



XACML Profile for Role Based Access Control (RBAC), Version 2.0

Working Draft 02, 21 July 2004

Document identifier:

oasis-xacml-profile-rbac-2.0-wd-02

Location:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/xacml>

Editor:

Anne Anderson, Sun Microsystems (anne.anderson@sun.com)

Abstract:

This specification defines a profile for the use of XACML in expressing policies that use role based access control (RBAC). It extends the XACML Profile for RBAC Version 1.0 to include a recommended AttributeId for roles and a way to request whether a subject who has one or more given senior roles thereby has permissions associated with a given junior role. The specification has also been updated to apply to XACML 2.0.

Status:

This version of the specification is a Working Draft.

Committee members should send comments on this specification to the xacml@lists.oasis-open.org list. Others should subscribe to and send comments to the xacml-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org list. To subscribe, send an email message to xacml-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the XACML TC web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/xacml/>).

For any errata page for this specification, please refer to the XACML RBAC Profile section of the XACML TC web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/xacml/>).

29 **Table of Contents**

30 1 Introduction (non-normative).....3

31 1.1 Notation.....3

32 1.2 Terminology.....3

33 1.3 Scope4

34 1.4 Role.....4

35 1.5 Policies.....5

36 1.6 Multi-Role Permissions.....6

37 2 Example (non-normative).....7

38 2.1 Permission <PolicySet> for the manager role.....7

39 2.2 Permission <PolicySet> for employee role.....8

40 2.3 Role <PolicySet> for the manager role.....9

41 2.4 Role <PolicySet> for employee role.....9

42 2.5 HasPrivilegesOfRole Policies and Requests.....9

43 3 Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes (non-normative)..... 12

44 4 Implementing the RBAC Model (non-normative)..... 15

45 4.1 Core RBAC..... 15

46 4.2 Hierarchical RBAC..... 16

47 4.3 Separation of Duty..... 16

48 5 Profile (normative)..... 19

49 5.1 Roles and Role Attributes..... 19

50 5.2 Role Assignment or Enablement..... 19

51 5.3 Access Control..... 19

52 6 Identifiers (normative)..... 21

53 6.1 Profile Identifier..... 21

54 6.2 SubjectCategory..... 21

55 6.3 Action Attribute Values..... 21

56 7 References..... 22

57 7.1 Normative References..... 22

58 7.2 Non-normative References..... 22

1 Introduction (non-normative)

This specification defines a profile for the use of the OASIS eXtensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML) [XACML] to meet the requirements for role based access control (RBAC) as specified in [ANSI-RBAC]. Use of this Profile requires no changes or extensions to standard XACML Versions 1.0, 1.1, or 2.0. It extends the XACML Profile for RBAC Version 1.0 to include a recommended AttributeId for roles and a way to request whether a subject has permissions associated with a given junior role. The specification has also been updated to apply to XACML 2.0.

This specification begins with a non-normative explanation of the building blocks from which the RBAC solution is constructed. A full example illustrates these building blocks. The specification then discusses how these building blocks may be used to implement the various elements of the RBAC model presented in [ANSI-RBAC]. Finally, the normative section of the specification describes compliant uses of the building blocks in implementing an RBAC solution.

This proposal assumes the reader is somewhat familiar with XACML. A brief overview sufficient to understand these examples is available in [XACMLIntro]. An introduction to the RBAC model is available in [RBACIntro].

1.1 Notation

In order to improve readability, the examples in this profile assume use of the following XML Internal Entity declarations:

```
<!ENTITY xml "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">
<!ENTITY rule-combine
  "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:rule-combining-algorithm:">
<!ENTITY policy-combine
  "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:policy-combining-algorithm:">
<!ENTITY function "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:function:">
<!ENTITY subject-category
  "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:subject-category:">
<!ENTITY subject-category2
  "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:subject-category:">
<!ENTITY subject "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:subject:">
<!ENTITY role "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:subject:role">
<!ENTITY roles "urn:example:role-values:">
<!ENTITY resource "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:resource:">
<!ENTITY action "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:action:">
<!ENTITY actions "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:actions:">
<!ENTITY environment "urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:1.0:environment:">
```

For example, “&xml;string” is equivalent to “http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string”.

1.2 Terminology

The key words *must*, *must not*, *required*, *shall*, *shall not*, *should*, *should not*, *recommended*, *may*, and *optional* in this document are to be interpreted as described in IETF RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

attribute - In this Profile, the term “attribute” refers to an XACML <Attribute>. An XACML <Attribute> is an element in an XACML Request having among its components an attribute name identifier, a data type identifier, and an attribute value. Each <Attribute> is associated either with one of the subjects (Subject Attribute), the protected resource (Resource Attribute), the action to be taken on the resource (Action Attribute), or the environment of the Request (Environment Attribute). Attributes are referenced in a policy by using an <AttributeSelector> (an XPath expression) or one of the following: <SubjectAttributeDesignator>, <ResourceAttributeDesignator>, <ActionAttributeDesignator>, or <EnvironmentAttributeDesignator>.

HasPrivilegesOfRole policy – an optional type of <Policy> that can be included in a Permission <PolicySet> to allow support queries asking if a subject “has the privileges of” a specific role. See *Section 2.5: HasPrivilegesOfRole Policies and Requests*.

110 **junior role** – In a role hierarchy, Role A is *junior* to Role B if Role B inherits all the permissions
111 associated with Role A.

112 **multi-role permissions** – a set of permissions for which a user must hold more than one role
113 simultaneously in order to gain access.

114 **PDP** - Policy Decision Point. An entity that evaluates an access request against one or more policies to
115 produce an access decision.

116 **permission** – the ability or right to perform some action on some resource, possibly only under certain
117 specified conditions.

118 **PPS** – Permission <PolicySet>. See *Section 1.5 Policies*.

119 **RBAC** – Role based access control. A model for controlling access to resources where permitted
120 actions on resources are identified with roles rather than with individual subject identities.

121 **Role Enablement Authority** - an entity that assigns role attributes and values to users or enables role
122 attributes and values during a user's session.

123 **RPS** – Role <PolicySet>. See *Section 1.5 Policies*.

124 **role** – A job function within the context of an organization that has associated semantics regarding the
125 authority and responsibility conferred on the user assigned to the role [ANSI-RBAC].

126 **senior role** – In a role hierarchy, Role A is *senior* to Role B if Role A inherits all the permissions
127 associated with Role B.

128 **policy** – A set of rules indicating which subjects are permitted to access which resources using which
129 actions under which conditions.

130 **1.3 Scope**

131 Role based access control allows policies to be specified in terms of subject roles rather than strictly in
132 terms of individual subject identities. This is important for scalability and manageability of access control
133 systems.

134 The policies specified in this Profile can answer three types of questions:

- 135 1. If a subject has roles R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n enabled, can subject X access a given resource using a given
136 action?
- 137 2. Is subject X allowed to have role R_i enabled?
- 138 3. If a subject has roles R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n enabled, does that mean the subject will have permissions
139 associated with a given role R' ? That is, is role R' either equal to or *junior* to any of roles $R_1, R_2, \dots,$
140 R_n ?

141 The policies specified in this Profile do not answer the question “What set of roles does subject X have?”
142 That question must be handled by a Role Enablement Authority, and not directly by an XACML PDP.
143 Such an entity may make use of XACML policies, but will need additional information. See *Section 3:*
144 *Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes* for more information about Role Enablement Authorities.

145 **1.4 Role**

146 In this specification, roles are expressed as XACML Subject Attributes. There are two exceptions: in a
147 Role Assignment <PolicySet> or <Policy> and in a HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy>, the role
148 appears as a Resource Attribute. See *Section 3: Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes* and *Section*
149 *2.5: HasPrivilegesOfRole Policies and Requests* for more information.

150 Role attributes may be expressed in either of two ways, depending on the requirements of the
151 application environment. In some environments there may be a small number of “role attributes”, where
152 the name of each such attribute is some name indicating “role”, and where the value of each such
153 attribute indicates the name of the role held. For example, in this first type of environment, there may be
154 one “role attribute” having the AttributeId “&role;” (this Profile recommends use of this identifier).
155 The possible roles are values for this one attribute, and might be “&roles;officer”,

156 “&roles;manager”, and “&roles;employee”. This way of expressing roles works best with the
157 XACML way of expressing policies. This method of identifying roles is also most conducive to
158 interoperability.

159 Alternatively, in other application environments, there may be a number of different attribute identifiers,
160 each indicating a different role. For example, in this second type of environment, there might be three
161 attribute identifiers: “urn:someapp:attributes:officer-role”,
162 “urn:someapp:attributes:manager-role”, and “urn:someapp:attributes:employee-
163 role”. In this case the value of the attribute may be empty or it may contain various parameters
164 associated with the role. XACML policies can handle roles expressed in this way, but not as naturally as
165 in the first way.

166 XACML supports multiple subjects per access request, indicating various entities that may be involved in
167 making the request. For example, there is usually a human user who initiates the request, at least
168 indirectly. There are usually one or more applications or code bases that generate the actual low-level
169 request on behalf of the user. There is some computing device on which the application or code base is
170 executing, and this device may have an identity such as an IP address. XACML identifies each such
171 Subject with a SubjectCategory xml attribute that indicates the type of subject being described. For
172 example, the human user has a SubjectCategory of &subject-category;access-subject (this
173 is the default category); the application that generates the access request has a SubjectCategory of
174 &subject-category;codebase and so on. In this Profile, a role attribute may be associated with
175 any of the categories of subjects involved in making an access request.

176 1.5 Policies

177 In this Profile, there are five types of policies.

178 1. **Role <PolicySet> or RPS** : a <PolicySet> that associates holders of a given role attribute and
179 value with a Permission <PolicySet> that contains the actual permissions associated with the given
180 role. The <Target> element of a Role <PolicySet> limits the applicability of the <PolicySet>
181 to subjects holding the given role attribute and value. Each Role <PolicySet> references a single
182 corresponding Permission <PolicySet> but does not contain any other <Policy> or
183 <PolicySet> elements.

184 2. **Permission <PolicySet> or PPS**: a <PolicySet> that contains the actual permissions associated
185 with a given role. It contains <Policy> elements and <Rules> that describe the resources and
186 actions that subjects are permitted to access, along with any further conditions on that access, such
187 as time of day. A given Permission <PolicySet> may also contain references to Permission
188 <PolicySet>s associated with other roles that are *junior* to the given role, thereby allowing the
189 given Permission <PolicySet> to inherit all permissions associated with the role of the referenced
190 Permission <PolicySet>. The <Target> element of a Permission <PolicySet>, if present,
191 must not limit the subjects to which the <PolicySet> is applicable.

192 3. **Separation of Duty <PolicySet>**: a <PolicySet> that defines restrictions on the set of roles that
193 can be exercised by a given Subject. Such a <PolicySet> contains <Policy> and <Rule>
194 elements that specify the role set restrictions. The Separation of Duty <PolicySet> also contains
195 references to all the Role <PolicySet> instances that are subject to Separation of Duty restrictions.
196 Use of a Separation of Duty <PolicySet> is optional.

197 4. **Role Assignment <Policy> or <PolicySet>**: a <Policy> or <PolicySet> that defines which
198 roles can be enabled or assigned to which subjects. It may also specify restrictions on combinations
199 of roles or total number of roles assigned to or enabled for a given subject. This type of policy is used
200 by a Role Enablement Authority. Use of a Role Assignment <Policy> or <PolicySet> is optional.

201 5. **HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy>**: a <Policy> in a Permission <PolicySet> that supports requests
202 asking whether a subject has the privileges associated with a given role. If this type of request is to
203 be supported, then a HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy> must be included in each Permission
204 <PolicySet>. Support for this type of <Policy>, and thus for requests asking whether a subject
205 has the privileges associated with a given role, is optional.

206 Permission <PolicySet> instances must be stored in the policy repository in such a way that they can

207 never be used as the initial policy for an XACML PDP; Permission <PolicySet> instances must be
208 reachable only through the corresponding Role <PolicySet>. This is because, in order to support
209 hierarchical roles, a Permission <PolicySet> must be applicable to every subject. The Permission
210 <PolicySet> depends on its corresponding Role <PolicySet> to ensure that only subjects holding
211 the corresponding role attribute will gain access to the permissions in the given Permission
212 <PolicySet>.

213 If a Separation of Duty <PolicySet> is used, then Role <PolicySet> instances also must be stored
214 in the policy repository in such a way that they can never be used as the initial policy for an XACML
215 PDP. In this case, Role <PolicySet> instances must be reachable only through the Separation of
216 Duty <PolicySet>.

217 Use of separate Role <PolicySet> and Permission <PolicySet> instances allows support for
218 Hierarchical RBAC, where a more *senior* role can acquire the permissions of a more *junior* role. A
219 Permission <PolicySet> that does not reference other Permission <PolicySet> elements could
220 actually be an XACML <Policy> rather than a <PolicySet>. Requiring it to be a <PolicySet>,
221 however, allows its associated role to become part of a role hierarchy at a later time without requiring
222 any change to other policies.

223 1.6 Multi-Role Permissions

224 In this Profile, it is possible to express policies where a user must hold several roles simultaneously in
225 order to gain access to certain permissions. For example, changing the care instructions for a hospital
226 patient may require that the Subject performing the action have both the *physician* role and the *staff*
227 role.

228 These policies may be expressed using a Role <PolicySet> where the <Target> element requires
229 the Subject to have all necessary role attributes. This is done by using a single <Subject> element
230 containing multiple <SubjectMatch> elements. The associated Permission <PolicySet> should
231 specify the permissions associated with Subjects who simultaneously have all the specified roles
232 enabled.

233 The Permission <PolicySet> associated with a multi-role policy may reference the Permission
234 <PolicySet> instances associated with other roles, and thus may inherit permissions from other roles.
235 The permissions associated with a given multi-role <PolicySet> may also be inherited by another role
236 if the other role includes a reference to the Permission <PolicySet> associated with the multi-role
237 policy in its own Permission <PolicySet>.

238

2 Example (non-normative)

239 This section presents a complete example of the types of policies associated with role based access
240 control.

241 The example uses XACML 2.0 syntax. For XACML 1.0 and 1.1, the `xmlns` references should be
242 changed to use the 1.0 or 1.1 schema identifiers. A `<Target>` element containing only
243 `<AnySubject/>`, `<AnyResource/>`, and `<AnyAction/>` should be added if there is no `<Target>`
244 element. `<AnySubject/>`, `<AnyResource/>` and `<AnyAction/>` elements should be added to a
245 `<Target>` element that does not have an instance `<Subjects>`, `<Resources>`, or `<Actions>`,
246 respectively.

247 Assume an organization uses two roles, *manager* and *employee*. In this example, they are expressed
248 as two separate values for a single XACML Attribute with `AttributeId` "`&role;`". The `&role;` Attribute
249 values corresponding to the two roles are "`&roles;employee`" and "`&roles;manager`". An
250 *employee* has permission to create a purchase order. A *manager* has permission to sign a purchase
251 order, plus any permissions associated with the *employee* role. The *manager* role therefore is *senior* to
252 the *employee* role, and the *employee* role is *junior* to the *manager* role.

253 According to this Profile, there will be two Permission `<PolicySet>` instances: one for the *manager* role
254 and one for the *employee* role. The *manager* Permission `<PolicySet>` will give any Subject the
255 specific permission to sign a purchase order and will reference the *employee* Permission `<PolicySet>`
256 in order to inherit its permissions. The *employee* Permission `<PolicySet>` will give any Subject the
257 permission to create a purchase order.

258 According to this Profile, there will also be two Role `<PolicySet>` instances: one for the *manager* role
259 and one for the *employee* role. The *manager* Role `<PolicySet>` will contain a `<Target>` requiring
260 that the Subject hold a `&role;` Attribute with a value of "`&roles;manager`". It will reference the
261 *manager* Permission `<PolicySet>`. The *employee* Role `<PolicySet>` will contain a `<Target>`
262 requiring that the Subject hold a `&role;` Attribute with a value of "`&roles;employee`". It will
263 reference the *employee* Permission `<PolicySet>`.

264 The actual XACML policies implementing this example follow. An example of a Role Assignment Policy
265 is included in *Section 3: Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes*. An example of a Separation of Duty
266 `<PolicySet>` is included in *Section 4.3: Separation of Duty*.

2.1 Permission `<PolicySet>` for the *manager* role

267
268 The following Permission `<PolicySet>` contains the permissions associated with the *manager* role.
269 The PDP's policy retrieval must be set up such that access to this `<PolicySet>` is gained only by
270 reference from the *manager* Role `<PolicySet>`.

```
<PolicySet xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:policy"
  PolicySetId="PPS:manager:role"
  PolicyCombiningAlgId="&policy-combine;permit-overrides">

  <!-- Permissions specifically for the manager role -->
  <Policy PolicyId="Permissions:specifically:for:the:manager:role"
    RuleCombiningAlgId="&rule-combine;permit-overrides">

    <!-- Permission to sign a purchase order -->
    <Rule RuleId="Permission:to:sign:a:purchase:order"
      Effect="Permit">
      <Target>
        <Resources>
          <Resource>
            <ResourceMatch MatchId="&function;string-match">
              <AttributeValue
                DataType="&xml:string">purchase order</AttributeValue>
              <ResourceAttributeDesignator
                AttributeId="&resource;resource-id"
                DataType="&xml:string"/>
            </ResourceMatch>
          </Resource>
        </Resources>
      </Target>
    </Rule>
  </Policy>
</PolicySet>
```

```

        </Resources>
        <Actions>
        <Action>
        <ActionMatch MatchId="&function;string-match">
        <AttributeValue
        DataType="&xml;string">sign</AttributeValue>
        <ActionAttributeDesignator
        AttributeId="&action;action-id"
        DataType="&xml;string"/>
        </ActionMatch>
        </Action>
        </Actions>
    </Target>
</Rule>
</Policy>

<!-- Include permissions associated with employee role -->
<PolicySetIdReference>PPS:employee:role</PolicySetIdReference>
</PolicySet>

```

Table 1 Permission <PolicySet> for managers

271

272 2.2 Permission <PolicySet> for employee role

273 The following Permission <PolicySet> contains the permissions associated with the *employee* role.
 274 The PDP's policy retrieval must be set up such that access to this <PolicySet> is gained only by
 275 reference from the *employee* Role <PolicySet> or by reference from the more senior *manager* Role
 276 <PolicySet> via the *manager* Permission <PolicySet>.

```

<PolicySet xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:policy"
    PolicySetId="PPS:employee:role"
    PolicyCombiningAlgId="&policy-combine;permit-overrides">
    <!-- Permissions specifically for the employee role -->
    <Policy PolicyId="Permissions:specifically:for:the:employee:role"
        RuleCombiningAlgId="&rule-combine;permit-overrides">
        <!-- Permission to create a purchase order -->
        <Rule RuleId="Permission:to:create:a:purchase:order"
            Effect="Permit">
            <Target>
            <Resources>
            <Resource>
            <ResourceMatch MatchId="&function;string-match">
            <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;string">purchase order</AttributeValue>
            <ResourceAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&resource;resource-id"
            DataType="&xml;string"/>
            </ResourceMatch>
            </Resource>
            </Resources>
            <Actions>
            <Action>
            <ActionMatch MatchId="&function;string-match">
            <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;string">create</AttributeValue>
            <ActionAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&action;action-id"
            DataType="&xml;string"/>
            </ActionMatch>
            </Action>
            </Actions>
            </Target>
        </Rule>
    </Policy>
</PolicySet>

```

Table 2 Permission <PolicySet> for employees

278 2.3 Role <PolicySet> for the *manager* role

279 The following Role <PolicySet> is applicable, according to its <Target>, only to Subjects who hold
 280 a &role; Attribute with a value of “&roles;manager”. The <PolicySetIdReference> points to the
 281 Permission <PolicySet> associated with the *manager* role. That Permission <PolicySet> may be
 282 viewed in *Section 2.1: Permission <PolicySet> for the manager role* above.

```

<PolicySet xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:policy"
  PolicySetId="RPS:manager:role"
  PolicyCombiningAlgId="&policy-combine;permit-overrides">
  <Target>
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;manager</AttributeValue>
          <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&role;"
            DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </SubjectMatch>
      </Subject>
    </Subjects>
  </Target>

  <!-- Use permissions associated with the manager role -->
  <PolicySetIdReference>PPS:manager:role</PolicySetIdReference>
</PolicySet>

```

Table 3 Role <PolicySet> for managers

283 2.4 Role <PolicySet> for *employee* role

284 The following Role <PolicySet> is applicable, according to its <Target>, only to Subjects who hold
 285 a &role; Attribute with a value of “&roles;employee”. The <PolicySetIdReference> points to
 286 the Permission <PolicySet> associated with the *employee* role. That Permission <PolicySet> may
 287 be viewed in *Section 2.2: Permission <PolicySet> for employee role* above.

```

<PolicySet xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:policy"
  PolicySetId="RPS:employee:role"
  PolicyCombiningAlgId="&policy-combine;permit-overrides">
  <Target>
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;employee</AttributeValue>
          <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&role;"
            DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </SubjectMatch>
      </Subject>
    </Subjects>
  </Target>

  <!-- Use permissions associated with the employee role -->
  <PolicySetIdReference>PPS:employee:role</PolicySetIdReference>
</PolicySet>

```

Table 4 Role <PolicySet> for employees

288 2.5 HasPrivilegesOfRole Policies and Requests

289 An XACML RBAC system MAY choose to support queries of the form “Does this subject have the
 290 privileges of role X?” If so, each Permission <PolicySet> MUST contain a HasPrivilegesOfRole
 291 <Policy>.

292 For the Permission <PolicySet> for managers, the HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy> would look as follows:

```
<!-- HasPrivilegesOfRole Policy for manager role -->
<Policy PolicyId="Permission:to:have:manager:role:permissions"
  RuleCombiningAlgId="&rule-combine;permit-overrides">

  <!-- Permission to have manager role permissions -->
  <Rule RuleId="Permission:to:have:manager:permissions"
    Effect="Permit">
    <Condition FunctionId="&function;and">
      <Apply FunctionId="&function;anyURI-is-in">
        <AttributeValue
  DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;manager</AttributeValue>
        <ResourceAttributeDesignator
          AttributeId="&role;"
          DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </Apply>
      <Apply FunctionId="&function;anyURI-is-in">
        <AttributeValue
  DataType="&xml;anyURI">&actions;hasPrivilegesofRole</AttributeValue>
        <ActionAttributeDesignator
          AttributeId="&action;action-id"
          DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </Apply>
      </Condition>
    </Rule>
  </Policy>
```

Table 5 HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy> for manager role

293 For the Permission <PolicySet> for employees, the HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy> would look as follows:

```
<!-- HasPrivilegesOfRole Policy for employee role -->
<Policy PolicyId="Permission:to:have:employee:role:permissions"
  RuleCombiningAlgId="&rule-combine;permit-overrides">

  <!-- Permission to have employee role permissions -->
  <Rule RuleId="Permission:to:have:employee:permissions"
    Effect="Permit">
    <Condition FunctionId="&function;and">
      <Apply FunctionId="&function;anyURI-is-in">
        <AttributeValue
  DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;employee</AttributeValue>
        <ResourceAttributeDesignator
          AttributeId="&role;"
          DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </Apply>
      <Apply FunctionId="&function;anyURI-is-in">
        <AttributeValue
  DataType="&xml;anyURI">&actions;hasPrivilegesofRole</AttributeValue>
        <ActionAttributeDesignator
          AttributeId="&action;action-id"
          DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </Apply>
      </Condition>
    </Rule>
  </Policy>
```

Table 6 HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy> for employee role

294 A Request asking whether subject *Anne* has the privileges associated with *&roles;manager* would look
295 as follows.

```

<Request>
  <Subject>
    <Attribute AttributeId="&subject;subject-id"
  DataType="&xml:string">
    <AttributeValue>Anne</AttributeValue>
  </Attribute>
  </Subject>
  <Resource>
    <Attribute AttributeId="&role;"
  DataType="&xml:anyURI">
    <AttributeValue>&roles;manager</AttributeValue>
  </Attribute>
  </Resource>
  <Action>
    <Attribute AttributeId="&action;action-id"
  DataType="&xml:anyURI">&actions;hasPrivilegesOfRole</AttributeValue>
  </Attribute>
  </Action>
</Request>

```

Table 7 Example of HasPrivilegesOfRole Request

296 Either the <Request> must contain *Anne's* direct roles (in this case, *&roles;employee*), or else the
 297 PDP's Context Handler must be able to discover them. HasPrivilegesOfRole Policies do not do the job
 298 of associating roles with subjects. See *Section 3: Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes* for more
 299 information on how roles are associated with subjects.

3 Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes (non-normative)

The assignment of various role attributes to users and the enabling of those attributes within a session are outside the scope of the XACML PDP. There must be one or more separate entities, referred to as Role Enablement Authorities, implemented to perform these functions. This Profile assumes that the presence in the XACML Request Context of a role attribute for a given user (Subject) is a valid assignment at the time the access decision is requested

So where do a subject's role attributes come from? What does one of these Role Enablement Authorities look like? The answer is implementation dependent, but some possibilities can be suggested.

In some cases, role attributes might come from an identity management service that maintains information about a user, including the subject's assigned or allowed roles; the identity management service acts as the Role Enablement Authority. This service might store static role attributes in an LDAP directory, and a PDP's Context Handler might retrieve them from there. Or this service might respond to requests for a subject's role attributes from a PDP's Context Handler, where the requests are in the form of SAML Attribute Queries.

Role Enablement Authorities MAY use an XACML Role Assignment <Policy> or <PolicySet> to determine whether a subject is allowed to have a particular role attribute and value enabled. A Role Assignment <Policy> or <PolicySet> answers the question "Is subject X allowed to have role R, enabled?" It does not answer the question "Which set of roles is subject X allowed to have enabled?" The Role Enablement Authority must have some way of knowing which role or roles to submit a request for. For example, the Role Enablement Authority might maintain a list of all possible roles, and, when asked for the roles associated with a given subject, make a request against the Role Assignment policies for each candidate role.

In this Profile, Role Assignment policies are a different set from the Role <PolicySet> and Permission <PolicySet> instances used to determine the access permissions associated with each role. Role Assignment policies are to be used only when the XACML Request comes from a Role Enablement Authority. This separation may be managed in various ways, such as by using different PDPs with different policy stores or requiring <Request> elements for role enablement queries to include a <Subject> with a SubjectCategory of "&subject-category;role-enablement-authority".

There is no fixed form for a Role Assignment <Policy>. The following example illustrates one possible form. It contains two XACML <Rule> elements. The first <Rule> states that Anne and Seth and Yassir are allowed to have the "&roles;employee" role enabled between the hours of 9am and 5pm. The second <Rule> states that Steve is allowed to have the "&roles;manager" role enabled, with no restrictions on time of day.

```
<Policy xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:policy"
  PolicyId="Role:Assignment:Policy"
  RuleCombiningAlgId="&rule-combine;permit-overrides">
```

336

```
<!-- Employee role requirements rule -->
<Rule RuleId="employee:role:requirements" Effect="Permit">
  <Target>
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function:string-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml:string">Seth</AttributeValue>
          <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&subject;subject-id"
```

```

        DataType="&xml;string"/>
    </SubjectMatch>
</Subject>
<Subject>
    <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;string-equal">
        <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;string">Anne</AttributeValue>
        <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&subject;subject-id"
            DataType="&xml;string"/>
    </SubjectMatch>
</Subject>
</Subjects>
<Resources>
    <Resource>
        <ResourceMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
            <AttributeValue
                DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;employee</AttributeValue>
            <ResourceAttributeDesignator
                AttributeId="&role;"
                DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </ResourceMatch>
    </Resource>
</Resources>
<Actions>
    <Action>
        <ActionMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
            <AttributeValue
                DataType="&xml;anyURI">&actions;enableRole</AttributeVa
value>
            <ActionAttributeDesignator
                AttributeId="&action;action-id"
                DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </ActionMatch>
    </Action>
</Actions>
</Target>
<Condition FunctionId="&function;and">
    <Apply FunctionId="&function;time-greater-than-or-equal">
        <Apply FunctionId="&function;time-one-and-only">
            <EnvironmentAttributeDesignator
                AttributeId="&environment;current-time"
                DataType="&xml;time"/>
        </Apply>
        <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;time">9h</AttributeValue>
    </Apply>
    <Apply FunctionId="&function;time-less-than-or-equal">
        <Apply FunctionId="&function;time-one-and-only">
            <EnvironmentAttributeDesignator
                AttributeId="&environment;current-time"
                DataType="&xml;time"/>
        </Apply>
        <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;time">17h</AttributeValue>
    </Apply>
</Condition>

```

```
</Rule>
```

337

```
<!-- Manager role requirements rule -->
<Rule RuleId="manager:role:requirements" Effect="Permit">
  <Target>
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;string-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;string">Steve</AttributeValue>
          <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&subject;subject-id"
            DataType="&xml;string"/>
        </SubjectMatch>
      </Subject>
    </Subjects>
    <Resources>
      <Resource>
        <ResourceMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;:manager</AttributeValue>
          <ResourceAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&role;"
            DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </ResourceMatch>
      </Resource>
    </Resources>
    <Actions>
      <Action>
        <ActionMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;anyURI">&actions;enableRole</AttributeVa
            lue>
          <ActionAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&action;action-id"
            DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </ActionMatch>
      </Action>
    </Actions>
  </Target>
</Rule>
</Policy>
```

Table 8 Role Assignment <Policy> Example

338 There is an alternative model for handling role assignment or activation that is driven by the PDP,
339 although it still requires a separate Role Enablement Authority. In this model, an XACML implementation
340 defines an extension Boolean function that takes as an argument the identity of a role that is required,
341 and returns "True" if that role is enabled for the Subject of the Request. The implementation of the
342 function could query the Role Enablement Authority, which would enable the role, if allowed and not
343 already enabled, as a side effect. The Role Enablement Authority might use a Role Assignment Policy
344 to help make its decision. Such a function may be needed to implement separation of duty in some
345 environments. Such a function does not meet the requirements for a MatchId function, however, and
346 thus can not be used in a <Policy> or <PolicySet> <Target>. In this alternative model, "role" is
347 not being treated as a <Request> Context Attribute, so does not fit with the model of role based access
348 control described by this Profile.

4 Implementing the RBAC Model (non-normative)

349

350 The following sections describe how to use XACML policies to implement various components of the
351 RBAC model as described in [ANSI-RBAC].

4.1 Core RBAC

352

353 Core RBAC, as defined in [ANSI-RBAC], includes the following five basic data elements:

354 **1. Users**

355 **2. Roles**

356 **3. Objects**

357 **4. Operations**

358 **5. Permissions**

359 **Users** are implemented using XACML Subjects. Any of the XACML SubjectCategory values may
360 be used, as appropriate.

361 **Roles** are expressed using one or more XACML Subject Attributes. The set of roles is very application-
362 and policy domain-specific, and it is very important that different uses of roles not be confused. For
363 these reasons, this Profile does not attempt to define any standard set of role values, although this
364 Profile does recommend use of a common AttributeId value of
365 “urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:subject:role”. It is recommended that each application or
366 policy domain agree on and publish a unique set of AttributeId values, DataType values, and
367 <AttributeValue> values that will be used for the various roles relevant to that domain.

368 **Objects** are expressed using XACML Resources.

369 **Operations** are expressed using XACML Actions.

370 **Permissions** are expressed using XACML Role <PolicySet> and Permission <PolicySet>
371 instances as described in previous sections.

372 Core RBAC requires support for multiple users per role, multiple roles per user, multiple permissions per
373 role, and multiple roles per permission. Each of these requirements can be satisfied by XACML policies
374 based on this Profile as follows. Note, however, that the actual assignment of roles to users is outside
375 the scope of the XACML PDP. For more information see *Section 3: Assigning and Enabling Role*
376 *Attributes*.

377 XACML allows multiple Subjects to be associated with a given role attribute. XACML Role
378 <PolicySet>s defined in terms of possession of a particular role <Attribute> and
379 <AttributeValue> will apply to any requesting user for which that role <Attribute> and
380 <AttributeValue> are in the XACML Request Context.

381 XACML allows multiple role attributes or role attribute values to be associated with a given Subject. If
382 a Subject has multiple roles enabled, then any Role <PolicySet> instance applying to any of those
383 roles may be evaluated, and the permissions in the corresponding Permission <PolicySet> will be
384 permitted. As described in *Section 1.6: Multi-Role Permissions*, it is even possible to define policies that
385 require a given Subject to have multiple role attributes or values enabled at the same time. In this
386 case, the permissions associated with the multiple-role requirement will apply only to a Subject having
387 all the necessary role attributes and values at the time an XACML Request Context is presented to the
388 PDP for evaluation.

389 The Permission <PolicySet> associated with a given role may allow access to multiple resources
390 using multiple actions. XACML has a rich set of constructs for composing permissions, so there are
391 multiple ways in which multi-permission roles may be expressed. Any Role *A* may be associated with a
392 Permission <PolicySet> *B* by including a <PolicySetIdReference> to Permission <PolicySet>
393 *B* in the Permission <PolicySet> associated with the Role *A*. In this way, the same set of permissions

394 may be associated with more than one role.

395 In addition to the basic Core RBAC requirements, XACML policies using this Profile can also express
396 arbitrary conditions on the application of particular permissions associated with a role. Such conditions
397 might include limiting the permissions to a given time period during the day, or limiting the permissions to
398 role holders who also possess some other attribute, whether it is a role attribute or not.

399 4.2 Hierarchical RBAC

400 Hierarchical RBAC, as defined in [ANSI-RBAC], expands Core RBAC with the ability to define
401 inheritance relations between roles. For example, *Role A* may be defined to inherit all permissions
402 associated with *Role B*. In this case, *Role A* is considered to be *senior* to *Role B* in the role hierarchy. If
403 *Role B* in turn inherits permissions associated with *Role C*, then *Role A* will also inherit those
404 permissions by virtue of being senior to *Role B*.

405 XACML policies using this Profile can implement role inheritance by including a
406 `<PolicySetIdReference>` to the Permission `<PolicySet>` associated with one role inside the
407 Permission `<PolicySet>` associated with another role. The role that includes the
408 `<PolicySetIdReference>` will then inherit the permissions associated with the referenced role.

409 This Profile structures policies in such a way that inheritance properties may be added to a role at any
410 time without requiring changes to `<PolicySet>` instances associated with any other roles. An
411 organization may not initially use role hierarchies, but may later decide to make use of this functionality
412 without having to rewrite existing policies.

413 4.3 Separation of Duty

414 *Separation of Duty* is a way of avoiding conflicts of interest associated with conflicting roles: a user with
415 one role attribute is not allowed to have some other, conflicting role attribute. *Static* Separation of Duty
416 (SSD) relations reduce the number of potential permissions that can be made available to a user by
417 placing constraints on the users that can be assigned to a set of roles. *Dynamic* Separation of Duty
418 (DSD) relations, like SSD relations, are intended to limit the permissions that are available to a user.
419 However DSD relations differ from SSD relations by the context in which these limitations are imposed:
420 they limit the entire space of role attributes that may be associated with a user [ANSI-RBAC].

421 XACML can be used to handle the requirements of Separation of Duty in a number of ways. This Profile
422 recommends use of a Separation of Duty `<PolicySet>` or a Policy Assignment `<PolicySet>`.

423 Separation of Duty `<PolicySet>`

424 A Separation of Duty `<PolicySet>` prevents a user who possesses conflicting role attributes from
425 gaining any access to resources. It acts as a gatekeeper to all the other Role `<PolicySet>` and
426 Permission `<PolicySet>` instances.

427 An example of a Separation of Duty `<PolicySet>` follows. This `<PolicySet>` states that a user may
428 not hold both the *employee* and *contractor* roles at the time an access is requested.

```
<PolicySet xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:policy"
  PolicySetId="Separation:of:Duty:PolicySet"
  PolicyCombiningAlgId="&policy-combine;deny-overrides">

  <!-- Disallow simultaneous contractor and employee roles -->
  <Policy PolicyId="contractor:AND:employee:disallowed"
    RuleCombiningAlgId="&rule-combine;deny-overrides">
    <Target>
      <Subjects>
        <Subject>
          <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function:anyURI-equal">
            <AttributeValue
              DataType="&xml:anyURI">&roles;employee</AttributeValue>
            <SubjectAttributeDesignator
              AttributeId="&role;"
              DataType="&xml:anyURI"/>
          </SubjectMatch>
        </Subject>
      </Subjects>
    </Target>
  </Policy>
</PolicySet>
```



```

    </SubjectMatch>
    <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
      <AttributeValue
        DataType="&xml;anyURI">&roles;contractor</AttributeValue>
      <SubjectAttributeDesignator
        AttributeId="&role;"
        DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
    </SubjectMatch>
  </Subject>
</Subjects>
</Target>
<Rule RuleId="Deny:target:role:combination" Effect="Deny"/>
</Policy>

<!-- Reference the Role PolicySets that are subject
to separation of duty -->
<PolicySetIdReference>RPS:employee:role</PolicySetIdReference>
<PolicySetIdReference>RPS:contractor:role</PolicySetIdReference>
<PolicySetIdReference>RPS:manager:role</PolicySetIdReference>
</PolicySet>

```

Table 9 Separation of Duty <PolicySet> Example

429 The Policy or Policies that specify the role restrictions in a Separation of Duty <PolicySet> can make
 430 use of all the expressiveness of XACML. Restrictions can be placed on the total number of roles held at
 431 once, on particular combinations of roles, or on various combinations of conditions.

432 **Role Assignment <PolicySet>**

433 In some environments, it is desirable to prevent a user from being associated with conflicting roles in the
 434 first place. Since an XACML PDP does not assign attributes to users, an XACML PDP will not by itself
 435 prevent assignment of conflicting role attributes to a user. A Role Enablement Authority, however, may
 436 make use of a Role Assignment <PolicySet> that contains Separation of Duty restrictions.

437 The following example illustrates an XACML <Rule> that can be included in a Role Assignment
 438 <PolicySet> implementing a Separation of Duty restriction. It allows *Seth* or *Anne* to enable any two
 439 out of the set of possible role attributes:

```

<Rule RuleId="Permission:to:hold:employee:role" Effect="Permit">
  <Target>
    <Subjects>
      <Subject>
        <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;string-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;string">Seth</AttributeValue>
          <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&subject;subject-id"
            DataType="&xml;string"/>
        </SubjectMatch>
      </Subject>
      <Subject>
        <SubjectMatch MatchId="&function;string-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;string">Anne</AttributeValue>
          <SubjectAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&subject;subject-id"
            DataType="&xml;string"/>
        </SubjectMatch>
      </Subject>
    </Subjects>
    <Actions>
      <Action>
        <ActionMatch MatchId="&function;anyURI-equal">
          <AttributeValue
            DataType="&xml;anyURI">&actions;enableRole</AttributeVa
            lue>
          <ActionAttributeDesignator
            AttributeId="&action;action-id"
            DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
        </ActionMatch>
      </Action>
    </Actions>
  </Target>
</Rule>

```

```
        </Action>
      </Actions>
    </Target>
    <Condition FunctionId="&function;integer-less-than-or-equal">
      <Apply FunctionId="&function;anyURI-bag-size">
        <ResourceAttributeDesignator
          AttributeId="&role;"
          DataType="&xml;anyURI"/>
      </Apply>
      <AttributeValue
        DataType="&xml;integer">2</AttributeValue>
    </Condition>
  </Rule>
```

Table 10 Separation of Duty <Rule> Example

440 Again, the full expressiveness of XACML may be used in specifying role assignment restrictions.
441 Restrictions may be placed on assignment or enablement of particular combinations of roles, on the total
442 number of roles assigned or enabled, or on arbitrary other role assignment or enablement conditions.
443 See *Section 3: Assigning and Enabling Role Attributes* for more information about use of Role
444 Assignment <PolicySet>s.

445 5 Profile (normative)

446 5.1 Roles and Role Attributes

447 Roles SHALL be expressed using one or more XACML Attributes. Each application domain using this
448 Profile for role based access control SHALL define or agree upon one or more AttributeId values to be
449 used for role attributes. Each such AttributeId value SHALL be associated with a set of permitted values
450 and their DataTypes. Each permitted value for such an AttributeId SHALL have well-defined semantics
451 for the use of the corresponding value in policies.

452 This Profile RECOMMENDS use of the “urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:subject:role”
453 AttributeId value for all role attributes. Instances of this Attribute SHOULD have a DataType of
454 “http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#anyURI”.

455 5.2 Role Assignment or Enablement

456 A Role Enablement Authority, responsible for assigning roles to users and for enabling roles for use
457 within a user's session, MAY use an XACML Role Assignment <Policy> or <PolicySet> to
458 determine which users are allowed to enable which roles and under which conditions. There is no
459 prescribed form for a Role Assignment <Policy> or <PolicySet>. It is RECOMMENDED that roles
460 be expressed as Resource Attributes, where the AttributeId is &role; and the
461 <AttributeValue> is the URI for the relevant role value. It is RECOMMENDED that the action of
462 assigning or enabling a role be expressed as an Action Attribute, where the AttributeId is
463 &action;action-id, the DataType is &xml:anyURI, and the <AttributeValue> is
464 &actions;enableRole.

465 5.3 Access Control

466 Role based access control SHALL be implemented using two types of <PolicySet> elements: Role
467 <PolicySet>, Permission <PolicySet>. A third type of <PolicySet> element – a Separation of
468 Duty <PolicySet> - MAY be used. The specific functions and requirements of these three types of
469 elements are as follows.

470 For each role, one Role <PolicySet> SHALL be defined. Such a <PolicySet> SHALL contain a
471 <Target> element that makes the <PolicySet> applicable only to Subjects having the XACML
472 Attribute associated with the given role; the <Target> element SHALL NOT restrict the Resource,
473 Action, or Environment. Each Role <PolicySet> SHALL contain a single
474 <PolicySetIdReference> element that references the unique Permission <PolicySet> associated
475 with the role. The Role <PolicySet> SHALL NOT contain any other <Policy>, <PolicySet>,
476 <PolicyIdReference>, or <PolicySetIdReference> elements.

477 For each role, one Permission <PolicySet> SHALL be defined. Such a <PolicySet> SHALL contain
478 <Policy> and <Rule> elements that specify the types of access permitted to Subjects having the given
479 role. The <Target> of the <PolicySet> and its included or referenced <PolicySet>, <Policy>,
480 and <Rule> elements SHALL NOT limit the Subjects to which the Permission <PolicySet> is
481 applicable.

482 If a given role inherits permissions from one or more junior roles, then the Permission <PolicySet> for
483 the given (senior) role SHALL include a <PolicySetIdReference> element for each junior role. Each
484 such <PolicySetIdReference> shall reference the Permission <PolicySet> associated with the
485 junior role from which the senior role inherits.

486 A Permission <PolicySet> MAY include a HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy>. Such a <Policy>
487 SHALL have a <Rule> element with an effect of “Permit”. This Rule SHALL permit any Subject to
488 perform an Action with an Attribute having an AttributeId of &action;action-id, a DataType of
489 &xml:anyURI, and an <AttributeValue> having a value of &actions;hasPrivilegesOfRole
490 on a Resource having an Attribute that is the role to which the Permission <PolicySet> applies (for

491 example, an AttributeId of &role;, a DataType of &xml:anyURI, and an <AttributeValue>
492 whose value is the URI of the specific role value). Note that the role Attribute, which is a Subject
493 Attribute in a Role <PolicySet> <Target>, is treated as a Resource Attribute in a
494 HasPrivilegesOfRole <Policy>.

495 The organization of any repository used for policies and the configuration of the PDP SHALL ensure that
496 the PDP can never use a Permission <PolicySet> as the PDP's initial policy.

497 If a Static Separation of Duty <PolicySet> is used, then the organization of any repository used for
498 policies and the configuration of the PDP SHALL ensure that the PDP can never use a Role
499 <PolicySet> or Permission <PolicySet> as the PDP's initial policy.

500 6 Identifiers (normative)

501 This Profile defines the following URN identifiers.

502 6.1 Profile Identifier

503 The following identifier SHALL be used as the identifier for this Profile when an identifier in the form of a
504 URI is required.

505 `urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:profiles:role-based-access-control`

506 6.2 SubjectCategory

507 The following identifier MAY be used as the `SubjectCategory` for Subject Attributes identifying that a
508 Request is coming from a Role Enablement Authority.

509 `urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:subject-category:role-enablement-authority`

510 6.3 Action Attribute Values

511 The following identifier MAY be used as the `<AttributeValue>` of the `&action;action-id` Attribute
512 in a `HasPrivilegesOfRole` `<Policy>`.

513 `urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:actions:hasPrivilegesOfRole`

514 The following identifier MAY be used as the `<AttributeValue>` of the `&action;action-id` Attribute
515 in a `Role Assignment` `<Policy>`.

516 `urn:oasis:names:tc:xacml:2.0:actions:enableRole`

517 **7 References**

518 **7.1 Normative References**

- 519 **[RFC2119]** S. Bradner, *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*, IETF
520 RFC 2119, March 1997, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
521 **[XACML]** T. Moses, ed., *OASIS eXtensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML)*,
522 Versions 1.0, 1.1, and 2.0, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/xacml>.

523 **7.2 Non-normative References**

- 524 **[ANSI-RBAC]** NIST, *Role Based Access Control*, ANSI INCITS 359-2004,
525 <http://csrc.nist.gov/rbac/> .
526 **[RBACIntro]** D. Ferraiolo, R. Sandhu, S. Gavrila, D.R. Kuhn, R. Chandramouli, *Proposed*
527 *NIST Standard for Role-Based Access Control*,
528 <http://csrc.nist.gov/rbac/rbacSTD-ACM.pdf>, ACM Transaction on Information and
529 System Security, Vol. 4, No. 3, August 2001, pages 224-274.
530 **[XACMLIntro]** A Brief Introduction to XACML, [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/2713/Brief_Introduction_to_XACML.html)
531 [open.org/committees/download.php/2713/Brief_Introduction_to_XACML.html](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/2713/Brief_Introduction_to_XACML.html), 14
532 March 2003.

533

A. Acknowledgments

534 The editor would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS XACML Technical Committee,
535 whose voting members at the time of publication were:

536 • Frank Siebenlist, Argonne National Laboratory

537 • Daniel Engovatov, BEA Systems, Inc.

538 • Hal Lockhart, BEA Systems, Inc.

539 • Tim Moses, Entrust

540 • Simon Godik, GlueCode Software

541 • Bill Parducci, GlueCode Software

542 • Michiharu Kudo, IBM

543 • Michael McIntosh, IBM

544 • Anthony Nadalin, IBM

545 • Steve Anderson, OpenNetwork

546 • Anne Anderson, Sun Microsystems

547 • Seth Proctor, Sun Microsystems

548 • Polar Humenn, Syracuse University

549 In addition, the following people made contributions to this specification:

550 • Aleksey Studnev, Exigen Group

551 • Ravi Sandhu, George Mason Univ.

552 • John Barkley, NIST

553 • Ramaswamy Chandramouli, NIST

554 • David Ferraiolo, NIST

555 • Rick Kuhn, NIST

556 • Serban Gavrila, VDG Inc.

557

B. Revision History

558

| Rev | Date | By Whom | What |
|-----|-------------|---------------|---|
| 01 | 12 Feb 2004 | Anne Anderson | Document in Committee Specification format created from the Working Draft at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/2405/wd-xacml-rbac-profile-01.doc |
| 02 | 12 Jul 2004 | Anne Anderson | [RBAC] changed to [ANSI-RBAC]. Bill and Simon affiliation changed to GlueCode Software. Added non-normative Scope. Added optional HasPrivilegesOfRole policy and request. Added normative Identifiers section. Described non-normative function for requesting role enablement. |

559

560

C. Notices

561 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
562 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
563 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it
564 represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with
565 respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights
566 made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an
567 attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by
568 implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

569 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications,
570 or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this
571 specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

572 **Copyright © OASIS Open 2004. All Rights Reserved.**

573 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
574 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published
575 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright
576 notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document
577 itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS,
578 except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for
579 copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required
580 to translate it into languages other than English.

581 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
582 or assigns.

583 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
584 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
585 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS
586 OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR
587 PURPOSE.