



# Web Services ReliableMessaging Policy Assertion (WS-RM Policy)

Committee Draft 05, February 1, 2007

## Document identifier:

wsrmp-1.1-spec-cd-05

## Location:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-cd-05.pdf>

## Editors:

Doug Davis, IBM <[dug@us.ibm.com](mailto:dug@us.ibm.com)>

Anish Karmarkar, Oracle <[Anish.Karmarkar@oracle.com](mailto:Anish.Karmarkar@oracle.com)>

Gilbert Pilz, BEA <[gpilz@bea.com](mailto:gpilz@bea.com)>

Ümit Yalçinalp, SAP <[umit.yalcinalp@sap.com](mailto:umit.yalcinalp@sap.com)>

## Contributors:

See the Acknowledgments (Appendix A).

## Abstract:

This specification describes a domain-specific policy assertion for WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] that can be specified within a policy alternative as defined in WS-Policy Framework [WS-Policy].

By using the XML [XML], SOAP [SOAP 1.1], [SOAP 1.2] and WSDL [WSDL 1.1] extensibility models, the WS\* specifications are designed to be composed with each other to provide a rich Web services environment. This by itself does not provide a negotiation solution for Web services. This is a building block that is used in conjunction with other Web service and application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of policy exchange models.

## Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the WS-RX on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions of this document. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule. Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx>. For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/ipr.php>). The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx>.

# Table of Contents

36			
37	1	Introduction.....	3
38	1.1	Goals and Requirements.....	3
39	1.2	Notational Conventions.....	3
40	1.3	Namespace.....	4
41	1.4	Compliance.....	4
42	2	RM Policy Assertions.....	5
43	2.1	Assertion Model .....	5
44	2.2	Normative Outline.....	5
45	2.3	Assertion Attachment.....	6
46	2.4	Assertion Example.....	8
47	2.5	Sequence Security Policy.....	8
48	3	Security Considerations.....	10
49	4	References.....	11
50	4.1	Normative.....	11
51	4.2	Non Normative.....	12
52		Appendix A. Acknowledgments.....	13
53		Appendix B. XML Schema.....	14
54		Appendix C. Revision History.....	16
55		Appendix D. Notices.....	19

# 1 Introduction

This specification defines a domain-specific policy assertion for reliable messaging for use with WS-Policy and WS-ReliableMessaging.

## 1.1 Goals and Requirements

### 1.1.1 Requirements

### 1.2 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [KEYWORDS].

This specification uses the following syntax to define normative outlines for messages:

- The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in italics indicate data types instead of values.
- Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate cardinality:
  - "?" (0 or 1)
  - "\*" (0 or more)
  - "+" (1 or more)
- The character "|" is used to indicate a choice between alternatives.
- The characters "[" and "]" are used to indicate that contained items are to be treated as a group with respect to cardinality or choice.
- An ellipsis (i.e. "...") indicates a point of extensibility that allows other child, or attribute, content. Additional children and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If an extension is not recognized it SHOULD be ignored.
- XML namespace prefixes (See Section 1.3) are used to indicate the namespace of the element being defined.

Elements and Attributes defined by this specification are referred to in the text of this document using XPath 1.0 [XPATH 1.0] expressions. Extensibility points are referred to using an extended version of this syntax:

- An element extensibility point is referred to using {any} in place of the element name. This indicates that any element name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrn: namespace.
- An attribute extensibility point is referred to using @{any} in place of the attribute name. This indicates that any attribute name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrn: namespace.

## 1.3 Namespace

The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

Dereferencing the above URI will produce the Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0] document that describes this namespace.

Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

Table 1

Prefix	Namespace	Specification
wsdl	<a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/</a>	[WSDL 1.1]
wsp	<a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy</a>	[WS-Policy]
wsrmp	<a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702">http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702</a>	This specification.
wsu	<a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd</a>	WS-Security-Utility Schema

## 1.4 Compliance

An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace identifier for this specification (listed in Section 1.3) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this specification.

Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take precedence over the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] descriptions.

## 2 RM Policy Assertions

WS-Policy Framework and WS-Policy Attachment [WS-PolicyAttachment] collectively define a framework, model and grammar for expressing the requirements, and general characteristics of entities in an XML Web services-based system. To enable an RM Destination and an RM Source to describe their requirements for a given Sequence, this specification defines a single RM policy assertion that leverages the WS-Policy framework.

### 2.1 Assertion Model

The RM policy assertion indicates that the RM Source and RM Destination MUST use WS-ReliableMessaging to ensure reliable delivery of messages. Specifically, the WS-ReliableMessaging protocol determines invariants maintained by the reliable messaging endpoints and the directives used to track and manage the delivery of a Sequence of messages.

### 2.2 Normative Outline

The normative outline for the RM assertion is:

```
<wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... >
  <wsp:Policy>
    [ <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/> |
      <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/> ] ?
    <wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance>
      <wsp:Policy>
        [ <wsrmp:ExactlyOnce/> |
          <wsrmp:AtLeastOnce/> |
          <wsrmp:AtMostOnce/> ]
        <wsrmp:InOrder/> ?
      </wsp:Policy>
    </wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance> ?
  </wsp:Policy>
  ...
</wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

The following describes the content model of the RMAssertion element.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion

A policy assertion that specifies that WS-ReliableMessaging protocol MUST be used when sending messages.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion/@wsp:Optional="true"

Per WS-Policy, this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case, that WS-ReliableMessaging MAY be used.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy

This required element allows for the inclusion of nested policy assertions.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:SequenceSTR

When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an explicit token that is referenced from a wsse:SecurityTokenReference in the CreateSequence message. See section 2.5.1.

146 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity

147       When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to

148       the session(s) of the underlying transport-level protocol used to carry the `CreateSequence` and

149       `CreateSequenceResponse` message. When present, this assertion MUST be used in

150       conjunction with the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion, see section 2.5.2.

151 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance

152       This expression, which may be omitted, describes the message delivery quality of service

153       between the RM and application layer. When used by an RM Destination it expresses the delivery

154       assurance in effect between the RM Destination and its corresponding application destination,

155       and it also indicates requirements on any RM Source that transmits messages to this RM

156       destination. Conversely when used by an RM Source it expresses the delivery assurance in effect

157       between the RM Source and its corresponding application source, as well as indicating

158       requirements on any RM Destination that receives messages from this RM Source. In either case

159       the delivery assurance does not affect the messages transmitted on the wire. Absence of this

160       expression from a `wsrmp:RMAssertion` policy assertion simply means that the endpoint has

161       chosen not to advertise its delivery assurance characteristics.

162       Note that when there are multiple policy alternatives of the RM Assertion, the Delivery Assurance

163       on each MUST NOT conflict.

164 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy

165       This required element identifies additional requirements for the use of the

166       `wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance`.

167 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:ExactlyOnce

168       This expresses the ExactlyOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [].

169 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:AtLeastOnce

170       This expresses the AtLeastOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [].

171 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:AtMostOnce

172       This expresses the AtMostOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [].

173 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:InOrder

174       This expresses the InOrder Delivery Assurance defined in [].

175 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/{any}

176       This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a

177       schema, to be passed.

178 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/@{any}

179       This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a

180       schema, to be passed.

## 181 **2.3 Assertion Attachment**

182 The RM policy assertion is allowed to have the following Policy Subjects [[WS-PolicyAttachment](#)]:

- 183       ● Endpoint Policy Subject
- 184       ● Message Policy Subject

185 WS-PolicyAttachment defines a set of WSDL/1.1 policy attachment points for each of the above Policy  
186 Subjects. Since an RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior, it MUST NOT be attached to the  
187 abstract WSDL policy attachment points.

188 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an  
189 RM policy assertion but which MUST NOT have RM policy assertions attached:

- 190 • wsdl:message
- 191 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 192 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 193 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault
- 194 • wsdl:portType

195 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an  
196 RM policy assertion and which MAY have RM policy assertions attached:

- 197 • wsdl:port
- 198 • wsdl:binding
- 199 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 200 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 201 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

202 If an RM policy assertion is attached to any of:

- 203 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 204 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 205 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

206 then an RM policy assertion, specifying wsp:Optional=true MUST be attached to the corresponding  
207 wsdl:binding or wsdl:port, indicating that the endpoint supports WS-RM. Any messages, regardless of  
208 whether they have an attached Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion, MAY be sent to that endpoint  
209 using WS-RM. Additionally, the receiving endpoint MUST NOT reject any message belonging to a  
210 Sequence, simply because there was no Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion attached to that  
211 message. There might be certain RM implementations that are incapable of applying RM Quality of  
212 Service (QoS) semantics on a per-message basis. In order to ensure the broadest interoperability, when  
213 an endpoint decorates its WSDL with RM policy assertions using Message Policy Subject, it MUST also  
214 be prepared to accept that all messages sent to that endpoint might be sent within the context of an RM  
215 Sequence, regardless of whether the corresponding wsdl:input, wsdl:output or wsdl:fault had an attached  
216 RM policy assertion.

217 Rather than turn away messages that were unnecessarily sent with RM semantics, the receiving endpoint  
218 described by the WSDL MUST accept these messages.

219 By attaching an RM policy assertion that specifies wsp:Optional="true" to the corresponding endpoint that  
220 has attached RM policy assertions at the Message Policy Subject level, the endpoint is describing the  
221 above constraint in policy.

222 In the case where an optional RM Assertion applies to an output message, there is no requirement on the  
223 client to support an RM Destination implementation

## 2.4 Assertion Example

Table 2 lists an example use of the RM policy assertion.

Table 2: Example policy with RM policy assertion

```
(01)<wsdl:definitions
(02)   targetNamespace="example.com"
(03)   xmlns:tns="example.com"
(04)   xmlns:wsdl="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/"
(05)   xmlns:wsp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy"
(06)   xmlns:wsrmp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
(07)   xmlns:wsu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-
wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
(08)
(09)   <wsp:UsingPolicy wsdl:required="true" />
(10)
(11)   <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="MyPolicy" >
(12)     <wsrmp:RMAssertion>
(13)       <wsp:Policy/>
(14)     </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
(15)     <!-- omitted assertions -->
(16)   </wsp:Policy>
(17)
(18)   <!-- omitted elements -->
(19)
(20)   <wsdl:binding name="MyBinding" type="tns:MyPortType" >
(21)     <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#MyPolicy" />
(22)     <!-- omitted elements -->
(23)   </wsdl:binding>
(24)
(25)</wsdl:definitions>
```

Line (09) in Table 2 indicates that WS-Policy is in use as a required extension.

Lines (11-16) are a policy expression that includes a RM policy assertion (lines 12-14) to indicate that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used.

Lines (20-23) are a WSDL binding. Line (21) indicates that the policy in lines (11-16) applies to this binding, specifically indicating that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used over all the messages in the binding.

## 2.5 Sequence Security Policy

WS-SecurityPolicy [SecurityPolicy] provides a framework and grammar for expressing the security requirements and characteristics of entities in a XML web services based system. The following assertions MAY be used in conjunction with WS-SecurityPolicy to express additional security requirements particular to RM Sequences.

### 2.5.1 RM Assertion with Sequence STR Assertion

This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an explicit token that is referenced from a `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` in the `CreateSequence` message.

This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. The normative outline for this form of the Sequence STR Assertion is:



```

270 <wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ...>
271   <wsp:Policy>
272     <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/>
273   </wsp:Policy>
274 </wsrmp:RMAssertion>

```

275 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceSTR` element.

276 `/wsrmp:SequenceSTR`

277 A policy assertion that specifies security requirements which MUST be used with an RM Sequence that  
 278 are particular to WS-RM and beyond what can be expressed in WS-SecurityPolicy.

## 279 **2.5.2 RM Assertion with Sequence Transport Security Assertion**

280 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to the  
 281 session(s) of the underlying transport-level security protocol (e.g. SSL/TLS) used to carry the  
 282 `CreateSequence` and `CreateSequenceResponse` messages.

283 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. This assertion MUST be used in conjunction with  
 284 the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion that requires the use of some transport-level security mechanism  
 285 (e.g. `sp:HttpsToken`).

286 The normative outline for this form of the RM Assertion with the Sequence Transport Security Assertion is:

```

287 <wsp:Policy>
288   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
289     <wsp:All>
290       <wsrm:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]> ...>
291         <wsp:Policy>
292           <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/>
293         </wsp:Policy>
294       </wsrm:RMAssertion>
295       <sp:TransportBinding ...>
296         ...
297       </sp:TransportBinding>
298     </wsp:All>
299   </wsp:ExactlyOne>
300 </wsp:Policy>

```

301 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceTransportSecurity` element.

302 `/wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity`

303 A policy assertion that specifies that any Sequences targeted to the indicated endpoint MUST be bound to  
 304 the underlying session(s) of the transport-level security used to carry messages related to the Sequence.

305 This form of the RM Assertion says that an endpoint MAY have RM as an option but always requires  
 306 HTTPS to be used. All the `SequenceTransportSecurity` assertion indicates is that RM's rules for protecting  
 307 the Sequence over TLS are followed.

### 3 Security Considerations

It is strongly RECOMMENDED that policies and assertions be signed to prevent tampering.

It is RECOMMENDED that policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an associated security token to specify the signer has proper claims for the given policy. That is, a relying party shouldn't rely on a policy unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient claims to pass the relying parties acceptance criteria.

It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be secured as part of a SOAP message using WS-Security [[WS-Security](#)] or embedded within other objects using object-specific security mechanisms.

## 4 References

### 4.1 Normative

#### [KEYWORDS]

S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997.

<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

#### [SOAP 1.1]

W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1" 08 May 2000.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/NOTE-SOAP-20000508/>

#### [SOAP 1.2]

W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework" June 2003.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/>

#### [URI]

T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox Corporation, January 2005.

<http://ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986>

#### [WS-RM]

OASIS WS-RX Technical Committee Draft, "Web Services Reliable Messaging (WS-ReliableMessaging)," August 2005.

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrp/200702/wsrp-1.1-spec-cd-05.pdf>

#### [WS-Policy]

W3C Member Submission, "Web Services Policy Framework (WS-Policy)," April 2006.

<http://www.w3.org/Submission/2006/SUBM-WS-Policy-20060425/>

#### [WS-PolicyAttachment]

W3C Member Submission, "Web Services Policy Attachment (WS-PolicyAttachment)," April 2006.

<http://www.w3.org/Submission/2006/SUBM-WS-PolicyAttachment-20060425/>

#### [WSDL 1.1]

W3C Note, "Web Services Description Language (WSDL 1.1)," 15 March 2001.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-wsdl-20010315>

#### [XML]

W3C Recommendation, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fourth Edition)", September 2006.

<http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/>

350 **[XML-ns]**

351 W3C Recommendation, "[Namespaces in XML](#)," 14 January 1999.

352 <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114/>

353 **[XML-Schema Part1]**

354 W3C Recommendation, "[XML Schema Part 1: Structures](#)," October 2004.

355 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1/>

356 **[XML-Schema Part2]**

357 W3C Recommendation, "[XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes](#)," October 2004.

358 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/>

359 **[XPath 1.0]**

360 W3C Recommendation, "[XML Path Language \(XPath\) Version 1.0](#)," 16 November 1999.

361 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath>

362 **4.2 Non Normative**

363 **[RDDL 2.0]**

364 Jonathan Borden, Tim Bray, eds. "[Resource Directory Description Language \(RDDL\) 2.0](#)," January 2004

365 <http://www.openhealth.org/RDDL/20040118/rddl-20040118.html>

366 **[SecurityPolicy]**

367 G. Della-Libra, et. al. "[Web Services Security Policy Language \(WS-SecurityPolicy\)](#)", July 2005

368 <http://specs.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy/ws-securitypolicy.pdf>

369 **[WS-Security]**

370 Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. "[OASIS Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 \(WS-Security 2004\)](#)", OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004.

372 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0.pdf>

373 Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. "[OASIS Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1 \(WS-Security 2004\)](#)", OASIS Standard 200602, February 2006.

375 [http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16790/wss-v1.1-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16790/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf)

376 [spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/16790/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf)

## 377 **Appendix A. Acknowledgments**

378 This document is based on initial contribution to OASIS WS-RX Technical Committee by the following  
379 authors:

380 Stefan Batres-Editor(Microsoft), Ruslan Bilorusets(BEA), Don Box(Microsoft), Luis Felipe  
381 Cabrera(Microsoft), Derek Collison(TIBCO Software), Donald Ferguson(IBM), Christopher Ferris-  
382 Editor(IBM), Tom Freund(IBM), Mary Ann Hondo(IBM), John Ibbotson(IBM), Lei Jin(BEA), Chris  
383 Kaler(Microsoft), David Langworthy(Microsoft), Amelia Lewis(TIBCO Software), Rodney  
384 Limprecht(Microsoft), Steve Lucco(Microsoft), Don Mullen(TIBCO Software), Anthony  
385 Nadalin(IBM), Mark Nottingham(BEA), David Orchard(BEA), Shivajee Samdarshi(TIBCO  
386 Software), John Shewchuk(Microsoft), Tony Storey(IBM).

387 The following individuals have provided invaluable input into the initial contribution:

388 Keith Ballinger(Microsoft), Allen Brown(Microsoft), Michael Conner(IBM), Francisco  
389 Curbera(IBM), Steve Graham(IBM), Pat Helland(Microsoft), Rick Hill(Microsoft), Scott  
390 Hinkelman(IBM), Tim Holloway(IBM), Efim Hudis(Microsoft), Johannes Klein(Microsoft), Frank  
391 Leymann(IBM), Martin Nally(IBM), Peter Niblett(IBM), Jeffrey Schlimmer(Microsoft), Chris  
392 Sharp(IBM), James Snell(IBM), Keith Stobie(Microsoft), Satish Thatte(Microsoft), Stephen  
393 Todd(IBM), Sanjiva Weerawarana(IBM), Roger Wolter(Microsoft).

394 The following individuals were members of the committee during the development of this specification:

395 Abbie Barbir(Nortel), Charlton Barreto(Adobe), Stefan Batres(Microsoft), Hamid Ben  
396 Malek(Fujitsu), Andreas Bjarlestam(Ericsson), Toufic Boubrez(Layer 7), Doug Bunting(Sun), Lloyd  
397 Burch(Novell), Steve Carter(Novell), Martin Chapman(Oracle), Dave Chappell(Sonic), Paul  
398 Cotton(Microsoft), Glen Daniels(Sonic), Doug Davis(IBM), Blake Dournaee(Intel), Jacques  
399 Durand(Fujitsu), Colleen Evans(Microsoft), Christopher Ferris(IBM), Paul Fremantle(WSO2),  
400 Robert Freund(Hitachi), Peter Furniss(Erebor), Marc Goodner(Microsoft), Alastair  
401 Green(Choreology), Mike Grogan(Sun), Ondrej Hrebicek(Microsoft), Kazunori Iwasa(Fujitsu),  
402 Chamikara Jayalath(WSO2), Lei Jin(BEA), Ian Jones(BT plc), Anish Karmarkar(Oracle), Paul  
403 Knight(Nortel), Dan Leshchiner(Tibco), Mark Little(JBoss), Lily Liu(webMethods), Matt  
404 Lovett(IBM), Ashok Malhotra(Oracle), Jonathan Marsh(Microsoft), Daniel Millwood(IBM), Jeff  
405 Mischkinsky(Oracle), Nilo Mitra(Ericsson), Peter Niblett(IBM), Duane Nickull(Adobe), Eisaku  
406 Nishiyama(Hitachi), Dave Orchard(BEA), Chouthri Palanisamy(NEC), Sanjay Patil(SAP), Gilbert  
407 Pilz(BEA), Martin Raepplé(SAP), Eric Rajkovic(Oracle), Stefan Rossmanith(SAP), Tom  
408 Rutt(Fujitsu), Rich Salz(IBM), Shivajee Samdarshi(Tibco), Vladimir Videlov(SAP), Claus von  
409 Riegen(SAP), Pete Wenzel(Sun), Steve Winkler(SAP), Ümit Yalçınalp(SAP), Nobuyuki  
410 Yamamoto(Hitachi).

## 411 Appendix B. XML Schema

412 A normative copy of the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] description for this  
413 specification may be retrieved from the following address:

414 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-schema-200702.xsd>

415 The following copy is provided for reference.

```
416 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
417 <!--
418 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any
419 intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to
420 the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
421 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be
422 available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to
423 identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect
424 to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website.
425 Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any
426 assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt
427 made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such
428 proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be
429 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.
430 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any
431 copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights
432 which may cover technology that may be required to implement this
433 specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive
434 Director.
435 Copyright (c) OASIS Open 2002-2007. All Rights Reserved.
436 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to
437 others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or
438 assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and
439 distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind,
440 provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included
441 on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself
442 does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice
443 or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing
444 OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined
445 in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or
446 as required to translate it into languages other than English.
447 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be
448 revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.
449 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS
450 IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED,
451 INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION
452 HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF
453 MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
454 -->
455 <xs:schema xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
456 xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
457 targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
458 elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
459   <xs:element name="RMAssertion">
460     <xs:complexType>
461       <xs:sequence>
462         <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
463 maxOccur
464         </xs:sequence>
465         <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
466       </xs:complexType>
```

```

467     </xs:element>
468     <xs:element name="SequenceSTR">
469         <xs:complexType>
470             <xs:sequence/>
471             <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
472         </xs:complexType>
473     </xs:element>
474     <xs:element name="SequenceTransportSecurity">
475         <xs:complexType>
476             <xs:sequence/>
477             <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
478         </xs:complexType>
479     </xs:element>
480     <xs:element name="DeliveryAssurance">
481         <xs:complexType>
482             <xs:sequence>
483                 <xs:any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
484 maxOccurs="unbounded" />
485             </xs:sequence>
486         </xs:complexType>
487     </xs:element>
488     <xs:element name="ExactlyOnce">
489         <xs:complexType>
490             <xs:sequence/>
491         </xs:complexType>
492     </xs:element>
493     <xs:element name="AtLeastOnce">
494         <xs:complexType>
495             <xs:sequence/>
496         </xs:complexType>
497     </xs:element>
498     <xs:element name="AtMostOnce">
499         <xs:complexType>
500             <xs:sequence/>
501         </xs:complexType>
502     </xs:element>
503     <xs:element name="InOrder">
504         <xs:complexType>
505             <xs:sequence/>
506         </xs:complexType>
507     </xs:element>
508 </xs:schema>

```

## 509 Appendix C. Revision History

Revision	Date	By Whom	What
wd-01.doc	2005-07-06	Ümit Yalçinalp	Initial version created based on submission by the authors.
1.0-wd-01.swx	2005-09-01	Ümit Yalçinalp	Reformatted using Open Office
1.1-wd-01.swx	2005-09-18	Ümit Yalçinalp	Applied resolution i001 Applied resolution i015/16 (doc identifier) Partial application of i017, final yyyy/mm required, changed doc URI to TBD pending yyyy/mm Deleted original copyright section
1.1-wd-01.swx	2005-10-02	Anish Karmarkar	Applied resolution of i013 + minor editorial changes + fixed resolution of i017
1.1-wd-01.swx	2005-10-04	Ümit Yalçinalp	Applied actual value for yyyy/mm. Added resolution of i009
1.1-wd-01.swx	2005-10-06	Ümit Yalçinalp	Editorial fixes suggested by Anish Updated wd draft date to October 6th
1.1-wd-01.swx	2005-10-19	Ümit Yalçinalp	Editorial change to remove .swx suffix from doc id
wd-02	2005-11-03	Gilbert Pilz	Start wd-02 by changing title page from cd-01.
wd-02	2005-11-30	Gilbert Pilz	i072 – editorial nits
wd-02	2005-11-30	Gilbert Pilz	i074 - Use of [tcShortName] in artifact locations namespaces, etc
wd-02	2005-12-01	Gilbert Pilz	Updated fix to i074 to remove trailing '/' from wsrmp namespace.
wd-02	2005-12-01	Anish Karmarkar	Applied resolution for i022
wd-02	2005-12-01	Anish Karmarkar	Applied resolution for i024
wd-02	2005-12-01	Anish Karmarkar	Applied resolution for i054
wd-02	2005-12-01	Anish Karmarkar	Applied resolution of i073
wd-2	2005-12-05	Anish Karmarkar	Applied resolution of i055
wd-2	2005-12-05	Ümit Yalçinalp	Changed fixed date in footer to current date
wd-3	2005-12-21	Doug Davis	Added i050
wd-3	2005-12-23	Ümit Yalçinalp	I057 resolution



Revision	Date	By Whom	What
wd-3	2005-12-23	Ümit Yalçınalp	Changed the ref to WS-RM to the WS-RX committee draft instead of original version Fixed Dug's email address
wd-3	2005-12-23	Ümit Yalçınalp	I060 resolution
wd-03	2005-12-27	Gilbert Pilz	Remove schema example and put it in its own artifact (wsrmp-1.1-schema-200510.xsd). Convert source file to OpenDocument format. Make line numbers all the same style.
wd-03	2005-12-28	Anish Karmarkar	Included a section link to c:\temp\wsrmp-1.1-schema-200510.xsd
wd-03	2006-01-04	Gilbert Pilz	Fixed formatting of included section.
wd-03	2006-01-05	Gilbert Pilz	Fix closing tag of normative outline for RMAssertion.
wd-04	2006-11-11	Doug Davis	Minor tweaks/typos
wd-05	2006-01-23	Gilbert Pilz	Start wd-05 by accepting all changes from wd-04
wd-06	2006-01-23	Doug Davis	Minor typos found by Marc
wd-06	2006-02-14	Doug Davis	Issue 075 resolution
wd-06	2006-02-14	Doug Davis	Issues 086, 087 resolutions
wd-06	2006-02-15	Gilbert Pilz	Issue 088; added link for namespace URI; added text describing link; added non-normative reference for RDDL 2.0
wd-06	2006-02-17	Anish Karmarkar	Removed a sentence in section 2.1 that talked about RM assertion parameters, as there aren't any.
wd-06	2006-02-17	Anish Karmarkar	Change the namespace to 200602.
wd-07	2006-02-22	Doug Davis	Accept all changes to create new WD Minor typo fixed – thanks to Paul Cotton
wd-07	2006-02-23	Doug Davis	Added missing namespace table entries - MarcG
wd-07	2006-03-08	Doug Davis	Issue 097 applied
wd-08	2006-04-11	Doug Davis	Issue 021 applied
wd-08	2006-04-24	Gilbert Pilz	Misc cleanups prior to publishing to TC.
wd-09	2006-05-29	Gilbert Pilz	Issue 117 applied
wd-10	2006-06-05	Gilbert Pilz	Accept all changes; bump WD number
wd-10	2006-06-07	Doug Davis	Applied lots of minor edits from Marc Goodner
wd-10	2006-06-13	Doug Davis	Applied a couple of minor edits

Revision	Date	By Whom	What
wd-10	2006-07-21	Doug Davis	Issues 122-124 applied
wd-10	2006-07-27	Doug Davis	Copied list of TC members from RM spec (i134)
wd-10	2006-08-04	Doug Davis	Updated old namespaces – found by PaulC
wd-10	2006-08-04	Doug Davis	Verify all [refs]
wd-10	2006-08-04	Doug Davis	Change namespace to 2006/08
cd-04	2006-08-11	Doug Davis	Issue 158 applied
cd-04	2006-08-16	Gilbert Pilz	Fix date at 08/11/2006; formatting changes for better HTML rendering.
wd-11	2006-10-25	Doug Davis	Accept all changes, update to wd11
wd-11	2006-10-26	Doug Davis	PR004 applied
wd-11	2007-01-26	Doug Davis	PR037 applied
wd-12	2007-01-31	Doug Davis	Lots of typos from MarcG Updated WD number and date
wd-12	2007-02-01	Doug Davis	PR035 (009,020 dups) applied

## 510 **Appendix D. Notices**

511 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that  
512 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or  
513 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent  
514 that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to  
515 rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made  
516 available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt  
517 made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or  
518 users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

519 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or  
520 other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification.  
521 Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

522 Copyright (C) OASIS Open (2007). All Rights Reserved.

523 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that  
524 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and  
525 distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and  
526 this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may  
527 not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as  
528 needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights  
529 defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it  
530 into languages other than English.

531 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors  
532 or assigns.

533 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS  
534 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY  
535 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR  
536 ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.