

Suggested Responses to Issues Raised As a Result of the Public Review

Policy 86 Policy Set Schema and Psuedoschema

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00000.html>.

Description: The psuedoschema for policySet in section 3.4 says that the appliesTo attribute is optional. But the schema in Appendix A1 says that it is required.

This [issue was opened as issue POLICY-86](#) (<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-86>) and was resolved on the June 29, 2009 telcon (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33276/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-06-29.pdf>) by making the @appliesTo attribute optional in the schema.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200906/msg00050.html>

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 87 Clarification re. value of appliesTo

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00001.html>.

Description: In section 3.4 we explain that the value of the appliesTo attribute is an XPath 1.0 expression. But the first example illustrating a policySet contains the line appliesTo="sca:binding.ws". This does not look like a XPath expression. Needs clarification.

Deleted: ays

This [issue was opened as issue POLICY-87](#) (<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-87>) and was resolved on the October 5, 2009 telcon (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/34818/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-10-05.pdf>) . The XPath was changed to //sca:binding but the issue was broadened and the proposal in the first four bullets of the file attached to <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200909/msg00063.html> was accepted

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Field Code Changed

Deleted: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200910/msg00035.html>

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 88 Attaching Intents to WSDL definitions

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00002.html>.

Description: which asks that wording in the Policy spec be clarified as to the handling of intents attached to WSDL definitions, perhaps along the lines of corresponding wording in the Assembly spec.

Formatted: Font: Bold

This [issue was opened as issue POLICY-88 \(http://www.oesa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-88\)](http://www.oesa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-88) and was resolved on the August 10, 2009 telcon (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33725/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-08-10.pdf>) by accepting the proposal in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00008.html>

Deleted: issue

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00016.html>

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 89 Location of Intents and policySets

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00003.html>.

Deleted:

Description: This note raises a concern that intents and policySets must be defined in files and asks whether it is possible to contribute intents and policySets using URIs and namespace declarations.

Formatted: Font: Bold

This [issue was opened as issue POLICY-89 \(http://www.oesa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-89\)](http://www.oesa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-89) and was resolved on August 24, 2009 (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/34333/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-08-24.pdf>). During discussion of this issue it was pointed out that the specs allowed a great deal of flexibility and artifacts can be contributed to an SCA domain in a variety of ways including URIs and namespaces and, thus, no change was needed to the specifications.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: See minutes from August 24, 2009 <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00053.html>

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 90 Fine-grained authorization

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00004.html>.

Description: Section 7.3 of the spec describes the 'authorization' intent. This qualifiable intent has but a single qualifier: fineGrain and this qualifier is the default. What this means is that if an implementer specifies the 'authorization' intent he will get 'authorization.fineGrain'. This does not seem right as 'fineGrain' describes a specific type of authorization and one that some feel is overly complex.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: .

This ~~issue was opened as issue POLICY-90~~ (~~<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-90>~~) and was resolved on August 24, 2009 (see ~~<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/34333/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-08-24.pdf>~~) and by removing the fine-grain qualifier from the authorization intent.

Deleted: issue

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: was resolved on the August 24, 2009 telcon (see <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200908/msg00053.html>)

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 91 Default qualifier for intent

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00005.html>.

Description: [POL30004] states:

If an intent has more than one qualifier, one and only one MUST be declared as the default qualifier.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Thus if only ONE qualifier is provided for an intent it does not have to be marked as the default. However, there are no statements that says a single unmarked qualifier should be used as the default.

This issue was ~~opened as issue POLICY-91~~ (~~<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-91>~~) and was resolved on the July 6, 2009 telcon (see ~~<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/33366/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-07->~~

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

06.pdf) by closing it as a duplicate of POLICY-83 (<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-83>). POLICY-83 was resolved on August 12, 2009 with the proposal in JIRA, by adding a new normative statement (POL30025) which clarifies that if there is only one qualifier, it is the default qualifier.

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 92 Block Intent Inheritance

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00006.html>.

Description: The ability to block structural inheritance might be necessary in cases where most but not all child elements want to have an intent, which is attached higher in the hierarchy, applied to them.

This issue was opened as issue POLICY-92 (<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-92>) and was deferred to SCA Policy 1.2 (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35595/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-12-07.pdf>). The discussion revealed a need for this to be discussed in the context of capabilities support.

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 93 External Attachment of Intents

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00007.html> which requests that a mechanism be added to allow external attachment of intents.

Description: In addition to policySets, we argue that it should be possible to attach intents using the external attachment mechanism described in the policy spec. This follows a theme we have been pushing for some time, namely, the separation of policy artifacts from executable code and the SCDL. We argue that policy artifacts should be stored and managed separately from code and should have their own lifecycle and management.

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: on the December 7, 2009 telcon (see <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200912/msg00010.html>)

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

This issue was opened as issue POLICY-93 (<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-93>) and was resolved on the March 15, 2010 telcon (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36879/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202010-03-15.pdf>) by accepting the proposal attached to <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201003/msg00019.html>

Deleted: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201003/msg00025.html>

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.

Policy 94 Attachment of Intents Using an Element

This note is to convey to you the resolution of the issue raised by you as a result of the first public review of the SCA Policy Framework specification in <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy-comment/200906/msg00008.html> which requests that a mechanism be added to allow intents to be attached using an element.

Description: Today, intents are attached using the @requires attribute which allows no extensibility. We argue that intents should also be attached using an element (at least as an option) so that additional information can be provided.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

This issue was opened as issue POLICY-94 (<http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-94>) and was resolved on the Nov 16, 2009 telcon (see <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/35480/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202009-11-16.pdf>) by accepting the proposal attached to <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200911/msg00031.html>.

Deleted: <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200911/msg00053.html>

We trust you will find this resolution satisfactory. If not, please let us know by responding to this note.