	
Marking this as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					

## Topic: ph (DA00509036)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
&lt;shortdesc>: A phrase consists of one or more words or otehr inline elements" . . .	sdoherthy	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:07:43	
Stan, I don't think we need to stress that phrase is in-line. I think that's intuitive (and also implied by the rest of the short description.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 17:37:48	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

## Paragraph-level comments

## Usage information

The <ph> element often is used to enclose a phrase for reuse or conditional processing.

The <ph> element frequently is used as a specialization base, to create phrase-level markup that can provide additional semantic meaning or trigger specific processing or formatting. For example, all highlighting domain elements are specializations of <ph>.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Usage informationThe element often is used to enclose a phrase for reuse or conditional processing.The element frequently is used as a <b>base for</b> specialization <b>base</b> , to create phrase-level markup that can provide additional semantic meaning or trigger specific processing or formatting. For example, all highlighting domain elements are specializations of .	gjoseph	updated	change	30/11/2021 16:40:27	
Edited, and marked as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 12:54:16	

## Topic: image (DA00509439)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
&lt;shortdesc>: "stored outside the <b>XML</b> content."	sdoherthy	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:50:20	
This is tricky. For LwDITA, it might not be XML content. Remember that we use the short descriptions in both full	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:34:05	

DITA and LwDITA.

Maybe "outside of the current document" or "outside of the topic content"? Those are both more explicit than the very broad "content", without getting explicitly into XML.

randerson updated comment 29/11/2021  
21:40:24

Changed to "An image is a reference to artwork that is stored outside of the topic content."

keberlein updated comment 1/12/2021  
13:01:23

Marked as **COMPLETED**.

## Paragraph-level comments

@height

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Alphabeticize the tokens and the units of measurements that they indicate.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 23:25:25	
Done. Marked as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 23:30:34	

Indicates the vertical dimension for the resulting display. The value of this attribute is a real number (expressed in decimal notation) optionally followed by a unit of measure from the set of pc, pt, px, in, cm, mm, em (picas, points, pixels, inches, centimeters, millimeters, and ems respectively). The default unit is px (pixels). Example values include 5, 5in, and 10.5cm.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
I think these inline lists would be better marked up as definition lists and rendered as a table or as the attribute lists are rendered. The current format is difficult for readers unfamiliar with the HTML standard to map the abbreviated form to the expanded form. This is a GLOBAL comment that should be applied to the entire spec, if accepted.	gjoseph	new	comment	29/11/2021 12:01:46	
+1	shudson	new	comment	29/11/2021 19:55:49	
Scott and Gershon, do you really think that people implementing DITA applications are unfamiliar with these units of measurement and their abbreviations? I suspect that the people unfamiliar with abbreviations and	keberlein	new	comment	29/11/2021 23:24:32	

the units of measurements are the folks authoring in DITA, and they are not the target audience for this content.

Specifies whether an image is scaled up or down to fit within available space. Allowable values are yes, no, and "-dita-use-conref-target". If @height, @width, or @scale is specified, those attributes determine the graphic size, and the @scalefit attribute is ignored. If none of those attributes are specified and scalefit="yes", then the image is scaled (the same factor in both dimensions) so that the graphic will just fit within the available height or width (whichever is more constraining).

The available width would be the prevailing column (or table cell) width—that is, the width a paragraph of text would have if the graphic were a paragraph instead. The available height is implementation dependent, but if feasible, it is suggested to be the page (or table cell) height or some other reasonable value.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Specifies whether an image is scaled up or down to fit within available space. Allowable values are yes, no, and "-dita-use-conref-target". If @height, @width, or @scale is specified, those attributes determine the graphic size, and the @scalefit attribute is ignored. If none of those attributes are specified and scalefit="yes", then the image is scaled (by the same factor in both dimensions) so that the graphic will just fit within the available height or width, (whichever is more constraining). The available width would be the prevailing column (or table cell) width, — that which is ; the width a paragraph of text would have if the graphic were a paragraph instead of text. The available height is implementation dependent, but if feasible, it is suggested to be the page (or table cell) height or some other reasonable value.	gjoseph	updated	change	29/11/2021 11:52:03	
Done					
Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 12:28:38	

Specifies whether an image is scaled up or down to fit within available space. Allowable values are yes, no, and "-dita-use-conref-target". If @height, @width, or @scale is specified, those attributes determine the graphic size, and the @scalefit attribute is ignored. If none of those attributes are specified and scalefit="yes", then the image is scaled (the same factor in both dimensions) so that the graphic will just fit within the available height or width (whichever is more constraining).

The available width would be the prevailing column (or table cell) width—that is, the width a paragraph of text would have if the graphic were a paragraph instead. The available height is implementation dependent, but if feasible, it is suggested to be the page (or table cell) height or some other reasonable value.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
	gjoseph	updated	comment	29/11/2021	

What if @scalefit is specific in addition to @height, @width, or both and the specified @width, @height, and/or @scale results in the image not being able to fit? In this case, if @scalefit=yes is ALSO specified, the renderer should scale the image to fit, no?

11:53:40

No, not according to current spec content:

- If both height and width are specified, processors MAY ignore one of the values. The scale attribute is ignored if either height or width is specified. (See the "Rendering expectations" section and the definition of scale.)
- So, your question is what happens if height or width or height + width is specified AND scalefit is specified. In this case, the scalefit attribute is ignored is either height, width, or scale is specified. (See definition of scalefit.)

keberlein updated comment 29/11/2021 12:38:21

So, do we need to revise the content of the "Rendering expectation" to also cover @scalefit?

Added a new paragraph before the normative piece, stating:

"If @scale or @scalefit is specified in conjunction with either @height or @width, the @scale or @scalefit attributes are ignored."

keberlein updated comment 1/12/2021 13:08:28

Marking as **COMPLETED**.

## Topic: note (DA00508933)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
All processors that I have seen render an image/icon with various &lt;note> types. Should that be a processing expectation?	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:01:16	
Absolutely not. The label or icon is formatting; it has <b>NOTHING</b> to do with interoperability. Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:43:57	

## Paragraph-level comments

Specifies the type of a note. This differs from the @type attribute on many other DITA elements. The following are the allowable values:

**Draft comment:** Kristen J Eberlein 23 November 2021

The following explanation needs work! Most of this appeared in DITA 1.0 and has not been edited since then (with the exception of where there is association with the hazard statement domain). TC members, please help.

attention

Please pay extra attention to this note.

caution

Care is required when proceeding.

danger

When used with the <hazardstatement> element, this indicates an imminently hazardous situation which, if not avoided, **will** result in death or serious injury.

important

This note is important.

note

This is just a note.

notice

When used with the <hazardstatement> element, this indicates a potential situation which, if not avoided, might result in an **undesirable result or state** .

other

This is something other than a normal note.

remember

Don't forget to do what this note says.

restriction

You can't do what this note says.

tip

This is a fine little tip.

trouble

Provides information about how to remedy a trouble situation.

warning

Indicates a potentially hazardous situation. When used with the <hazardstatement> element, this indicates a situation which, if not avoided, could result in death or serious injury.

-dita-use-conref-target

See for more information.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Most companies I've worked with have specified their own definitions for what each of these values mean. Do we really want to be prescriptive in defining them? Why not just list the values and clarify that this list can be constrained or specialized further and that interpretation of these values is dependent on downstream processors?	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 20:06:33	
+1	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 22:35:03	

I've changed the topic to just list the tokens for the @type attribute with no definitions.

I don't think we want to add a comment that the tokens can be constrained or expanded; that's true for DITA elements and attributes.

Marking this comment as **COMPLETED**.

## Topic: div (DA00509361)

### Paragraph-level comments

A division is a grouping of sequential content within a topic. There is no additional semantic meaning.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
A division is a grouping of <b>contiguous</b> content within a topic. There is no additional semantic meaning.	sdoherly	updated	change	26/11/2021 16:38:55	
Great change; done. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:08:09	

## Usage information

The <div> element often is useful for reuse or as a specialization base.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Usage informationThe element often is useful for reuse or as a <b>base</b> for specialization <b>base</b> .	gjoseph	updated	change	27/11/2021 19:11:52	
The bodydiv topic uses the following phrase: "I t is useful primarily for reuse and as a specialization base." I've changed the wording here to: "The div element is useful primarily for reuse and as a specialization base." Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 12:05:33	

## Example

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Example s	gjoseph	updated	change	27/11/2021 19:12:21	
No, since we have removed the second example.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 17:17:19	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

In the following code sample, <div> is used as the basis for specializing a new domain element, <pullquote>:

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
If the spec provides a specialization example for one specialization-oriented element, shouldn't it provide them generally? Is there anything tricky about specializing <div> that needs to be called out?	sdoherty	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:42:24	
I don't think it makes sense to provide them generally. There is nothing tricky about specializing from div. In this grouping of elements, div is one of the elements that I most frequently specialize ...	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:14:05	
Removed the example from this topic.					
Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					
Also, if we are going to provide DTD examples, shouldn't we also provide the normative RNG example?	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 19:05:23	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> ; see my response to Stan Doherty's comment	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 19:53:52	

## Topic: dl (DA00508573)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
should the @compact attribute be in its own section or part like in draft-comment to be consistent?	esirois	updated	comment	23/11/2021 16:11:18	
	keberlein	updated	comment	23/11/2021	



Do you mean on a new line in the code?

17:22:39

I think the example in the draft-comment topic should be modified instead :)

Marking this comment as **CLOSED**.

The `<ddhd>` topic has a reference to this topic for more info, but there is no mention of `<ddhd>` in this topic. We should either add example and usage to the `<ddhd>` topic or add it here... Or we should change the xref target into `go to <dlhead>`, which may be a better approach.

gjoseph updated comment 27/11/2021 19:23:30

No changes required in the dl topic. I have changed the xref in `ddhd` and `dthd` to point to `dlhead`.

keberlein updated comment 29/11/2021 12:12:55

Marking this comment as **CLOSED**.

### Paragraph-level comments

A definition list is a list of terms and the corresponding definitions.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
A definition list is a list of terms and the <code>ir</code> corresponding definitions.	sdoherly	updated	change	26/11/2021 16:42:52	
Done. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:15:39	

## Topic: tm (DA00509572)

### Paragraph-level comments

@tmtype (REQUIRED)

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Check me -- <code>&lt;copyright&gt;</code> is allowed in <code>&lt;prolog&gt;</code> , but not in running text. Why not add it as a <code>@tmtype</code> value here?	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:17:27	
Stan, the tm element IS for copyright. That's indicated by	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:56:27	

setting tntype="reg".

Setting this comment to **CLOSED**.

## Topic: ddhd (DA00509244)

### Paragraph-level comments

### Example

See dl.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
There is no mention of the ddhd element in the example for the dl element. I suspect this link should go to the dlhead element. See my comment in the dl element topic...	gjoseph	updated	comment	27/11/2021 19:30:02	
Done; thanks for catching this. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 12:15:16	
+1	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 17:01:40	

## Topic: dthd (DA00509312)

### Paragraph-level comments

### Example

See dl.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The dl topic does not mention dthd... Should this link go to dlhead instead? See my comment on the dl element.	gjoseph	updated	comment	27/11/2021 19:36:59	
Done; thanks for catching this. Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 11:48:23	
Done; thanks for catching this.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 12:10:24	

## Topic: figgroup (DA00508885)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
shouldn't we use the same example as in the 1.3 release, showing that a <title> can be used in <figgroup> as well	cbrennecke	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:30:56	
Robert, is there a reason that we changes the example from what was provided in the DITA 1.3 spec?	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 11:33:17	
Really, digging into the history, it's only there because this was sort of a last-minute addition to DITA 1.0 to enable the specialized syntax diagram domain. I don't think it's a best practice to put nested titled groups within a titled figure (just like you're explicitly forbidden from nested titled groups within a titled section), so we should not use it as our example.	randerson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 21:53:54	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	new	comment	1/12/2021 20:42:45	

### Paragraph-level comments

The <figgroup> element is useful primarily as a base for complex specializations, such as nestable groups of syntax within a syntax diagram. The <figgroup> element can nest; it also can contain multiple cross-references, footnotes, and keywords.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The element is useful primarily as a base for complex specializations, such as nestable groups of syntax within a syntax diagram. The element can nest <del>;</del> <del>it also</del> <del>and</del> can contain multiple cross-references, footnotes, and keywords.	gjoseph	updated	change	29/11/2021 10:21:08	
Sent e-mail to Gershon and the TC.  ----- <b>COMPLETETED:</b> Changed to the following:	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 15:13:01	

"The figgroup element can nest. It can also contain multiple cross-references, footnotes, and keywords."

## Topic: fig (DA00509581)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
other code samples use indentation. Should we have it here as well?	cbrennecke	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:18:51	
Yes; thanks for catching this. I've corrected the code sample.	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:22:23	
Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: alt (DA00508575)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
In the &lt;shortdesc>: Systems can display the alternate text when the image cannot be rendered, when a user mouses over an image, or the image is viewed by an assistive reader.	sdoherty	new	comment	26/11/2021 16:37:53	
I don't know if we want to be that specific. Robert, your thoughts?	keberlein	new	comment	26/11/2021 18:07:37	
+1 I think you can leave the first sentence, but use Stan's sentence to replace the 2nd one in the original.	shudson	new	comment	29/11/2021 16:54:47	
Or perhaps Stan's sentence can be used in either the Rendering expectations or Processing expectations section?	shudson	new	comment	29/11/2021 16:58:27	
I'm kind of on the fence. We are never exhaustive when	randerson	new	comment	29/11/2021	

listing what an application *\*can\** do with an element, and attempts to do so tend to look proscriptive, disallowing other options.

21:57:38

In reality, for HTML, the alternate text and hover text are very different things -- alt text is explicitly to use when the image cannot be rendered, while the hover text is technically a "title". With DITA we don't have a title, so I know the alt text often gets used as both, but I believe some browsers and tools have actually moved away from having alt text appear as hover help.

I think the use by an assistive reader is an important thing to highlight, even if the hover part is left out. It is also important because Google prioritizes pages with accessibility features such as `&lt;alt>` text.

dstevens new comment 30/11/2021 19:25:10

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: lq (DA00509528)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
<code>&amp;lt;shortdesc&gt;</code> : "a quotation that contains one or more groupings of running text or one or more block elements."	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 16:58:53	
I don't think we need to add this information. The wording of the shortdesc is crisp. Paragraphs are block-level elements, and we don't need to tell readers that.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 17:33:02	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: p (DA00509257)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
<code>&amp;lt;shortdesc&gt;</code> : "single block of text" . . . versus inline text.	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:03:36	

I don't think we need to stress that a paragraph is a block-level element. That's intuitive. keberlein updated comment 29/11/2021 17:36:06

Marking this comment as **CLOSED**.

Agreed. gjoseph updated comment 30/11/2021 16:25:40

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: q (DA00509119)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
&lt;shortdesc>: "A quotation is an inline group of words" . . .	sdoherty	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:12:10	
No, leaving the shortdesc as it currently is. We don't need to stress that phrases are in-line.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 17:34:14	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: section (DA00508866)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Under rendering expectations -- Oxygen returns a Schematron error if I add another &lt;title> to a &lt;section>. Makes we wonder whether the content model allows for multiple &lt;title> elements, but we are relying on Schematron and DITA-OT to treat them as furballs.	sdoherty	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:14:49	
Yes, that's exactly the case.	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:55:36	
&amp;lt;shortdesc> the last few words of the last sentence: topic; they can have titles.	gjoseph	updated	comment	30/11/2021 16:51:26	

Break into two sentences: ... topic. Sections can have titles.

Done. Marking this comment as **COMPLETED**.

keberlein updated comment 1/12/2021 13:16:01

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: xref (DA00509094)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
&lt;shortdesc>: ". . . PDF or web page."	sdoherly	updated	comment	26/11/2021 17:18:45	
Lower-cased "web"	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:56:55	
Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: keyword (DA00508969)

### Paragraph-level comments

To enable referencing variable text using @keyref, store the product name in a <keytext> element.

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Should we add a reference to the keytext topic?	esirois	updated	comment	23/11/2021 16:26:34	
I'm leary of adding a lot of inline cross references. I think it's very important to add cross references to archSpec topics in the "Processing expectations" sections of important element -- such as keytext and map -- but here in the examples, I tend to think it's not needed. We have the "DITA elements, A to Z" that people can always reference ...	keberlein	updated	comment	26/11/2021 18:41:55	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

## Topic: Body elements (DA00508862)

## Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
I think we should change "content authoring for topics" to "content for authoring topics". The sentence as it currently stands does not make sense to me.	gjoseph	updated	comment	27/11/2021 19:00:26	
Changed to: "The body elements support the most common types of content for topics: paragraphs, lists, phrases, figures, and other common document components."	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 00:46:30	
Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					
+1	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 16:27:54	

## Paragraph-level comments

### Topic: example (DA00508684)

## Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Current shortdesc: An example illustrates the subject of the topic or a portion of the topic.	gjoseph	updated	comment	27/11/2021 19:42:56	
I suggest changing to: An example illustrates the subject of a topic.					
Why? Especially since in DITA 2.0 we allow example in more places ...	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 11:38:47	
Not sure I agree, Gershon. Now that example is allowed in more places, the existing generic phrasing might be more appropriate. A topic might contain multiple related sections, each with an example that illustrates the subject of that section, but not necessarily the entire topic. Same with example values in tables or other sub-parts of a topic.	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 19:14:34	
Leaving the short description as-is; marking the comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 22:54:04	



---

## Paragraph-level comments

### Topic: draft-comment (DA00508579)

## Paragraph-level comments

The following code samples shows how an content developer can use a <draft-comment> element to pose a question to reviewers:

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
The following code samples shows how a <code>&lt;draft-comment&gt;</code> content developer can use a <code>&lt;draft-comment&gt;</code> element to pose a question to reviewers:	gjoseph	updated	change	27/11/2021 19:33:37	
Done Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b>	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 00:57:48	

### Topic: li (DA00508849)

## Paragraph-level comments

### Example

See ol or ul

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
ExampleSee ol or ul .	gjoseph	updated	change	29/11/2021 12:29:42	
Done Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 12:40:38	

### Topic: cite (DA00508870)

## Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
I don't see a link to a non-normative appendix, but typically cite is formatted in italic. Not sure if that should be stated	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 17:00:51	

here or in the non-normative appendix?

We are **NOT** including any formatting information in the individual element reference topics, nor are we including links to the "Formatting considerations" topic in the appendix.

keberlein updated comment 29/11/2021 17:20:26

Marking this comment as **CLOSED**.

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: dlhead (DA00508815)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
A screen capture might be less accessible?	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 19:08:14	
Marking this comment <b>CLOSED</b> . See my response to your comment in the fn topic.	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 19:54:38	

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: ol (DA00508924)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Should we specify the rendering expectation that the items will be presented in a numbered list in ascending order?	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 20:10:00	
I don't think we need to state that. I think an ordered list is so common and inuitive that specifying what you suggest is not necessary. We <b>DO</b> have information about li in both ol and ul in the "Formatting conventions" topic.	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 14:10:44	
Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .					

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: sl (DA00508683)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Do we want to clarify how the rendering expectation differs from ol or ul? That is, there is no expectation of bullets, numbers, or other markup preceding each list item, and that each list item is rendered on its own line?	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 20:16:01	
That is all formatting information, and it is covered in the "Formatting" topic in the appendixes.  Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 22:57:39	

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: ul (DA00509101)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Should we specify that the rendering expectation is that each list item is rendered with a bullet or symbol preceding the text?	shudson	updated	comment	29/11/2021 20:22:38	
That's not rendering; it's formatting, and it covered in the "Formatting" topic in the appendix.  Marking this comment as <b>CLOSED</b> .	keberlein	updated	comment	29/11/2021 22:59:30	

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: sli (DA00508879)

### Topic-level comments

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
Shortdesc last sentence: A simple list item contains a brief	gjoseph	updated	comment	30/11/2021 16:58:55	

phrase or text content, adequate for describing package contents, for example.

I suggest changing to this:

A simple list item contains a brief phrase or text content, for example a description of package contents.

Changed to read " A simple list item contains a brief phrase or text content, for example, a description of package contents."

keberlein updated comment 1/12/2021  
13:18:58

Marking this comment as **COMPLETED**.

### Paragraph-level comments

## Topic: longdescref (DA00509191)

### Paragraph-level comments

In this code sample, the long description is stored remotely, on a external Web site:

Annotation	Reviewer	Status	Type	Date	Topic version
In this code sample, the long description is stored remotely, on a <a href="#">n</a> external Web site:	gjoseph	updated	change	30/11/2021 14:52:08	
Changed to read "In this code sample, the long description is stored remotely on a Web site:"	keberlein	updated	comment	1/12/2021 13:21:31	
Marking this comment as <b>COMPLETED</b> .					