×

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

Deleted: 20020710

UDDI Spec TC

Schema Centric XML Canonicalization Version 1.0

Document identifier:

SchemaCentricCanonicalization

This version:

http://uddi.org/pubs/SchemaCentricCanonicalization-20050523.htm

Latest version:

http://uddi.org/pubs/SchemaCentricCanonicalization.htm

Previous version:

http://uddi.org/pubs/SchemaCentricCanonicalization-20020710.htm

Author:

Bob Atkinson, Microsoft, bobatk@microsoft.com

Editors:

Selim Aissi, Intel, selim.aissi@intel.com Maryann Hondo, IBM, mhondo@us.ibm.com Andrew Hately, IBM, hately@us.ibm.com

Abstract:

Existing XML canonicalization algorithms such as Canonical XML and Exclusive XML Canonicalization suffer from several limitations and design artifacts (enumerated herein) which significantly limit their utility in many XML applications, particularly those which validate and process XML data according to the rules of and flexibilities afforded by XML Schema. The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm addresses these concerns.

Status:

This specification has attained the status of Committee Specification. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule.

35	Committee members should send comments on this					
36	Committee Specification to the uddi-spec@lists.oasis-					
37	open.org list. Others should subscribe to and send					
38	comments to the uddi-spec-comment@lists.oasis-open.org					
39	list. To subscribe, send an email message to mailto:uddi-					
40	spec-comment-request@lists.oasis-					
41	open.org?body=subscribe with the word "subscribe" as the					
42	body of the message.					
42	body of the message.					
43	For information on whether any intellectual property claims					
44	have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing					
45	this Committee Specification, and any offers of licensing					
46	terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights					
47	section of the UDDI Spec TC web page (http://www.oasis-					
48	open.org/committees/uddi-spec/).					
1	· · · ·					
49	Copyrights:					
50	Copyright © 2000-2002 by Accenture, Ariba, Inc.,					
51	Commerce One, Inc., Fujitsu Limited, Hewlett-Packard					
52	Company, i2 Technologies, Inc., Intel Corporation,					
53	International Business Machines Corporation, Oracle					
54	Corporation, SAP AG, Sun Microsystems, Inc., VeriSign,					
55	Inc., and / or Microsoft Corporation. All Rights Reserved.					
56	See also Appendix A: Notices.					
57	Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2003. All Rights Reserved.					
58	See also Appendix A: Notices.					
30	Gee also Appendix A. Notices.					
50	Table of Contents					
59	Table of Contents					
60	1. Introduction					
60 61						
61	 1.1 Limitations of Existing Canonicalization 					
62	Algorithms					
63	 1.2 Canonicalization Algorithms & Web Services 					
64	Applications					
65	2. Overview of Schema Centric Canonicalization					
66	o 2.1 Algorithm Input					
67	 2.2 Character Model Normalization 					
68	 2.3 Processing by XML Schema-Assessment 					
69	 2.4 Additional Infoset Transformation 					
70	 2.5 Serialization of the Schema-Canonicalized 					
71	Infoset					
72	o 2.6 Limitations					
73	 3. Specification of Schema Centric Canonicalization 					
74	 3.1 Creation of Input as an Infoset 					
75	 3.1.1 Conversion of an Octet Stream to an 					
76	Infosot					

77	 3.1.2 Conversion of a Node-set to an Infoset
78	 3.2 Character Model Normalization
79	 3.3 Processing by XML Schema-Assessment
80	 3.4 Additional Infoset Transformation
81	 3.4.1 Pruning
82	 3.4.2 Namespace Prefix Desensitization
83	 3.4.3 Namespace Attribute Normalization
84	 3.4.4 Data-type Canonicalization
85	 3.5 Serialization of the Schema-Canonicalized
86	Infoset
87	 4. Use of Schema Centric Canonicalization in XML Security
88	 4.1 Algorithm Identification
89	 4.2 Re-Enveloping of Canonicalized Data
90	 5. Resolutions
91	 5.1 No Non-Schema-Influencing Information Items
92	 5.2 No Special Whitespace Processing
93	 5.3 Case-Mapping vs. Case-Folding
94	 5.4 No Canonicalization of anyURI Datatype
95	6. References
96	 7. Revision History
97	Appendix A: Notices
98	
99	1. Introduction
100	The design of the XML-Signature Syntax and Processing
101	specification requires the execution of a canonicalization algorithn
102	as part of the signature generation process. To date, two different
103	(but closely related) canonicalization algorithms have been broadl
104	proposed:
105	 Canonical XML, a product of a joint effort between the W30
106	and the IETF, and
107	Exclusive XML Canonicalization, a W3C effort that adapts
108	Canonical XML to modify its treatment of xml:lang
109	attributes, xml:space attributes, and namespace nodes in
110	order to address issues encountered in re-enveloping a
111	signed subdocument.
	4.4. Limitations of Eviation Commissionation
112	1.1 Limitations of Existing Canonicalization
113	Algorithms
114	Both of these algorithms (collectively "the existing algorithms")
115	share some characteristics which cause problems, some
116	considerable, to applications considering their use:

1. The presence of a DTD that validates the XML subdocument being canonicalized is assumed. In particular, default attributes specified in the DTD are included in the output of the canonicalization process.

- With the advent of XML Schema, it is in fact now increasingly rare to find XML documents for which validation is accomplished using a DTD, or, indeed, due to the weak expressiveness of DTDs, to find XML documents for which a DTD which describes the content models of the elements of the document (instead of merely defining entities and the like) can in fact ever be constructed. Thus, the existing algorithms are becoming less and less useful to practical applications of XML.
- 2. Contrary to the intent of the Namespaces in XML Recommendation, XML documents are not canonicalized with respect to the XML namespace prefixes they use. That is, XML documents that are identical except for their choice of namespace prefixes canonicalize to different results under the existing algorithms. Since namespace declarations can appear on any element, the need for their preservation can at times be a very significant implementation burden.
- 3. Canonical XML contains a (pragmatically minor) security hole having to do with how it processes certain esoteric node-sets. Consider a node set which consists of just a single attribute node, one that explicitly references a namespace by use of a namespace prefix. While it is true in Canonical XML that an element node that is not in the node-set still has its namespace axis processed, the rule in Canonical XML (see §2.3) for processing that namespace axis states that only "namespace nodes in the axis and in the node-set" (emphasis added) are in fact processed. Thus, the canonical representation of our single-attributenode node-set consists of the processing of only the attribute node itself; no namespace attributes are included. Thus, two such single-attribute node-sets whose attributes are character-wise identical but use completely different namespaces as the binding of their prefix will canonicalize to the same result, and that presents a security hole, particularly in applications to digital signatures. Analogous security holes exist with similar node-sets. Whether the same security hole exists in Exclusive XML Canonicalization is likely the case but is not entirely clear.

4. The goal of the existing canonicalization algorithms is to canonicalize an XML subdocument with respect to the liberties of its physical representation permitted within *only* the XML 1.0 Recommendation and the Namespaces in XML Recommendation.

The XML Schema Recommendation permits a considerable number of additional liberties of representation, including (but not limited to) the following:

- a. the optional presence of both comments and processing instructions at completely arbitrary points in the input XML
- normalization of whitespace in certain element content (in a like manner as but in addition to the normalization of whitespace within attributes mandated by XML 1.0)
- the permitted presence of whitespace with no semantic impact imparted by the presence thereof in the content of elements of complex type which have a {content type} of element-only (that is, between end-tags and start-tags of elements which are children of such elements)
- d. the specification in a schema of the default value of attributes, which consequently permits without semantic impact their omission in a corresponding XML instance
- e. the specification in a schema of the default value of the content of elements, which operates in a manner similar to that of the specification of the default value of attributes
- f. the inclusion of xsi:schemaLocation and xsi:noNamespaceSchemaLocation attributes as useful hints to the XML Schema processing system but which are not of semantic significance to XML Schema instance itself
- g. within the content of an element of complex type which has a {content type} of element-only, the semantic insensitivity to the order within a sequence of elements that validates against a model group whose compositor is all. (In contrast, when such occurs within a {content type} of mixed, and so there may be non-whitespace interspersed between these elements, the elements may not reasonably be reordered, as their relationship to such characters may have semantic significance to applications.)

204	h. variability in the lexical representation of the data	
205	types built-in to XML Schema and extensions or	
206	restrictions thereof, including	
207	i. the permitted use of any of {true, false, 0, 1} for	
208	data of type boolean	
209	ii. the optional use of leading "+" signs in positive	
210	values, and the optional use of leading and	
211	trailing zeros in data of type decimal and	
212	restrictions thereof, including integer, long, int,	
213	nonNegativeInteger, and so on (as well as, of	
214	course, user-defined extensions and	
215	restrictions)	
216	iii.for data of type float and double, the use of upper	
217	or lower case "e" in scientific notation, the use	
218	of leading zeros in the exponent thereof, the	
219	use of leading "+" signs on positive values, the	
220	use of trailing zeros in the mantissa, and the	
221	unnecessary use of leading zeros in the	
222	mantissa.	
223	iv the permitted use of various time zones to	
224	represent the same time value in data of type	
225	dateTime and time, as well as two	
226	representations for midnight for such data	
227	v. the permitted use of both upper and lower case in	
228	data of type hexBinary	
229	vi.in data of type base64Binary, the permitted use	
230	(per the clarification in the errata to XML	
231	Schema of the lexical forms of base64Binary	
232	data) of whitespace characters	
233	It should be noted that for these six data types, XML	
234	Schema Datatypes does in fact normatively define a	
235	corresponding canonical lexical representation. For	
236	example, the canonical lexical representation of	
237	boolean permits only the use of values in the set	
238	{true, false}. However, XML Schema makes use of	
239	this canonicalization only in certain circumstances,	
240	such as the interpretation of default values of	
241	attributes and elements.	
242	There are further data type canonicalization issues	
243	which appear to have been overlooked by XML	
244	Schema Datatypes:	
245	vii. (minor) It is not precisely clear from the XML	
246	Schema Datatypes specification whether	

leading zeros are permitted in instances of gYearMonth and gYear when (the absolute value of) the year in question is outside the range of 0001 to 9999. However, in the otherwise analogous passage of the specification of dateTime, such ambiguity is not present (such leading zeros are prohibited), and a reasonable interpretation in these other two cases is to straightforwardly follow that precedent.

viii. the use of mixed case language-tags in data of type language; this is permitted per section "2. The language tag" of RFC 1766, which is (ultimately) the referenced normative specification for the value space of language. (Note: this same value space is used by the xml:lang attribute as defined by the XML 1.0 Recommendation; thus, the omission of the canonicalization of the case of xml:lang attributes should reasonably be considered a flaw in even the existing canonicalization algorithms.)

ix. More generally, it is often the case in real-world schemas that various string-valued attributes and elements defined therein are interpreted at the application level as being case-insensitive. This should be capable of being captured by the canonicalization algorithm; were it not, then applications may be forced to remember the exact case used for certain data, a requirement in tension with the application semantic, and quite possibly thus a significant implementation burden.

1.2 Canonicalization Algorithms & Web Services Applications

That these limitations are indeed considerably problematic can be more readily appreciated by considering the implications to certain types of application. One increasingly common and important application of XML is that of so-called "web services". For our purposes here, web services can be thought of as networked applications where the payloads conveyed between network nodes are XML documents, often SOAP requests or responses, which in turn have XML subdocuments in their headers and body. It is observed to be the case that, almost universally, the

specification of what constitutes correct and appropriate XML in such circumstances is accomplished using XML Schema.

On the server side of web service applications, it is very often the case that the semantic information conveyed by a request needs to be decomposed, analyzed, and persistently stored, often making use of an underlying relational database to do so. To the extent that such a database is used for storage and indexing purposes, this database gets populated from data received in the body of XML "update" requests. Such population is carried out by "shredding" the semantic information of the XML into a corresponding representation in relational form, losing thereafter the history of that information as having originated in an XML form. Conversely, XML "get" requests are serviced by performing relational operations against the database, then forming an appropriate XML response based on the retrieved data and the schema to which the response must conform.

Certain web service applications will wish to support the use of digital signatures on content which is manipulated by the application. In order to reasonably support such usage, and, in particular, in order to continue to reasonably allow for the shredding of data into an underlying relational store, the signatures in question need to be canonicalized with respect to the full range of liberties of representation afforded by XML Schema. In particular, the problems with the existing algorithms enumerated in the previous section cause especially difficult implementation conundrums in these situations.

The Schema Centric Canonicalization Algorithm is intended to address these concerns.

2. Overview of Schema Centric Canonicalization

The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm is intended to be complementary in a hand-in-glove manner to the processing of XML documents as carried out by the assessment of schema validity by XML Schema, canonicalizing its input XML instance with respect to *all* those representational liberties which are permitted thereunder. Moreover, the specification of Schema Centric Canonicalization heavily exploits the details and specification of the XML Schema validity-assessment algorithm itself.

In XML Schema, the analysis of an XML instance document requires that the document be modeled at the abstract level of an information set as defined in the XML Information Set

332	recommendation. Briefly, an XML document's information set
333	consists of a number of <i>information items</i> connected in a graph.
334	An information item is an abstract description of some part of an
335	XML document: each information item has a set of associated
336	named <i>properties</i> . By tradition, infoset property names are
337	denoted in square brackets, [thus]. There are eleven different
338	types of information items:
339	1. element information items,
340	attribute information items,
341	comment information items,
342	namespace information items,
343	character information items,
344	document information items,
345	processing instruction information items,
346	8. unexpanded entity reference information items,
347	9. document type declaration information items,
348	10. unparsed entity information items, and
349	11. notation information items.
350	Properties on each of these items, for example the [children]
351	property of element information items, connect together items of
352	different types in an intuitive and straightforward way.
353	The representation of an XML document as an infoset lies in
354	contrast to its representation as a <i>node-set</i> as defined in XPath.
355	The two notions are conceptually quite similar, but they are not
356	isomorphic. For a given node-set it is possible to construct a
357	semantically equivalent infoset without loss of information;
358	however, the converse is not generally possible. It is the infoset
359	abstraction which is the foundation of XML Schema, and it is
360	therefore the infoset abstraction we use here as the foundation on
361	which to construct Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm.
362	The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm consists of a
363	series of steps: creation of the input as an infoset, character mode
364	normalization, processing by XML-Schema assessment, additiona
365	infoset transformation, and serialization.
366	2.1 Algorithm Input
367	As was mentioned, the algorithm requires that the data it is to
368	process be manifest as an infoset. If such is not provided directly
369	as input, the data provided must be converted thereto. Two
370	mechanisms for carrying out this conversion are defined:
510	modification of carrying out this conversion are defined.

- If an octet stream is provided, then it is to be converted into an infoset according to the definition in [XML-Infoset] of the information set which results from the parsing of an XML document represented as an octet stream.
- If an XPath node-set is provided, then it is to be converted into an infoset according to the rules defined below in this specification.

In addition to the data itself, the canonicalization process requires the availability of appropriate XML Schemas and an indication of the relevant components thereof to which the data purports to conform. In order to be able to successfully execute the canonicalization algorithm, all the data must be valid with respect to these components; data which is not valid cannot be canonicalized.

2.2 Character Model Normalization

The Unicode Standard allows diverse representations of certain "precomposed characters" (a simple example is "ç"). Thus two XML documents with content that is equivalent for the purposes of most applications may contain differing character sequences. However, a normalized form of such representations is also defined by the Unicode Standard.

Schema Centric Canonicalization requires that both its input infoset and all the schema components processed by the XML Schema-Assessment process be transformed as necessary so that all string-valued properties and all sequences of character information items therein be normalized into the Unicode Normalization Form C.

2.3 Processing by XML Schema-Assessment

The third step of the Schema Centric Canonicalization requires that the input infoset be transformed into the so-called "post-schema-validation infoset" (the "PSVI") in the manner defined by the XML Schema Structures recommendation, amended as set forth below. In XML Schema, as the schema assessment process is carried out, the input infoset is augmented by the addition of new properties which record in the information items various pieces of knowledge which the assessment process has been able to infer. For example, attribute information items are augmented with a [schema normalized value] property which contains the result of, among other things, the application of the appropriate schema-specified default-value to the attribute information item

412 (the full list of such augmentation is tabulated in the appendix to 413 XML Schema Structures).

2.4 Additional Infoset Transformation

The PSVI output from XML Schema is next further transformed into what we define here as the "schema-canonicalized infoset" by rules of this specification that are designed to address a few remaining canonicalization issues:

- 1. the existence of information items in the info set which are completely ignored by the schema assessment process.
- 2. the existence of the semantically important use of XML namespace prefixes in various embedded languages which are contained strings of the input. For example, an attribute might in fact represent an XPath expression which may internally refer to contextual namespace prefixes. This issue is discussed at some length in Canonical XML. In that specification a decision was made to not canonicalize with respect to namespace prefixes due to the existence of such embedded languages, leaving the output of the algorithm sensitive to the particular prefixes used in the input. Here we choose otherwise, and provide a means by which the algorithm is desensitized to the use of namespace prefixes in embedded languages.
- 3. the namespaces which, in fact, are used in the output need to be canonicalized with respect to the namespace prefix declaration used for a given such namespace. The overall result is that the output of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm is in no way sensitive to the particular choice of namespace prefixes in its inputs.
- 4. the previously-mentioned permitted variability in the representation of simple data types in XML Schema

2.5 Serialization of the Schema-Canonicalized Infoset

Finally, the schema-canonicalized infoset is serialized into an XML text representation in a canonical manner, and this serialization forms the output of the algorithm.

The output of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm whose input is the infoset of an entire XML document is well-formed XML. However, if some items in the infoset are logically omitted (that is, their [omitted] property is true), then the output may or may not be well-formed XML, depending on exactly which items are omitted (consider, for example, omitting some element

information items but retaining their attributes). However, since the canonical form may be subject to further XML processing, most infosets provided for canonicalization will be designed to produce a canonical form that is a well-formed XML document or external general parsed entity. Note that the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm shares these issues of well-formedness of output with the existing canonicalization algorithms.

In such cases where the output of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm is well-formed, then the canonicalization process is idempotent: if x is the input infoset, and C represents the application of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, then C(x) is identical to C(C(x)). Moreover, in such cases C(x) is valid with respect to the same schema component(s) as is x (modulo the character sequence length issue noted in the next section).

2.6 Limitations

The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm suffers from some of the limitations of Canonical XML. Specifically, as in Canonical XML, the [base URI] of the infoset has no representation in the canonicalized representation, the consequences of which are as in Canonical XML. However, unlike Canonical XML, Schema Centric Canonicalization does not suffer from the loss of notations and external unparsed entity references (these are canonicalized and preserved) nor from the loss of the typing of data (since, in XML Schema, the association of a schema with an XML instance is outside the scope of the specification and therefore is (trivially) preserved by the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm).

As in Exclusive XML Canonicalization, the XML being canonicalized may semantically depend on the effect of xml namespace attributes, such as xml:lang and xml:space. As was the case in Exclusive XML Canonicalization, to avoid problems due to the importation of such attributes from information items which are omitted from the canonicalized output, either they must be explicitly given in the apex nodes of the XML information items being canonicalized or they must always be declared with an equivalent value in every context in which the XML information items will be interpreted.

Schema Centric Canonicalization REQUIRES the identification and availability of a relevant schema for the information items which are to be canonicalized. Therefore, information items which lack such schema cannot be canonicalized with this algorithm.

Schema Centric Canonicalization suffers (but arguably in a minor way) from the fact that XML schema-assessment is not strictly speaking deterministic: when an element or attribute information item is validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is lax, the exact schema-assessment processing of the item which takes place depends on whether "the item, or any items among its [children] if it's an element information item, has a uniquely determined declaration available", where the term "available" here provides a degree of discretion to the validating application and thus a degree of non-determinism to the schemaassessment process. Because Schema Centric Canonicalization makes integral use of the information garnered during schemaassessment, if an item has been skipped due to a wildcard with a {process contents} of lax or skip, the output of the algorithm for that item must necessarily be different than if the item has not been skipped. Thus, the non-determinism caused by lax results directly in non-determinism of the output of the algorithm. In order to reduce the actual occurrence of this non-determinism, to the extent that it does not conflict with other design requirements, it is RECOMMENDED that schemas intended for use with canonicalization avoid the use of a {process contents} of lax in their definitions.

494

495 496

497 498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509 510

511

512

513

514515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525526

527

528 529

530

531

532

533

In the canonicalized form, the lengths of certain sequences of character information items may differ from that which was input to the algorithm, due to both processing by Unicode character model normalization and to namespace attribute normalization (the latter only occurs for expressions written in embedded languages such as XPath). This length adjustment can in certain circumstances affect the validity of the altered data, and can affect the ability to reference into the data with XPointer character-points and ranges.

3. Specification of Schema Centric Canonicalization

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119.

The specification of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm defines a few items residing in an XML namespace known as the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace. The URI of this namespace is:

534 urn:uddiorg:schemaCentricC14N:2002-07-10 535 A (non-normative) XML Schema .xsd file containing definitions of 536 the members of this namespace defined by this specification can 537 be found at: 538 http://www.uddi.org/schema/SchemaCe 539 540 ntricCanonicalization.xsd 541 It should be clearly understood that all the details of the present document are a matter solely of the specification of the behavior of 542 the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, not its 543 implementation. Implementations are (of course) free to pursue 544 any course of implementation they choose so long as in all cases 545 the output they yield for a given input corresponds exactly to that 546 as is indicated herein. At times the details and language in this 547 548 specification may have been optimized to attempt to make the presentation and specification more clear and straightforward 549 perhaps at the performance expense of an implementation that 550 were to robotically follow the literal wording thereof. In this regard, 551 attention is specifically drawn to the connection of the this 552 specification with the details of the specification of the XML 553 554 Schema validity-assessment, the PSVI augmentation process, and 555 the augmentation of the PSVI found in §3.3: depending on the existing software artifacts and other resources upon which they 556 can rely, good implementations are likely to significantly optimize 557 their treatment of these matters especially. 558 3.1 Creation of Input as an Infoset 559 The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm manipulates the 560 semantic information of an XML instance as represented in the 561 form of an XML Information Set. As such, if the input to the 562 algorithm is not already in this form then it must be converted 563 thereto in order for the algorithm to proceed. This document 564 normatively specifies the manner in which this conversion is to be 565 carried out for two such alternative input data-types (other 566 specifications are free to define additional, analogous 567 conversions). These two data-types are exactly those defined by 568 the XML Signature Syntax and Processing recommendation as 569 570 being the architected data-types for input to a Transform. As is noted in the XML Information Set recommendation, it is not 571 intrinsically the case that the [in-scope namespaces] property of an 572 573 element information item in an infoset will be consistent with the

[namespace attributes] properties of the item and its ancestors,

though this is always true for an information set resulting from

574

parsing an XML document. However, it is REQUIRED that this 576 consistency relationship hold for the infoset input to the Schema 577 Centric Canonicalization algorithm. 578 579 3.1.1 Conversion of an Octet Stream to an Infoset 580 If the input to the canonicalization algorithm is an octet stream, 581 then it is to be converted into an infoset by parsing the octet 582 stream as an XML document in the manner described in the 583 specification of [XML-Infoset]. 584 Note that this is exactly the same conversion process that must be 585 carried out by software attempting to assess the schema validity of 586 XML data according to the XML Schema Structure 587 recommendation. 588 3.1.2 Conversion of a Node-set to an Infoset 589 The conversion of a node-set to an infoset is straightforward, if 590 somewhat more lengthy to describe. 591 592 A node-set is defined by the XPath recommendation as "an unordered collection of nodes without duplicates." In this context, 593 the term "node" refers to the definition provided in the data model 594 section of the recommendation. In that section, it is noted that 595 XPath operates on an XML document as a tree, and that there are 596 seven types of node that may appear in such trees: 597 1. root nodes 598 599 2. element nodes 3. attribute nodes 600 4. text nodes 601 602 5. namespace nodes 603 6. processing instruction nodes 604 7. comment nodes The nodes in a given node-set must (by construction; that is, rules 605 that would allow otherwise are lacking in XPath) all be nodes from 606 607 the same underlying tree instance. If N is a node-set, then let T(N)608 be this tree, and let r(T(N)) be the root node of that tree. The 609 conversion process to an infoset first converts T(N) into an equivalent infoset I(T(N)), then decorates that infoset to denote 610 611 which information items therein correspond to nodes originally 612 found in N. 613 Conversion of an XPath node-tree to an infoset is defined 614 recursively in terms of the conversion of individual nodes to

615	corresponding information items. Let <i>n</i> be an arbitrary XPath node,		
616	and let $\{n\}$ be a node-set containing just the node n . Let i be the		
617	function taking a node as input and returning an ordered list of		
618	nodes as output which is defined as follows:		
619	1. If <i>n</i> is a root node, then <i>i</i> (<i>n</i>) is a single document		
620	information item, where:		
621	 a. the [children] property is the ordered list resulting 		
622	from the concatenation of the lists of information		
623	items		
624	$i(c_i)$		
625	, where c_i ranges over the children of n in document		
626	order, excepting that those children of <i>n</i> (if any)		
627	contained within the DTD (if one exists; entity		
628	declarations, for example, may still usefully be found		
629	therein even if XML Schema is used for validation)		
630	are excluded.		
631	b. the [document element] property is either		
632	i.that member of [children] which results from the		
633	conversion of the single child of <i>n</i> which is an		
634	element node, if such is present, or		
635	ii. no value, if such is not present.		
636	c. the [notations] property has no value.		
637	d. the [unparsed entities] property has no value.		
638	e. the [base URI] property is unknown.		
639	f. the [character encoding scheme] property is		
640	unknown.		
641	g. the [standalone] property has no value.		
642	h. the [version] property has no value.		
643	i. the [all declarations processed] property is false.		
644	2. If <i>n</i> is an element node, then <i>i</i> (<i>n</i>) is a single element		
645	information item, where:		
646	a. the [namespace name] property is the result of the		
647	function invocation namespace-uri({n})		
648	b. the [local name] property is the result of the function		
649	invocation local-name({n})		
650	c. the [prefix] property is either		
651	i. the prefix of the QName which is the result of the		
652	function invocation <i>name({n})</i> , if such result is		
653	not the empty string, or		
654	ii. no value otherwise.		

655	d.	the [children] property is the ordered list resulting
656		from the concatenation of the lists of information
657		items
658		$i(c_i)$
659		, where c_i ranges over the children of n in document
660		order
661	e.	the [attributes] property is the unordered set whose
662		members are the collective members of the lists of
663		information items
664		$i(a_i)$
665		, where a_j ranges over those attribute nodes in $T(n)$
666		whose parent is n (note that such attribute nodes are
667		not children of n).
668	f.	the [in-scope namespaces] property is the
669		unordered set whose members are the collective
670		members of the lists of information items
671		$i(n_k)$
672		(which are by construction namespace information
673		items), where n_k ranges over the set of namespace
674		nodes in $T(\{n\})$ whose parent is n (note such
675		namespace nodes are not <i>children</i> of n).
676	g.	the [namespace attributes] property is an
677		unordered set of attribute information items
678		computed as follows. Let <i>Nn</i> be the set of
679		namespace information items in the [in-scope
680		namespaces] property of $i(n)$, and let Np be the set of
681		namespace information items in the [in-scope
682		namespaces] property of <i>i(m)</i> , where <i>m</i> is the
683		[parent] of <i>n</i> . For each namespace information item s
684 685		in Nn - Np (so, each namespace information item newly introduced on $i(n)$), the [namespace attributes]
686		property contains an attribute information item whose
687		properties are as follows:
688		i.the [namespace name] property is (per XML
689		Infoset) "http://www.w3.org/2000/xmlns/"
690		ii.the [local name] property is the value of the
691		[prefix] property of s.
0/1		[pronk] property or 3.

692	iii.the [prefix] property is "xmlns"
693	iv.the [normalized value] property is the value of the
694	[namespace name] property of s.
695	v.the remaining properties are as set forth in the
696	attribute node case below.
090	attribute node case below.
697	Conversely, consider each namespace node s in Np
698	- <i>Nn</i> (so, each namespace information item present
699	on the parent but removed on n). The specification of
700	XML Namespaces is such that there can be at most
700	one such s, and that it represent a declaration of the
702	default namespace, which is then undeclared by
703	element <i>i(n)</i> . If such an s exists, then the
704	[namespace attributes] property of <i>i(n)</i> additionally
705	contains an attribute information item whose
706	properties are as follows:
707	vi the formance namel manager is
707	vi. the [namespace name] property is
708	"http://www.w3.org/2000/xmlns/"
709	vii. the [local name] property is the empty string
710	viii.the [prefix] property is "xmlns"
711	ix.the [normalized value] property is the empty
712	string
713	x. the remaining properties are as set forth in the
714	attribute node case below.
715	 h. the [base URI] property is unknown.
716	 i. the [parent] property is the document or element
717	information item in the infoset rooted at $i(r(T(\{n\})))$
718	which contains this information item in its [children]
719	property.
720	3. If <i>n</i> is an attribute node, then <i>i</i> (<i>n</i>) is a single attribute
721	information item, where:
722	a. the [namespace name] property is the result of the
723	function invocation namespace-uri({n})
724	b. the [local name] property is the result of the function
725	invocation local-name({n})
726	c. the [prefix] property is either
727	i. the prefix of the QName which is the result of the
728	function invocation $name(\{n\})$, if such result is
729	not the empty string, or
730	ii. no value otherwise.
730	d. the [normalized value] property is the result of the
731	
	function invocation string({n})
733	e. the [specified] property is unknown.
734	f. the [attribute type] property is unknown.
735	g. the [references] property is unknown.

736
736 737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
751 752 753
753
754
754 755
755
750
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769 770
770
772
771 772 773 774 775 776 777
771
775
113
//6
777
110
779 780

- h. the [owner element] property is the element information item in the infoset rooted at i(r(T({n}))) which contains this information item in its [attributes] property, if any such element exists, or no value otherwise.
- If n is a text node, then i(n) is an ordered list of character information items, one character information item c_j corresponding to each character in the result of the function invocation string({n}), where
 - a. the **[character code]** property of c_j is the ISO 10646 character code of the corresponding *jth* character in the result of the function invocation string(n).
 - b. the **[element content whitespace]** property of c_j is i. unknown if the character is whitespace, and ii. false otherwise.
 - c. the **[parent]** property is the element information item in the infoset rooted at $i(r(T(\{n\})))$ which contains this information item in its [children] property.
- If n is a namespace node, then i(n) is a single namespace information item, where
 - a. the [prefix] property is the result of the function invocation local-name({n}), unless that returns an empty string, in which case the [prefix] property is no value. This perhaps unexpected formulation arises from the fact that in XPath, "a namespace node has an expanded-name: the local part is the namespace prefix (this is empty if the namespace node is for the default namespace); the namespace URI is always null."
 - b. the **[namespace name]** property is the result of the function invocation *string({n})*.
- 6. If *n* is a processing instruction node, then *i*(*n*) is a single *processing instruction information item*, where
 - a. the **[target]** property is the result of the function invocation *local-name({n})*.
 - b. the **[content]** property is the result of the function invocation *string({n})*.
 - c. the [base URI] property is unknown.
 - d. the [notation] property is unknown.
 - e. the **[parent]** property is the document, element, or document type definition information item in the infoset rooted at *i*(*r*(*T*(*{n}*))) which contains this information item in its [children] property
- 7. If *n* is a comment node, then *i*(*n*) is a single *comment information item*, where

781 a. the [content] property is the result of the function 782 invocation string({n}). 783 b. the [parent] property is the document or element 784 information item in the infoset rooted at $i(r(T(\{n\})))$ 785 which contains this information item in its [children] 786 property. 787 Having defined the function i, we now return to completing the 788 specification of the details of the node-set to infoset conversion 789 process. 790 Let N be a node-set, and consider the document information item 791 returned by the function invocation i(r(T(N))). Define the infoset 792 I(T(N)) to be that set of information items which are transitively 793 reachable from i(r(T(N))) through any of the properties defined on 794 any of the information items therein. This infoset represents the 795 conversion of the node tree T(N) into a corresponding infoset. 796 Recall that the node-set N is in fact a subset of T(N). This 797 relationship therefore needs to be represented in I(T(N)). To that 798 end, we here define a new boolean infoset property called [omitted]. Unless otherwise indicated by some specification, the 799 800 value of the [omitted] property of any information item is always to be taken to be 'false'. The present specification, however, defines 801 that for all information items in I(T(N)) the value of [omitted] is 'true' 802 except those items which, for some n in N, are members of the list 803 returned from i(n). 804 This completes the specification of the node-set to infoset 805 conversion process. 806 3.2 Character Model Normalization 807 The Unicode Standard allows diverse representations of certain 808 "precomposed characters" (a simple example is "ç"). Thus two 809 XML documents with content that is equivalent for the purposes of 810 most applications may contain differing character sequences. 811 However, a normalized form of such representations is also 812 defined by the Unicode Standard. 813 It is REQUIRED in Schema Centric Canonicalization that both the 814 input infoset provided thereto and all the schema components to 815 processed by the XML Schema-Assessment process used therein 816 be transformed as necessary so that all string-valued properties 817

and all sequences of character information items therein be

the algorithm defined by the Unicode Standard.

normalized into the Unicode Normalization Form C as specified by

818

819

As a (non-normative) note of implementation, in the case where the to-be-canonicalized XML instance and the XML schema specifications thereof are input to the canonicalization process as physical files, this normalization can usually be most straightforwardly accomplished simply by normalizing the characters of these files first before commencing with the remainder of the canonicalization process.

3.3 Processing by XML Schema Assessment

Once the input infoset is normalized with respect to its character model, the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm carries out schema assessment by appealing to the third approach listed in §5.2 Assessing Schema-Validity of the XML Schema recommendation and attempting to carry out strict assessment of the element information item which is the value of the [document element] property of the document information item of the infoset.

In XML Schema, as the schema assessment process is carried out, the infoset input to that process is augmented by the addition of new properties which record in the information items various pieces of knowledge which the assessment process has been able to discern. For example, attribute information items are augmented with a [schema normalized value] property which contains the result of, among other things, the application of the appropriate schema-specified default-value to the attribute information item.

The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm makes use of this augmentation. Specifically, suppose *I* is the character-normalized version of the infoset which is input to the algorithm, possibly after conversion from another data-type. Then the next step of the algorithm forms the so-called "post-schema-validation infoset" (the "PSVI", or more precisely, *PSVI(I)*) in exactly the manner prescribed as a consequence of the assessment process defined in the XML Schema Structures specification as amended in the manner set forth below. If *PSVI(I)* cannot be so formed, due to, for example, a failure of validation, then the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm terminates with a fatal error.

In XML Schema Structures, the augmentation process of schema assessment fails to record a small number of pieces of information which it has learned and which we find crucially necessary to have knowledge of here. Accordingly, the PSVI generation process referred to by this specification is exactly that of the XML Schema Structures recommendation as amended as follows:

3.8.5 Model Group Information Set Contributions

If the schema-validity of an element information item has been assessed as per Element Sequence Valid (§3.8.4) by a model group whose {compositor} is *all*, then in the post-schema-validation infoset it has the following property:

PSVI Contributions for element information items

[validating model
group all]

An -item isomorphic- to the model group component involved in such assessment.

3.4 Additional Infoset Transformation

The fourth step of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm further augments and transforms the PSVI to produce the "schema-canonicalized infoset". This involves a pruning step, a namespace prefix desensitization step, a namespace attribute normalization step, and a data-type canonicalization step.

3.4.1 Pruning

Some information items in the PSVI in fact do not actively participate in the schema assessment process of XML Schema. They are either ignored completely by that process, or used in an administrative capacity which is not central to the outcome. Thus, these items need to be pruned from the PSVI in order that they not affect the output of canonicalization. Similarly, declarations of notations and unparsed entities which are not actually referenced in the canonicalized representation should also be removed.

To this end, the [omitted] property is set to 'true' for any information item *info* in the PSVI for which at least one of the following is true:

 info is a (necessarily whitespace) character information item which is a member of the [children] of an element information item whose [type definition] is a complex type

901	schema component whose {content type} property is
902	element-only
903	2. info is an attribute information item whose [namespace
904	name] is identical to "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-
905	instance" and whose [local name] is one of
906	"schemaLocation" or "noNamespaceSchemaLocation"
907	3. info is a notation information item for which there does not
908	exist an attribute or element information item in the infoset
909	whose [omitted] property is false, whose [member type
910	definition] (if present) or [type definition] (otherwise)
911	property is either
912	a. a NOTATION simple type (or restriction or extension
913	thereof)
914	b. a list of same
915	and whose [schema normalized value] is identical (in the
916	former case) or contains a list item which is identical (in the
917	later case) to the [name] of the notation information item
918	4. info is an unparsed entity information item for which there
919	does not exist an attribute or element information item in the
920	infoset whose [omitted] property is false, whose [member
921	type definition] (if present) or [type definition] (otherwise)
922	property is either
923	a. an ENTITY simple type (or restriction or extension
924	thereof)
925	b. a list of same
926	and whose [schema normalized value] is identical (in the
927	former case) or contains a list item which is identical (in the
928	later case) to the [name] of the unparsed entity information
929	item
930	3.4.2 Namespace Prefix Desensitization
931	The goal of namespace prefix desensitization is to first identify
932	those information items in the infoset which make use of
933	namespace prefixes outside of XML start and end tags (that is,
934	information of type QName and derivations and lists thereof as
935	well as information representing an expression written in some
936	embedded language which makes use of the XML Namespaces
937	specification in a embedded-language-specific manner), and next
938	to annotate the infoset in order to indicate exactly where and in
939	what manner uses of particular XML namespace prefixes in fact
940	occur. That is, desensitization is a two-step process: a data
941	location step, followed by an annotation step.
771	readion step, renewed by an annotation step.

Note that the notion of embedded language used here includes not only languages (such as XPath) which are represented in XML as the content of certain strings but also those (such as XML Query) which make use of *structured* element content. In all cases, however, in order to be namespace-desensitizeable it is REQUIRED that all references to XML namespace prefixes do in fact ultimately lie in information identified as being of a simple type (usually strings). It is, however, permitted that these prefixes may be found in simple types which are attributes and / or the content of elements perhaps deep in the sub-structure of the element rooting the occurrence of the embedded language.

Moreover, in order to be namespace-desensitizeable, it is REQUIRED that the semantics of each embedded language not be sensitive to the specific namespace prefixes used, or the character-count length thereof: one MUST be permitted to (consistently) rewrite any or all of the prefixes used in an occurrence of a language with arbitrary other (appropriately declared) prefixes, possibly of different length, without affecting the semantic meaning in question.

Each particular embedded language for which namespace desensitization is to be done MUST be identified by a name assigned to it by an appropriate authority. It is REQUIRED that this name be of data-type anyURI. This specification assigns the following URIs as names of particular embedded languages:

URI

http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/RECxpath-19991116

http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502

Embedded Language

the embedded language which consists of sequences of characters which conform to the any of the grammatical productions of the XPath 1.0 specification an embedded language which consists of sequences of characters which are of type QName or derivations and/or lists (and their derivations) thereof

The data location step of desensitization makes use of canonicalization-specific annotations to XML Schema components. It is the case in XML Schema that the XML representation of all schema components allows the presence of attributes qualified with namespace names other than the XML Schema namespace itself; this is manifest in the schema-for-schemas as the presence of an

<xs:anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>

definition in the schema for each of the various schema components. As is specified in XML Schema Structures, such attributes are represented in the infoset representation of the schema inside the {attributes} property of an Annotation schema component which in turn is the value of the {annotation} property of the annotated schema component in question (i.e.: the Annotation is the {annotation} of the Attribute Declaration, the Element Declaration, or whatever). Within the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace, we define a couple of attributes intended for use as such annotations to schema components:

- 1. The **embeddedLang** attribute, which is of type anyURI, is defined in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace. When used as an attribute annotation to a schema component, an embeddedLang attribute indicates that an information item which validates against the schema component in question in fact contains information written in a certain, fixed embedded language whose name is indicated in the value of the embeddedLang attribute. The embeddedLang attribute may also be used within a schema instance (but only, of course, where such attributes are permitted by the corresponding schema); this is in loose analogy to how the xsi:type attribute is used. In such situations, the [owner element] of the embeddedLang attribute in fact contains information written in a certain, fixed embedded language whose name is indicated in the value of the embeddedLang attribute. The use of an embeddedLang attribute in a schema instance supercedes any identification of embedded language that may be provided by its schema.
- 2. The embeddedLangAttribute attribute, which is of type QName, is defined in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace. When used as an attribute annotation to a schema component, an embeddedLangAttribute attribute indicates that an information item which validates against the schema component in question in fact contains information written in an embedded language whose name is dynamically indicated in the information item (necessarily an element information item) as the value of a certain attribute thereof, namely the attribute whose qualified name is indicated in the value of the embeddedLangAttribute attribute.

In order to specify how these attributes are used, we define an auxiliary function in order to model the inheritance of annotations in schemas from types to elements and attributes and from base types to derived types. Let i be an information item, a be a string (representing the name of an attribute), and ns be either a URI (representing the name of an XML namespace) or the value absent. Define the function getAnnot(i, a, ns) as follows: 1. If *i* is an element information item, then a. If the [element declaration] property of *i* contains in its {annotation} property an Annotation schema

- a. If the [element declaration] property of *i* contains in its {annotation} property an Annotation schema component which contains in its {attributes} property an attribute information item whose {name} is *a* and whose {target namespace} is *ns* (that is, if the [element declaration] property of *i* "has an (a,ns) annotation attribute"), then *getAnnot(i, a, ns)* is the value of that attribute
- b. Otherwise, let *t* be the [member type definition] property of *i* (if it exists) or the [type definition] property of *i* (otherwise). Then *getAnnot(i, a, ns)* is *getAnnot(t, a, ns)*.
- 2. If *i* is an attribute information item, then
 - a. If the [attribute declaration] property of *i* has an (a,ns) annotation attribute, then *getAnnot(i, a, ns)* is the value of that attribute.
 - b. Otherwise, let *t* be the [member type definition] property of *i* (if it exists) or the [type definition] property of *i* (otherwise). Then *getAnnot(i, a, ns)* is *getAnnot(t, a, ns)*.
- 3. If *i* is an information item which is item isomorphic to a complex type definition schema component, then,
 - a. If *i* has an (a,ns) annotation attribute, then getAnnot(i, a, ns) is the value of that attribute
 - b. If the {base type definition} property t of i is not the ur-type definition, then getAnnot(i, a, ns) is getAnnot(t, a, ns)
 - c. Otherwise, *getAnnot(i, a, ns)* is absent.
- 4. If *i* is an information item which is item isomorphic to a simple type definition schema component, then,
 - a. If *i* has an (a,ns) annotation attribute, then getAnnot(*i*, a, ns) is the value of that attribute.
 - b. If the {variety} property of *i* is *atomic*, and if the {base type definition} property *t* of *i* is not the ur-type definition, then *getAnnot(i, a, ns)* is *getAnnot(t, a, ns)*

1060	c. If the {variety} property of <i>i</i> is <i>list</i> , then <i>getAnnot(i, a,</i>
1061	ns) is getAnnot(t, a, ns), where t is the {item type
1062	definition} property of <i>i</i> .
1063	d. Otherwise, getAnnot(i, a, ns) is absent.
1064	5. Otherwise, <i>getAnnot(i, a, ns)</i> is absent.
1065	The data location step of desensitization is carried out as follows.
1066	Let sccns be the Schema Centric Canonicalization namespace.
1067	Consider in turn each attribute and element information item x in
1068	the pruned PSVI:
1069	1. If x is an element information item, and if the [attributes] of x
1070	contain an attribute a whose [namespace name] is sccns
1071	and whose [local name] is "embeddedLang", then x is
1072	identified as being associated with the embedded language
1073	which is the value of the [schema normalized value] of a (if
1074	present) or the [normalized value] of a (otherwise).
1075	2. Otherwise, if x is an element information item, and if
1076	<pre>getAnnot(x, "embeddedLangAttribute", sccns) is not absent,</pre>
1077	then x is identified as being associated with the embedded
1078	language which is the [schema normalized value] (if
1079	present) or the [normalized value] (otherwise) of the
1080	member of the [attributes] of x whose name is the value of
1081	getAnnot(x, "embeddedLangAttribute", sccns); if no such
1082	member of [attributes] exists, a fatal error occurs.
1083	3. Otherwise, if getAnnot(x, "embeddedLang", sccns) is not
1084	absent, then x is identified as being associated with the
1085	embedded language which is is the value thereof;
1086	4. Otherwise, x is not associated with any embedded
1087	language by means of the embeddedLang or
1088	embeddedLangAttribute attributes, though such an
1089	association may be indicated by other means, such as by
1090	fiat in some specification.
1091	To that last point this specification REQUIRES that a schema
1092	component representing any of the following:
1093	1. the type of the element named "XPath" contained in
1094	elements of type dsig:TransformType (where the prefix
1095	"dsig" is bound to the XML Signature Syntax and
1096	Processing namespace:
1097	http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#), or
1098	2. the "xpath" attribute whose [owner element] is the element
1099	xsd:selector (where the prefix "xsd" is bound to the XML
1100	Schema namespace:
1101	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema), or
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

3. the "xpath" attribute whose [owner element] is the element 1102 xsd:field (where the prefix "xsd" is bound as before) 1103 is to be considered by definition as possessing an embeddedLang 1104 1105 attribute with value http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-1106 19991116 in the {attributes} property of its {annotation} property 1107 (that is, they are by definition annotated as being XPath 1.0 1108 expressions). 1109 Moreover, any attribute or element information item whose 1110 [member type definition] (if present) or [type definition] (otherwise) 1111 property is any of: 1112 1. QName or a derivation thereof 1113 2. a list of QName or a derivation thereof 1114 3. a derivation of a list of QName or a derivation thereof 1115 is identified as being associated with the embedded language 1116 whose name is http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-1117 20010502. Other specifications are encouraged to provide similar legacy-1118 1119 supporting definitions when appropriate: the price of not identifying 1120 an embedded language when one is actually in use is that the 1121 canonicalized output will (almost certainly) be non-operational due 1122 to dangling or erroneously-bound namespace prefixes. 1123 Following the data location step, the processing of the attribute 1124 and element information items identified as being associated with 1125 embedded languages is carried out by the annotation step of 1126 namespace prefix desensitization in what is necessarily an 1127 embedded-language-specific manner. Implementations of the 1128 Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm will need to 1129 understand the syntax and perhaps some semantics of each of the 1130 embedded languages whose uses they encounter as they carry 1131 out canonicalization. Should an embedded language which is not 1132 appropriately understood be encountered, the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm terminates with a fatal error. All 1133 1134 implementations of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm MUST in this sense fully understand the (XPath) embedded 1135 language identified as http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-1136 19991116 as well as the embedded language identified as 1137 http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502. 1138 In all cases the execution of the annotation step is manifest in the 1139 augmented PSVI in a uniform manner. Specifically, let x be an 1140

attribute or element information item which is identified by the

XML namespace prefixes in its [schema normalized value]

language-specific processing as containing one or more uses of

1141

1142

property *y*. If any of these uses of XML namespace prefixes in *y* is in a form other than a occurrence of a QName, then a fatal error occurs. Otherwise, *x* is additionally augmented by the language-specific processing with a **[prefix usage locations]** property which contains, corresponding to the sequence of all the QNames in *y*, an ordered sequence of one or more triples (offset, prefix, namespace URI) where

- 1. *offset* is the zero-based offset from the start of *y* of the first character of a QName
- 2. *prefix* is the string value of the prefix of that QName (not, to be clear, including any trailing colon), if any is present, or no value otherwise.
- namespace URI is the in-scope binding of the that XML namespace prefix (or the default XML namespace, if prefix is no value), or no value if no such binding exists (which necessarily must result from a use of the default XML namespace prefix in a context where no declaration for that prefix is in scope),

and these triples occur in increasing order by offset.

This concludes the specification of the namespace prefix desensitization step.

3.4.3 Namespace Attribute Normalization

The next step in the series of infoset transformations carried out by the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm is that of normalizing the actual XML namespace prefix declarations in use. The XML namespace recommendation allows namespaces to be multiply declared throughout an XML instance, possibly with several and different namespace prefixes used for the same namespace. In the canonical representation, we remove this flexibility, declaring each namespace just as needed, and using a deterministically constructed namespace prefix in such declaration. In this procedure, we borrow heavily from some of the similar work carried out in the Exclusive XML Canonicalization recommendation. We begin with some definitions.

ancestor information item

An *ancestor* information item *a* of an information item *i* in an infoset is any information item transitively reachable from *i* through traversal of the [parent] properties of element, processing instruction, unexpanded entity reference, character, comment, and document type declaration information items, and the [owner element] property of attribute information items. Notation, unparsed entity, and

1186 namespace information items have no ancestors, nor do attribute information items which appear in elements other 1187 than in their [attributes] properties. Note that the information 1188 1189 item i is not an ancestor of itself. 1190 self-ancestor 1191 A self-ancestor of an information item is either the 1192 information item itself or an ancestor thereof. 1193 output parent 1194 The output parent of an information item *i* in an infoset is 1195 (noting that the ancestor relationship is transitive) the nearest ancestor of i which is an element information item 1196 1197 whose [omitted] property is false, or no value if such an 1198 ancestor does not exist. visibly utilize 1199 An element information item e in an infoset is said to visibly 1200 utilize an XML namespace prefix p if any of the following is 1201 1202 1. the [prefix] property of e is identical to p (note that 1203 this includes the case where both are no value), 1204 2. e has a [prefix usage locations] property, and that 1205 property value contains some triple whose prefix 1206 member is identical to p 1207 3. there exists an attribute information item a in the 1208 infoset whose [owner element] property is e, whose 1209 [omitted] property is false, and either 1210 a. the [prefix] property of a is identical to p. 1211 b. a has a [prefix usage locations] property, and 1212 that property value contains some triple whose 1213 1214 prefix member is identical to p The execution of the namespace attribute normalization step adds 1215 [normalized namespace attributes] properties to certain element 1216 information items in the infoset. Let e be any element information 1217 item whose [omitted] property is false. Then the [normalized 1218 namespace attributes] property of e is that unordered set of 1219 attribute information items defined recursively as follows. 1220 1221 Let Ne be the set of all namespace information items n in the [in-1222 scope namespaces] property of e where n is visibly utilized by e. 1223 Let NAp be the set of attribute information items in the [normalized 1224 namespace attributes] property of any self-ancestor of p, where p 1225 is the output parent of e and if p is not no value, or the empty set if

no such output parent exists. Let *namespaces(Ne)* be the set of strings consisting of the [namespace name] properties of all

members of Ne, and let namespaces(NAp) be the set of strings

1226

1229 consisting of the [normalized value] properties of all members of 1230 NAp. For each namespace URI u in namespaces(Ne) -1231 1232 namespaces(NAp) (so, the name of each namespace with a prefix 1233 newly utilized at e), the [normalized namespace attributes] 1234 property of e contains an attribute information item whose properties are as follows: 1235 1236 1. the [namespace name] property is (per XML Infoset) 1237 "http://www.w3.org/2000/xmlns/" 1238 2. the [local name] property is either: 1239 a. a the string "xml" if the namespace value is "http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace" 1240 b. a string of the form "n" concatenated the canonical 1241 1242 lexical representation of a non-negative integer *i* (for 1243 example "n0", "n1", "n2", and so on) where the 1244 particular integer i in question is chosen as described 1245 just below. Deleted: 3. the [prefix] property is "xmlns" 1246 1247 4. the [normalized value] property is the value *u*. 1248 5. the [schema normalized value] property is identical to the 1249 [normalized value] property 1250 6. the remaining properties are as set forth above in the 1251 specification of conversion of attribute nodes to information 1252 items. 1253 XML namespace prefixes used in the [normalized namespace 1254 attributes] property (which are manifest in the [local name] properties of the attribute information items contained therein) are 1255 1256 chosen as follows. Let e be any element containing a [normalized namespace attributes] property. Let I be the ordered list resulting 1257 from sorting the [normalized namespace attributes] property of e 1258 according to the sort function described below. Let k be the 1259 maximum over all the ancestors a of e of the integers used per (b) 1260 1261 above to form the [local name] property of any attribute item in the [normalized namespace attributes] property of a, or -1 if no such 1262 attribute items exist. Then the attributes of I, considered in order, 1263 use, in order, the integers k+1, k+2, k+3, and so on in the 1264 generation of their [local name] as per (b) above, excepting only 1265 that if wildcardOutputRoot(e) is true, then (in order to avoid 1266

collisions) any integer which would result in a [local name] property

which was the same as the [prefix] property of some namespace

Now that the declaration of necessary namespace attributes has

item in the [in-scope namespaces] property of e is skipped.

been successfully normalized (and, canonically, the default

1267

1268 1269

1270

namespace has been left undeclared), we apply these declarations in the appropriate places by defining appropriate [normalized prefix] and [prefix & schema normalized value] properties. Let *info* be any information item in the infoset whose [omitted] property is false. Then:

- 1. If *info* is an element or attribute information item whose [namespace name] property has no value, then the [normalized prefix] property of *info* exists but is no value.
- 2. If *info* is an element or attribute information item whose [namespace name] property is not no value, then let a be that namespace declaration attribute in the [normalized namespace attributes] of some self-ancestor of *info* where the [normalized value] property of a is identical to the [namespace name] property of *info* (if no such a exists, a fatal error occurs. This can occur, for example, if all element information items in the infoset are omitted, but some attributes are retained.). The [normalized prefix] property of *info* then exists and is the [local name] property of a.

Moreover, if *info* contains a [prefix usage locations] property, then *info* also contains a [prefix & schema normalized value] property which is identical to the [schema normalized value] property of *info* except for differences formed according to the following procedure. Consider in turn each triple *t* found in the [prefix usage locations] property of *info*. Let *normalizedPrefixUse(t)* be those characters of the [prefix & schema normalized value] property of *info* which correspond to the characters of the [schema normalized value] property of *info* whose zero-based character-offsets lie in the semi-open interval [offset, offset+cch-1+z), where

- 1. offset is the offset member of t,
- 2. *cch* is the number of characters in the *prefix* member of *t* (if *prefix* is not no value) or zero (otherwise), and
- z is one if prefix is not no value and the offset+cch-1+1'st character of the [schema normalized value] of info property is a colon, and zero otherwise.

Then the characters of *normalizedPrefixUse(t)* are determined as follows:

- 1. If the *namespace URI* of *t* has no value, then *normalizedPrefixUse(t)* is the empty string.
- 2. Otherwise, let a be that namespace declaration attribute in the [normalized namespace attributes] of some self-ancestor of *info* where the [normalized value] property of a

is identical to the *namespace URI* of t (if no such a exists, a 1313 1314 fatal error occurs). Then *normalizedPrefixUse(t)* is the [local 1315 name] of a followed by a colon. 1316 This completes the specification of the namespace attribute 1317 normalization step. 3.4.4 Data-type Canonicalization 1318 The XML Schema Datatypes specification defines for a certain set 1319 of its built-in data-types a canonical lexical representation of the 1320 values of each of those data types. To that identified set of 1321 canonical representations Schema Centric Canonicalization adds 1322 several new rules; in some cases, it refines those rules provided 1323 by XML Schema. 1324 1325 The most complicated part of data type canonicalization lies in 1326 dealing with character sequences which are as a matter of 1327 application-level schema design considered to be case insensitive. 1328 It is important that case-insensitivity of application data be 1329 integrated into the canonicalization algorithm: if it were not, then 1330 applications may be forced to remember the exact case used for 1331 certain data when they otherwise would not need to, a requirement 1332 which may well be in tension with the application semantic of case-1333 insensitivity, and thus quite possibly a significant implementation 1334 burden. 1335 The relevant technical reference for case-mapping considerations 1336 for Unicode characters is a technical report published by the 1337 Unicode Consortium. Case-mapping of Unicode characters is 1338 more subtle than readers might naively intuit from their personal 1339 experience. The mapping process can at times be both locale-1340 specific (Turkish has special considerations, for example) and 1341 context-dependent (some characters case-map differently 1342 according to whether they lie at the end of a word or not). Mapping 1343 of case can change the length of a character sequence. Upper and 1344 lower cases are not precise duals: there exist pairs of strings which 1345 are equivalent in their upper case-mapping but not in their lower 1346 case, and visa versa. In order to accommodate these flexibilities, we define several 1347 attributes within the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm 1348 namespace in order to assist with the identification of data which is 1349 to be considered case-insensitive and the precise manner in which 1350 that is to be carried out. As was the case for the embeddedLang 1351

and embeddedLangAttribute attributes previously defined, these

attributes are intended to be used as annotations of relevant

schema components.

1352

1353

The caseMap attribute, which is of type language, is defined in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace. When used as an attribute annotation to a schema component, a caseMap attribute indicates that casemapping is to be performed on data which validates against the schema component according to the casemapping rules of the fixed locale identified by the value of the attribute.

The caseMapAttribute attribute, which is of type QName, is defined in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace. When used as an attribute annotation to a schema component, a caseMapAttribute attribute indicates that an information item which validates against the schema component in question is to be case mapped during the canonicalization process according to the rules of the locale which is dynamically indicated in the information item (necessarily an element information item) as the value of a certain attribute thereof, namely the attribute whose qualified name is indicated in the value of the caseMapAttribute attribute (which must be of type language or a restriction thereof).

The caseMapKind attribute, which is of type string but restricted to the enumerated values "upper", "lower", and "fold", is defined in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm namespace. When used as an attribute annotation to a schema component, a caseMapKind attribute indicates whether upper-case or lower-case mapping or case-folding is to be carried out as part of the canonicalization process. If this attribute is contextually absent but at least one of caseMap or

1400 caseMapAttribute is contextually 1401 present, upper-case mapping is carried 1402 out.

Traditional ASCII-like case insensitivity can be most easily approximated by simply specifying "fold" for the caseMapKind attribute and omitting both caseMap and caseMapAttribute. Also, schema designers are cautioned to be careful in combining casemapping annotations together with length-limiting facets of strings and URIs, due to the length-adjustment that may occur during canonicalization.

The data-type canonicalization step of Schema Centric Canonicalization is carried out according to the following rules:

- Per the relevant clarification E2-9 in the errata to XML Schema, the canonical lexical representation of a datum of type base64Binary must conform to the grammatical production Canonical-base64Binary as defined therein. That production permits in the representation only valid base64 encodings which only contain characters from the base64 alphabet as defined by section "6.8 Base64 Content-Transfer-Encoding" of RFC 2045 (in particular, whitespace characters are not in the alphabet), excepting only that the representation is to be formed into lines of exactly 76 characters (except for the last line, which must be 76 characters or shorter) by the appropriate periodic occurrence of a line-feed character (that is, the character whose character code is (decimal) 10) at the end of each line (including the last).
- 2. The canonical lexical representation of a datum of type dateTime permits only the lexical representation 00:00:00 to denote a time value of midnight (that is, the representation 24:00:00 is prohibited). Further (per XML Schema) either the time zone must be omitted or, if present, the time zone must be Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) indicated by a "Z".
- 3. The canonical lexical representation of a datum of type float or double is defined by prohibiting certain options from the lexical representation. Specifically, the exponent must be indicated by "E". Leading zeroes and the preceding optional "+" sign are prohibited in the exponent. For the mantissa, the preceding optional "+" sign is prohibited and the decimal point is required. For the exponent, the preceding optional "+" sign is prohibited. Leading and trailing zeroes are prohibited subject to the following: number representations must be normalized such that there is a single digit to the

1444	
1445	
1446	
1447	
1448	
1449	
1450	
1451	
1452	
1453	
1454	
1455	
1456	
1457	
1458	
1459	
1460	
1461	
1462	
1463	
1464	
1465	
1403	
1466	
1467	
1468	
1469	
1470	
1471	
1472	
1473	
1474	
1475	
1476	
1477	
1478	
1479	

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

left of the decimal point and at least a single digit to the right of the decimal point such that the number of of leading zeros in the overall sequence of such digits is a small as otherwise possible.

- 4. The canonical lexical representation of a datum of type language permits only the use of upper case characters.
- 5. The canonical lexical representation of a datum of type gYearMonth and gYear prohibits the use of leading zeros for values where the absolute value of the year in question is outside the range of 0001 to 9999.
- 6. The canonical lexical representation of an element or attribute information item *info* which of type string or anyUri or a restriction thereof and where either of the following is true:
 - a. the following is true
 - i. getAnnot(info, "caseMap", sccns) is present, or, if not
 - ii. getAnnot(info, "caseMapAttribute", sccns) is present
 - b. getAnnot(info, "caseMapKind", sccns) is present

is the result of the application of the function *caseMap* with the parameters

- c. the sequence of characters comprising the value of the element or attribute in question,
- d. the language indicated according to the applicable case i. or ii. above, if any, or the value absent otherwise.
- e. getAnnot(info, "caseMapKind", sccns).
- 7. If none of the preceding rules apply, the canonical lexical representation of a datum of primitive type for which XML Schema Datatypes defines a canonical lexical representation is the representation defined therein.
- 8. If none of the preceding rules apply, the canonical lexical representation of a datum which is of a primitive type is the not-further-processed representation of the datum itself.
- The canonical lexical representation of a datum of a type which is derived by list is that which is defined by the XML Schema Datatypes specification (note that this includes the collapsing of the whitespace therein).
- 10. If none of the preceding rules apply, the canonical lexical representation of a datum which is of a simple type that is a restriction of a type for which a canonical lexical representation is defined is the representation of the datum

1487 1488	according to the canonical lexical representation so defined for that base type.
1489	Thus, a canonical lexical representation for all non-union simple
1490	types is defined.
1491	The function caseMap takes three input parameters:
1492 1493 1494 1495 1496 1497 1498 1499	 a sequence of characters whose case is to be mapped, a locale in the form of a language in whose context the mapping is to be carried out, or the value absent, which is to be treated as if "en" were provided, either the string "upper", the string "lower", the string "fold", or the value absent, indicating whether upper-case or lower-case mapping or case-folding is to be carried out; the value absent is treated as if "upper" were provided.
1500 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506	The upper-case or lower-case mapping process of the <i>caseMap</i> function is carried out in the context of the indicated locale according to the (respectively) UCD_upper() or UCD_lower() functions as specified by the Unicode Consortium. The casefolding process is carried out by mapping characters through the CaseFolding.txt file in the Unicode Character Database as specified by the Unicode Consortium.
1507 1508 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514	To carry out the data-type canonicalization step in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, the [schema normalized value] property of all element and attribute information items in the output of the namespace attribute normalization step whose [member type definition] (if present) or [type definition] (otherwise) property is a simple type is replaced by the defined canonical lexical representation of the member of the relevant value space which is represented by the [schema normalized value].
1515 1516	The infoset which is output from the data-type canonicalization step is the schema-canonicalized infoset.
1517	3.5 Serialization of the Schema-
1518	Canonicalized Infoset
1519	The final step in the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm is
1520 1521	the serialization of the schema-canonicalized infoset into a sequence of octets.
1522 1523 1524	In the description of the serialization algorithm that follows, at various times a statement is made to the effect that a certain sequence of characters is to be emitted or output. In all cases, it is

1525 to be understood that the actual octet sequences emitted are the corresponding UTF-8 representations of the characters in 1526 question. The character referred to as "space" has a character 1527 code of (decimal) 32, the character referred to as "colon" has a 1528 1529 character code of (decimal) 58, and the character referred to as 1530 "quote" has a character code of (decimal) 34. Also, the algorithm description makes use of the notation 1531 "info[propertyName]". This is to be understood to represent the 1532 1533 value of the property whose name is propertyName on the 1534 information item info. 1535 The serialization of the schema-canonicalized infoset, and thus the 1536 output of the overall Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, is defined to be the octet sequence that results from the function 1537 invocation serialize(d), where d is the document information item in 1538 1539 the schema-canonicalized infoset, and serialize is the function 1540 defined below. 3.5.1 The function serialize 1541 The serialize function is defined recursively in terms of the 1542 1543 serialization of individual types of information item. Let the 1544 functions recurse, sort, escape, wildcarded, and 1545 wildcardOutputRoot be defined as set forth later. Let info be an 1546 arbitrary information item. Let serialize be the function taking an 1547 information item as input and returning an sequence of octets as 1548 output which is defined as follows. 1. If *info* is a **document information item**, then *serialize(info)* 1549 1550 is the in-order concatenation of the following: 1551 a. if info[omitted] is false, and if either info[notations] or 1552 info[unparsed entities] contains a notation or an 1553 unparsed entity information item (respectively) whose 1554 [omitted] property is false, then 1555

i.the characters "<!DOCTYPE "

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565 1566

- ii. the appropriate case from the following
 - 1. if wildcarded(info[document element]) is false, then if info[document element][normalized prefix] is not no value, then the characters thereof, followed by a colon
 - 2. if wildcarded(info[document element]) is true, then if info[document element][prefix] is not no value, then the characters thereof, followed by a colon

1567	iii.the characters of info[document element][local
1568	name]
1569	iv.the characters " ["
1570	v.recurse(sort(info[notations]))
1571	vi.recurse(sort(info[unparsed entities]))
1572	vii.the characters "]>"
1573	b. recurse(info[children])
1574	2. If info is an element information item, then serialize(info)
1575	is:
1576	 a. if info[validation attempted] is full or partial and
1577	info[validity] is not valid, then a fatal error occurs.
1578	b. otherwise, the in-order concatenation of the
1579	following:
1580	i.if info[omitted] is false, then
1581	1. the character "<"
1582	the appropriate case from the following:
1583	a. if wildcarded(info) is false, then if
1584	info[normalized prefix] is not no
1585	value, then the characters
1586	thereof, followed by a colon
1587	b. if wildcarded(info) is true, then if
1588	info[prefix] is not no value, then
1589	the characters thereof, followed
1590	by a colon
1591	the characters of info[local name]
1592	 if info[normalized namespace
1593	attributes] exists, then
1594	recurse(sort(info[normalized
1595	namespace attributes]))
1596	if wildcardOutputRoot(info) is true, then
1597	recurse(sort(N)), where N is info[in-
1598	scope namespaces] but with the item
1599	therein having the prefix "xml"
1600	removed.
1601	6. if wildcarded(info) is true and
1602	wildcardOutputRoot(info) is false, then
1603	recurse(sort(info[namespace
1604	attributes])).
1605	ii.recurse(sort(info[attributes]))
1606	iii. if info[omitted] is false, then
1607	1. the character ">"
1608	iv.the appropriate case from the following:
1609	 if the property info[prefix & schema
1610	normalized value] is present, then
1611	a. if info[children] contains any
1612	character information item c

1613	where c[omitt
1614	empty octet s
1615	b. otherwise, es
1616	schema norm
1617	else if the property in
1618	normalized value) is
1619	a. if info[childrer
1620	character info
1621	where c[omitt
1622	empty octet s
1623	b. otherwise, es
1624	normalized va
1625	else if at least one m
1626	info[children] is an e
1627	item which possesse
1628	model group all] pro
1629	subsequence of info
1630	consisting of all thos
1631	possess a [validating
1632	property be partition
1633	subsequences I_1 to I
1634	small as possible an
1635	given subsequence
1636	model group informa
1637	[validating model gro
1638	(XML Schema assur
1639	defined), and let chil
1640	ordering of info[child
1641	the following constra
1642	a. if an item <i>c</i> of
1643	possesses a
1644	group all] pro
1645	therefore con
1646	subsequence
1647	the relative or
1648	with respect to
1649	i.any item o
1650	c is the
1651	relative
1652	d in so
1653	ii.any item e
1654	is the s
1655	orderin
1656	of <i>l_i</i> an
1657	iii.any other
1658	info[ch

- tted] is true, then the sequence,
- scape(info[prefix & malized value])
- info[schema s present, then
 - n] contains any ormation item c tted] is true, then the sequence,
 - scape(info[schema alue]),
- member of element information ses a [validating operty, then let the o[children] se elements which ng model group all] ned into into k I_k such that k is as nd all items of a share the same ation item for their roup all] property res that this is wellildren' be a redren] according to aints:
 - of info[children] [validating model operty, and is ntained in e l_i for some i, then order of c in children'
 - d of I_i different than e same as the e ordering of c and $ort(I_i)$
 - e of l_i (for some $i \neq j$) same as the relative ng of the first items $\mathsf{nd} \, I_j$
 - r item f of hildren] is the same

1659 1660 1661 1662 1663 1664 1665 1666 1667 1668 1669	as the relative ordering in info[children] of <i>f</i> with that item <i>g</i> of <i>l_i</i> where the index of <i>g</i> in <i>l_i</i> is the same as the index of <i>c</i> in sort(<i>l_i</i>) b. if items <i>m</i> and <i>n</i> of info[children] do not posses a [validating model group all] property, then they occur in <i>children</i> ' in the same relative order as they occur as items in info[children]
1670	then, recurse(children')
1671 1672 1673 1674 1675 1676 1677 1678 1679 1680 1681 1682 1683 1684 1685 1686 1687 1688 1689 1690	4. otherwise, if info[content type] is element-only, then recurse(nwsChildren), where nwsChildren is the result of removing from info[children] those character information items whose [character code] is defined as a white space in the XML 1.0 Recommendation (this reflects the validation rule in clause 2.3 of §3.4.4 of XML Schema). 5. otherwise, recurse(info[children]) v.if info[omitted] is false, then 1. the characters " " 2. the appropriate case from the following: a. if wildcarded(info) is false, then if info[normalized prefix] is not no value, then the characters thereof, followed by a colon b. if wildcarded(info) is true, then if info[prefix] is not no value, then the characters thereof, followed by a colon 3. the characters of info[local name] 4. the character " ""
1692 1693 1694 1695 1696 1697 1698 1699 1700 1701	3. If info is an attribute information item, then serialize(info) is the in-order concatenation of the following: a. if info[omitted] is false, then i. the character space ii. the appropriate case from the following: 1. if wildcarded(info) is false, then if info[normalized prefix] is not no value, then the characters thereof, followed by a colon

1702	if wildcarded(info) is true, then if
1703	info[prefix] is not no value, then the
1704	characters thereof, followed by a colon
1705	iii.the characters of info[local name]
1706	iv.the character "="
1707	v.the character quote
1708	vi.the appropriate case of the following:
1709	1. if the property info[prefix & schema
1710	normalized value] is present, then
1711	escape(info[prefix & schema
1712	normalized value])
1713	 if info[schema normalized value] exists,
1714	then escape(info[schema normalized
1715	value])
1716	3. otherwise (the attribute was
1717	wildcarded), escape(info[normalized
1718	value])
1719	vii.the character quote
1720	b. otherwise, the empty octet sequence
1721	If info is a namespace information item, then
1722	serialize(info) is the in-order concatenation of the following:
1723	 a. if info[omitted] is false, then
1724	i.the character space
1725	ii.the characters "xmlns:"
1726	iii.the characters of info[prefix]
1727	iv.the character "="
1728	v.the character quote
1729	vi.escape(info[namespace name])
1730	vii. the character quote
1731	 b. otherwise, the empty octet sequence
1732	5. If info is an unparsed entity information item, then
1733	serialize(info) is the in-order concatenation of the following:
1734	a. if info[omitted] is false, then
1735	i.the characters " ENTITY"</td
1736	ii. the character space
1737	iii.info[name]
1738	iv. the character space
1739	v.the appropriate case of the following
1740	1. if info[public identifier] is not no value,
1740	then the in-order concatenation of the
1742	following:
1742	a. "PUBLIC"
1744	b. the character space
1745	c. info[public identifier]
1746	d. the character space
1/70	d. the character space

1747 1748 1749 1750 1751 1752 1753 1754 1755 1756	e. info[system identifier] 2. otherwise, the in order concatenation of the following: a. "SYSTEM" b. the character space c. info[system identifier] vi. if info[notation name] is not no value, then the inorder concatenation of the following: 1. the character space 2. "NDATA"
1757	the character space
1758	info[notation name]
1759	vii.the character ">"
1760	b. otherwise, the empty octet sequence
1761	6. If info is a notation information item, then serialize(info) is
1762	the in-order concatenation of the following:
1763	 a. if info[omitted] is false, then
1764	i.the characters " NOTATION"</td
1765	ii.the character space
1766	iii. info[name]
1767	iv.the character space
1768	v.the appropriate case of the following
1769	 if info[public identifier] and info[system
1770	identifier] are not both no value, then
1771	the in-order concatenation of the
1772	following:
1773	a. "PUBLIC"
1774	b. the character space
1775	c. info[public identifier]
1776	d. the character space
1777	e. info[system identifier]
1778	2. else if info[public identifier] has no
1779	value, the in-order concatenation of the
1780	following:
1781	a. "SYSTEM"
1782	b. the character space
1783	c. info[system identifier]
1784	3. otherwise, the in-order concatenation of
1785	the following
1786	a. "PUBLIC"
1787	b. the character space
1788	c. info[public identifier] vi.the character ">"
1789 1790	b. otherwise, the empty octet sequence
1/90	b. otherwise, the empty octet sequence

1791 7. Otherwise (this includes processing instruction, unexpanded entity reference, character, comment, and 1792 document type declaration information items, though 1793 characters and DTD's are accounted for by other means). 1794 1795 serialize(info) is the empty sequence of octets. 3.5.2 The function recurse 1796 1797 The function recurse is a function which takes as input an ordered 1798

1799

1800

1801

1802 1803

1804

1805

1806

1807

1808 1809

1810

1811

1812

1813

1814

1815 1816

1817

1818 1819

1820 1821

1822

1823

1824

1825

1826

1827 1828

1829

1830

list infos of information items and proceeds as follows.

First, character information items in *infos* whose [omitted] property is 'true' are pruned by removing them from the list. Next, the pruned list is divided into an ordered sequence of sub-lists I_1 through I_k according to the rule that a sub-list which contains character items may not contain other types of information items, but otherwise *k* is as small as possible. The result of *recurse* is then the in-order concatenation of processing in order each sublist l_i in turn in the following manner:

- 1. If l_i contains character information items, then let s_i be the string of characters of length equal to the size of *l_i* where the ISO 10646 character code of the *n*th character of s_i is equal to the [character code] property of the nth character of l_i . The output of processing l_i is then the result of the function invocation escape(s_i).
- 2. If l_i does not contain character information items, then the output of processing l_i is the in-order concatenation of serialize(info) as info ranges in order over the information items in the sub-list l_i .

3.5.3 The function escape

The function escape is that function which takes as input a string s and returns a copy of s where each occurrence of any of the five characters & < > ' " in s is replaced by its corresponding predefined entity.

3.5.4 The functions sort and compare

The function sort takes as input an unordered set or an ordered list of information items and returns an ordered list of those information items arranged in increasing order according to the function compare, unless some of the information items do not have a relative ordering, in which case a fatal error occurs.

The function *compare* takes two information items a and b as input and returns an element of {less than or equal, greater than or equal, no relative ordering) as output according to the following:

1831	1. If a and b are both attribute information items, then (as in
1832	Canonical XML) less than or equal or greater than or equal
1833	is returned according to a lexicographical comparison with
1834	the [namespace name] property as the primary key and the
1835	[local name] as the secondary key.
1836	2. If a and b are both element information items , then less
1837	than or equal or greater than or equal is returned according
1838	to a lexicographical comparison with the [namespace name]
1839	property as the primary key and the [local name] as the
1840	secondary key.
1841	3. If a and b are both namespace information items, then
1842	less than or equal or greater than or equal is returned
1843	according to a lexicographical comparison with the
1844	[namespace name] property as the primary key and the
1845	[prefix] property as the secondary key.
1846	4. If a and b are both notation information items , then <i>less</i>
1847	than or equal or greater than or equal is returned according
1848	to a comparison of their [name] properties
1849	5. If a and b are both unparsed entity information items,
1850	then less than or equal or greater than or equal is returned
1851	according to a comparison of their [name] properties
1852	6. Otherwise, no relative ordering is returned.
1853	3.5.5 The function wildcarded
1853 1854	
1854	The function wildcard takes an element or an attribute information
1854 1855	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was
1854 1855 1856	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric
1854 1855 1856 1857	The function wildcard takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858	The function wildcard takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent
1854 1855 1856 1857	The function wildcard takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a <i>wildcard</i> whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> .
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859	The function wildcard takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a <i>wildcard</i> whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows:
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned.
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a <i>wildcard</i> whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows:
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned.
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned. 2. Otherwise, <i>false</i> is returned. 3.5.6 The function wildcardOutputRoot The function <i>wildcardOutputRoot</i> takes an element item as input
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned. 2. Otherwise, <i>false</i> is returned. 3.5.6 The function wildcardOutputRoot The function <i>wildcardOutputRoot</i> takes an element item as input and returns a boolean indicating whether the item is an
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864 1865 1866	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned. 2. Otherwise, <i>false</i> is returned. 3.5.6 The function wildcardOutputRoot The function <i>wildcardOutputRoot</i> takes an element item as input and returns a boolean indicating whether the item is an appropriate one on which to place the contextual namespace
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864 1865 1866 1867	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned. 2. Otherwise, <i>false</i> is returned. 3.5.6 The function wildcardOutputRoot The function wildcardOutputRoot takes an element item as input and returns a boolean indicating whether the item is an appropriate one on which to place the contextual namespace declarations necessary for dealing with wildcarded items contained
1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864 1865 1866 1867 1868	The function <i>wildcard</i> takes an element or an attribute information as input and returns a boolean indicating whether validation was not attempted on that item. In the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm, validation of an information item will only not be attempted as a consequence of the item or a parent thereof being validated against a wildcard whose {process contents} property is either <i>skip</i> or <i>lax</i> . Let <i>i</i> be the information item input to <i>wildcarded</i> . The function is then defined as follows: 1. If <i>i</i> [validation attempted] is <i>none</i> , then <i>true</i> is returned. 2. Otherwise, <i>false</i> is returned. 3.5.6 The function wildcardOutputRoot The function <i>wildcardOutputRoot</i> takes an element item as input and returns a boolean indicating whether the item is an appropriate one on which to place the contextual namespace

1872 1873	 If e[omitted] is true, then talse is returned. If wildcarded(e) is talse and e[attributes] contains any
1874	attribute items a for which wildcarded(a) is true, then true is
1875	returned.
1876	3. If wildcarded(e) is true, and there does not transitively exist
1877	any [parent] element item p of e where either the preceding
1878	clause (2) applies or both p [omitted] is <i>false</i> and
1879	wildcarded(p) is true, then true is returned.
1880	4. Otherwise, <i>false</i> is returned.
1881	4. Use of Schema Centric
1882	Canonicalization in XML Security
1883	4.1 Algorithm Identification
1884	The XML-Signature Syntax and Processing recommendation (XML
1885	DSIG) defines the notion of a canonicalization algorithm together
1886	with the use of URIs as identifiers for such algorithms. In XML
1887	DSIG, the use of canonicalization algorithms is architected in three
1888	places:
1889	1. As part of the signature generation and validation
1890	processes, where it is used to canonicalize a SignedInfo
1891	element prior to its being fed into a digest algorithm.
1892	As a Transform algorithm in the pipeline of Transforms
1893	inside a Reference, used to modify data during the
1894	reference generation and validation processes. As a matter
1895	of good XML DSIG hygiene, such a canonicalization
1896	Transform should always be used in the pipeline, and in fact
1897	should always occur as the last Transform therein.
1898	3. As the means by which a Transform in the pipeline which
1899	requires an octet stream as input but is instead presented
1900	(by the previous Transform) with an input node-set converts
1901	the latter into the former.
1902	XML Encryption makes similar use of these algorithms.
1903	This specification asserts that the URI of the Schema Centric
1904	Canonicalization algorithm namespace is the identifier (in the
1905	sense of XML DSIG) of a canonicalization algorithm. This identifier
1906	denotes the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm. The
1907	algorithm does not require or permit any explicit parameters.
1908	4.2 Re-Enveloping of Canonicalized Data
1909	As is discussed in Exclusive XML Canonicalization, many
1910	applications from time to time find it useful to be able to change

the enveloping context of a subset of an XML document without changing the canonical form thereof.

In such situations, if Schema Centric Canonicalization is the algorithm of relevance, then applications SHOULD avoid references to notations or unparsed entities in the document subset in question, since the canonical representation of the notation and entity declarations referred to (which must, for security, be part of the canonical form) are defined in a document type declaration, the presence of which significantly complicates the task of re-enveloping.

5. Resolutions

This section discusses a few key decision points as well as a rationale for each decision.

5.1 No Non-Schema-Influencing Information Items

Several of the eleven different types of information items either can never appear in an infoset which successfully validates according to XML Schema or can in no way affect the outcome thereof. Accordingly, representations of such information items never appear in the output of the Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm. These types of information item are the following:

- 1. comment information items and processing instruction information items: as is described in the XML Schema Structures recommendation, comments and processing instructions, even in the midst of text, are ignored for all validation purposes. Thus, for example, each can appear in such places as the middle of the sequence of digits of an integer which is the content of an element with an integral simple type. Were it required (or even optional) to preserve the significance of such items with respect to the canonicalization, applications, particularly those wishing to shred XML information into a relational or other store, would face cumbersome and significant impediments to implementation.
- unexpanded entity reference information items: as is explained in the XML Infoset recommendation, a validating XML processor will never generate unexpanded entity reference information items for a valid document.
- 3. **document type declaration** information items: these are excluded since all possible effects of their processing are modeled in various properties of other information items.

5.2 No Special Whitespace Processing 1952 Believing their reasoning to be sound, we adopt the attitude of 1953 Canonical XML towards the processing of whitespace in character 1954 content, namely that no special processing is carried out: 1955 1956 "All whitespace within the root 1957 document element MUST be preserved (except for any #xD characters deleted 1958 by line delimiter normalization). This 1959 1960 includes all whitespace in external entities." 1961 1962 Moreover, for analogous reasons, we adopt the attitude of 1963 Exclusive XML Canonicalization towards the lack of special 1964 processing of the xml:lang and the xml:space attributes. 1965 It is perhaps worth noting by way of contrast that (unrelated to 1966 xml:lang and xml:space) XML Schema defines certain whitespace 1967 processing rules of its own; these are, of course, carried out by Schema Centric Canonicalization. 1968 5.3 Case-Mapping vs. Case-Folding 1969 The Unicode Technical Report on Case Mappings distinguishes 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974

1975

1976

1977

1978

1979

1980

1981

1982 1983

1984

1985

1986 1987

1988

1989

1990

1991

case-mapping from a similar process termed case-folding. Unlike case-mapping, case-folding is a locale-independent operation, and does not encounter the issue that strings may be equal or differ depending on the direction in which they are case-mapped. As is clear in the report, case-folding suffers from being only an approximation to language-specific rules of processing, and is primarily aimed at legacy systems where locale information simply

is not feasibly available with which to do a more complete processing.

of either case-mapping or case-folding in user schemas.

5.4 No Canonicalization of anyURI Datatype

The Schema Centric Canonicalization algorithm supports the use

XML Schema Datatypes does not define a canonical lexical representation for data of type any URI. In the present specification, thought was given to reconsidering this position. As is described in the specification of Uniform Resource Identifiers. various aspects of the syntactic structure of URIs are considered case insensitive: the scheme part of the URI is an example (or probably is one: contrast §3.1 with §6 in RFC2396 with respect to this point), and various particular schemes have substructure that is so. Moreover, a subset of URI share a common syntax for

representing hierarchical relationships within their namespace, and for the relative (as opposed to absolute) form of such URI, an algorithm exists (see §5.2 of RFC2396) by which certain aspects of the URI representation involving "." and ".." are canonicalized.

For these and related reasons it is reasonable to ask whether a canonical lexical representation for data of type anyURI should be specified. This, however, is a difficult if not insurmountable task. Many of the details of an appropriate canonicalization (such as case-mapping or case-folding) are inherently scheme-specific, and it is intrinsically impossible for any one Schema Centric Canonicalization implementation to understand the universe of possible URI schemes it might encounter (and so canonicalize them all appropriately). Even for some commonly known URI schemes, the relevant specifications lack crisp clarity on some germane issues. And the algorithm of §5.2 of RFC2396 can (see *ibid*, §5.1) only be carried out in the context of a specific base URI; as generally speaking such relevant base URI may be application-level notions not represented in XML, the algorithm of §5.2 must remain out of scope so far as XML canonicalization is concerned.

These reasons, together with the lack of compelling pragmatic problems caused by simply having all anyURI data canonicalize to itself, indicate that the prudent course of action is that Schema Centric Canonicalization should not differ from XML Schema Datatypes on this issue.

6. References

Keywords

RFC 2119. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels. Best Current Practice. S. Bradner. March 1997. S. Bradner. March 1997.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

Unicode

Unicode 3.1. The Unicode Consortium.

http://www.unicode.org/unicode/reports/tr27/.

Unicode Normalization

Unicode Normalization Forms. The Unicode Consortium. http://www.unicode.org/unicode/reports/tr15/.

Unicode Case Mappings

Case Mappings. The Unicode Consortium. http://www.unicode.org/unicode/reports/tr21/.

URI

RFC 2396. Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax. T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter. August

2034	1998. See also RFC 2732. Format for Literal IPv6
2035	Addresses in URL's. R. Hinden et al.
2036	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2396.txt. See also
2037	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2732.txt.
2038	XML
2039	Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Second Edition).
2040	W3C Recommendation, T. Bray, E. Maler, J. Paoli, C. M.
2041	Sperberg-McQueen. October 2000.
2042	http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/REC-xml-20001006.
2043	XML-C14N
2044	Canonical XML. W3C Recommendation. J. Boyer. March
2045	2001.
2046	http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315
2047	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3076.txt
2048	XML-DSig
2049	XML-Signature Syntax and Processing. W3C
2050	Recommendation. D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, and D. Solo.
2051	12 February 2002.
2052	http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmldsig-core-20020212/
2053	XML-Enc
2054	XML Encryption Syntax and Processing. D. Eastlake, and J.
2055	Reagle. W3C Candidate Recommendation. 04 March 2002.
2056	http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/CR-xmlenc-core-20020304
2057	XML-Exc-C14N
2058	Exclusive XML Canonicalization W3C Candidate
2059	Recommendation. J. Boyer, D. Eastlake, and J. Reagle.
2060	12 February 2002.
2061	http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/CR-xml-exc-c14n-20020212
2062	XML-Infoset
2063	XML Information Set, John Cowan and Richard Tobin, eds.,
2064	W3C, 24 October 2001. See
2065	http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-infoset-20011024/
2066	XML-NS
2067	Namespaces in XML. Recommendation. T. Bray, D.
2068	Hollander, and A. Layman. January 1999.
2069	http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114/
2070	XML-Schema
2071	XML Schema. Recommendation. H. Thompson, D. Beech,
2072	M. Maloney, N. Mendelsohn. 2 May 2001.
2073	http://www.w3.org/XML/Schema
2074	XML-Schema-Errata
2075	XML Schema 1.0 Specification Errata.
2076	http://www.w3.org/2001/05/xmlschema-errata
2077	XPath

2078	XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0, W3C
2079	Recommendation. eds. James Clark and Steven DeRose.
2080	16 November 1999.
2081	http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116.

7. Revision History

13 February 2002 Initial distribution for public review.

15 May 2002

2082

2100

Update references to XML-DSIG, XML-Exc-C14N, XML-Enc to refer to updated publications. Revise commentary thereon in introduction to reflect changes in these updates. Allow embeddedLang attribute to be used on schema

instances as well as schemas themselves. Added by-fiat identification of uses of XPath in XML Schema itself.

Clarified position with respect to (non)canonicalization of anyURI.

Expanded limitations section per observations of Exclusive XML Canonicalization and others.

QNames (and derivations and lists thereof) were not

being namespace prefix desensitized. Fixed.

Added pointer to supporting .xsd file.

20 May 2003

you use:

Reformated per OASIS requirements. A very few minor editorial fixes, updated legal language.

2083	Appendix A: Notices
2084	Copyright © 2000-2002 by Accenture, Ariba, Inc., Commerce One,
2085	Inc., Fujitsu Limited, Hewlett-Packard Company, i2 Technologies,
2086	Inc., Intel Corporation, International Business Machines
2087	Corporation, Oracle Corporation, SAP AG, Sun Microsystems,
2088	Inc., VeriSign, Inc., and / or Microsoft Corporation. All Rights
2089	Reserved.
2090	This document is provided by the companies named above
2091	("Licensors") under the following license. By using and/or copying
2092	this document, or the document from which this statement is
2093	linked, you (the licensee) agree that you have read, understood,
2094	and will comply with the following terms and conditions:
2095	Permission to use, copy, and distribute the contents of this
2096	document, or the document from which this statement is linked, in
2097	any medium for any purpose and without fee or royalty under
2098	copyrights is hereby granted, provided that you include the
2099	following on ALL copies of the document, or portions thereof, that

2101	 A link to the original document.
2102	2. An attribution statement: "Copyright © 2000-2002 by
2103	Accenture, Ariba, Inc., Commerce One, Inc., Fujitsu Limited,
2104	Hewlett-Packard Company, i2 Technologies, Inc., Intel
2105	Corporation, International Business Machines Corporation,
2106	Oracle Corporation, SAP AG, Sun Microsystems, Inc.,
2107	VeriSign, Inc., and / or Microsoft Corporation. All Rights
2108	Reserved." If the Licensors own any patents or patent
2109	applications which that may be required for implementing
2110	and using the specifications contained in the document in
2111	products that comply with the specifications, upon written
2112	request, a non-exclusive license under such patents shall
2113	be granted on reasonable and non-discriminatory terms.
2114	THIS DOCUMENT IS PROVIDED "AS IS," AND LICENSORS
2115	MAKE NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESS
2116	OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO,
2117	WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A
2118	PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, OR TITLE;
2119	THAT THE CONTENTS OF THE DOCUMENT ARE SUITABLE
2120	FOR ANY PURPOSE; NOR THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
2121	SUCH CONTENTS WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY THIRD PARTY
2122	PATENTS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADEMARKS OR OTHER RIGHTS.
2123	LICENSORS WILL NOT BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT,
2124	INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES
2125	ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF THE DOCUMENT OR THE
2126	PERFORMANCE OR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONTENTS
2127	THEREOF.
2128	Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2003. All Rights Reserved. OASIS
2129	takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual
2130	property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the
2131	implementation or use of the technology described in this
2132	document or the extent to which any license under such rights
2133	might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has
2134	made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's
2135	procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be
2136	found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made
2137	available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be
2138	made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a
2139	general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights
2140	by implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained
2141	from the OASIS Executive Director.

OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to

2145 2146	implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.
2147	This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished
2148	to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise
2149	explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
2150	published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of
2151	any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this
2152	paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
2153	However, this document itself may not be modified in any way,
2154	such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS,
2155	except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
2156	specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined
2157	in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be
2158	followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than
2159	English. The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and
2160	will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.
2161	This document and the information contained herein is provided on
2162	an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES,
2163	EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
2164	ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION
2165	HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED
2166	WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
2167	PARTICULAR PURPOSE.