

**DRAFT**

**SCA-Policy TC Teleconference**

**7 June 2010**

**Chairs**

Dave Booz

**Scribe**

Mike Edwards

**Meeting Attendees**

Name	Company	Status
Robert Freund	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
Eric Wells	Hitachi, Ltd.	Group Member
David Booz	IBM	Group Member
Mike Edwards	IBM	Group Member
Simon Holdsworth	IBM	Group Member
Anish Karmarkar	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Rich Levinson	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Gilbert Pilz	Oracle Corporation	Group Member
Plamen Pavlov	SAP AG*	Group Member
Tai-Hsing Cha	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member
Murty Gurajada	TIBCO Software Inc.	Group Member

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">Resolutions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Actions.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Agenda.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">(Item 3) Agenda Bashing.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">(Item 5) TC Administrivia.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">(Item 6) Action Items.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 8) New Issues.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">(Item 10) Testing.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">AOB.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>

### **Resolutions**

**Minutes of Policy TC meeting of May 17th are accepted**

### **Actions**

ACTION 20100607-01 (Dave) Update the WSDL of POL\_3001\_TestCase to use the non-SOAP HTTP binding

ACTION 20100607-02 (Dave) Raise an issue against the Test Assertions document for those assertions marked with "preferred"

ACTION 20100607-03: (Dave) Raise an issue to remove testcases for the TAs POL-TA-70001-70007 and POL-TA-80001-80004 and POL-TA-90003-90008, 90010-11, 90016-20, 90027-28 since they depend on optional function.

### **Agenda**

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes

Vote to accept minutes from May 17 2010

- <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/37836/SCA%20Policy%20minutes%202010-05-17.pdf>

5. TC Administrivia:

a. Recording issue status - 0 Open

b. SCA Liason TC request for compliant implementations - Tuscany, Fabric 3 and Oracle will implement policy, and others?

6. ACTION ITEMS:

a. 20100517-01: status=done: Dave to raise the length question with TC Admin

7. CD03 and Public Review 02

- a. Test Assertion CD01 - 17 May 2010 thru 16 July 2010.
- b. Specification CD03 - awaiting approval of RDDDL update from Assembly

8. New Issues

- a. None

9. Issue Discussion

None

10. Testing

- a. Test Assertion Progress and review:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201005/msg00056.html>

- Running Testcases:

ODT: [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36717/Running_SCA_Policy_TestCases.odt)

[open.org/committees/download.php/36717/Running\\_SCA\\_Policy\\_TestCases.odt](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36717/Running_SCA_Policy_TestCases.odt)

PDF: [\[open.org/committees/download.php/36718/Running\\\_SCA\\\_Policy\\\_TestCases.pdf\]\(http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36718/Running\_SCA\_Policy\_TestCases.pdf\)](http://www.oasis-</a></p></div><div data-bbox=)

- Test Assertion Documents:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/37721/sca-policy-1.1-Test-Assertions-CD01.zip>

- Test Case Documents

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/38145/sca-policy-1.1-testcases-wd05.odt>

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/38144/sca-policy-1.1-testcases-wd05.pdf>

- Testcase code:

<http://tools.oasis-open.org/version-control/svn/sca-policy/TestCases/>

11. AOB

- a. straggler roll

### ***(Item 3) Agenda Bashing***

No changes

### ***(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC***

Minutes from 17th May 2010

Minutes approved without change

**Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of May 17th are accepted**

### ***(Item 5) TC Administrivia***

a) 0 open items

b) Compliant implementations

None beyond the 3 mentioned: Tuscany, Fabric 3 and Oracle

Dave will convey this list to the Liaison Committee

### **(Item 6) Action Items**

20100517-01: status=done: Dave to raise the length question with TC Admin  
- comment that the length of Public Review was in error, the PR request for the TestSuite and PR request for CD03 of the Policy spec got mixed up.  
CD03 has not yet happened due to a delay for an item needed from the Assembly TC.  
The review of the Test Suite is in progress and is 60 days in length.

### **(Item8) New Issues**

None

### **(Item 10) Testing**

a. Test Suite Progress and review:

<http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/201005/msg00056.html>

- Running Testcases:

ODT: [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36717/Running_SCA_Policy_TestCases.odt)

[open.org/committees/download.php/36717/Running\\_SCA\\_Policy\\_TestCases.odt](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36717/Running_SCA_Policy_TestCases.odt)

PDF: [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36718/Running_SCA_Policy_TestCases.pdf)

[open.org/committees/download.php/36718/Running\\_SCA\\_Policy\\_TestCases.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/36718/Running_SCA_Policy_TestCases.pdf)

Dave discusses the testcases document:

POL\_3001\_TestCase (page 8)

Currently POL\_3001 makes use of a Binding type in the WSDL that is actually proprietary to IBM

Anish: There is a standard non-SOAP HTTP binding available, which can be used for this purpose

Mike: Yes, the standard JDK 6 implementation of JAXWS supports that HTTP binding

### **[ACTION 20100607-01 \(Dave\) Update the WSDL of POL\\_3001\\_TestCase to use the non-SOAP HTTP binding](#)**

2) POL\_4024\_TestCase

Both the test assertions for this testcase are marked "preferred" - what do we want to do with these?

Mike: For me "preferred" Test Assertions are not worth writing testcases for - since a failure may just be the result of not implementing optional function

Eric: How many "preferred" cases are there?

Dave: Not sure, perhaps a handful/

Dave: Ah, this actually looks like an error in the Test Assertions document - it says "preferred" when the spec statement has a "MUST"

### **[ACTION 20100607-02 \(Dave\) Raise an issue against the Test Assertions document for those assertions marked with "preferred"](#)**

3) Point #12 in the Email: POL-TA-70001-70007 and POL-TA-80001-80004 and POL-TA-90003-90008, 90010-11, 90016-20, 90027-28 are marked as mandatory in the TA spec, but are marked as optional in the testcase document.

Dave: I believe the TA spec is wrong because none of the std intents have to supported.

EricW: "Preferred" = SHOULD "Permitted" = MAY - There do not seem to be any "Permitted" TA's

EricW: Maybe we only need an "Optional" = SHOULD or MAY if we are not going to write TC's for them?

Mike: I think that any of the features which are optional should not have testcases

**ACTION 20100607-03: (Dave) Raise an issue to remove testcases for the TAs POL-TA-70001-70007 and POL-TA-80001-80004 and POL-TA-90003-90008, 90010-11, 90016-20, 90027-28 since they depend on optional function.**

EricW: Would it be possible for the test suite to implement trivial functions for authroization/encryption/etc? Just for test purposes?

Mike: Eric - how would that work? on the SCA runtime side of things, that is...

4) Point #13 - 9019 introduces an interesting precedent in the test cases in that it handles runtimes which might throw up an error for 9006 regarding the lack of a policySet to provide the transactedOneWay and managedTransaction

Mike: This is an example where 2 intents can be examined statically and the error detected without running anything

## **AOB**

Next meeting 14<sup>th</sup> June

Close of Business