

1 SAML & XML-Signature Syntax and Processing

2

3 **This version:**

4

5 File : draft-sstc-dsig-01.doc

6 Date : October 4, 2001

7

8 Authors

9 o Krishna Sankar [ksankar@Cisco.com]

10 o

11 Contributors

12 o Scott Cantor [cantor.2@osu.edu]

13 o Prateek Mishra [pmishra@netegrity.com]

14 o Stephen Farrell [stephen.farrell@baltimore.ie]

15 o Philip Hallam-Baker [pbaker@verisign.com]

16

17 Abstract

18 XML Signature is used in SAML for assertion integrity, assertion
19 authentication and signer authentication as defined in [SIG]. The XML
20 Signature specification [SIG] defines how this can be achieved and
21 provides many options. This document details the use of XML Signature
22 for SAML assertions and protocols.

23 Referenced Documents

24 [SIG] XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, W3C Proposed Recommendation.

25 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/PR-xmldsig-core-20010820/>26 [RFC3126] RFC 3126 : Electronic Signature Formats for long term
27 electronic signatures

28 [RFC3125] RFC 3125 : Electronic Signature Policies

29

30 **Notational Conventions**

31 The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
32 "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
33 document are to be interpreted as described in Key Words for Use in
34 RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels (RFC 2119).

35 **Status of this Document**

36 This document represents work in progress upon which no reliance should
37 be made.

38 **Document Version History**

39 o Version 0.001:

40

41 **Related Files**

42 The web site <http://www.oasis-open/committees/security/xxxxx> contains
43 the current version of all the related files.

44

45

45

45 **Table of Contents**

46 1 Role of Digital Signatures in SAML.....4

47 2 Signing Assertions.....5

48 3 Request/Response Signing.....5

49 4 Signature Inheritance (a.k.a. super-signatures & sub-messages).....6

50 4.1 Context.....6

51 4.2 Proposal.....6

52 5 XML Signature Profile.....6

53 5.1 Signing formats.....7

54 5.2 CanonicalizationMethod.....7

55 5.3 Transforms.....7

56 5.4 KeyInfo.....7

57 5.5 Object.....7

58 6 Issues, To Do.....8

59

60

61

1 Role of Digital Signatures in SAML

SAML Assertions, Request and Response messages may be signed, with the following benefits:

- An Assertion signed by the issuer (AP). This supports :
 - (1) Message integrity
 - (2) Authentication of the issuer to a relying party
 - (3) If the signature is based on the issuer's public-private key pair, then it also provides for non-repudiation of origin.
- A SAML request or a SAML response message signed by the message originator. This supports :
 - (1) Message integrity
 - (2) Authentication of message origin to a destination
 - (3) If the signature is based on the originator's public-private key pair, then it also provides for non-repudiation of origin.

Note :

- SAML documents may be the subject of signatures from in many different packaging contexts. [SIG] provides a framework for signing in XML and is the framework of choice. However, signing may also take place in the context of S/MIME or Java objects that contain SAML documents. One goal is to ensure compatibility with this type of "foreign" digital signing.
- It is useful to characterize situations when a digital signature is NOT required in SAML.

(1) Assertions: asserting party has provided the assertion to the relying party and authenticated by means other than digital signature. In other words, the RP has obtained the assertion from the AP directly (no intermediaries) and the AP has authenticated to the RP.

(2) Request/Response messages: the originator has authenticated to the destination and the destination has obtained the assertion directly from the originator (no intermediaries).

Many different techniques are available for "direct" authentication between two parties. The list includes SSL, HMAC, password-based login etc. [QUESTION: Do we need to constrain this list further?]

- All other contexts require the use of digital signature for assertions and request and response messages. Specifically:
 - (1) An assertion obtained by a relying party from an entity other than the asserting party MUST be signed by the issuer.

110 (2) SAML message obtained arriving at a destination from an entity
111 other than the originating site MUST be signed by the origin
112 site.
113
114
115
116
117
118

119 **2 Signing Assertions**

120 All SAML assertions MAY be signed using the XML Signature. This is reflected
121 in the schema :

```
122 <element name = "Assertion" type = "saml:AssertionAbstractType"/>  
123     <complexType name = "AssertionAbstractType" abstract = "true">  
124         <sequence>  
125             <element ref = "saml:Conditions" minOccurs = "0"/>  
126             <element ref = "saml:Advice" minOccurs = "0"/>  
127             <element ref = "ds:Signature" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>  
128         </sequence>  
129         <attribute name = "MajorVersion" use = "required" type = "integer"/>  
130         <attribute name = "MinorVersion" use = "required" type = "integer"/>  
131         <attribute name = "AssertionID" use = "required" type = "saml:IDType"/>  
132         <attribute name = "Issuer" use = "required" type = "string"/>  
133         <attribute name = "IssueInstant" use = "required" type = "timeInstant"/>  
134     </complexType>  
135
```

136 **3 Request/Response Signing**

137 All SAML requests and responses MAY be signed using the XML Signature. This is
138 reflected in the schema :

```
139  
140     <complexType name="RequestAbstractType" abstract="true">  
141         <attribute name="RequestID" type="saml:IDType" use="required"/>  
142         <attribute name="MajorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>  
143         <attribute name="MinorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>  
144         <element ref = "ds:Signature" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>  
145     </complexType>  
146  
147     <complexType name="ResponseAbstractType" abstract="true">  
148         <attribute name="ResponseID" type="saml:IDType" use="required"/>  
149         <attribute name="InResponseTo" type="saml:IDType" use="required"/>  
150         <attribute name="MajorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
```

```
151         <attribute name="MinorVersion" type="integer" use="required"/>
152         <element ref = "ds:Signature" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
153     </complexType>
154
```

155 **4 Signature Inheritance (a.k.a. super-signatures** 156 **& sub-messages)**

157 **4.1 Context**

158
159 SAML assertions may be embedded within request or response messages or
160 other XML messages which may be signed. Request or response messages may
161 themselves be contained within other messages which are based on other XML
162 messaging frameworks (e.g., SOAP) and the composite object may be the subject
163 of a signature. Another possibility is that SAML assertions or
164 request/response messages are embedded within a non-XML messaging object
165 (e.g., MIME package) and signed.
166

167 In such a case, the SAML sub-message (Assertion, request, response) may be
168 viewed as inheriting a signature from the "super-signature" over the enclosing
169 object, provided certain constraints are met.
170

- 171 (1) An assertion may be viewed as inheriting a signature from a super
172 signature, if the super signature applies all of the mandatory elements
173 within the assertion.
174
- 175 (2) A SAML request or response may be viewed as inheriting a signature from
176 a super signature, if the super signature applies to all of the
177 mandatory elements within the response.
178

179 **4.2 Proposal**

180 Signatures MAY inherited in the SAML domain. i.e. if a SAML request/response
181 has a signature, then if any of the assertions in the res/resp packages are
182 not signed, they inherit the super-signature.

183 But if assertions need to be passed around by themselves, or embedded in other
184 message they would need to be signed as per section 2.1
185

186 **5 XML Signature Profile**

187
188 The [SIG] specification calls out a general XML syntax for signing data
189 with many flexibilities and choices. This section details the constrains on
190 these facilities so that SAML processors do not have to deal with the full
191 generality of [SIG] processing.

192

193 **5.1 Signing formats**

194

195 XML Signature has three ways of representing signature in a document viz:
196 enveloping, enveloped and detached.

197 SAML assertions and protocols would use the enveloped signatures for signing
198 assertions.

199

200 **5.2 CanonicalizationMethod**

201

202 [Sig] REQUIRES the Canonical XML (omits comments) ([http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315)
203 [xml-c14n-20010315](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315)). SAML RECOMMENDS the Canonical XML with Comments
204 (<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315#WithComments>)

205 **5.3 Transforms**

206

207 [Sig] REQUIRES the enveloped signature transform
208 <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature>

209

210 **5.4 KeyInfo**

211 Any valid key which is acceptable by the [SIG] is acceptable to SAML as
212 well. SAML does not restrict or impose any additions in this area. Which means
213 it is possible NOT to have the KeyInfo element and then arrive at the keyinfo
214 by context.

215 **5.5 Object**

216 The Object element SHOULD NOT be present in the signature block

217

218

219

220

220 6 Issues, To Do

- 221 • Binding between different SAML fragments
- 222 • Replay Attack ?
- 223 • Granularity
 - 224 ○ Multiple signers
 - 225 ○ Signing multiple assertions
- 226 • Detached signature as attribute assertions to tie payload ?
- 227 • Or a new assertion payload assertion ?
- 228 • Trust assertion due to bearer or the stated issuer? [Kelvin Beeck]
- 229 • Encryption?
- 230 • Counter Signature
- 231 • Multiple Signature
- 232 • Manifest
- 233 • Bearer Assertion
- 234
- 235