

Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Systems

Business Transaction Protocol

An OASIS Committee Specification

Version 1.0 [0.9]

DD Mmm 2001 [24 October 2001]

<i>Working draft 0.1 (pre-London)</i>	14 June 2001
<i>Working draft 0.2 (London)</i>	18 June 2001
<i>Working draft 0.3a (circulated)</i>	12 July 2001
<i>Working draft 0.3b (not circulated)</i>	17 July 2001
<i>Working draft 0.3c (circulated)</i>	20 July 2001
<i>Working draft 0.4 (circulated; incorporates PRF material)</i>	25 July 2001
<i>Working draft 0.5 (uncirculated)</i>	8 August 2001
<i>Working draft 0.6 (State tables)</i>	31 August 2001
<i>Working draft 0.7 (revised abs msgs) – (not circulated)</i>	28 September 2001
<i>Working draft 0.72 (completed abs msg revsn 0.7)</i>	3 October 2001
<i>Working draft 0.80 – full scope, PRF handback</i>	18 October 2001
<i>Pre-final Draft 0.9</i>	24 October 2001

Copyright and related notices

Copyright © The Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS), 2001. All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

Acknowledgements

Employees of the following companies participated in the finalization of this specification as members of the OASIS Business Transactions Technical Committee:

BEA Systems, Inc.
Bowstreet, Inc.
Choreology Ltd.
Entrust, Inc.
Hewlett-Packard Co.
Interwoven Inc.
IONA Technologies PLC
SeeBeyond Inc.
Sun Microsystems Computer Corp.
Talking Blocks Inc.

The primary authors and editors of the main body of the specification were:

Alex Ceponkus (alex@ceponkus.org)
Peter Furniss (peter.furniss@choreology.com)
Alastair Green (alastair.green@choreology.com)

Additional contributions to its writing were made by

Sanjay Dalal (sanjay.dalal@bea.com)
Mark Little (mark_little@hp.com)

We thank Pal Takacsi-Nagy of BEA Systems Inc for his efforts in chairing the Technical Committee, and Karl Best of OASIS for his guidance on the organization of the Committee's work.

In memory of Ed Felt

Ed Felt of BEA Systems Inc. was an active and highly valued contributor to the work of the OASIS Business Transactions Technical Committee.

His many years of design and implementation experience with the Tuxedo system, Weblogic's Java transactions, and Weblogic Integration's Conversation Management Protocol were brought to bear in his comments on and proposals for this specification.

He was killed in the crash of the hijacked United Airlines flight 93 near to Pittsburgh, on 11 September 2001.

Typographical and Linguistic Conventions and Style

The initial letters of words in terms which are defined (at least in their substantive or infinitive form) in the Glossary are capitalized whenever the term used with that exact meaning, thus:

Cancel
Participant
Application Message

The first occurrence of a word defined in the Glossary is given in bold, thus:

Coordinator

Such words may be given in bold in other contexts (for example, in section headings or captions) to emphasize their status as formally defined terms.

The names of abstract BTP protocol messages are given in upper-case throughout:

BEGIN
CONTEXT
RESIGN

The values of elements within a BTP protocol message are indicated thus:

BEGIN/atom

BTP protocol messages that are related semantically are joined by an ampersand:

BEGIN/atom & CONTEXT

BTP protocol messages that are transmitted together in a compound are joined by a + sign:

ENROL + VOTE

XML schemata and instances are given in Courier:

```
<btp:begin> ... </btp:begin>
```

Illustrative fragments of code in other languages, such as Java, are given in Lucida Console:

```
int main (String[] args)
{
}
```

Terms such as **MUST**, **MAY** and so on, which are defined in RFC [TBD number], “[TBD title]” are used with the meanings given in that document but are given in lowercase bold, rather than in upper-case:

147 An Inferior **must** send one of RESIGN, PREPARED or CANCELLED to its
148 Superior.
149
150

150	Contents	
151		
152	Copyright and related notices	2
153	Acknowledgements	3
154	Typographical and Linguistic Conventions and Style	4
155	Contents.....	6
156	Part 1. Purpose and Features of BTP.....	9
157	Introduction	9
158	Development and Maintenance of the Specification	10
159	Overview of the Business Transaction Protocol.....	11
160	Part 2. Normative Specification of BTP	14
161	Actors, Roles and Relationships.....	14
162	Relationships	14
163	Roles involved in the Superior:Inferior relationship	16
164	Superior	16
165	Inferior	17
166	Enroller.....	18
167	Participant	19
168	Sub-coordinator.....	19
169	Sub-composer	20
170	Roles involved in the Terminator:Decider relationship	20
171	Decider.....	20
172	Coordinator	21
173	Composer.....	21
174	Terminator	21
175	Initiator	22
176	Factory	22
177	Other roles	23
178	Redirector.....	23
179	Status Requestor.....	23
180	Abstract Messages and Associated Contracts.....	24
181	Addresses.....	24
182	Request/response pairs.....	26
183	Compounding messages.....	26
184	Extensibility	27
185	Inferior handle	28
186	Messages	28
187	Qualifiers.....	28
188	CONTEXT.....	29
189	CONTEXT_REPLY.....	30
190	BEGIN	31
191	BEGUN.....	32
192	ENROL	33
193	ENROLLED	34
194	RESIGN	35
195	RESIGNED	36
196	PREPARE.....	36

197	PREPARED.....	38
198	CONFIRM.....	39
199	CONFIRMED.....	40
200	CANCEL.....	42
201	CANCELLED.....	43
202	CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE.....	45
203	HAZARD.....	46
204	CONTRADICTION.....	47
205	SUPERIOR_STATE.....	47
206	INFERIOR_STATE.....	49
207	REQUEST_CONFIRM.....	51
208	REQUEST_STATUSES.....	52
209	INFERIOR_STATUSES.....	53
210	REQUEST_STATUS.....	55
211	STATUS.....	56
212	REDIRECT.....	58
213	FAULT.....	59
214	Standard qualifiers.....	60
215	Transaction timelimit.....	60
216	Inferior timeout.....	61
217	Minimum inferior timeout.....	62
218	Inferior name.....	62
219	State Tables.....	64
220	Explanation of the state tables.....	64
221	Status queries.....	64
222	Decision events.....	64
223	Disruptions – failure events.....	65
224	Invalid cells and assumptions of the communication mechanism.....	65
225	Meaning of state table events.....	66
226	Persistent information.....	69
227	Failure Recovery.....	83
228	Types of failure.....	83
229	Persistent information.....	84
230	Redirection.....	85
231	Terminator:Decider failures.....	86
232	XML representation of Message Set.....	86
233	Addresses.....	86
234	Qualifiers.....	87
235	Identifiers.....	87
236	Message References.....	88
237	Messages.....	88
238	CONTEXT.....	88
239	CONTEXT -REPLY.....	88
240	BEGIN.....	88
241	BEGUN.....	89
242	ENROL.....	89
243	ENROLLED.....	89
244	RESIGN.....	90

245	RESIGNED	90
246	PREPARE.....	90
247	PREPARED.....	91
248	CONFIRM.....	91
249	CONFIRMED	91
250	CANCEL.....	92
251	CANCELLED.....	92
252	HAZARD	93
253	CONTRADICTION.....	93
254	SUPERIOR_STATE.....	93
255	INFERIOR_STATE.....	94
256	CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE.....	94
257	REQUEST_CONFIRM.....	94
258	REQUEST_STATUSES.....	95
259	INFERIOR_STATUSES.....	95
260	REQUEST_STATUS	96
261	STATUS.....	96
262	REDIRECT.....	97
263	FAULT.....	97
264	Standard qualifiers.....	98
265	Transaction timelimit	98
266	Inferior timeout	98
267	Minimum inferior timeout.....	98
268	Compounding of Messages.....	99
269	Carrier Protocol Bindings	100
270	Carrier Protocol Binding Proforma	100
271	SOAP Binding.....	101
272	Example scenario using SOAP binding	102
273	SOAP + Attachments Binding	104
274	XML Schema for SOAP Bindings	106
275	Conformance.....	118
276	Part 3. Appendices	120
277	A. Glossary	120
278		
279		

Part 1. Purpose and Features of BTP

Introduction

This document, which describes and defines the Business Transaction Protocol (BTP), is a Committee Specification of the Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS). The standard has been authored by the collective work of representatives of ten software product companies (listed on page 3), grouped in the Business Transactions Technical Committee (BT TC) of OASIS.

The OASIS BTP Technical Committee began its work at an inaugural meeting in San Jose, Calif. on 13 March 2001, and this specification was endorsed as a Committee Specification by a [*** unanimous] vote on [*** date].

BTP uses a two-phase outcome coordination protocol to create atomic effects (results of computations). BTP also permits the composition of such atomic units of work (atoms) into cohesive business transactions (cohesions) which allow application intervention into the selection of the atoms which will be confirmed, and of those which will be cancelled.

BTP is designed to allow transactional coordination of participants which are part of services offered by multiple autonomous organizations (as well as within a single organization). It is therefore ideally suited for use in a Web Services environment. For this reason this specification defines communications protocol bindings which target the emerging Web Services arena, while preserving the capacity to carry BTP messages over other communication protocols. Protocol message structure and content constraints are schematized in XML, and message content is encoded in XML instances.

The BTP allows great flexibility in the implementation of business transaction participants. Such participants enable the consistent reversal of the effects of atoms. BTP participants may use recorded before- or after-images, or compensation operations to provide the “roll-forward, roll-back” capacity which enables their subordination to the overall outcome of an atomic business transaction.

The BTP is an interoperation protocol which defines the roles which software agents (actors) may occupy, the messages that pass between such actors, and the obligations upon and commitments made by actors-in-roles. It does not define the programming interfaces to be used by application programmers to stimulate message flow or associated state changes.

The BTP is based on a permissive and minimal approach, where constraints on implementation choices are avoided. The protocol also tries to avoid unnecessary dependencies on other standards, with the aim of lowering the hurdle to implementation.

Development and Maintenance of the Specification

For more information on the genesis and development of BTP, please consult the OASIS BT Technical Committee's website, at

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/business-transactions/>

As of the date of adoption of this specification the OASIS BT Technical Committee is still in existence, with the charter of

- ❑ maintaining the specification in the light of implementation experiences
- ❑ coordinating publicity for BTP
- ❑ liaising with other standards bodies whose work affects or may be affected by BTP
- ❑ reviewing the appropriate time, in the light of implementation experience and user support, to put BTP forward for adoption as a full OASIS standard

If you have a question about the functionality of BTP, or wish to report an error or to suggest a modification to the specification, please subscribe to:

bt-spec@lists.oasis-open.org

Any employee of a corporate member of OASIS, or any individual member of OASIS, may subscribe to OASIS mail lists, and is also entitled to apply to join the Technical Committee.

The main list of the committee is:

business-transaction@lists.oasis-open.org

Overview of the Business Transaction Protocol

A Business Transaction is a consistent change in the state of a business relationship between two or more parties. BTP provides means to allow the consistent and coordinated changes in the relationship as viewed from each party.

BTP assumes that for a given business transaction state changes occur, or are desired, in some set of parties, and that these changes are related in some business-defined manner.

Typically business-defined messages (“application messages”) are exchanged between the parties to the transaction, which result in the performance of some set of operations. These operations create provisional or tentative state changes (the transaction’s effect). The provisional changes of each party must either be confirmed (given final effect), or must be cancelled (counter-effected). Those parties which are confirmed create an atomic unit, within which the business transaction has a consistent final effect.

The meaning of “effect”, “final effect” and “counter-effect” is specific to each business transaction and to each party’s role within it. A party may log intended changes (as its effect) and only process them as visible state changes on confirmation (its final effect). Or it may make visible state changes and store the information needed to cancel (its effect), and then simply delete the information needed for cancellation (its final effect). A counter-effect may be a precise inversion or removal of provisional changes, or it may be the processing of operations that in some way compensate for, make good, alleviate or supplement their effect.

To ensure that confirmation or cancellation of the provisional effect within different parties can be consistently performed, it is necessary that each party should

- ❑ determine whether it is able both to cancel (counter-effect) and to confirm (give final effect to) its effect
- ❑ report its ability or inability to cancel-or-confirm (its preparedness) to a central coordinating entity

After receiving these reports, the coordinating entity is responsible for determining which of the parties should be instructed to confirm and which should be instructed to cancel.

Such a two-phase exchange (ask, instruct) mediated by a central coordinator is required to achieve a consistent outcome for a set of operations. BTP defines the means for software agents executing on network nodes to interoperate using a two-phase coordination protocol, leading either to the abandonment of the entire attempted transaction, or to the selection of an internally consistent set of confirmed operations.

BTP centres on the bilateral relationship between the computer systems of the coordinating entity and those of one of the parties in the overall business transaction. In that relationship a software agent within the coordinating entity’s systems plays the BTP role of Superior for a given transaction and one or more software agents within the systems of the party play the BTP role of Inferior. Each Inferior has one Superior, therefore, while a single Superior may

have multiple Inferiors within each party to the transaction, and may be related to Inferiors within multiple parties. Each Superior:Inferior pair exchanges protocol-defined messages.

An Inferior is associated with some set of operation invocations that creates effect (provisional or tentative changes) within the party, for a given business transaction. The Inferior is responsible for reporting to its related Superior whether its associated operations' effect can be confirmed/cancelled. A Superior is responsible for gathering the reports of all of its Inferiors, in order to ascertain which should be cancelled or confirmed. For example, if a Superior is acting as an atomic Coordinator it will treat any Inferior which cannot prepare to cancel/confirm as having veto power over the whole business transaction, causing the Superior to instruct all its Inferiors to cancel. A Superior may, under the dictates of a controlling application, increase or reduce the set of Inferiors to which a common confirm or cancel outcome may be delivered. Thus, the set of prepared Inferiors may be larger than the set of confirmed Inferiors.

A Inferior:Superior relationship is typically established in relation to one or more application messages sent from one part of the application (linked to the Superior) to some other part of the application to request the performance of operations that are to be subject to the confirm or cancel decision of the Superior. If an application is divided between a client and a service, which use RPCs to communicate application requests and responses, then the client would typically be associated with the Superior and the service would typically host the Inferior(s). (BTP does not mandate such an application topology nor does it require the use of RPC or any other application communication paradigm.)

BTP defines a CONTEXT message that can be sent "in relation to" such application messages. On receipt of a CONTEXT, one or more Inferiors are created and "enrolled" with the Superior, establishing the Superior:Inferior relationships. The particular mechanisms by which a CONTEXT is "related" to application is an issue for the application protocol and its binding to carrier mechanisms. BTP does not require that the enrolment is requested by any particular entity – in a particular implementation this may be done by the Inferior itself, by parts of the application or by other entities involved in the transmission of the CONTEXT and the application messages. BTP defines a CONTEXT_REPLY message that can be sent on the return path of the CONTEXT to indicate whether the enrolment was successful. Without CONTEXT_REPLY it would be possible for a Superior to have an incorrect view of which Inferiors it was supposed to involve in its confirm decision.

It should be noted that this BTP specification recognises that:

- ❑ an Inferior may itself be a Superior to other BTP Inferiors; this occurs when some of the operations associated with the Inferior involve other application elements whose operations are to be subject to the confirm/cancel instruction sent to the Inferior. The specification treats any lower Inferiors as part of the associated operations;
- ❑ the requirement on an Inferior to be able to confirm or cancel does not include any specific mechanism to determine the isolation of the effects of operations; the requirement is only that the Inferior is able to confirm or cancel the operations, as their effects are known to the Superior and the application directly in contact with the Superior. Thus the confirm-or-cancel requirement may be achieved by performing all the operations and remembering a compensating counter operation (that will be triggered by a cancel order); or by remembering the operations (having checked they

455 are valid) and performing them only if a confirm order is received; or by forbidding
456 any other access to data changed by the operations and releasing them in their
457 unchanged state (if cancelled) or their changed state (if confirmed); or by various
458 combinations of these. In addition, a cancellation may not return data to their original
459 state, but only to a state accepted by the application as appropriate to a cancelled
460 operation.
461
462
463
464
465
466
467

Part 2. Normative Specification of BTP

Actors, Roles and Relationships

Actors are software agents which process computations. BTP actors are addressable for the purposes of receiving application and BTP protocol messages transmitted over some underlying communications or carrier protocol. (See section “Addressing” for more detail.)

BTP actors play roles in the sending, receiving and processing of messages. These roles are associated with responsibilities or obligations under the terms of software contracts defined by this specification. (These contracts are stated formally in the sections entitled “Abstract Messages and Associated Contracts” and “State Tables”.) A BTP actor’s computations put the contracts into effect.

A role is defined and described in terms of a single business transaction. An implementation supporting a role may, as an addressable entity, play the same role in multiple business transactions, simultaneously or consecutively, or a separate addressable entity may be created for each transaction. This is a choice for the implementer, and the addressing mechanisms allow interoperation between implementations that make different choices.

Within a single transaction, one actor may play several roles, or each role may be assigned to a distinct actor. This is again a choice for the implementer. An actor playing a role is termed an “actor-in-role”.

Actors may interoperate, in the sense that the roles played by actors may be implemented using software created by different vendors for each actor-in-role. The section “Conformance”, gives guidelines on the groups of roles that may be implemented in a partial, interoperable implementation of BTP.

The descriptions of the roles concentrate on the normal progression of a business transaction, and some of the more important divergences from this. They do not cover all exception cases – the message set definition and the state tables provide a more comprehensive specification.

Note – A BTP role is approximately equivalent to an interface in some distributed computing mechanisms, or a port-type in WSDL. The definition of a role includes behaviour.

Relationships

There are two primary relationships in BTP.

- Between an application element that determines that a business transaction should be completed (the role of Terminator) and the BTP actor at the top of the transaction tree (the role of Decider);

510

511 □ Between BTP actors within the tree, where one (the Superior) will inform the other
512 (the Inferior) what the outcome decision is.

513

514 These primary relationships are involved in arriving at a decision on the outcome of a
515 business transaction, and propagating that decision to all parties to the transaction. Taking the
516 path that is followed when a business transaction is confirmed:

- 517 1. The Terminator determines that the business transaction should confirm, if it can; or
518 (for a Cohesion), which parts should confirm
- 519 2. The Terminator asks the Decider to apply the desired outcome to the tree, if it can
520 guarantee the consistency of the confirm decision
- 521 3. The Decider, which is Superior to one or more Inferiors, asks its Inferiors if they can
522 agree to a confirm decision (for a Cohesion, this may not be all the Inferiors)
- 523 4. If any of those Inferiors are also Superiors, they ask their Inferiors and so on down
524 the tree
- 525 5. Inferiors that are not Superiors report if they can agree to a confirm to their Superior
- 526 6. Inferiors that are also Superiors report their agreement only if they received such
527 agreement from their Inferiors, and can agree themselves
- 528 7. Eventually agreement (or not) is reported to the Decider. If all have agreed, the
529 Decider makes and persists the confirm decision (hence the term “Decider” – it
530 decides, everything else just asked); if any have disagreed, or if the confirm decision
531 cannot be persisted, a cancel decision is made
- 532 8. The Decider, as Superior tells its Inferiors of the outcome
- 533 9. Inferiors that are also Superiors tell their Inferiors, recursively down the tree
- 534 10. The Decider replies to the Terminator’s request to confirm, reporting the outcome
535 decision
536

537 There are other relationships that are secondary to Terminator:Decider, Superior:Inferior,
538 mostly involved in the establishment of the primary relationships.

539

540 The two primary relationships are linked in that a Decider is a Superior to one or more
541 Inferiors. There are also similarities in the semantics of some of the exchanges (messages)
542 within the relationships. However they differ in that

543

544 1. All exchanges between Terminator and Decider are initiated by the Terminator (it is
545 essentially a request/response relationship); either of Superior or Inferior may initiate
546 messages to the other

547

548 2. The Superior:Inferior relationship is recoverable – depending on the progress of the
549 relationship, the two sides will re-establish their shared state after failure; the
550 Terminator:Decider relationship is not recoverable

551

3. The nature of the Superior:Inferior relationship requires that the two parties know of each other's addresses from when the relationship is established; the Decider does not need to know the address of the Terminator (provided it has some way of returning the response to a received message).

In the following sections, the responsibility of each role is defined, and the messages that are sent or received by that role are listed. Note that some roles exist only to have a name for an actor that issues a message and receives a reply to that message. Some of these roles may be played by several actors in the course of a single business transaction.

Roles involved in the Superior:Inferior relationship

Superior

Accepts enrolments from Inferiors, establishing a Superior:Inferior relationship with each. In cooperation with other actors and constrained by the messages exchanged with the Inferior, the Superior determines the **Outcome** applicable to the Inferior and informs the Inferior by sending CONFIRM or CANCEL. This outcome can be confirm only if a PREPARED message is received from the Inferior, and if a record, identifying the Inferior can be persisted. (Whether this record is also a record of a confirm decision depends on the Superior's position in the business transaction as a whole.). The Superior must retain this persistent record until it receives a CONFIRMED (or, in exceptional cases, CANCELLED or HAZARD) from the Inferior.

A Superior may delegate the taking of the confirm or cancel decision to an Inferior, if there is only one Inferior, by sending CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE.

A Superior may be Atomic or Cohesive. An Atomic Superior will apply the same decision to all of its Inferiors; a Cohesive Superior can apply confirm some Inferiors and cancel others, or may confirm some after others have reported cancellation. The set of Inferiors that the Superior confirms (or attempts to confirm) is called the "confirm-set".

If RESIGN is received from an Inferior, the Superior:Inferior relationship is ended; the Inferior has no further effect on the behaviour of the Superior as a whole.

A Superior receives

ENROL

to enrol a new Inferior, establishing a new Superior:Inferior relationship.

A Superior sends

ENROLLED

in reply to ENROL, if the appropriate parameter on the ENROL asked for the reply.

A Superior sends

600
601 PREPARE
602 CONFIRM
603 CANCEL
604 RESIGNED
605 CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE
606 SUPERIOR_STATE
607
608 to an enrolled Inferior.
609
610 A Superior receives
611
612 PREPARED
613 CANCELLED
614 CONFIRMED
615 HAZARD
616 RESIGN
617 INFERIOR_STATE
618
619 from an enrolled Inferior.
620
621 **Inferior**
622
623 Responsible for applying the Outcome to some set of associated operations – the application
624 determines which operations are the responsibility of a particular Inferior.
625
626 An Inferior is **Enrolled** with a single Superior (hereafter referred to as “its Superior”),
627 establishing a Superior:Inferior relationship. If the Inferior is able to ensure that either a
628 confirm or cancel decision can be applied to the associated operations, and can persist
629 information to retain that condition, it sends a PREPARED message to the Superior. When
630 the Outcome is received from the Superior, the Inferior applies it, deletes the persistent
631 information, and replies with CANCELLED or CONFIRMED as appropriate.
632
633 If an Inferior is unable to come to a prepared state, it cancels the associated operations and
634 informs the Superior with a CANCELLED message. If it is unable to either come to a
635 prepared state, or to cancel the associated operations, it informs the Superior with a
636 HAZARD message.
637
638 An Inferior that has become prepared may, exceptionally, make an autonomous decision, to
639 be applied to the associated operations, without waiting for the Outcome from the Superior. It
640 is required to persist this autonomous decision and report it to the Superior with
641 CONFIRMED or CANCELLED as appropriate. If, when CONFIRM or CANCEL is
642 received, the autonomous decision and the decision received from the Superior are
643 contradictory, the Inferior must retain the record of the autonomous decision until receiving a
644 CONTRADICTION message.
645
646 An Inferior receives
647

648 PREPARE
 649 CONFIRM
 650 CANCEL
 651 RESIGNED
 652 CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE
 653 SUPERIOR_STATE
 654
 655 from its Superior.
 656
 657 An Inferior sends
 658
 659 PREPARED
 660 CANCELLED
 661 CONFIRMED
 662 HAZARD
 663 RESIGN
 664 INFERIOR_STATE
 665
 666 to its Superior.
 667
 668 An Inferior receives REQUEST_STATUS and replies with STATUS. If it is also a Superior,
 669 the STATUS concerns the Inferior as a whole.
 670
 671 **Enroller**
 672
 673 Causes the enrolment of an Inferior with a Superior. This role is distinguished because in
 674 some implementations the enrolment request will be performed by the application, in some
 675 the application will ask the actor that will play the role of Inferior to enrol itself, and a
 676 Factory may enrol a new Inferior (which will also be Superior) as a result of receiving
 677 BEGIN&CONTEXT.
 678
 679 An Enroller sends
 680
 681 ENROL
 682
 683 to a Superior.
 684
 685 An Enroller receives
 686
 687 ENROLLED
 688
 689 in reply to ENROL if the Enroller asked for a response when the ENROL was sent.
 690
 691 An ENROL message sent from an Enroller that did not require an ENROLLED response may
 692 be modified *en route* to the Superior by an intermediate actor to ask for an ENROLLED
 693 response to be sent to the intermediate. (This may occur in the “one-shot” scenario, where an
 694 ENROL/no-rsp-req is received in relation to a CONTEXT_REPLY/related; the receiver of
 695 the CONTEXT_REPLY will need to ensure the enrolment is successful).

Participant

An Inferior which is specialized for the purposes of an application. Some application operations are associated directly with the Participant, which is responsible for determining whether a prepared condition is possible for them, and for applying the outcome. (“associated directly” as opposed to involving another BTP Superior:Inferior relationship, in which this actor is the Superior).

The associated operations may be performed by the actor that has the role of Participant, or they may be performed by another actor, and only the confirm/cancel application is performed by the Participant.

In either case, the Participant, as part of becoming prepared (i.e. before it can send PREPARED to the Superior), will persist information allowing it apply a confirm decision to the operations and to apply a cancel decision. The nature of this information depends on the operations.

Note – Possible approaches are:

-
- o The operations may be performed completely and the Participant persists information to perform counter-effect operations (compensating operations) to apply cancellation;
 - o The operations may be just checked and not performed at all; the Participant persists information to perform them to apply confirmation;
 - o The Participants persists the prior state of data affected by the operations and the operations are performed; the Participant restores the prior state to apply cancellation;
 - o As the previous, but other access to the affected data if forbidden until the decision is known

Sub-coordinator

An Inferior which is also an Atomic Superior.

A sub-coordinator is the Inferior in one Superior:Inferior relationship and the Superior in one or more Superior:Inferior relationships.

From the perspective of its Superior (the one the sub-coordinator is Inferior to), there is no difference between a sub-coordinator and any other Inferior. From this perspective, the “associated operations” of the sub-coordinator as an Inferior include the relationships with its Inferiors.

A sub-coordinator does not become prepared (and send PREPARED to its Superior) until and unless it has received PREPARED (or RESIGN) from all its Inferiors. The outcome is propagated to all Inferiors.

Sub-composer

An Inferior which is also a Cohesive Superior.

Like a sub-coordinator, a sub-composer cannot be distinguished from any other Inferior from the perspective of its Superior.

A sub-composer is similar to a sub-coordinator, except that the constraints linking the different Inferiors concern only those Inferiors in the confirm-set. How the confirm-set is controlled, and when is not defined in this specification.

If the sub-composer is instructed to cancel, by receiving a CANCEL message from its Superior, the cancellation is propagated to all its Inferiors.

Roles involved in the Terminator:Decider relationship

Decider

A Superior that is not the Inferior on a Superior:Inferior relationship. It is the top-node in the transaction tree and receives requests from a Terminator as to the desired outcome for the business transaction. If the Terminator asks the Decider to confirm the business transaction, it is the responsibility the Decider to finally take the confirm decision. The taking of the decision is synonymous with the persisting of information identifying the Inferiors that are to be confirmed. An Inferior cannot be confirmed unless PREPARED has been received from it.

A Decider is instructed to cancel by receiving CANCEL/whole.

A Decider that is an Atomic Superior (all Inferiors will have the same outcome) is a Coordinator. A Decider that is a Cohesive Superior (some Inferiors may cancel, some confirm) is a Cohesion.

All Deciders receive
REQUEST_CONFIRM
CANCEL/whole
REQUEST_STATUSES

All Deciders send
CONFIRMED
CANCELLED
INFERIOR_STATUSES

An Decider also REQUEST_STATUS and replies with STATUS, reporting its state as a whole.

787
788 **Coordinator**
789
790 A Decider that is an Atomic Superior. The same outcome decision will be applied to all
791 Inferiors (excluding any from which RESIGN is received).
792
793 PREPARED must be received from all remaining Inferiors for a confirm decision to be taken.
794
795 A Coordinator must make a cancel decision if
796 it is instructed to cancel by the Terminator
797 if CANCELLED is received from any Inferior
798 if it is unable to persist a confirm decision
799

800 **Composer**
801
802 A Decider that is a Cohesive Superior. If the Terminator requests confirmation of the
803 Cohesion, that request will determine the confirm-set of the Cohesion.
804
805 PREPARED must be received from all Inferiors in the confirm-set (excluding any from
806 which RESIGN is received) for a confirm decision to be taken.
807
808 A Composer must make a cancel decision (applying to all Inferiors) if
809 it is instructed to cancel by the Terminator
810 if CANCELLED is received from any Inferior in the confirm-set
811 if it is unable to persist a confirm decision
812
813 A Composer may be asked to prepare some or all of its Inferiors by receiving PREPARE. It
814 issues PREPARE to any of those Inferiors from which none of PREPARED, CANCELLED
815 or RESIGNED have been received, and replies to the PREPARE with
816 INFERIOR_STATUSES.
817
818 A Composer may be asked to cancel some of its Inferiors, but not itself, by receiving
819 CANCEL/inferiors.
820
821 In addition to the messages received by the Composer as a Decider, it receives
822 PREPARE
823 CANCEL/inferiors
824

825 **Terminator**
826
827 Asks a Decider to confirm the business transaction, or instructs it to cancel all or (for a
828 Cohesion) part of the business transaction.
829
830 All communications between Terminator and Decider are initiated by the Terminator. A
831 Terminator is usually an application element.
832
833 A request to confirm is made by sending REQUEST_CONFIRM to the target Decider. If the
834 Decider is a Cohesion Composer, the Terminator may select which of the Composer's

835 Inferiors are to be included in the confirm-set. If the Decider is an Atom Coordinator, all
836 Inferiors are included. After applying the decision, the Decider replies with CONFIRMED,
837 CANCELLED or (in the case of problems) INFERIOR_STATUSES.
838

839 A Terminator may ask a Composer (but not a Coordinator) to prepare some or all of its
840 Inferiors with PREPARE/inferiors. The Composer replies with INFERIOR_STATUSES.
841

842 A Terminator may send CANCEL to instruct the Decider to cancel the whole business
843 transaction, or, if it is a Cohesion Composer, some of its Inferiors. The Decider replies with
844 CANCELLED, or for a selective cancel or in the case of problems, INFERIOR_STATUSES.
845

846 A Terminator may check the status of the Inferiors of the Decider by sending
847 REQUEST_STATUSES. The Decider replies with INFERIOR_STATUSES.
848

849 A Terminator sends
850 REQUEST_CONFIRM
851 CANCEL
852 PREPARE/inferiors
853 REQUEST_STATUSES
854

855 A Terminator receives
856 CONFIRMED
857 CANCELLED
858 INFERIOR_STATUSES
859

860 **Initiator**
861

862 Requests a **Factory** to create a Superior – this will either be a Decider (representing a new
863 top-level business transaction) or a sub-coordinator or sub-composer to be the Inferior of an
864 existing business transaction.
865

866 An Initiator sends
867
868 BEGIN
869 BEGIN & CONTEXT
870

871 to a Factory, and receives in reply
872
873 BEGUN & CONTEXT
874

875 **Factory**
876

877 Creates Superiors and returns the CONTEXT for the new Superior. The following types of
878 Superior are created :
879

880 Decider, which may either
881 Composer or
882 Coordinator

883 Sub-composer
884 Sub-coordinator
885
886 A Factory receives
887
888 BEGIN
889 BEGIN & CONTEXT
890
891 and replies with
892
893 BEGUN & CONTEXT
894
895 If the BEGIN has no related CONTEXT, the Factory creates a Decider, either a Cohesion
896 Composer or an Atom Coordinator, as determined by the “superior type” parameter on the
897 BEGIN.
898
899 If the BEGIN has a related CONTEXT, the new Superior is also enrolled as an Inferior of the
900 Superior identified by the CONTEXT. The new Superior is thus a sub-composer or sub-
901 coordinator, as determined by the “superior type” parameter on the BEGIN.
902
903
904
905 **Other roles**
906
907 **Redirector**
908
909 Sends a REDIRECT message to inform any actor that an address previously supplied for
910 some other actor is no longer appropriate, and to supply a new address to replace the old one.
911
912 A Redirector may send a REDIRECT message in response to receiving a message using the
913 old address, or may send REDIRECT at its own initiative.
914 If a Superior moves from the superior-address in its CONTEXT, or an Inferior moves from
915 the inferior-address in the ENROL message, the implementation **must** ensure that a
916 Redirector catches any inbound messages using the old address and replies with a
917 REDIRECT message giving the new address. (Note that the inbound message may itself be a
918 REDIRECT message.)
919
920 A Redirector **may** also be used to change the address of other BTP actors.
921
922 After receiving a REDIRECT message, the BTP actor **must** use the new address not the old
923 one, unless failure prevents it updating its information.
924
925 **Status Requestor**
926
927 Requests and receives the current status of an Inferior or a Decider. The role of Status
928 Requestor has no responsibilities – it is just a name for where the REQUEST_STATUS
929 comes from.
930

A Status Requestor sends

REQUEST_STATUS

and receives

STATUS

in response.

The information returned will always relate to the actor concerned in its role as an Inferior, even if it also a Superior.

Abstract Messages and Associated Contracts

BT Protocol Messages are defined in this section in terms of the abstract information that has to be communicated. These abstract messages will be mapped to concrete messages communicated by a particular carrier protocol (there can be several such mappings defined).

The abstract message set and the associated state table assume the carrier protocol will

- ❑ deliver messages completely and correctly, or not at all (corrupted messages will not be delivered);
- ❑ report some communication failures, but will not necessarily report all (i.e. not all message deliveries are positively acknowledged within the carrier);
- ❑ sometimes deliver successive messages in a different order than they were sent;

and

- ❑ does not have built-in mechanisms to link a request and a response

Note that these assumptions would be met by a mapping to SMTP and more than met by mappings to SOAP.

However, when the abstract message set is mapped to a carrier protocol that provides a richer service (e.g. reports all delivery failures, guarantees ordered delivery or offers a request/response mechanism), the mapping can take advantage of these features. Typically in such cases, some of the parameters of an abstract message will be implicit in the carrier mechanisms, while the values of other parameters will be directly represented in transmitted elements.

Addresses

All of the messages except CONTEXT and CONTEXT_REPLY have a “target address” parameter and many also have other address parameters. These latter identify the desired target of other messages in the set. In all cases, the exact value will invariably have been originally determined by the implementation that is the target or desired future target.

The detailed format of the address will depend on the particular carrier protocol, but at this abstract level is considered to have three parts. The first part, the “binding name”, identifies the binding to a particular carrier protocol – some bindings are specified in this document, others can be specified elsewhere. The second part of the address, the “binding address”, is meaningful to the carrier protocol itself, which will use it for the communication (i.e. it will permit a message to be delivered to a receiver). The third part, “additional information”, is not used or understood by the carrier protocol. The “additional information” may be a structured value.

When a message is actually transmitted, the “binding name” of the target address will identify which carrier protocol is in use and the “binding address” will identify the destination, as known to the carrier protocol. The entire binding address is considered to be “consumed” by the carrier protocol implementation. All of it may be used by the sending implementation, or some of it may be transmitted in headers, or as part of a URL in the carrier protocol, but then used or consumed by the receiving implementation of the carrier protocol to direct the BTP message to a BTP-aware entity (BTP-aware in that it is capable of interpreting the BTP messages). The “additional information” of the target address will be part of the BTP message itself and used in some way by the receiving BTP-aware entity (it could be used to route the message on to some other BTP entity). Thus, for the target address, only the “additional information” field is transmitted in the BTP message and the “additional information” is opaque to parties other than the recipient.

For other addresses in BTP messages, all three components will be within the message.

All messages that concern a particular Superior:Inferior relationship have an identifier parameter for the target side as well as the compound target address. This allows full flexibility for implementation choices – an implementation can:

- a) Use the same binding address and additional information for multiple business transactions, using the identifier parameter to locate the relevant state information;
- b) Use the same binding address for multiple business transactions and use the additional information to locate the information; or
- c) Use a different binding address for each business transaction.

Which of these choices is used is opaque to the entity sending the message – both parts of the address and the identifier originated at the recipient of this message (and were transmitted as parameters of earlier messages in the opposite direction). In cases b) and c), the identifier is to some extent redundant, although interoperation requires that it always be present.

BTP recovery requires that the state information for a Superior or Inferior is accessible after failure and that the peer can distinguish between temporary inaccessibility and the permanent non-existence of the state information. As is explained in “Redirection” below, BTP provides

mechanisms – having a set of BTP addresses for some parameters, and the REDIRECT message – that make this possible, even if the recovered state information is on a different address to the original one (as may be the case if case c) above is used).

Request/response pairs

Many of the messages combine in pairs as a request and its response. However, in some cases the response message is sent without a triggering request, or as a possible response to more than one type of request. To allow for this, the abstract message set treats each message as standalone; but where a request does expect a reply, a “reply-address” parameter will be present. For any message with a reply address parameter, in the case of certain errors, a FAULT message will be sent to the reply address instead of the expected reply.

For messages which are specified as sent between Superior and Inferior, a FAULT message is sent to the peer.

Compounding messages

BTP messages may be sent in combination with each other, or with other (application) messages. There are two cases:

- a) Sending the messages together has semantic significance. One message is said to be “related to” the other.
- b) Sending of the messages has no semantic significance, but is merely a convenience or optimisation. This is termed “bundling”.

The form A&B is used to refer to a combination where message B is sent in relation to A (“relation” is asymmetric). The form A+B is used to refer to A and B bundled together.

In both cases the messages will have the same binding address, but may have different “additional information” values. Unless constrained by the binding, any messages that are to be sent to the same binding address may be bundled – the fact that the binding addresses are the same is a necessary and sufficient condition for the sender to determine that the messages can be bundled.

A particular and important case of related messages is where a BTP CONTEXT message is sent related to an application message. In this case, the target of the application message defines the destination of the CONTEXT message. The receiving implementation may in fact remove the CONTEXT before delivering the application message to the application (Service) proper, but from the perspective of the sender, the two are sent to the same place.

The compounding mechanisms, and the multi-part address structures, support the “one-wire” and “one-shot” communication patterns.

In “one-wire”, all message exchanges between two sides of a Superior:Inferior relationship, including the associated application messages, pass via the same “endpoints”. These “endpoints” may in fact be relays, routing messages on to particular actors within their domain. The onward routing will require some further addressing, but this has to be opaque to

the sender. This can be achieved if the relaying endpoint ensures that all addresses for actors in its domain have the relays address as their binding address, and any routing information it will need in its own domain is placed in the additional information. (This may involve the relay changing addresses in messages as they pass through it on the way out). On receiving a message, it determines the within-domain destination from the received additional information (which is thus rewritten) and forwards the message appropriately. The sender is unaware of this, and merely sees addresses with the same binding address, which it is permitted to bundle. The content of the “additional information” is matter only for the relay – it could put an entire BTP address in there, or other implementation-defined information. Note that a quite different one-wire implementation can be constructed where there is no relaying, but the receiving entity effectively performs all roles, using the received identifiers to locate the appropriate state.

“One-shot” communication concerns the bundling of application messages, especially where the application uses a request/response paradigm. The application request is sent with a related CONTEXT message. The application response is sent with a related CONTEXT_REPLY/related, with an ENROL/no-rsp-req message and a bundled PREPARED message (assuming the operations succeeded and the Inferior has decided to be prepared). The target address of the ENROL and PREPARED (the Superior address) must have a binding address that is the same as the target address of the application response (i.e. the reply address for the client, as perceived by the Service) – otherwise the Service cannot determine that it should bundle the messages together. One-shot is thus a specialisation of one-wire.

With “one-shot”, if there are multiple Inferiors created as a result of a single application message, there is an ENROL and PREPARED message for each sent with the application response and the CONTEXT_REPLY. If an operation fails, a CANCELLED message can be sent with the response instead of a PREPARED. If subsequent messages to the same Service, with the same related CONTEXT, have their associated operations put under the control of the same Inferior, only a CONTEXT_REPLY/completed is sent back with the response (if the new operations fail, it will be necessary to send back CONTEXT_REPLY/repudiated, or send CANCELLED).

Where does that last bit on one-shot, one-wire belong. It needs to be in somewhere. prf
--

Extensibility

To simplify interoperation between implementations of this edition of BTP with implementations of future editions, the “must-be-understood” sub-parameter as specified for Qualifiers may be defined for use with any parameter added to an existing message in a future revision of this specification. The default for “must-be-understood” shall be “true”, so an implementation receiving an unrecognised parameter without a “false” value for “must-be-understood” shall not accept it (the FAULT value “UnrecognisedParameter” is available, but other errors, including lower-layer parsing/unmarshalling errors may be reported instead). If “must-be-understood” with the value “false” is present as a sub-parameter of a parameter in any message, a receiving implementation **should** ignore the parameter.

1121 How the sub-parameter is associated with the new parameter is determined by the particular
1122 binding.

1123
1124 No special mechanism is provided to allow for the introduction of completely new messages.
1125

1126 **Inferior handle**

1127
1128 Some of the messages exchanged between a Terminator and a Decider are concerned with the
1129 individual Inferiors enrolled with the Decider, and not with the business transaction as a
1130 whole. These messages distinguish the Inferiors of Decider using an “inferior handle”. This is
1131 created by the Decider and is unambiguous within the scope of the Decider .

1132
1133 The “inferior handle” is distinct from the “inferior identifier” passed on an ENROL message
1134 (among other places). The latter is created by the Inferior (or its enroller) and is required to be
1135 unambiguous within the scope of the address-as-inferior on the ENROL. (and unambiguous
1136 within **any** of the individual addresses in that set of BTP address - the identifier must identify
1137 the Inferior across all the places it might migrate to or that have recovery responsibility for
1138 it).

1139
1140 The “inferior handle” is only used by the Terminator to refer to the inferiors of the Decider.
1141 In messages between the Decider and its Inferiors, the address-as-inferior and inferior
1142 identifier are used.
1143

1144 **Messages**

1145 1146 **Qualifiers**

1147
1148 All messages have a Qualifiers parameter which contains zero or more Qualifier values. A
1149 Qualifier has sub-parameters:
1150

Sub-parameter	Type
qualifier name	string
qualifier group	URI
must-be-understood	Boolean
to-be-propagated	Boolean
content	Arbitrary – depends on type

1151
1152 **Qualifier group** ensures the Qualifier name is unambiguous. Qualifiers in the
1153 same group need not have any functional relationship. The qualifier group will
1154 typically be used to identify the specification that defines the qualifier’s meaning
1155 and use. Qualifiers may be defined in this or other standard specifications, in
1156 specifications of a particular community of users or of implementations or by
1157 bilateral agreement.
1158

Qualifier name this identifies the meaning and use of the Qualifier, using a name that is unambiguous within the scope of the Qualifier group.

Must-be-understood if this has the value “true” and the receiving entity does not recognise the Qualifier type (or does not implement the necessary functionality), a FAULT “UnsupportedQualifier” shall be returned and the message shall not be processed. Default is “true”.

To-be-propagated if this has the value “true” and the receiving entity passes the BTP message (which may be a CONTEXT, but can be other messages) onwards to other entities, the same Qualifier value shall be included. If the value is “false”, the Qualifier shall not be automatically included if the BTP message is passed onwards. (If the receiving entity does support the qualifier type, it is possible a propagated message may contain another instance of the same type, even with the same Content – this is not considered propagation of the original qualifier.). Default is “false”.

Content the type (which may be structured) and meaning of the content is defined by the specification of the Qualifier.

CONTEXT

A CONTEXT is supplied by (or on behalf of) a Superior and related to one or more application messages. (The means by which this relationship is represented is determined by the binding and the binding mechanisms of the application protocol. The “superior type” parameter identifies whether the Superior will apply the same decision to all Inferiors enrolled with using the same superior identifier (“superior type” is “atom”) or may apply different decisions (“superior type” is “cohesion”).

Parameter	Type
address-as-superior	Set of BTP addresses
superior identifier	Identifier
superior type	cohesion/atom
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

address-as-superior the address to which ENROL and other messages from an enrolled Inferior are to be sent. This can be a set of alternative addresses.

superior identifier identifies the Superior within the scope of the address-as-superior

superior type identifies whether the CONTEXT refers to a Cohesion or an Atom. Default is atom.

1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers. The standard qualifier “Transaction
timelimit” is carried by CONTEXT.

There is no target address parameter for CONTEXT as it is only transmitted in relation to the
application messages.

The forms CONTEXT/cohesion and CONTEXT/atom refer to CONTEXT messages with the
superior type with the appropriate value.

CONTEXT_REPLY

CONTEXT_REPLY is sent after receipt of CONTEXT (related to application message(s)) to
indicate whether all necessary enrolments have already completed (ENROLLED has been
received) or will be completed by ENROL messages sent in relation to the
CONTEXT_REPLY or if an enrolment attempt has failed. CONTEXT_REPLY may be sent
related to an application message (typically the response to the application message related to
the CONTEXT). In some bindings the CONTEXT_REPLY may be implicit in the application
message.

Parameter	Type
superior-address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
completion_status	complete/related/repudiated
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230

superior-address one of the addresses from the address-as-superior from the
CONTEXT. (The parameter is present in CONTEXT_REPLY to disambiguate
the superior identifier.)

superior identifier the superior identifier from the CONTEXT

completion_status: reports whether all enrol operations made necessary by the
receipt of the earlier CONTEXT message have completed. Values are

value	meaning
<i>completed</i>	All enrolments (if any) have succeeded already
<i>related</i>	At least some enrolments are to be performed by ENROL messages related to the CONTEXT_REPLY. All other enrolments (if any) have succeeded already.
<i>repudiated</i>	At least one enrolment has failed. The implications of receiving the CONTEXT have not been honoured.

1231
 1232 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
 1233
 1234 The form CONTEXT_REPLY/completed, CONTEXT_REPLY/related and
 1235 CONTEXT_REPLY/repudiated refer to CONTEXT_REPLY messages with status having the
 1236 appropriate value. The form CONTEXT_REPLY/ok refers to either of
 1237 CONTEXT_REPLY/completed or CONTEXT_REPLY/related.
 1238

1239 If there are no necessary enrolments (e.g. the application messages related to the received
 1240 CONTEXT did not require the enrolment of any Inferiors), then
 1241 CONTEXT_REPLY/completed is used.
 1242

1243 If a CONTEXT_REPLY/repudiated is received, the receiving implementation **must** ensure
 1244 that the business transaction will not be confirmed.
 1245
 1246

1247 BEGIN

1248
 1249 A request to a Factory to create a new Business Transaction. This may either be a new top-
 1250 level transaction, in which case the Composer or Coordinator will be the Decider, or the new
 1251 Business Transaction may be immediately made the Inferior within an existing Business
 1252 Transaction (thus creating a sub-Composer or sub-Coordinator).
 1253

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
reply address	BTP address
transaction type	cohesion/atom
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1254
 1255 **target address** the address of the entity to which the BEGIN is sent. How this
 1256 address is acquired and the nature of the entity are outside the scope of this
 1257 specification.
 1258

1259 **reply address** the address to which the replying BEGUN and related
 1260 CONTEXT message should be sent.
 1261

1262 **transaction type** identifies whether a new Cohesion or new Atom is to be
 1263 created; this value will be the “superior type” in the new CONTEXT
 1264

1265 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers. The standard qualifier “Transaction
 1266 timelimit” may be present on BEGIN, to set the timelimit for the new business
 1267 transaction and will be copied to the new CONTEXT. The standard qualifier
 1268 “Inferior name” may be present if there is a CONTEXT related to the BEGIN.
 1269

A new top-level Business Transaction is created if there is no CONTEXT related to the BEGIN. A Business Transaction that is to be Inferior in an existing Business Transaction is created if the CONTEXT message for the existing Business Transaction is related to the BEGIN. In this case, the Factory is responsible for enrolling the new Composer or Coordinator as an Inferior of the Superior identified in that CONTEXT.

Note – This specification does not provide a standardised means to determine which of the Inferiors of a sub-Composer are in its confirm set. This is considered part of the application:inferior relationship.

The forms BEGIN/cohesion and BEGIN/atom refer to BEGIN with “transaction type” having the corresponding value.

Types of FAULT possible (sent to Reply address)

General

BEGUN

BEGUN is a reply to BEGIN. There is always a related CONTEXT, which is the CONTEXT for the new business transaction.

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
address-as-decider	Set of BTP address
transaction-identifier	Identifier
inferior-handle	Handle
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the BEGUN is sent. This will be the reply address from the BEGIN.

address-as-decider for a top-level transaction (no CONTEXT related to the BEGIN), this is the address to which PREPARE, REQUEST_CONFIRM, CANCEL and REQUEST_STATUS messages are to be sent; if a CONTEXT was related to the BEGIN this parameter is absent

transaction-identifier identifies the new Composer or Coordinator within the scope of the address-as-decider. If this is not a top-level transaction, the transaction-identifier is optional, but if present shall be the inferior-identifier used in the enrolment with the Superior identified by the CONTEXT related to the BEGIN.

1306
 1307 **inferior handle** Shall be absent if this is a top-level transaction and may or may
 1308 not be present otherwise. (Presence or absence will be determined by the nature
 1309 of the Superior identified in the CONTEXT related to the BEGIN). If present, the
 1310 inferior handle will identify this new business transaction as in the inferiors-list
 1311 parameters in messages between the Superior identified in the CONTEXT related
 1312 to the BEGIN (acting as a Decider) and its Terminator. The value shall be
 1313 different for each enrolled Inferior of that Superior.

1314
 1315 **address-as-inferior** This parameter shall be absent if this is a top-level
 1316 transaction and may be present, at implementation option otherwise. If present, it
 1317 shall be the address-as-inferior used in the enrolment with the Superior identified
 1318 by the CONTEXT related to the BEGIN. If this is a top-level transaction

1319
 1320 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.

1321
 1322 At implementation option, the “address-as-decider” and/or “address-as-inferior” and the
 1323 “address-as-superior” in the related CONTEXT may be the same or may be different. There
 1324 is no general requirement that they even use the same bindings. Any may also be the same as
 1325 the target address of the BEGIN message (the inferior identifier on messages will ensure they
 1326 are applied to the appropriate Composer or Coordinator).

1327
 1328 No FAULT messages are issued on receiving BEGUN.

1329 1330 ENROL

1331
 1332 A request to a Superior to ENROL an Inferior. This is typically issued after receipt of a
 1333 CONTEXT message in relation to an application request.
 1334 The actor issuing ENROL plays the role of Enroller.

1335

Parameter	type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
reply requested	Boolean
reply address	BTP address
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1336
 1337 **target address** the address to which the ENROL is sent. This will be the
 1338 address-as-superior from the CONTEXT message.

1339
 1340 **superior identifier.** The superior identifier as on the CONTEXT message

1341
 1342 **reply requested** true if an ENROLLED response is required, false otherwise.
 1343 Default is false.
 1344
 1345 **reply address** the address to which a replying ENROLLED is to be sent, if
 1346 “reply requested” is true. If this field is absent and “reply requested” is true, the
 1347 ENROLLED should be sent to the “address-as-inferior” (or one of them, at
 1348 sender’s option)
 1349
 1350 **address-as-inferior** the address to which PREPARE, CONFIRM, CANCEL and
 1351 SUPERIOR_STATE messages for this Inferior are to be sent.
 1352
 1353 **inferior identifier** an identifier that unambiguously identifies this Inferior within
 1354 the scope of any of the address-as-inferior set of BTP-addresses.
 1355
 1356 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers. The standard qualifier “Inferior
 1357 name” may be present.
 1358

1359 Types of FAULT possible (sent to Reply address)
 1360

1361 *General*

1362 *InvalidSuperior* – if superior identifier is unknown

1363 *DuplicateInferior* – if inferior with at least one of the set address-as-
 1364 inferior the same and the same inferior identifier is already enrolled

1365 *WrongState* – if it is too late to enrol new Inferiors (generally if the
 1366 Superior has already sent a PREPARED message to its superior or
 1367 terminator, or if it has already issued CONFIRM to other Inferiors).
 1368

1369 The form ENROL/rsp-req refers to an ENROL message with “reply requested” having the
 1370 value “true”; ENROL/no-rsp-req refers to an ENROL message with “reply requested” having
 1371 the value “false”
 1372

1373 ENROL/no-rsp-req is typically sent in relation to CONTEXT_REPLY/related. ENROL/rsp-
 1374 req is typically when CONTEXT_REPLY/completed will be used (after the ENROLLED
 1375 message has been received.)
 1376

1377 **ENROLLED**
 1378

1379 Sent from Superior in reply to an ENROL/rsp-req message, to indicate the Inferior has been
 1380 successfully enrolled (and will therefore be included in the termination exchanges)
 1381

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
inferior-handle	Handle

Qualifiers

List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the ENROLLED is sent. This will be the reply address from the ENROL message (or one of the address-as-inferiors if the reply address was empty)

inferior identifier The inferior identifier as on the ENROL message

inferior handle the inferior handle that will identify this newly enrolled Inferior in the inferiors-list parameters in messages between the Superior (acting as a Decider) and its Terminator. This parameter is optional. The value shall be different for each enrolled Inferior of the Superior.

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

No FAULT messages are issued on receiving ENROLLED.

RESIGN

Sent from an enrolled Inferior to the Superior to remove the Inferior from the enrolment. This can only be sent if the operations of the business transaction have had no effect as perceived by the Inferior.

RESIGN may be sent in response to a PREPARE message (instead of a PREPARED), or at any point prior to the sending of a PREPARED or CANCELLED message.

Parameter	type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	identifier
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
inferior identifier	identifier
response requested	Boolean
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the RESIGN is sent. This will be the superior address as used on the ENROL message.

superior-identifier The superior identifier as on the ENROL message

address-as-inferior The address-as-inferior as on the earlier ENROL message (with the inferior identifier, this determines who the message is from)

inferior-identifier The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message

1418
 1419 **response-requested** is set to “true” if a RESIGNED response is required.
 1420
 1421 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
 1422
 1423 Note -- RESIGN is equivalent to readonly vote in some other protocols, but can be issued
 1424 early.
 1425

1426 Types of FAULT possible (sent to address-as-inferior)
 1427

1428 *General*
 1429 *InvalidSuperior* – if superior identifier is unknown
 1430 *InvalidInferior* – if no ENROL had been received for this address-as-
 1431 inferior and identifier (Inferior Identity)
 1432 *WrongState* – if a PREPARED or CANCELLED has already been
 1433 received by the Superior from this Inferior
 1434

1435 The form RESIGN/rsp-req refers to an RESIGN message with “reply requested” having the
 1436 value “true”; RESIGN /no-rsp-req refers to an RESIGN message with “reply requested”
 1437 having the value “false”
 1438
 1439

1440 RESIGNED

1441
 1442 Sent in reply to a RESIGN/rsp-req message.
 1443

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1444
 1445 **target address** the address to which the RESIGNED is sent. This will be the
 1446 address-as-inferior from the ENROL message.
 1447
 1448 **inferior identifier** The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message for
 1449 this Inferior.
 1450
 1451 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
 1452

1453 After receiving this message the Inferior will not receive any more messages with this
 1454 address-as-inferior and identifier.
 1455

1456 No FAULT messages are issued on receiving RESIGNED.
 1457

1458 PREPARE

1459

Sent from Superior to an Inferior from whom ENROL but neither CANCELLED nor RESIGN have been received, requesting a PREPARED message. PREPARE can be sent after receiving a PREPARED message.

Sent from a Terminator to a Composer to tell it to prepare all or some of its inferiors, by sending PREPARE to any that have not already sent PREPARED, RESIGN or CANCELLED to the Composer. If the inferiors-list parameter is absent, the request applies to all the inferiors; if the parameter is present, it applies only to the identified inferiors of the Composer.

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
reply address	BTP address
transaction-identifier	Identifier
inferiors-list	List of inferior handles
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the PREPARE message is sent. When sent from Superior to Inferior, this will be the address-as-inferior from the ENROL message,. When sent from Terminator to Composer, this will be the decider-address from the BEGUN message .

inferior identifier When sent from Superior to Inferior, the inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message. This parameter shall be absent when sent from Terminator to Composer.

reply address When sent from Terminator to Composer, the address of the Terminator sending the PREPARE message. This parameter shall be absent when sent from Superior to Inferior.

transaction identifier When sent from Terminator to Composer, identifies the Composer and will be the transaction-identifier from the BEGUN message.. This parameter shall be absent when sent from Superior to Inferior.

inferiors-list When sent from Terminator to Composer, defines which of the Inferiors of this Composer preparation is requested for. If this parameter is absent when sent to a Composer, the PREPARE applies to all Inferiors. This parameter shall be absent when sent from Superior to Inferior.

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers. The standard qualifier “Minimal inferior timeout” is carried by PREPARE.

On receiving PREPARE, an Inferior **should** reply with a PREPARED, CANCELLED or RESIGN.

When sent to a Composer, for all Inferiors identified in the inferiors-list parameter (all Inferiors if the parameter is absent), from which none of PREPARED, CANCELLED or RESIGNED has been received, the Composer shall issue PREPARE. It will reply to the Terminator, using the reply address on the PREPARE message, sending an INFERIOR_STATUSES message giving the status of the Inferiors identified on the inferiors-list parameter (all of them if the parameter was absent).

Types of FAULT possible (sent to Superior address)

General

UnknownTransaction – if the transaction-identifier is unknown

InvalidInferior – if inferior identifier is unknown, or an inferior-handle on the inferiors-list is unknown

WrongState – if a CONFIRM or CANCEL has already been received by this Inferior; if a REQUEST_CONFIRM or CANCEL/whole has already been received by this Composer.

The form PREPARE/whole refers to a PREPARE message sent to a Composer where the “inferiors-list” parameter is absent. The form PREPARE/inferiors refers to a PREPARE message sent to a Composer where the “inferiors-list” parameter is present. The unqualified form PREPARE is used for a PREPARE message sent to an Inferior.

PREPARED

Sent from Inferior to Superior, either unsolicited or in response to PREPARE, but only when the Inferior has determined the operations associated with the Inferior can be confirmed and can be cancelled, as may be instructed by the Superior. The level of isolation is a local matter (i.e. is the Inferiors choice, as constrained by the shared understanding of the application exchanges) – other access may be blocked, may see applied results of operation or may see original state.

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
default is cancel	Boolean
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1534 **target address** the address to which the PREPARED is sent. This will be the
1535 Superior address as on the ENROL message.
1536
1537 **superior identifier** When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior,
1538 the superior identifier as on the ENROL message
1539
1540 **address-as-inferior** When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior,
1541 the address-as-inferior as on the earlier ENROL message (with the inferior
1542 identifier, this determines who the message is from)
1543
1544 **inferior identifier** The inferior identifier as on the ENROL message
1545
1546 **default is cancel** if “true”, the Inferior states that if the outcome at the Superior
1547 is to cancel the operations associated with this Inferior, no further messages need
1548 be sent to the Inferior. If the Inferior does not receive a CONFIRM message, it
1549 will cancel the associated operations. The value “true” will invariably be used
1550 with a qualifier indicating under what circumstances (usually a timeout) an
1551 autonomous decision to cancel will be made. If “false”, the Inferior will expect
1552 a CONFIRM or CANCEL message as appropriate, even if qualifiers indicate that
1553 an autonomous decision will be made.
1554
1555 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers. The standard qualifier “Inferior
1556 timeout” may be carried by PREPARED.
1557
1558 On sending a PREPARED, the Inferior undertakes to maintain its ability to confirm or cancel
1559 the effects of the associated operations until it receives a CONFIRM or CANCEL message.
1560 Qualifiers may define a time limit or other constraints on this promise. The “default is
1561 cancel” parameter affects only the subsequent message exchanges and does not of itself state
1562 that cancellation will occur.
1563
1564 Types of FAULT possible (sent to address-as-inferior)
1565
1566 *General*
1567 *InvalidSuperior* – if Superior identifier is unknown
1568 *InvalidInferior* – if no ENROL has been received for this address-as-
1569 inferior and identifier, or if RESIGN has been received from this Inferior
1570
1571 The form PREPARED/cancel refers to a PREPARED message with “default is cancel” =
1572 “true”. The unqualified form PREPARED refers to a PREPARED message with “default is
1573 cancel” = “false”.
1574
1575
1576 **CONFIRM**
1577
1578 Sent by the Superior to a Inferior from whom PREPARED has been received.
1579

Parameter	Type
-----------	------

target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the CONFIRM message is sent. This will be the address-as-inferior from the ENROL message.

inferior identifier The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message for this Inferior.

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

On receiving CONFIRM, the Inferior is released from its promise to be able to undo the operations of associated with the Inferior. The effects of the operations can be made available to everyone (if they weren't already)

Types of FAULT possible (sent to Superior address)

General

InvalidInferior – if inferior identifier is unknown

WrongState – if no PREPARED has been sent by, or if CANCEL has been received by this Inferior.

CONFIRMED

Sent after the Inferior has applied the confirmation, both in reply to CONFIRM or when the Inferior has made an autonomous confirm decision, and in reply to a CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE if the Inferior decides to confirm its associated operations.

CONFIRMED is also sent by Decider to a Terminator in reply to REQUEST_CONFIRM if all of the confirm-set confirms (and, for a Cohesion, all other Inferiors cancel) without reporting hazards.

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
address-as-decider	BTP address
transaction-identifier	identifier
confirm received	Boolean

target address the address to which the CONFIRMED is sent. When sent by an Inferior to a Superior, this will be the Superior address as on the CONTEXT message. When sent from a Decider to a Terminator it will be the reply address from the REQUEST_CONFIRM message.

superior identifier When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior, this shall be the superior identifier as on the CONTEXT message. This parameter shall be absent when CONFIRMED is sent from Decider to Terminator.

address-as-inferior When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior, this shall be the address-as-inferior as on the earlier ENROL message (with the inferior identifier, this determines who the message is from). This parameter shall be absent when CONFIRMED is sent from Decider to Terminator.

inferior identifier When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior, this shall be the inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message. This parameter shall be absent when CONFIRMED is sent from Decider to Terminator.

address-as-decider When the message is sent from a Decider to the Terminator, this shall be the address-as-decider of the Decider as on the BEGUN message (with the transaction identifier, this determines who the message is from). This parameter shall be absent when CONFIRMED is sent from an Inferior to Superior.

transaction identifier When the message is sent from a Decider to the Terminator, this shall be the transaction identifier as on the BEGUN message (i.e. the identifier of the Decider as a whole). This parameter shall be absent when CONFIRMED is sent from an Inferior to Superior

confirmreceived “true” if CONFIRMED is sent after receiving a CONFIRM message; “false” if an autonomous confirm decision has been made and either if no CONFIRM message has been received or the implementation cannot determine if CONFIRM has been received (due to loss of state information in a failure). This parameter shall be absent when CONFIRMED is sent from Decider to Terminator.

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

Types of FAULT possible (sent to address-as-inferior)

General

InvalidSuperior – if Superior identifier is unknown

InvalidInferior – if no ENROL has been received for this address-as-inferior and identifier, or if RESIGN has been received from this Inferior.

1657 Note – A CONFIRMED message arriving before a CONFIRM message is
 1658 sent, or after a CANCEL has been sent will occur when the Inferior has
 1659 taken an autonomous decision and is not regarded as occurring in the wrong
 1660 state. (The latter will cause a CONTRADICTION message to be sent.)

1661
 1662 The form CONFIRMED/auto refers to a CONFIRMED message with “confirm
 1663 received” = “false”; CONFIRMED/response refers to a CONFIRMED message
 1664 with “confirm received” = ”true”. The unqualified form CONFIRMED refers to
 1665 the message without an confirm received parameter, as used between Decider
 1666 and Terminator.

1669 CANCEL

1670
 1671 Sent by the Superior to an Inferior at any time before (and unless) CONFIRM has been sent.

1672
 1673 Sent by a Terminator to a Decider at any time before REQUEST_CONFIRM has been sent.
 1674

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
reply address	BTP address
transaction identifier	Identifier
inferiors-list	List of inferior handles
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1675
 1676 **target address** the address to which the CANCEL message is sent. When sent
 1677 from Superior to Inferior, this will be the address-as-inferior from the ENROL
 1678 message,. When sent from Terminator to Composer, this will be the decider-
 1679 address from the BEGUN message .

1680
 1681 **inferior identifier** When sent from Superior to Inferior, the inferior identifier as
 1682 on the earlier ENROL message. This parameter shall be absent whensent from
 1683 Terminator to Decider.

1684
 1685 **reply address** When sent from Terminator to Decider, the address of the
 1686 Terminator sending the CANCEL message. This parameter shall be absent when
 1687 sent from Superior to Inferior.

1688
 1689 **transaction identifier** When sent from Terminator to Decider, identifies the
 1690 Decider and will be the transaction-identifier from the BEGUN message.. This
 1691 parameter shall be absent when sent from Superior to Inferior.
 1692

1693 **inferiors-list** When sent from Terminator to Composer, defines which of the
1694 Inferiors of this Composer are to be cancelled. This parameter shall be absent
1695 when sent from a Superior to an Inferior and when sent from a Terminator to a
1696 Coordinator.
1697
1698 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
1699
1700 When sent to an Inferior, the effects of any operations associated with the Inferior should be
1701 undone. If the Inferior had sent PREPARED, the Inferior is released from its promise to be
1702 able to confirm the operations.
1703
1704 When sent to a Decider with the inferiors-list parameter is absent, the business transaction is
1705 cancelled – this is propagated to any remaining Inferiors by issuing CANCEL to them. No
1706 more Inferiors will be permitted to enrol.
1707
1708 When sent to a Composer, with the inferiors-list parameter present, only the Inferiors
1709 identified in the inferiors-list are to be cancelled. Any other inferiors are unaffected by a
1710 CANCEL/inferiors. Further Inferiors may be enrolled.
1711

1712 Note – A CANCEL/inferiors issued to a Cohesion Composer identifying all
1713 of its currently enrolled Inferiors will leave the Cohesion ‘empty’, but
1714 permitted to continue with new Inferiors, if any enrol.

1715
1716 Types of FAULT possible (sent to Superior address)
1717
1718 *General*
1719 *UnknownTransaction* – if the transaction-identifier is unknown
1720 *InvalidInferior* – if inferior identifier is unknown, or an inferior-handle
1721 on the inferiors-list is unknown
1722 *WrongState* – if a CONFIRM has been received by this Inferior; if a
1723 REQUEST_CONFIRM has been received by this Composer.
1724
1725 The form CANCEL/whole refers to a CANCEL message sent to a Decider where the
1726 “inferiors-list” parameter is absent. The form CANCEL/inferiors refers to a CANCEL
1727 message sent to a Composer where the “inferiors-list” parameter is present. The unqualified
1728 form CANCEL is used to refer to a CANCEL message sent from a Superior to an Inferior.
1729
1730
1731 **CANCELLED**
1732
1733 Sent when the Inferior has applied (or is applying) cancellation of the operations associated
1734 with the Inferior. CANCELLED is sent from Inferior to Superior in the following cases:
1735
1736 1. before (and instead of) sending PREPARED, to indicate the Inferior is unable to
1737 apply the operations in full and is cancelling all of them;
1738

1739 2. in reply to CANCEL, regardless of whether PREPARED has been sent;
1740
1741 3. after sending PREPARED and then making and applying an autonomous
1742 decision to cancel.
1743
1744 4. in reply to CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE if the Inferirol decides to cancel the
1745 associated operations
1746
1747 As is specified in the state tables, cases 1, 2 and 3 are not always distinct in some
1748 circumstances of recovery and resending of messages.
1749
1750 CANCELLED is also sent by Decider to a Terminator in reply to REQUEST_CONFIRM if
1751 all Inferiors cancel without reporting hazards.
1752

Parameter

target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
address-as-decider	BTP address
transaction-identifier	identifier
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1753
1754 **target address** the address to which the CANCELLED is sent. When sent by an
1755 Inferior to a Superior, this will be the Superior address as on the CONTEXT
1756 message. When sent from a Decider to a Terminator it will be the reply address
1757 from the REQUEST_CONFIRM message.
1758
1759 **superior identifier** When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior,
1760 this shall be the superior identifier as on the CONTEXT message. This parameter
1761 shall be absent when CANCELLED is sent from Decider to Terminator.
1762
1763 **address-as-inferior** When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior,
1764 this shall be the address-as-inferior as on the earlier ENROL message (with the
1765 inferior identifier, this determines who the message is from). This parameter shall
1766 be absent when CANCELLED is sent from Decider to Terminator.
1767
1768 **inferior identifier** When the message is sent from an Inferior to the Superior, this
1769 shall be the inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message. This parameter
1770 shall be absent when CANCELLED is sent from Decider to Terminator.
1771
1772 **address-as-decider** When the message is sent from a Decider to the
1773 Terminator, this shall be the address-as-decider of the Decider as on the BEGUN
1774 message (with the transaction identifier, this determines who the message is

1775 from). This parameter shall be absent when CANCELLED is sent from an
 1776 Inferior to Superior.
 1777
 1778 **transaction identifier** When the message is sent from a Decider to the
 1779 Terminator, this shall be the transaction identifier as on the BEGUN message (i.e.
 1780 the identifier of the Decider as a whole). This parameter shall be absent when
 1781 CANCELLED is sent from an Inferior to Superior
 1782
 1783 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
 1784

1785 Types of FAULT possible (sent to address-as-inferior)
 1786

1787 ***General***

1788 ***InvalidSuperior*** – if Superior identifier is unknown

1789 ***InvalidInferior*** – if no ENROL has been received for this address-as-
 1790 inferior and identifier, or if RESIGN has been received from this Inferior

1791 ***WrongState*** – if CONFIRM has been sent
 1792

1793 Note – A CANCELLED message arriving before a CANCEL message is
 1794 sent, or after a CONFIRM has been sent will occur when the Inferior has
 1795 taken an autonomous decision and is not regarded as occurring in the wrong
 1796 state. (The latter will cause a CONTRADICTION message to be sent.)

1797
 1798
 1799 **CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE**
 1800

1801 Sent from a Superior to an enrolled Inferior, when there is only one such enrolled Inferior. In
 1802 this case the two-phase exchange is not performed between the Superior and Inferior and the
 1803 outcome decision for the operations associated with the Inferior is determined by the Inferior.
 1804

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
report-hazard	boolean
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1805
 1806 **target address** the address to which the CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE message is
 1807 sent This will be the address-as-inferior on the ENROL message.
 1808

1809 **inferior identifier** The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message for
 1810 this Inferior.
 1811

1812 **report hazard** Defines whether the superior wishes to be informed if a mixed
 1813 condition occurs for the operations associated with the Inferior. If “report hazard”
 1814 is “true”, the Inferior will reply with HAZARD if a mixed condition occurs, or if
 1815 the Inferior cannot determine that a mixed condition has not occurred. If “report
 1816 hazard” is false, the Inferior will report only its own decision, regardless of
 1817 whether that decision was correctly and consistently applied. Default is false.

1818
 1819 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.

1820
 1821 CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE can be issued by a Superior to an Inferior from whom
 1822 PREPARED has been received (subject to the requirement that there is only one enrolled
 1823 Inferior).

1824
 1825 Types of FAULT possible (sent to Superior address)

1826
 1827 *General*
 1828 *InvalidInferior* – if inferior identifier is unknown
 1829 *WrongState* – if a PREPARE has already been received from this
 1830 Inferior

1831
 1832 **HAZARD**

1833
 1834 Sent when the Inferior has either discovered a “mixed” condition: that is unable to correctly
 1835 and consistently cancel or confirm the operations in accord with the decision (either the
 1836 received decision of the superior or its own autonomous decision), or when the Inferior is
 1837 unable to determine that a “mixed” condition has not occurred.

1838
 1839 HAZARD is also used to reply to a CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE if the Inferior determines there
 1840 is a mixed condition within its associated operations or is unable to determine that there is not
 1841 a mixed condition.

1842

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
address-as-inferior	Set of BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1843
 1844 **target address** the address to which the MIXED is sent. This will be the
 1845 superior address from the ENROL message.
 1846
 1847 **superior identifier** The superior identifier as used on the ENROL message
 1848
 1849 **address-as-inferior** The address-as-inferior as on the earlier ENROL message
 1850 (with the inferior identifier, this determines who the message is from)

1851
1852 **inferior identifier** The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message
1853
1854 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
1855
1856 Types of FAULT possible (sent to address-as-inferior)
1857
1858 *General*
1859 *InvalidSuperior* – if Superior identifier is unknown
1860 *InvalidInferior* – if no ENROL has been received for this address-as-
1861 inferior and identifier, or if RESIGN has been received from this Inferior
1862
1863
1864 The form HAZARD/mixed refers to a HAZARD message with “level” = “mixed”, the form
1865 HAZARD/possible refers to a HAZARD message with “level” = “possible”.
1866
1867 **CONTRADICTION**
1868
1869 Sent by the Superior to an Inferior that has taken an autonomous decision contrary to the
1870 decision for the atom. This is detected by the Superior when the ‘wrong’ one of
1871 CONFIRMED or CANCELLED is received. CONTRADICTION is also sent in response to a
1872 HAZARD message.
1873

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1874
1875 **target address** the address to which the CONTRADICTION message is sent.
1876 This will be the address-as-inferior from the ENROL message.
1877
1878 **inferior identifier** The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message for
1879 this Inferior.
1880
1881 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
1882
1883 Types of FAULT possible (sent to Superior address)
1884
1885 *General*
1886 *InvalidInferior* – if inferior identifier is unknown
1887 *WrongState* – if neither CONFIRMED or CANCELLED has been sent
1888 by this Inferior
1889
1890 **SUPERIOR_STATE**
1891

1892 Sent by a Superior as a query to an Inferior when

1893

1894 1. in the active state

1895

1896 2. there is uncertainty what state the Inferior has reached (due to recovery from
1897 previous failure or other reason).

1898

1899 Also sent by the Superior to the Inferior in response to a received INFERIOR_STATE, in
1900 particular states.

1901

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
Status	<i>see below</i>
reply requested	Boolean
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1902

1903 **target address** the address to which the SUPERIOR_STATE message is sent.
1904 This will be the address-as-inferior from the ENROL message.

1905

1906 **inferior identifier** The inferior identifier as on the earlier ENROL message for
1907 this Inferior.

1908

1909 **status** states the current state of the Superior, in terms of its relation to this
1910 Inferior only.

1911

status value	meaning
<i>active</i>	The relationship with the Inferior is in the active state from the perspective of the Superior; ENROLLED has been sent, PREPARE has not been sent and PREPARED has not been received (as far as the Superior knows)
<i>prepared-received</i>	PREPARED has been received from the Inferior, but no outcome is yet available
<i>inaccessible</i>	The state information for the Superior, or for its relationship with this Inferior, if it exists, cannot be accessed at the moment. This should be a transient condition
<i>unknown</i>	The Inferior is not known – it does not exist from the perspective of the Superior. The Inferior can treat this as an instruction to cancel any associated operations

1912

1913 **Reply requested** true, if SUPERIOR_STATE is sent as a query at the Superior's
1914 initiative; false, if SUPERIOR_STATE is sent in reply to a received

1915 INFERIOR_STATE or other message. Can only be true if status is active or
 1916 prepared-received.
 1917
 1918 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.
 1919
 1920 The Inferior, on receiving SUPERIOR_STATE with reply requested = true, should reply in a
 1921 timely manner by (depending on its state) repeating the previous message it sent or by
 1922 sending INFERIOR_STATE with the appropriate status value.
 1923
 1924 A status of unknown shall only be sent if it has been determined for certain that the Superior
 1925 has no knowledge of the Inferior, or (equivalently) it can be determined that the relationship
 1926 with the Inferior was cancelled. If there could be persistent information corresponding to the
 1927 Superior, but it is not accessible from the entity receiving an INFERIOR_STATE/*y (or
 1928 other) message targeted to the Superior or that entity cannot determine whether any such
 1929 persistent information exists or not, the response shall be Inaccessible.
 1930
 1931 SUPERIOR_STATE/unknown is also used as a response to messages, other than
 1932 INFERIOR_STATE/*y that are received when the Inferior is not known (and it is known
 1933 there is no state information for it).
 1934
 1935 The form SUPERIOR_STATE/abcd refers to a SUPERIOR_STATE message status having a
 1936 value equivalent to “abcd” (for active, prepared-received, unknown and inaccessible) and
 1937 with “reply requested” = “false”. SUPERIOR_STATE/abcd/y refers to a similar message, but
 1938 with “reply requested” = “true”. The form SUPERIOR_STATE/*y refers to a
 1939 SUPERIOR_STATE message with “reply requested” = “true” and any value for status.
 1940
 1941
 1942 **INFERIOR_STATE**
 1943
 1944 Sent by a Inferior as a query when in the active state to a Superior, when (due recovery from
 1945 previous failure or other reason) there is uncertainty what state the Superior has reached.
 1946
 1947 Also sent by the Inferior to the Superior in response to a received SUPERIOR_STATE, in
 1948 particular states.
 1949

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
address-as-inferior	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
Status	<i>see below</i>
reply requested	Boolean
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

1950

1951	target address	the address to which the INFERIOR_STATE is sent. This will
1952		be the target address as used the original ENROL message.
1953		
1954	superior identifier	The superior identifier as used on the ENROL message
1955		
1956	address-as-inferior	The address-as-inferior as on the ENROL message (with the
1957		inferior identifier, this determines who the message is from)
1958		
1959	inferior identifier	The inferior identifier as on the ENROL message
1960		
1961	status	states the current state of the Inferior for the atomic business transaction,
1962		which corresponds to the last message sent to the Superior by (or in the case of
1963		ENROL for) the Inferior
1964		
	status value	meaning/previous message sent
	<i>active</i>	The relationship with the Superior is in the active state from the perspective of the Inferior; ENROL has been sent, a decision to send PREPARED has not been made.
	<i>inaccessible</i>	The state information for the relationship with the Superior, if it exists, cannot be accessed at the moment. This should be a transient condition
	<i>unknown</i>	The Inferior is not known – it does not exist from the perspective of the Superior. The Inferior can be treated as cancelled
1965		
1966	reply requested	“true” if INFERIOR_STATE is sent as a query at the
1967		Superior’s initiative; “false” if INFERIOR_STATE is sent in reply to a received
1968		SUPERIOR_STATE or other message. Can only be “true” if “status” is “active”
1969		or “prepared-received”. Can only be “true” if “status” is “active”.
1970		
1971	qualifiers	standardised or other qualifiers.
1972		
1973	The Superior, on receiving INFERIOR_STATE with “reply requested” = “true”, should reply	
1974	in a timely manner by (depending on its state) repeating the previous message it sent or by	
1975	sending SUPERIOR_STATE with the appropriate status value.	
1976		
1977	A status of “unknown” shall only be sent if it has been determined for certain that the Inferior	
1978	has no knowledge of a relationship with the Superior. If there could be persistent information	
1979	corresponding to the Superior, but it is not accessible from the entity receiving an	
1980	SUPERIOR_STATE/*y (or other) message targetted on the Inferior or the entity cannot	
1981	determine whether any such persistent information exists, the response shall be	
1982	“inaccessible”.	
1983		
1984	INFERIOR_STATE/unknown is also used as a response to messages, other than	
1985	SUPERIOR_STATE/*y that are received when the Inferior is not known (and it is known	
1986	there is no state information for it).	
1987		

A SUPERIOR_STATE/INFERIOR_STATE exchange that determines that one or both sides are in the active state does not require that the Inferior be cancelled (unlike some other two-phase commit protocols). The relationship between Superior and Inferior, and related application elements may be continued, with new application messages carrying the same CONTEXT. Similarly, if the Inferior is prepared but the Superior is active, there is no required impact on the progression of the relationship between them.

The form INFERIOR_STATE/abcd refers to a INFERIOR_STATE message status having a value equivalent to “abcd” (for active, unknown and inaccessible) and with “reply requested” = “false”. INFERIOR_STATE/abcd/y refers to a similar message, but with “reply requested” = “true”. The form INFERIOR_STATE/*/y refers to a INFERIOR_STATE message with “reply requested” = “true” and any value for status.

REQUEST_CONFIRM

Sent from a Terminator to a Decider to request confirmation of the business transaction. If the business transaction is a Cohesion, the confirm-set is specified by the “inferiors-list” parameter.

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
reply address	BTP address
transaction identifier	Identifier
inferiors-list	List of inferior handles
Report hazard	boolean
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the REQUEST_CONFIRM message is sent. This will be the address-as-decider on the BEGUN message.

reply address the address of the Terminator sending the REQUEST_CONFIRM message.

transaction identifier identifies the Decider. This will be the transaction-identifier from the BEGUN message.

inferiors-list defines which Inferiors enrolled with the Decider, if it is a Cohesion Composer, are to be confirmed. Shall be absent if the Decider is an Atom Coordinator.

report hazard Defines whether the Terminator wishes to be informed of hazard events and contradictory decisions within the business transaction. If “report hazard” is “true”, the receiver will wait until responses (CONFIRMED, CANCELLED or HAZARD) have been received from all of its inferiors, ensuring that any hazard events are reported. If “report hazard” is “false”, the

Decider will reply with CONFIRMED or CANCELLED as soon as the decision for the transaction is known.

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

If the “inferiors-list” parameter is present, the Inferiors identified shall be the “confirm-set” of the Cohesion. If the parameter is absent and the business transaction is a Cohesion, the “confirm-set” shall be all remaining Inferiors. If the business transaction is an Atom, the “confirm-set” is automatically all the Inferiors.

Any Inferiors from which RESIGN is received are not counted in the confirm-set.

If PREPARED has not been received from any Inferiors in the confirm-set, PREPARE shall be issued to them.

A confirm decision may be made only if PREPARED has been received from all Inferiors in the “confirm-set”. The making of the decision shall be persistent (and if it is not possible to persist the decision, it is not made). If there is only one remaining Inferior in the “confirm set”, CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE may be sent to it.

All remaining Inferiors that are not in the confirm set shall be cancelled.

If a confirm decision is made and “report-hazard” was “false”, a CONFIRMED message shall be sent to the “reply-address”.

If a cancel decision is made and “report-hazard” was “false”, a CANCELLED message shall be sent to the “reply-address”.

If “report-hazard” was “true” and any HAZARD or contradictory message was received (i.e. CANCELLED from an Inferior in the confirm-set or CONFIRMED from an Inferior not in the confirm-set), an INFERIOR_STATUSES reporting the status for all Inferiors shall be sent to the “reply-address”.

Types of FAULT possible (sent to reply address)

General

UnknownTransaction – if the transaction-identifier is unknown

InvalidInferior – if an inferior handle in the inferiors-list is unknown

WrongState – if a CANCEL/whole has already been received .

The form REQUEST_CONFIRM/whole refers to a REQUEST_CONFIRM message where the “inferiors-list” parameter is absent. The form REQUEST_CONFIRM /inferiors refers to a REQUEST_CONFIRM message where the “inferiors-list” parameter is present.

REQUEST_STATUSES

Sent to a Decider to ask it to report the status of its Inferiors with an INFERIOR_STATUSES message.

2074

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
reply address	BTP address
transaction identifier	Identifier
inferiors-list	List of inferior handles
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

2075

2076

target address the address to which the REQUEST_STATUS message is sent..This will be the address-as-decider from the BEGUN message.

2077

2078

2079

reply address the address to which the replying INFERIOR_STATUSES is to be sent

2080

2081

2082

transaction identifier identifies the Decider. This will be the transaction-identifier from the BEGUN message.

2083

2084

2085

inferiors-list defines which inferiors enrolled with the Composer or Coordinator are to be included in the INFERIOR_STATUSES. If the list is absent, the status of all enrolled inferiors will be reported.

2086

2087

2088

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

2089

2090

2091

Types of FAULT possible (sent to reply-address)

2092

General

2093

2094

The form REQUEST_STATUSES/whole refers to a REQUEST_STATUS with the inferiors-list absent. The form REQUEST_STATUS/inferiors refers to a REQUEST_STATUS with the inferiors-list present.

2095

2096

2097

2098

INFERIOR_STATUSES

2099

2100

2101

Sent by a Decider to report the status of all or some of its inferiors in response to a REQUEST_STATUSES, PREPARE, CANCEL/inferiors and REQUEST_CONFIRM with "report-hazard" = "true".

2102

2103

2104

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
address-as-decider	BTP address
transaction-identifier	identifier
status-list	Set of Status items - see below

Parameter	Type
general-qualifiers	List of qualifiers
target address	the address to which the INFERIOR_STATUSES is sent. This will be the reply address on the received message
address-as-decider	The address-as-decider of the Decider as on the BEGUN message (with the transaction identifier, this determines who the message is from)
transaction identifier	The transaction identifier as on the BEGUN message (i.e. the identifier of the Decider as a whole)
status-list	contains a number of Status-items, each reporting the status of one of the inferiors of the Decider. The fields of a Status-item are

Field	Type
Inferior-handle	Inferior handle, identifying which inferior this Status-item contains information for.
status	One of the status values below (these are a subset of those for STATUS)
qualifiers	A list of qualifiers as received from the particular inferior or associated with the inferior in earlier messages (e.g. an Inferior name qualifier).

The status value reports the current status of the particular inferior, as known to the Composer or Coordinator. Values are:

status value	Meaning
<i>active</i>	The Inferior is enrolled
<i>resigned</i>	RESIGNED has been received from the Inferior
<i>preparing</i>	PREPARE has been sent to the inferior, none of PREPARED, RESIGNED, CANCELLED, HAZARD have been received
<i>prepared</i>	PREPARED has been received
<i>autonomously confirmed</i>	CONFIRMED/auto has been received, no completion message has been sent
<i>autonomously cancelled</i>	PREPARED had been received, and since then CANCELLED has been received but no completion message has been sent
<i>confirming</i>	CONFIRM has been sent, no outcome reply has been received

status value	Meaning
<i>confirmed</i>	CONFIRMED/response has been received
<i>cancelling</i>	CANCEL has been sent, no outcome reply has been received
<i>cancelled</i>	CANCELLED has been received, and PREPARED was not received previously
<i>cancel-contradiction</i>	Confirm had been ordered (and may have been sent), but CANCELLED was received
<i>confirm-contradiction</i>	Cancel had been ordered (and may have been sent) but CONFIRM/auto was received
<i>hazard</i>	A HAZARD message has been received

General qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers applying to the INFERIOR_STATUSES as a whole. Each Status-item contains a “qualifiers” field containing qualifiers applying to (and received from) the particular Inferior.

If the inferiors-list parameter was present on the received message, only the inferiors identified by that parameter shall have their status reported in status-list of this message. If the inferiors-list parameter was absent, the status of all enrolled inferiors shall be reported, except that an inferior that had been reported as *cancelled* or *resigned* on a previous INFERIOR_STATUSES message **may** be omitted (sender’s option).

REQUEST_STATUS

Sent to an Inferior or to a Decider to ask it to reply with STATUS.

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
reply address	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
transaction-identifier	Identifier
Qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the REQUEST_STATUS message is sent..If the target is an Inferior, this will be the address-as-inferior on the ENROL message. If the target is a Decider, this will be the address-as-decider on the BEGUN message.

reply address the address to which the replying STATUS should be sent

inferior identifier If the target is an Inferior, the “inferior-identifier” on the ENROL message. If the target is a Decider, this parameter shall be absent.

2148
2149 **transaction-identifier** If the target is a Decider, the “transaction-identifier” on
2150 the BEGUN message. If the target is an Inferior, this parameter shall be absent.

2151
2152 **qualifiers** standardised or other qualifiers.

2153
2154 Types of FAULT possible (sent to reply address)

2155
2156 *General*

2157
2158
2159 **STATUS**

2160
2161 Sent by a Inferior or Decider in reply to a REQUEST_STATUS, reporting the overall state of
2162 the transaction tree node represented by the Inferior or Decider.

2163

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
address-as-inferior	BTP address
inferior identifier	Identifier
address-as-decider	BTP address
transaction-identifier	Identifier
status	See below
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

2164
2165 **target address** the address to which the STATUS is sent. This will be the reply
2166 address on the REQUEST_STATUS message

2167
2168 **address-as-inferior** If the sender is an Inferior, the address-as-inferior as on the
2169 ENROL message (with the inferior-identifier, this determines who the message is
2170 from). If the sender is a Decider, this parameter shall be absent

2171
2172 **inferior-identifier** If the sender is an Inferior, the inferior-identifier as on the
2173 ENROL message. If the sender is a Decider, this parameter shall be absent.

2174
2175 **address-as-decider** If the sender is a Decider, the address-as-decider on the
2176 BEGUN message (with the “transaction-identifier”, this determines who the
2177 message is from). If the sender is an Inferior, this parameter shall be absent.

2178
2179 **transaction-identifier** If the sender is a Decider, the transaction identifier as on
2180 the BEGUN message. If the sender is an Inferior, this parameter shall be absent.

2181
2182 **status** states the current status of the transaction tree node represented by the
2183 sender.

status value	Meaning from Inferior	Meaning from Decider
<i>Created</i>	The Inferior exists (and is addressable) but it has not been enrolled with a Superior	Not applicable
<i>Enrolling</i>	ENROL has been sent, but ENROLLED is awaited	Not applicable
<i>Active</i>	The Inferior is enrolled	New enrolment of inferiors is possible; no decision has been made.
<i>Resigning</i>	RESIGN has been sent; RESIGNED is awaited	Not applicable
<i>Resigned</i>	RESIGNED has been received	Not applicable
<i>Preparing</i>	PREPARE has been received; PREPARED has not been sent	Not applicable
<i>Prepared</i>	PREPARED has been sent; no outcome has been received or autonomous decision made	Not applicable
<i>Confirming</i>	CONFIRM has been received; CONFIRMED/response has not been sent	Confirm decision has been made but responses from inferiors are pending
<i>Confirmed</i>	CONFIRMED/response has been sent	CONFIRMED has been sent
<i>Cancelling</i>	CANCEL has been received or auto-cancel has been decided	Cancel decision has been made but responses from inferiors are pending
<i>Cancelled</i>	CANCELLED has been sent	CANCELLED has been sent
<i>cancel-contradiction</i>	Autonomous cancel decision was made, CONFIRM received; CONTRADICTION has not been received	Not applicable
<i>confirm-contradiction</i>	Autonomous confirm decision was made, CANCEL received; CONTRADICTION has not been received	Not applicable
<i>Hazard</i>	A hazard has been discovered; CONTRADICTION has not been received	A hazard has been reported from at least one Inferior
<i>Contradicted</i>	CONTRADICTION has been received	Not applicable

status value	Meaning from Inferior	Meaning from Decider
<i>Unknown</i>	No state information for the identifier exists; no such Inferior exists	No state information for the transaction identifier exists; no such Decider exists
<i>Inaccessible</i>	There may be state information for this identifier but it cannot be reached/existence cannot be determined	There may be state information for this identifier but it cannot be reached/existence cannot be determined

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

REDIRECT

Sent when the address previously given for a Superior or Inferior is no longer valid and the relevant state information is now accessible with a different address (but the same superior or inferior identifier).

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
inferior identifier	Identifier
old address	Set of BTP addresses
new address	Set of BTP addresses
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

target address the address to which the REDIRECT is sent. This may be the reply address from a received message or the address of the opposite side (superior/inferior) as given in a CONTEXT or ENROL message

superior identifier The superior identifier as on the CONTEXT message and used on an ENROL message. (present only if the REDIRECT is sent from the Inferior).

inferior identifier The inferior identifier as on the ENROL message

old address The previous address of the sender of REDIRECT. A match is considered to apply if any of the old addresses match one that is already known.

new address The (set of alternatives) new addresses to be used for messages sent to this entity.

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220

If the actor whose address is changed is a Inferior, the new address value replaces the address-as-inferior as present in the ENROL.

If the actor whose address is changed is a Superior, the new address value replaces the Superior address as present in the CONTEXT message (or as present in any other mechanism used to establish the Superior:Inferior relationship).

2221
2222
2223
2224

FAULT

Sent in reply to various messages to report an error condition

Parameter	Type
target address	BTP address
superior identifier	Identifier
inferior identifier	Identifier
fault type	See below
fault data	See below
qualifiers	List of qualifiers

2225
2226
2227
2228
2229

target address the address to which the FAULT is sent. This may be the reply address from a received message or the address of the opposite side (superior/inferior) as given in a CONTEXT or ENROL message

2230
2231
2232

superior identifier the superior identifier as on the CONTEXT message and as used on the ENROL message (present only if the FAULT is sent to the superior).

2233
2234
2235

inferior identifier the inferior identifier as on the ENROL message (present only if the FAULT is sent to the inferior)

2236
2237
2238

fault type identifies the nature of the error, as specified for each of the main messages.

2239
2240
2241

fault data information relevant to the particular error. Each fault type defines the content of the fault data:

fault type	meaning	fault data
<i>General</i>	Any otherwise unspecified problem	Free text explanation
<i>UnknownParameter</i>	A BTP message has be en received with an unrecognised parameter	Free text explanation
<i>WrongState</i>	The message has arrived when the participant is in an invalid	

	the recipient is in an invalid state.	
<i>CommunicationFailure</i>	Any fault arising from the carrier mechanism and communication infrastructure.	Determined by the carrier mechanism and binding specification
<i>InvalidSuperior</i>	The received identifier is not known or does not identify a known Superior	The identifier
<i>DuplicateInferior</i>	An inferior with the same address and identifier is already enrolled with this Superior	The identifier
<i>InvalidInferior</i>	The Superior is known but the Inferior identified by the address-as-inferior and identifier are not enrolled in it	The Inferior Identity (address-as-inferior and identifier)
<i>UnsupportedQualifier</i>	A qualifier has been received that is not recognised and on which "must-be-Understood" is "true".	Qualifier group and name

qualifiers standardised or other qualifiers.

Note – If the carrier mechanism used for the transmission of BTP messages is capable delivering messages in a different order than they were sent in, the "WrongState" FAULT is not sent and should be ignored if received.

Standard qualifiers

The following qualifiers are expected to be of general use to many applications and environments. The URI "urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:qualifiers" is used in the Qualifier group value for the qualifiers defined here.

Transaction timelimit

The transaction timelimit allows the Superior (or an application element initiating the business transaction) to indicate the expected length of the active phase, and thus give an indication to the Inferior of when it would be appropriate to initiate cancellation if the active phase appears to continue too long. The time limit ends (the clock stops) when the Inferior decides to be prepared and issues PREPARED to the Superior.

It should be noted that the expiry of the time limit does not change the permissible actions of the Inferior. At any time prior to deciding to be prepared (for an Inferior), the Inferior is

permitted to initiate cancellation for internal reasons. The **timelimit** gives an indication to the entity of when it will be useful to exercise this right.

The qualifier is propagated on a CONTEXT message.

The “Qualifier name” shall be “**transaction-timelimit**”.

The “Content” shall contain the following field:

Content field	Type
Timelimit	Integer

Timelimit indicates the maximum (further) duration, expressed as whole seconds from the time of transmission of the containing CONTEXT, of the active phase of the business transaction.

Inferior timeout

This qualifier allows an Inferior to limit the duration of its “promise”, when sending PREPARED, that it will maintain the ability to confirm or cancel the effects of all associated operations. Without this qualifier, an Inferior is expected to retain the ability to confirm or cancel indefinitely. If the timeout does expire, the Inferior is released from its promise and can apply the decision indicated in the qualifier.

It should be noted that BTP recognises the possibility that an Inferior may be forced to apply a confirm or cancel decision before the CONFIRM or CANCEL is received and before this timeout expires (or if this qualifier is not used). Such a decision is termed a heuristic decision, and (as with other transaction mechanisms), is considered to be an exceptional event. As with heuristic decisions, the taking of an autonomous decision by a Inferior **subsequent** to the expiry of this timeout, is liable to cause contradictory decisions across the business transaction. BTP ensures that at least the occurrence of such a contradiction will be (eventually) reported to the Superior of the business transaction. BTP treats “true” heuristic decisions and autonomous decisions after timeout the same way – in fact, the expiry in this timeout does not cause a qualitative (state table) change in what can happen, but rather a step change in the probability that it will.

The expiry of the timeout does not strictly require that the Inferior immediately invokes the intended decision, only that it is at liberty to do so. An implementation may choose to only apply the decision if there is contention for the underlying resource, for example. Nevertheless, Superiors are recommended to avoid relying on this and ensure decisions for the business transaction are made before these timeouts expire (and allow a margin of error for network latency etc.).

The qualifier may be present on a PREPARED message. If the PREPARED message has the “default is cancel” parameter “true”, then the “IntendedDecision” field of this qualifier shall have the value “cancel”.

2311 The “Qualifier name” shall be “inferior-timeout” .

2312

2313 The “Content” shall contain the following fields:

2314

Content field	Type
Timeout	Integer
IntendedDecision	“confirm” or “cancel”

2315

2316 **Timeout** indicates how long, expressed as whole seconds from the time of transmission of the

2317 carrying message, the Inferior intends to maintain its ability to either confirm or cancel the

2318 effects of the associated operations, as ordered by the receiving Superior.

2319

2320 **IntendedDecision** indicates which outcome will be applied, if the timeout completes and an

2321 autonomous decision is made.

2322

2323 Minimum inferior timeout

2324

2325 This qualifier allows a Superior to constrain the Inferior timeout qualifier received from the

2326 Inferior. If a Superior knows that the decision for the business transaction will not be

2327 determined for some period, it can require that Inferiors do not send PREPARED messages

2328 with Inferior timeouts that would expire before then. An Inferior that is unable or unwilling to

2329 send a PREPARED message with a longer (or no) timeout **should** cancel, and reply with

2330 CANCELLED.

2331

2332 The qualifier may be present on a CONTEXT, ENROLLED or PREPARE message. If

2333 present on more than one, and with different values of the MinimumTimeout field, the value

2334 on ENROLLED shall prevail over that on CONTEXT and the value on PREPARE shall

2335 prevail over either of the others.

2336

2337 The “Qualifier name” shall be “minimum-inferior-timeout” .

2338

2339 The “Content” shall contain the following field:

2340

Content field	Type
MinimumTimeout	Integer

2341

2342 **Minimum Timeout** is the minimum value of timeout, expressed as whole seconds, that will be

2343 acceptable in the Inferior timeout qualifier on an answering PREPARED message.

2344

2345 Inferior name

2346

2347 This qualifier allows an Enroller to supply a name for the Inferior that will be visible on

2348 INFERIOR_STATUSES and thus allow the Terminator to determine which Inferior (of the

2349 Composer or Coordinator) is related to which application work. This is in addition to the

2350 “inferior handle” field. The name can be human-readable and can also be used in fault

2351 tracing, debugging and auditing.

2352
 2353 The name is never used by the BTP actors themselves to identify each other or to direct
 2354 messages. (The BTP actors use the addresses and the identifiers in the message parameters
 2355 for those purposes.)
 2356
 2357 This specification makes no requirement that the names are unambiguous within any scope
 2358 (unlike the “inferior-handle” on ENROLLED and BEGUN, which is required to be
 2359 unambiguous within the scope of the Decider). Other specifications, including those defining
 2360 use of BTP with a particular application may place requirements on the use and form of the
 2361 names. (This may include reference to information passed in application messages or in other,
 2362 non-standardised, qualifiers.)
 2363
 2364 The qualifier may be present on BEGIN, ENROL and in the “qualifiers” field of a Status-item
 2365 in INFERIOR_STATUSES. It is present on BEGIN only if there is a related CONTEXT; if
 2366 present, the same qualifier value **should** be included in the consequent ENROL. If
 2367 INFERIOR_STATUSES includes a Status-item for an Inferior whose ENROL had an
 2368 inferior-name qualifier, the same qualifier value **should** be included in the Status-item.
 2369
 2370 The “Qualifier-name” shall be “inferior-name”
 2371
 2372 The “Content” shall contain the following fields:
 2373

Content field	Type
inferior-name	String

2374
 2375 **Inferior name** the name assigned to the enrolling Inferior.
 2376

State Tables

Explanation of the state tables

The state tables deal with the state transitions of the Superior and Inferior roles and which message can be sent and received in each state. The state tables directly cover only a single, bi-lateral Superior:Inferior relationship. The interactions between, for example, multiple Inferiors of a single Superior that will apply the same decision to all or some (of them), are dealt with in the definitions of the “decision” events which also specify when changes are made to persistent state information (see below).

There are two state tables, one for Superior, one for Inferior. States are identified by a letter-digit pair, with upper-case letters for the superior, lower-case for the inferior. The same letter is used to group states which have the same, or similar, persistent state, with the digit indicating volatile state changes or minor variations. Corresponding upper and lower-case letters are used to identify (approximately) corresponding Superior and Inferior states.

The Inferior table includes events occurring both at the Inferior as such and at the associated Enroller, as the Enroller’s actions are constrained by and constrain the Inferior role itself.

Status queries

In BTP the messages SUPERIOR_STATE and INFERIOR_STATE are available to prompt the peer to report its current state by repeating the previous message (when this is allowed) or by sending the other *_STATE message. The “reply_requested” parameter of these messages distinguishes between their use as a prompt and as a reply. An implementation receiving a *_STATE message with “reply_requested” as “true” is not required to reply immediately – it may choose delay any reply until a decision event occurs and then send the appropriate new message (e.g. on receiving INFERIOR_STATE/prepared/y while in state E1, a superior is permitted to delay until it has performed “decide to confirm” (or “decide to cancel”). However, this may cause the other side to repeatedly send interrogatory *_STATE messages.

Note that a Superior (or some entity standing in for a now-extinct Superior) uses SUPERIOR_STATE/unknown to reply to messages received from an Inferior where the Superior:Inferior relationship is in an unknown (using state “Y1”). The *_STATE messages with a “state” value “inaccessible” can be used as a reply when **any** message is received and the implementation is temporarily unable to determine whether the relationship is known or what the state is. Other than these cases, the *_STATE messages with “reply requested” equal to “false” are only sent when the other message with “reply requested” equal to “true” has been received and no other message has been sent.

Decision events

The persistent state changes (equivalent to logging in a regular transaction system) and some other events are modelled as “decision events” (e.g. “decide to confirm”, “decide to be prepared”). The exact nature of the real events and changes in an implementation that are modelled by these events depends on the position of the Superior or Inferior within the business transaction and on features of the implementation (e.g. making of a persistent record

of the decision means that the information will survive at least some failures that otherwise lose state information, but the level of survival depends on the purpose of the implementation). Table 2 and Table 3 define the decision events.

In some cases, an implementation may not need to make an active change to have a persistent record of a decision, provided that the implementation will restore itself to the appropriate state on recovery. For example, an (inferior) implementation that “decided to be prepared”, and recorded a timeout (to cancel) in the persistent information for that decision (signalled via the appropriate qualifier on PREPARED), could treat the presence of an expired record as a record of “decide to cancel autonomously”, provided it always updated such a record as part of the “apply ordered confirmation” decision event.

The Superior event “decide to prepare” is considered semi-persistent. Since the sending of PREPARE indicates that the application exchange (to associate operations with the Inferior) is complete, it is not meaningful for the Superior:Inferior relationship to revert to an earlier state corresponding to an incomplete application exchange. However, implementations are not required to make the sending of PREPARE persistent in terms of recovery – a Superior that experiences failure after sending PREPARE may, on recovery, have no information about the transaction, in which case it is considered to be in the completed state (Z), which will imply the cancellation of the Inferior and its associated operations.

Where a Superior is itself an Inferior (to another Superior entity), in a hierarchic tree, its “decide to confirm” and “decide to cancel” decisions will in fact be the receipt of a CONFIRM or CANCEL instruction from its own Superior, without necessary change of local persistent information (which would combine both superior and inferior information, pointing both up and down the tree).

Disruptions – failure events

Failure events are modelled as “disruption”. A failure and the subsequent recovery will (or may) cause a change of state. The disruption events in the state tables model different extents of loss of state information. An implementation is not required to exhibit all the possible disruption events, but it is not allowed to exhibit state transitions that do not correspond to a possible disruption.

In addition to the disruption events in the tables, there is an implicit “disruption 0” event, which involves possible interruption of service and loss of messages in transit, but no change of state (either because no state information was lost, or because recovery from persistent information restores the implementation to the same state). The “disruption 0” event would typically be an appropriate abstraction for a communication failure.

Invalid cells and assumptions of the communication mechanism

The empty cells in state table represent events that cannot happen. For events corresponding to sending a message or any of the decision events, this prohibition is absolute – e.g. a conformant implementation in the Superior active state “B1” will not send CONFIRM. For

events corresponding to receiving a message, the interpretation depends on the properties of the underlying communications mechanism.

For all communication mechanisms, it is assumed that

- a) the two directions of the Superior:Inferior communication are not synchronised – that is messages travelling in opposite directions can cross each other to any degree; any number of messages may be in transit in either direction; and
- b) messages may be lost arbitrarily

If the communication mechanisms guarantee ordered delivery (i.e. that messages, if delivered at all, are delivered to the receiver in the order they were sent) , then receipt of a message in a state where the corresponding cell is empty indicates that the far-side has sent a message out of order – a FAULT message with the Fault Type “WrongState” can be returned.

If the communication mechanisms cannot guarantee ordered delivery, then messages received where the corresponding cell is empty should be ignored. Assuming the far-side is conformant, these messages can assumed to be “stale” and have been overtaken by messages sent later but already delivered. (If the far-side is non-conformant, there is a problem anyway).

Meaning of state table events

The tables in this section define the events (rows) in the state tables. Table 1 defines the events corresponding to sending or receiving BTP messages and the disruption events. Table 2 describes the decision events for an Inferior, Table 3 those for a Superior.

The decision events for a Superior, defined in Table 3 cannot be specified without reference to other Inferiors to which it is Superior and to its relation with the application or other entity that (acting ultimately on behalf of the application) drives it.

The term “remaining Inferiors” are any actors to which this endpoint is Superior and which are to be treated as an atomic decision unit with (and thus including) the Inferior on this relationship. If the CONTEXT for this Superior:Inferior relationship had a “superior type” of “atom”, this will be all Inferiors established with same Superior address and Superior identifier except those from which RESIGN has been received. If the CONTEXT had “superior type” of “cohesion”, the “remaining Inferiors” excludes any that it has been determined will be cancelled, as well as any that have resigned – in other words it includes only those for which a confirm decision is still possible or has been made. The determination of exactly which Inferiors are “remaining Inferiors” in a cohesion is determined, in some way, by the application. The term “Other remaining Inferiors” excludes this Inferior on this relationship. A Superior with a single Inferior will have no “other remaining Inferiors”.

In order to ensure that the confirmation decision **is** delivered to all remaining Inferiors, despite failures, the Superior must persistently record which these Inferiors are (i.e. their addresses and identifiers). It must also either record that the decision is confirm, or ensure that the confirm decision (if there is one) is persistently recorded somewhere else, and that it will be told about it. This latter would apply if the Superior were also BTP Inferior to another entity which persisted a confirm decision (or recursively deferred it still higher). However,

since there is no requirement that the Superior be also a BTP Inferior to any other entity, the behaviour of asking another entity to make (and persist) the confirm decision is termed "offering confirmation" - the Superior offers the possible confirmation of itself, and its remaining Inferiors to some other entity. If that entity (or something higher up) then does make and persist a confirm decision, the Superior is "instructed to confirm" (which is equivalent BTP CONFIRM).

The application, or an entity acting indirectly on behalf of the application, may request a Superior to prepare an Inferior (or all Inferiors). This typically implies that there will be no more operations associated with the Inferior. Following a request to prepare all remaining Inferiors, the Superior may offer confirmation to the entity that requested the prepare. (If the Superior is also a BTP Inferior, its superior can be considered an entity acting on behalf of the application.)

The application, or an entity acting indirectly on behalf of the application, may also request confirmation. This means the Superior is to attempt to make and persist a confirm decision itself, rather than offer confirmation.

Table 1 : send, receive and disruption events

Event name	Meaning
send/receive ENROL/rsp-req	send/receive ENROL with reply-requested = true
send/receive ENROL/no-rsp-req	send/receive ENROL with reply-requested = false
send/receive RESIGN/rsp-req	send/receive RESIGN with reply-requested = true
send/receive RESIGN/no-rsp-req	send/receive RESIGN with reply-requested = false
send/receive PREPARED	send/receive PREPARED, with default-cancel = false
send/receive PREPARED/cancel	send/receive PREPARED, with default-cancel = true
send/receive CONFIRMED/auto	send/receive CONFIRMED, with confirm -received = true
send/receive CONFIRMED/response	send/receive CONFIRMED, with confirm -received = false
send/receive HAZARD	send/receive HAZARD
send/receive INF_STATE/***/y	send/receive INFERIOR_STATE with status *** and reply-requested = true
send/receive INF_STATE/***	send/receive INFERIOR_STATE with status *** and reply-requested = false
send/receive SUP_STATE/***/y	send/receive SUPERIOR_STATE with status *** and reply-requested = true ("prepared-rcvd" represents "prepared-received")

Event name	Meaning
send/receive SUP_STATE/**	send/receive SUPERIOR_STATE with status ** and reply-requested = false ("prepared-rcvd" represents "prepared-received")
disruption **	Loss of state– new state is state applying after any local recovery processes complete

2539

2540

Table 2 : Decision events for Inferior

Event name	Meaning
decide to resign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any associated operations have had no effect (data state is unchanged)).
decide to be prepared	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effects of all associated operations can be confirmed or cancelled; information to retain confirm/cancel ability has been made persistent
decide to be prepared/cancel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As "decide to be prepared"; the persistent information specifies that the default action will be to cancel
decide to confirm autonomously	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision to confirm autonomously has been made persistent; the effects of associated operations will be confirmed regardless of failures
decide to cancel autonomously	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision to cancel autonomously has been made persistent the effects of associated operations will be cancelled regardless of failures
apply ordered confirmation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effects of all associated operations have been confirmed; Persistent information is effectively removed
remove persistent information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Persistent information is effectively removed;
detect problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For at least some of the associated operations, EITHER <ul style="list-style-type: none"> they cannot be consistently cancelled or consistently confirmed; OR it cannot be determined whether they will be cancelled or confirmed AND, information about this is not persistent

Event name	Meaning
detect and record problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As for the first condition of "detect problem" information recording this has been persisted (to the degree considered appropriate), or the detection itself is persistent. (i.e. will be re-detected on recovery)

Table 3: Decision events for a Superior

Event name	Meaning
decide to request confirm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All associated application messages to be sent to the service have been sent; There are no other remaining Inferiors All enrolments that would create other Inferiors have completed (no outstanding CONTEXT_REPLYS) The Superior has been requested to confirm
decide to prepare	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All associated application messages to be sent to the service have been sent; The Superior has been requested to prepare this Inferior
decide to confirm	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Either <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PREPARED or PREPARED/cancel has been received from all other remaining Inferiors; AND Superior has been requested to confirm; AND persistent information records the confirm decision and identifies all remaining Inferiors; Or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> persistent information records an offer of confirmation and has been instructed to confirm
decide to cancel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Superior has not offered confirmation; OR Superior has offered confirmation and has been instructed to cancel; OR Superior has offered confirmation but has made an autonomous cancellation decision
remove confirm information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Persistent information has been effectively removed;
record contradiction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information recording the contradiction has been persisted (to the degree considered appropriate)

Persistent information

Persisted information (especially prepared information at an Inferior, confirm information at a Superior) may include qualifications of the state carried in Qualifiers of the corresponding message (e.g. inferior timeouts in prepared information). It may also include application-specific information (especially in Inferiors) to allow the future confirmation or cancellation of the associated operations. In some cases it will also include information allowing an application message sent with a BTP message (e.g. PREPARED) to be repeated.

The “effective” removal of persistent information allows for the possibility that the information is retained (perhaps for audit and tracing purposes) but some change to the persistent information (as a whole) means that if there is a failure after such change, on recovery, the persistent information does not cause the endpoint to return the state it would have recovered to before the change.

In all cases, the degree to which information described as “persistent” will survive failure is a configuration and implementation option. An implementation **should** describe the level of failure that it is capable of surviving. For applications manipulating information that is itself volatile (e.g. network configurations), there is no requirement to make the BTP state information more persistent than the application information.

The degree of persistence of the recording of a hazard (problem) at an Inferior and recording of a detected contradiction at a Superior may be different from that applying to the persistent prepared and confirm information. Implementations and configuration may choose to pass hazard and contradiction information via management mechanisms rather than through BTP. Such passing of information to a management mechanism could be treated as “record problem” or “record contradiction”.

Table 4 : Superior states

State	summary
I1	CONTEXT created
A1	ENROLing
B1	ENROLLED (active)
C1	resigning
D1	PREPARE sent
E1	PREPARED received
E2	PREPARED/cancel received
F1	CONFIRM sent
F2	completed after confirm
G1	cancel decided
G2	CANCEL sent
G3	cancelling, RESIGN received
G4	both cancelled
H1	inferior autonomously confirmed
J1	Inferior autonomously cancelled
K1	confirmed, contradiction detected
L1	cancelled, contradiction detected
P1	hazard reported
P2	hazard reported in null state
P3	hazard reported after confirm decision
P4	hazard reported after cancel decision
Q1	contradiction detected in null state
R1	Contradiction or hazard recorded
R2	completed after contradiction or hazard recorded
S1	REQUEST CONFIRM decided
Y1	completed queried
Z	completed and unknown

Table 5 : Inferior states

State	summary
i1	aware of CONTEXT
a1	enrolling
b1	enrolled
c1	resigning
d1	preparing
e1	prepared
e2	prepared,default to cancel
f1	confirming
f2	confirming after default cancel
g1	CANCEL received in prepared state
g2	CANCEL received in prepared/cancel state
h1	Autonomously confirmed
h2	autonomously confirmed, superior confirmed
j1	autonomously cancelled
j2	autonomously cancelled, superior cancelled
k1	autonomously cancelled, contradicted
k2	autonomously cancelled, CONTRADICTION received
l1	autonomously confirmed, contradicted
l2	autonomously confirmed, CONTRADICTION received
m1	confirmation applied
n1	cancelling
p1	hazard detected, not recorded
p2	hazard detected in prepared state, not recorded
q1	hazard recorded
s1	REQUEST CONFIRM received after prepared state
s2	REQUEST CONFIRM received
s3	REQUEST CONFIRM received, confirming
s4	REQUEST CONFIRM received, cancelling
s5	REQUEST CONFIRM received, hazard detected
s6	REQUEST CONFIRM received, hazard recorded
x1	completed, presuming abort
x2	completed, presuming abort after prepared/cancel
y1	completed, queried

State	summary
y2	completed, default cancel, a message received
z	completed
z1	completed with default t cancel

2575
2576

Table 6: Superior state table – normal forward progression

	I 1	A1	B1	C1	D1	E1	E2	F1	F2
receive ENROL/rsp-req	A1								
receive ENROL/no-rsp-req	B1								
receive RESIGN/rsp-req	Y1		C1	C1	C1				
receive RESIGN/no-rsp-req	Z		Z	Z	Z				
receive PREPARED	Y1		E1		E1	E1		F1	
receive PREPARED/cancel	Y1		E2		E2		E2	F1	
receive CONFIRMED/auto	Q1		H1		H1	H1		F1	
receive CONFIRMED/response								F2	F2
receive CANCELLED	Y1		Z		Z	J1	J1	K1	
receive HAZARD	P1	P1	P1		P1	P1	P1	P3	
receive INF_STATE/active/y	Y1	A1	B1		D1				
receive INF_STATE/active			B1		D1				
receive INF_STATE/unknown			Z	Z	Z				
send ENROLLED		B1							
send RESIGNED				Z					
send PREPARE					D1	E1	E2		
send CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE								F1	
send CONFIRM									
send CANCEL									
send CONTRADICTION									
send SUP_STATE/active/y			B1						
send SUP_STATE/active			B1						
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y						E1	E2		
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd						E1	E2		
send SUP_STATE/unknown									
decide to request confirm			S1			S1	S1		
decide to prepare			D1						
decide to confirm						F1	F1		
decide to cancel			G1		G1	G1	Z		
remove persistent information									Z
record contradiction									
disruption I	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z		F1
disruption II						D1	D1		
disruption III						B1	B1		
disruption IV									

Table 7: Superior state table – cancellation and contradiction

	G1	G2	G3	G4	H1	J1	K1	L1
receive ENROL/rsp-req								
receive ENROL/no-rsp-req								
receive RESIGN/rsp-req	G3	Z	G3					
receive RESIGN/no-rsp-req	Z	Z	Z					
receive PREPARED	G1	G2						
receive PREPARED/cancel	G1	G2						
receive CONFIRMED/auto	L1	L1			H1			L1
receive CONFIRMED/response								
receive CANCELLED	G4	Z		G4		J1	K1	
receive HAZARD	P4	P4						
receive INF_STATE/active/y	G1	G2						
receive INF_STATE/active	G1	G2						
receive INF_STATE/unknown	Z	Z	Z	Z				
send ENROLLED								
send RESIGNED								
send PREPARE								
send CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE								
send CONFIRM								
send CANCEL	G2	G2	Z	Z				
send CONTRADICTION								
send SUP_STATE/active/y								
send SUP_STATE/active								
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y								
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd								
send SUP_STATE/unknown								
decide to request confirm								
decide to prepare								
decide to confirm					F1	K1		
decide to cancel					L1	G4		
remove persistent information								
record contradiction							R1	R1
disruption I	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	F1	Z
disruption II			G2	G2	E1	E1		G2
disruption III					D1	D1		
disruption IV					B1	B1		

Table 8: Superior state table – hazard and request confirm

	P1	P2	P3	P4	Q1	R1	R2	S1
receive ENROL/rsp-req								
receive ENROL/no-rsp-req								
receive RESIGN/rsp-req								C1
receive RESIGN/no-rsp-req								Z
receive PREPARED								S1
receive PREPARED/cancel								S1
receive CONFIRMED/auto					Q1	R1	R1	S1
receive CONFIRMED/response					Z	R2		Z
receive CANCELLED						R1	R1	Z
receive HAZARD	P1	P2	P3	P4		R1	R1	Z
receive INF_STATE/active/y								S1
receive INF_STATE/active								S1
receive INF_STATE/unknown	P1	P2		P4		R2	R2	Z
send ENROLLED								
send RESIGNED								
send PREPARE								
send CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE								S1
send CONFIRM								
send CANCEL								
send CONTRADICTION						R2		
send SUP_STATE/active/y								
send SUP_STATE/active								
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y								
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd								
send SUP_STATE/unknown								
decide to request confirm								
decide to prepare								
decide to confirm								
decide to cancel								
remove persistent information							Z	
record contradiction	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1			
disruption I	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z		R1	Z
disruption II	D1		F1	G2				
disruption III	B1							
disruption IV								

Table 9: Superior state table – query after completion and completed states

	Y1	Z
receive ENROL/rsp-req		Y1
receive ENROL/no-rsp-req		Y1
receive RESIGN/rsp-req	Y1	Y1
receive RESIGN/no-rsp-req	Z	Z
receive PREPARED	Y1	Y1
receive PREPARED/cancel	Y1	Y1
receive CONFIRMED/auto	Q1	Q1
receive CONFIRMED/response	Z	Z
receive CANCELLED	Y1	Y1
receive HAZARD	P2	P2
receive INF_STATE/active/y	Y1	Y1
receive INF_STATE/active	Y1	Z
receive INF_STATE/unknown	Z	Z
send ENROLLED		
send RESIGNED		
send PREPARE		
send CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE		
send CONFIRM		
send CANCEL		
send CONTRADICTION		
send SUP_STATE/active/y		
send SUP_STATE/active		
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y		
send SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd		
send SUP_STATE/unknown	Z	
decide to request confirm		
decide to prepare		
decide to confirm		
decide to cancel		
remove persistent information		
record contradiction		
disruption I	Z	
disruption II		
disruption III		
disruption IV		

2580

2581

2581

2582

Table 10: Inferior state table – normal forward progression

	i 1	a1	b1	c1	d1	e1	e2	f1	f2
send ENROL/rsp-req send ENROL/no-rsp-req send RESIGN/rsp-req send RESIGN/no-rsp-req send PREPARED send PREPARED/cancel send CONFIRMED/auto send CONFIRMED/response send CANCELLED send HAZARD	a1 b1			c1 z		e1 e2			
send INF_STATE/active/y send INF_STATE/active send INF_STATE/unknown		a1 b1	b1		d1 d1				
receive ENROLLED receive RESIGNED receive PREPARE receive CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE receive CONFIRM receive CANCEL receive CONTRADICTION		b1		z					
		d1 s2	d1 s2	c1 c1	d1	e1 s1 f1 g1	e2 s1 f2 g2	f1	f2
receive SUP_STATE/active/y receive SUP_STATE/active receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd receive SUP_STATE/unknown		b1 b1	b1 b1	c1 c1		e1 e1 e1 e1	e2 e2 e2 e2		
		z	z	z	z	x1	x2		
decide to resign decide to be prepared decide to be prepared/cancel decide to confirm autonomously decide to cancel autonomously apply ordered confirmation remove persistent information detect problem detect and record problem disruption I disruption II disruption III			c1 e1 e2		c1 e1 e2	h1 j1	z1	m1 m1	m1 m1
		p1	p1		p1	p2	p2	p2	p2
		z	z	z	z b1			e1	e2

2583

2584

Table 11: Inferior state table – cancellation and contradiction

	g1	g2	h1	h2	j 1	j 2	k1	k2	l 1	l 2
send ENROL/rsp-req send ENROL/no-rsp-req send RESIGN/rsp-req send RESIGN/no-rsp-req send PREPARED send PREPARED/cancel send CONFIRMED/auto send CONFIRMED/response send CANCELLED send HAZARD			h1		j 1		k1		l 1	
send INF_STATE/active/y send INF_STATE/active send INF_STATE/unknown										
receive ENROLLED receive RESIGNED receive PREPARE receive CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE receive CONFIRM receive CANCEL receive CONTRADICTION receive SUP_STATE/active/y receive SUP_STATE/active receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd receive SUP_STATE/unknown	g1	g2	h1 s3 h2 l 1 l 2	h2	j 1 s4 k1 j 2 j 2 k2 j 1 j 1 j 1 j 1		k1 k2 k2		l 1 l 2 l 2	
decide to resign decide to be prepared decide to be prepared/cancel decide to confirm autonomously decide to cancel autonomously apply ordered confirmation remove persistent information detect problem detect and record problem	n1 p2	n1 p2		m1		z		z		z
disruption I disruption II disruption III	e1	e2	h1		j 1		j 1 k1 j 1		h1	l 1 h1

Table 12: Inferior state table– confirm, cancel ordered and hazard recording

	m1	n1	p1	p2	q1
send ENROL/rsp-req					
send ENROL/no-rsp-req					
send RESIGN/rsp-req					
send RESIGN/no-rsp-req					
send PREPARED					
send PREPARED/cancel					
send CONFIRMED/auto					
send CONFIRMED/response	z				
send CANCELLED		z			
send HAZARD			p1	p2	q1
send INF_STATE/active/y					
send INF_STATE/active					
send INF_STATE/unknown					
receive ENROLLED			p1		q1
receive RESIGNED					
receive PREPARE			p1	p2	q1
receive CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE			s5	s5	s6
receive CONFIRM	m1			p2	q1
receive CANCEL		n1	p1	p2	q1
receive CONTRADICTION			z	z	z
receive SUP_STATE/active/y			p1	p2	q1
receive SUP_STATE/active			p1	p2	q1
receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y				p2	q1
receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd				p2	q1
receive SUP_STATE/unknown		z	p1	p2	q1
decide to resign					
decide to be prepared					
decide to be prepared/cancel					
decide to confirm autonomously					
decide to cancel autonomously					
apply ordered confirmation					
remove persistent information					
detect problem					
detect and record problem			q1	q1	
disruption I	z	z	z		
disruption II		d1			
disruption III		b1			

Table 13: Inferior state table– request confirm states

	s1	s2	s3	s4	s5	s6
send ENROL/rsp-req send ENROL/no-rsp-req send RESIGN/rsp-req send RESIGN/no-rsp-req send PREPARED send PREPARED/cancel send CONFIRMED/auto send CONFIRMED/response send CANCELLED send HAZARD						
send INF_STATE/active/y send INF_STATE/active send INF_STATE/unknown						
receive ENROLLED receive RESIGNED receive PREPARE receive CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE receive CONFIRM receive CANCEL receive CONTRADICTION receive SUP_STATE/active/y receive SUP_STATE/active receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd receive SUP_STATE/unknown	s1 x1	s2 z	s3 s3 z	s4 z	s5 z	s6 s6 z
decide to resign decide to be prepared decide to be prepared/cancel decide to confirm autonomously decide to cancel autonomously apply ordered confirmation remove persistent information detect problem detect and record problem			s3 s4			
disruption I disruption II disruption III	e1	z		z	z	

2589

Table 14: Inferior state table– completed states (including presume -abort and queried)

	x1	x2	y1	y2	z	z1
send ENROL/rsp-req send ENROL/no-rsp-req send RESIGN/rsp-req send RESIGN/no-rsp-req send PREPARED send PREPARED/cancel send CONFIRMED/auto send CONFIRMED/response send CANCELLED send HAZARD						
send INF_STATE/active/y send INF_STATE/active send INF_STATE/unknown						
receive ENROLLED receive RESIGNED receive PREPARE receive CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE receive CONFIRM receive CANCEL receive CONTRADICTION receive SUP_STATE/active/y receive SUP_STATE/active receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd/y receive SUP_STATE/prepared-rcvd receive SUP_STATE/unknown						
decide to resign decide to be prepared decide to be prepared/cancel decide to confirm autonomously decide to cancel autonomously apply ordered confirmation remove persistent information detect problem detect and record problem						
disruption I disruption II disruption III						

2590

2591

2592

Failure Recovery

Types of failure

BTP is designed to ensure the delivery of a consistent decision for a business transaction to the parties involved, even in the event of failure. Failures can be classified as:

Communication failure: messages between BTP actors are lost and not delivered. BTP assumes the carrier protocol ensures that messages are either delivered correctly (without corruption) or are lost, but does not assume that all losses are reported or that messages sent separately are delivered in the order of sending.

Node failure (system failure, site failure): a machine hosting one or more BTP actors stops processing and all its volatile data is lost. BTP assumes a site fails by stopping – it either operates correctly or not at all, it never operates incorrectly.

Communication failure may become known to a BTP implementation by an indication from the lower layers or may be inferred (or suspected) by the expiry of a timeout. Recovery from a communication failure requires only that the two actors can again send messages to each other and continue or complete the progress of the business transaction. In the state tables for the Superior:Inferior relationship, each side is either waiting to make a decision or can send a message. For some states, the message to be sent is a repetition of a regular message; for other states, the INFERIOR_STATE or SUPERIOR_STATE message can be sent, requesting a response. Thus, following a communication failure, either side can prompt the other to re-establish the relationship. Receiving one of the *_STATE messages asking for a response does not require an immediate response – especially if an implementation is waiting to determine a decision (perhaps because it is itself waiting for a decision from elsewhere), an implementation may choose not to reply until it wishes too.

A node failure is distinguished from communication failure because there is loss of volatile state. To ensure consistent application of the decision of a business transaction, BTP requires that some state information will be persisted despite node failure. Exactly what real events correspond to node failure but leave the persistent information undamaged is a matter for implementation choice, depending on application requirements; however, for most application uses, power failure should be survivable (an exception would be if the data manipulated by the associated operations was volatile). There will always be some level of event sufficiently catastrophic to lose persistent information and the ability to recover—destruction of the computer or bankruptcy of the organisation, for example.

Recovery from node failure involves recreating the endpoint in a node that has access to the persistent information for incomplete transactions. This may be a recreation of the original node (including the ability to perform application work) using the same addresses; or there may be a distinct recovery entity, which can access the persistent data, but has a different address; other implementation approaches are possible. Restoration of the endpoint from persistent information will often result in a partial loss of state, relative to the volatile state reached before the failure. This is modelled in the state tables by the “disruption” events. After recovery from node failure, the implementation behaves much as if a communication failure had occurred.

Persistent information

BTP requires that some decision events are persisted – that information recording an Inferior’s decision to be prepared, a Superior’s decision to confirm and an Inferior’s autonomous decision survive failure. Making the first two decisions persistent ensures that a consistent decision can be reached for the business transaction and that it is delivered to all involved nodes. Requiring an Inferior’s autonomous decision to be persistent allows BTP to ensure that, if this decision is contradictory (i.e. opposite to the decision at the Superior), the contradiction will be reported to the Superior, despite failures.

BTP also permits, but does not require, recovery of the Superior:Inferior relationship in the active state (unlike many transaction protocols, where a communication or endpoint failure in active state would invariably cause rollback of the transaction). Recovery in the active state may require that the application exchange is resynchronised as well – BTP does not directly support this, but does allow continuation of the business transaction as such. In the state tables, from some states, there are several levels of disruption, distinguished by which state the implementation transits to – this represents the survival of different extents of state information over failure and recovery. The different levels of disruption describe legitimate states for the endpoint to be in after it has recovered – **they do not require that all implementations are able to exhibit the appropriate partial loss of state information.** The absence of a destination state for the disruption events means that such a transition is not legitimate – thus, for example, an Inferior that has decided to be prepared will always recover to the same state, by virtue of the information persisted in the “decide to be prepared” event.

Apart from the (optional) recovery in active state, BTP follows the well-known presume-abort model – it is only required that information be persisted when decisions are made (and not, e.g. on enrolment). This means that on recovery, one side may have persistent information but the other does not. This occurs when an Inferior has decided to be prepared but the Superior never confirmed (so the decision is “presumed” to be cancel), or because the Superior did confirm, and the Inferior applied the confirm, removed its persistent information but the acknowledgement (CONFIRMED) was never received by the Superior (or, at least, it still had the persistent information when the failure occurred).

Information to be persisted for an Inferior’s “decision to be prepared” must be sufficient to re-establish communication with the Superior, to apply a confirm decision and to apply a cancel decision. It will thus need to include

- Inferior identity (this may be an index used to locate the information)
- Superior address (as on CONTEXT)
- Superior identifier (as on CONTEXT)
- default-is-cancel value (as on PREPARED)

The information needed to apply confirm/cancel decisions will depend on the application and the associated operations. It may also normally be necessary to persist any qualifiers that were sent with the PREPARED message or application messages sent with the PREPARED, since the PREPARED message will be repeated if a failure occurs.

A Superior must record corresponding information to allow it to re-establish communication with the Inferior:

Inferior address (as on ENROL)

Inferior identifier (as on ENROL)

A Superior that is the Decider for the business transaction need only persist this information if it makes a decision to confirm (and this Inferior is in the confirm set, for a Cohesion). A Superior that is also an Inferior to some other entity (i.e. it is an intermediate in a tree, as atom in a cohesion, sub-coordinator or sub-composer) must persist this information as Superior (to this Inferior) as part of the persistent information of its decision to be prepared (as an Inferior). For such an entity, the “decision to confirm” as Superior is made when (and if) CONFIRM is received from its Superior or it makes an autonomous decision to confirm. If CONFIRM is received, the persistent information may be changed to show the confirm decision, but alternatively, the receipt of the CONFIRM can be treated as the decision itself. If the persistent information is left unchanged and there is a node failure, on recovery the entity (as an Inferior) will be in a prepared state, and will rediscover the confirm decision (using the recovery exchanges to its Superior) before propagating it to its Inferior(s).

After failure, an implementation may not be able to restore an endpoint to the appropriate state immediately – in particular, the necessary persistent information may be inaccessible, although the implementation can respond to received BTP messages. In such a case, a Superior may reply to any BTP message except INFERIOR_STATE/* (i.e. with a “reply-requested” value “false”) with SUPERIOR_STATE/inaccessible and an Inferior to any BTP message except SUPERIOR_STATE/* with “INFERIOR_STATE/inaccessible. Receipt of the *_STATE/inaccessible messages has no effect on the endpoint state.

Redirection

As described above, BTP uses the presume-abort model for recovery. A corollary of this is that there are cases where one side will attempt to re-establish communication when there is no persistent information for the relationship at the far-end. In such cases, it is important the side that is attempting recovery can distinguish between unsuccessful attempts to connect to the holder of the persistent information and when the information no longer exists. If the peer information does not exist, this side can draw conclusions and complete appropriately; if they merely fail to get through they are stuck in attempting recovery.

Two mechanisms are provided to make it possible that even when one side of a Superior:Inferior relationship has completed, that a message can eventually get through to something that can definitively report the status, distinguishing this case from a temporary inability to access the state of a continuing transaction element. The mechanisms are:

- o Address fields which provide a “callback address” can be a set of addresses, which are alternatives one of which is chosen as the target address for the future message. If the sender of that message finds the address does not work, it can try a different alternative.
- o The REDIRECT message can be used to inform the peer that an address previously given is no longer valid and to supply a replacement address (or set of addresses). REDIRECT can be issued either as a response to receipt of a message or spontaneously.

The two mechanisms can be used in combination, with one or more of the original set of addresses just being a redirector, which does not itself ever have direct access to the state information for the transaction, but will respond to any message with an appropriate REDIRECT.

An alternative implementation approach is to have a single addressable entity that uses the same address for all transactions, distinguishing them by identifier, and which always recovers to use the same address. Such an implementation would not need to supply "backup" addresses (and would only use REDIRECT if it was being permanently migrated).

Terminator:Decider failures

BTP does not provide facilities or impose requirements on the recovery of Terminator:Decider relationships, other than allowing messages to be repeated. A Terminator may survive failures (by retaining knowledge of the Decider's address and identifier), but this is an implementation option. Although a Decider (if it decides to confirm) will persist information about the confirm decision, it is not required, after failure, to remain accessible using the inferior address it offered to the Terminator. Any such recovery is an implementation option.

A Decider's address (as returned on BEGUN) may be a set of addresses, allowing a failed Decider to be recovered at a different address.

A Decider has no way of initiating a call to a Terminator to ensure that it is still active, and thus no way of detecting that a Terminator has failed. To avoid a Decider waiting for ever for a REQUEST_CONFIRM that will never arrive, the standard qualifier "Transaction timelimit" can be used (by the Initiator) to inform the Decider when it can assume the Terminator will not issue REQUEST_CONFIRM and so it (the Decider) should initiate cancellation.

XML representation of Message Set

This section describes the syntax for BTP messages in XML. These XML messages represent a midpoint between the abstract messages and what actually gets sent on the wire.

All BTP related URIs have been created using Oasis URI conventions as specified in [RFC 3121](#)

The XML Namespace for the BTP messages is urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml

In addition to an XML schema, this specification uses an informal syntax to describe the structure of the BTP messages. The syntax appears as an XML instance, but the values contain data types instead of values. The following symbols are appended to some of the XML constructs: ? (zero or one), * (zero or more), + (one or more.) The absence of one of these symbols corresponds to "one and only one."

Addresses

As described in the “Abstract Message and Associated Contracts – Addresses” section, a BTP address comprises three parts, and for a target address only the “additional information” field is inside the BTP messages. For all BTP messages whose abstract form includes a target address parameter, the corresponding XML representation includes a “target-additional-information” element. This element may be omitted if it would be empty.

For other addresses, all three fields are present, as in:

```
<btp:some-address>
  <btp:binding-name>...carrier binding URI...</btp:binding-name>
  <btp:binding-address>...carrier specific URI...</btp:binding-
address>
  <btp:additional-information>...optional additional addressing
information...</btp:additional-information> ?
</btp:some-address>
```

A “published” address can be a set of <some-address>, which are alternatives which can be chosen by the peer (sender.) Multiple addresses are used in two cases: different bindings to same endpoint, or backup endpoints. In the former, the receiver of the message has the choice of which address to use (depending on which binding is preferable.) In the case where multiple addresses are used for redundancy, a **priority** attribute can be specified to help the receiver choose among the addresses- the address with the highest priority should be used, other things being equal. The **priority** is used as a hint and does not enforce any behaviour in the receiver of the message. Default priority is a value of 1.

Qualifiers

The “Qualifier name” is used as the element name, within the namespace of the “Qualifier group”.

Examples:

```
<btpq:inferior-timeout
  xmlns:btpq="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:qualifiers"
  xmlns:btp="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml"
  btp:must-be-understood="false"
  btp:to-be-propagated="false">1800</auth:username>

<auth:username
  xmlns:auth="http://www.example.com/ns/auth"
  xmlns:btp="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml"
  btp:must-be-understood="true"
  btp:to-be-propagated="true">jtauber</auth:username>
```

Attributes **must-be-understood** has default value “true” and **to-be-propagated** has default value “false”.

Identifiers

Unspecified length strings made of up hexadecimal digits (0->9, A->F). Note: lower case a->f are not valid.

Examples: "01", "FAB224234CCCC2"

Note – Use of hexadecimal digits avoids problems with character-code representations. The only operation the BTP implementations have to perform on identifiers is to match them.

Message References

Each BTP message has an optional **id** attribute to give it a unique identifier. An application can make use of those identifiers, but no processing is enforced.

Messages

CONTEXT

```
<btp:context id? superior-type="cohesion|atom">
  <btp:superior-address> +
    ...address...
  </btp:superior-address>
  <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
identifier>
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
  </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:context>
```

CONTEXT-REPLY

```
<btp:context-reply id? superior-type="cohesion|atom">
  <btp:superior-address> +
    ...address...
  </btp:superior-address>
  <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
identifier>
  <completion-status>completed|related|repudiated</completion-
status>
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
  </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:context>
```

BEGIN

```
<btp:begin id? transaction-type="cohesion|atom">
  <btp:target-additional-information>
    ...additional address information...
  </btp:target-additional-information>
  <btp:reply-address>
    ...address...
  </btp:reply-address>
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
```



```

2884     </btp:qualifiers>
2885 </btp:begin>
2886
2887

```

BEGUN

```

2890 <btp:begin id? transaction-type="cohesion|atom">
2891   <btp:target-additional-information>
2892     ...additional address information...
2893   </btp:target-additional-information>
2894   <btp:decider-address> ?
2895     ...address...
2896   </btp:decider-address>
2897   <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
2898 identifier> ?
2899   <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior:handle> ?
2900   <btp:inferior-address> ?
2901     ...address...
2902   </btp:inferior-address>
2903   <btp:qualifiers> ?
2904     ...qualifiers...
2905   </btp:qualifiers>
2906 </btp:begin>
2907
2908

```

ENROL

```

2909 <btp:enrol reply-requested="true|false" id?>
2910   <btp:target-additional-information>
2911     ...additional address information...
2912   </btp:target-additional-information>
2913   <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
2914 identifier>
2915   <btp:reply-address> ?
2916     ...address...
2917   </btp:reply-address>
2918   <btp:inferior-address> +
2919     ...address...
2920   </btp:inferior-address>
2921   <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
2922 identifier>
2923   <btp:qualifiers> ?
2924     ...qualifiers...
2925   </btp:qualifiers>
2926 </btp:enrol>
2927
2928
2929
2930

```

ENROLLED

```

2931 <btp:enrolled id?>
2932   <btp:target-additional-information>
2933     ...additional address information...
2934   </btp:target-additional-information>
2935
2936

```

```

2937     <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
2938 identifier>
2939     <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior:handle> ?
2940     <btp:qualifiers> ?
2941         ...qualifiers...
2942     </btp:qualifiers>
2943 </btp:enrolled>
2944
2945

```

RESIGN

```

2946
2947
2948 <btp:resign response-requested="true|false" id?>
2949 <btp:target-additional-information>
2950     ...additional address information...
2951 </btp:target-additional-information>
2952 <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
2953 identifier>
2954 <btp:inferior-address> +
2955     ...address...
2956 </btp:inferior-address>
2957 <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
2958 identifier>
2959 <btp:qualifiers> ?
2960     ...qualifiers...
2961 </btp:qualifiers>
2962 </btp:resign>
2963
2964

```

RESIGNED

```

2965
2966
2967 <btp:resigned id?>
2968 <btp:target-additional-information>
2969     ...additional address information...
2970 </btp:target-additional-information>
2971 <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
2972 identifier>
2973 <btp:qualifiers> ?
2974     ...qualifiers...
2975 </btp:qualifiers>
2976 </btp:resigned>
2977
2978

```

PREPARE

```

2979
2980
2981 <btp:prepare id?>
2982 <btp:target-additional-information>
2983     ...additional address information...
2984 </btp:target-additional-information>
2985 <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
2986 identifier> ?
2987 <btp:reply-address> ?
2988     ...address...
2989 </btp:reply-address>

```

```

    <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
    identifier> ?
    <btp:inferiors-list> ?
        <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-handle>
    +
    </btp:inferiors-list>
    <btp:qualifiers> ?
        ...qualifiers...
    </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:prepare>

```

PREPARED

```

<btp:prepared default-is-cancel="false|true" id?>
    <btp:target-additional-information>
        ...additional address information...
    </btp:target-additional-information>
    <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
    identifier>
    <btp:inferior-address> +
        ...address...
    </btp:inferior-address>
    <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
    identifier>
    <btp:qualifiers> ?
        ...qualifiers...
    </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:prepared>

```

CONFIRM

```

<btp:confirm id?>
    <btp:target-additional-information>
        ...additional address information...
    </btp:target-additional-information>
    <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
    identifier>
    <btp:qualifiers> ?
        ...qualifiers...
    </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:confirm>

```

CONFIRMED

```

<btp:confirmed confirmed-received="true|false" id?>
    <btp:target-additional-information>
        ...additional address information...
    </btp:target-additional-information>
    <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
    identifier>

```

```

3043     <btp:inferior-address> ?
3044         ...address...
3045     </btp:inferior-address>
3046     <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3047 identifier> ?
3048     <btp:decider-address> ?
3049         ...address...
3050     </btp:decider-address>
3051     <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
3052 identifier> ?
3053     <btp:qualifiers> ?
3054         ...qualifiers...
3055     </btp:qualifiers>
3056 </btp:confirmed>
3057

```

CANCEL

```

3061 <btp:cancel id?>
3062     <btp:target-additional-information>
3063         ...additional address information...
3064     </btp:target-additional-information>
3065     <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3066 identifier> ?
3067     <btp:reply-address> ?
3068         ...address...
3069     </btp:reply-address>
3070     <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
3071 identifier> ?
3072     <btp:inferiors-list> ?
3073         <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-handle>
3074     </btp:inferiors-list>
3075     <btp:qualifiers> ?
3076         ...qualifiers...
3077     </btp:qualifiers>
3078 </btp:cancel>
3079

```

CANCELLED

```

3083 <btp:cancelled id?>
3084     <btp:target-additional-information>
3085         ...additional address information...
3086     </btp:target-additional-information>
3087     <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
3088 identifier>
3089     <btp:inferior-address> +
3090         ...address...
3091     </btp:inferior-address> ?
3092     <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3093 identifier> ?
3094     <btp:decider-address> ?
3095         ...address...
3096     </btp:decider-address>

```

```

3097     <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
3098 identifier> ?
3099     <btp:qualifiers> ?
3100     ...qualifiers...
3101     </btp:qualifiers>
3102 </btp:cancelled>
3103
3104

```

HAZARD

```

3105
3106
3107 <btp:hazard id?>
3108   <btp:target-additional-information>
3109   ...additional address information...
3110   </btp:target-additional-information>
3111   <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
3112 identifier>
3113   <btp:inferior-address> +
3114   ...address...
3115   </btp:inferior-address>
3116   <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3117 identifier>
3118   <btp:qualifiers> ?
3119   ...qualifiers...
3120   </btp:qualifiers>
3121 </btp:hazard>
3122
3123

```

CONTRADICTION

```

3124
3125
3126 <btp:contradiction id?>
3127   <btp:target-additional-information>
3128   ...additional address information...
3129   </btp:target-additional-information>
3130   <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3131 identifier>
3132   <btp:qualifiers> ?
3133   ...qualifiers...
3134   </btp:qualifiers>
3135 </btp:contradiction>
3136
3137

```

SUPERIOR_STATE

```

3138
3139
3140 <btp:superior-state reply-requested="true|false" id?>
3141   <btp:target-additional-information>
3142   ...additional address information...
3143   </btp:target-additional-information>
3144   <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3145 identifier>
3146   <btp:status>active|prepared-
3147 received|inaccessible|unknown</btp:status>
3148   <btp:qualifiers> ?
3149   ...qualifiers...

```

```

3150     </btp:qualifiers>
3151 </btp:superior-state>

```

INFERIOR_STATE

```

3156
3157 <btp:inferior-state reply-requested="true|false" id?>
3158   <btp:target-additional-information>
3159     ...additional address information...
3160   </btp:target-additional-information>
3161   <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
3162 identifier>
3163   <btp:inferior-address> +
3164     ...address...
3165   </btp:inferior-address>
3166   <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3167 identifier>
3168   <btp:status> active|prepared-
3169 received|inaccessible|unknown</btp:status>
3170   <btp:qualifiers> ?
3171     ...qualifiers...
3172   </btp:qualifiers>
3173 </btp:inferior-state>
3174

```

CONFIRM_ONE_PHASE

```

3177
3178
3179 <btp:confirm-one-phase report-hazard="true|false" id?>
3180   <btp:target-additional-information>
3181     ...additional address information...
3182   </btp:target-additional-information>
3183   <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3184 identifier>
3185   <btp:qualifiers> ?
3186     ...qualifiers...
3187   </btp:qualifiers>
3188 </btp:confirm-one-phase>
3189

```

REQUEST_CONFIRM

```

3191
3192
3193 <btp:request_confirm report-hazard="true|false" id?>
3194   <btp:target-additional-information>
3195     ...additional address information...
3196   </btp:target-additional-information>
3197   <btp:reply-address>
3198     ...address...
3199   </btp:reply-address>
3200   <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
3201 identifier>
3202   <btp:inferiors-list> ?

```

```

    <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-handle>
+
  </btp:inferiors-list>
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
  </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:request_confirm>

```

REQUEST_STATUSES

```

<btp:request_statuses id?>
  <btp:target-additional-information>
    ...additional address information...
  </btp:target-additional-information>
  <btp:reply-address>
    ...address...
  </btp:reply-address>
  <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
identifier>
  <btp:inferiors-list> ?
    <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-handle>
+
  </btp:inferiors-list>
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
  </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:request_statuses>

```

INFERIOR_STATUSES

```

<btp:inferior_statuses id?>
  <btp:target-additional-information>
    ...additional address information...
  </btp:target-additional-information>
  <btp:decider-address>
    ...address...
  </btp:decider-address>
  <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
identifier>
  <btp:status-list>
    <btp:status-item> +
      <btp:inferior-handle>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
handle>
      <btp:status>active|resigned|preparing|prepared|
        autonomously-confirmed|autonomously-cancelled|
        confirming|confirmed|cancelling|cancelled|
        cancel-contradiction|confirm-contradiction|
        hazard</btp:status>
    <btp:qualifiers> ?
      ...qualifiers...
    </btp:qualifiers>
  </btp:status-item>

```

```

3257     </btp:status-list>
3258     <btp:qualifiers> ?
3259         ...qualifiers...
3260     </btp:qualifiers>
3261 </btp:inferior_statuses>

```

REQUEST_STATUS

```

3266 <btp:request_status id?>
3267     <btp:target-additional-information>
3268         ...additional address information...
3269     </btp:target-additional-information>
3270     <btp:reply-address>
3271         ...address...
3272     </btp:reply-address>
3273     <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3274 identifier> ?
3275     <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
3276 identifier> ?
3277     <btp:qualifiers> ?
3278         ...qualifiers...
3279     </btp:qualifiers>
3280 </btp:request_status>

```

STATUS

```

3285 <btp:status id?>
3286     <btp:target-additional-information>
3287         ...additional address information...
3288     </btp:target-additional-information>
3289     <btp:inferior-address> ?
3290         ...address...
3291     </btp:inferior-address>
3292     <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
3293 identifier> ?
3294     <btp:decider-address> ?
3295         ...address...
3296     </btp:decider-address>
3297     <btp:transaction-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:transaction-
3298 identifier> ?
3299     <btp:status-value>created|enrolling|active|resigning|
3300         resigned|preparing|prepared|
3301         confirming|confirmed|cancelling|cancelled|
3302         cancel-contradiction|confirm-contradiction|
3303         hazard|contradicted|unknown|inaccessible</btp:status-
3304 value>
3305     <btp:qualifiers> ?
3306         ...qualifiers...
3307     </btp:qualifiers>
3308 </btp:status>

```


REDIRECT

```
<btp:redirect id?>
  <btp:target-additional-information>
    ...additional address information...
  </btp:target-additional-information>
  <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
identifier> ?
  <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
identifier>
  <btp:old-address> +
    ...address...
  </btp:old-address>
  <btp:new-address> +
    ...address...
  </btp:new-address>
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
  </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:redirect>
```

FAULT

```
<btp:fault id?>
  <btp:target-additional-information>
    ...additional address information...
  </btp:target-additional-information>
  <btp:superior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:superior-
identifier> ?
  <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
identifier> ?
  <btp:fault-type>...fault type name...</btp:fault-type>
  <btp:fault-data>...fault data...</btp:fault-data> ?
  <btp:qualifiers> ?
    ...qualifiers...
  </btp:qualifiers>
</btp:fault>
```

The following fault type names are represented by simple strings, corresponding to the entries defined in the abstract message set:

- o general
- o unknown-parameter
- o wrong-state
- o communication-failure
- o invalid-superior
- o duplicate-inferior
- o unknown-inferior

Revisions of this specification may add other fault type names, which shall be simple strings of letters, numbers and hyphens. If other specifications define fault type names to be used with BTP, the names shall be URIs.

Fault data can take on various forms:

Free text:

```
<btp:fault-data>...string data...</btp:fault-data>
```

Identifier:

```
<btp:fault-data>...hexstring...</btp:fault-data>
```

Inferior Identity:

```
<btp:fault-data>
  <btp:inferior-address> +
    ...address...
  </btp:inferior-address>
  <btp:inferior-identifier>...hexstring...</btp:inferior-
identifier>
</btp:fault-data>
```

Standard qualifiers

The informal syntax for these messages assumes the namespace prefix “btpq” is associated with the URI “urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:qualifiers”.

Transaction timelimit

```
<btpq:transaction-timelimit>
  <btpq:timelimit>
    ...time in seconds...
  </btpq:timelimit>
</btpq:transaction-timelimit>
```

Inferior timeout

```
<btpq:inferior-timeout>
  <btpq:timeout>
    ...time in seconds...
  </btpq:timeout>
  <btpq:intended-decision>confirm|cancel</btpq:intended-decision>
</btpq:inferior-timeout>
```

Minimum inferior timeout

```
<btpq:minimum-inferior-timeout>
  <btpq:minimum-timeout>
    ...time in seconds...
  </btpq:minimum-timeout>
```

3413 `</btpq:minimum-inferior-timeout>`
3414

3415 **Compounding of Messages**

3416
3417 Bundling (semantically insignificant combination) of BTP messages is indicated with the
3418 "btp:messages" element, with the bundled messages as child elements. For example:
3419

```
3420 <btp:messages>  
3421   <btp:enrol>...</btp:enrol>  
3422   <btp:prepared>...</btp:prepared>  
3423 </btp:messages>
```

3424
3425
3426 Relating BTP messages to one another is achieved through containment. For example:
3427

```
3428 <btp:context-reply>  
3429   ...<completion-status>related</completion-status> ...  
3430   <btp:enrol>...</btp:enrol>  
3431 </btp:context-reply>
```

3432
3433
3434 The carrier protocol binding specifies how a relation between application and BTP messages
3435 is represented.

Carrier Protocol Bindings

The notion of bindings is introduced to act as the glue between the BTP XML messages and an underlying transport. A binding specification must define various particulars of how the BTP messages are carried and some aspects of how the related application messages are carried. This document specifies two bindings: a SOAP binding and a SOAP + Attachments binding. However, other bindings could be specified by the Oasis BTP technical committee or by a third party. For example, in the future a binding might exist to put a BTP message directly on top of HTTP without the use of SOAP, or a closed community could define their own binding. To ensure that such specifications are complete, the Binding Proforma defines the information that must be included in a binding specification.

Carrier Protocol Binding Proforma

A BTP carrier binding specification should provide the following information:

Binding name: A name for the binding, as used in the “binding name” field of BTP addresses (and available for declaring the capabilities of an implementation). Binding specified in this document, and future revisions of this document have binding names that are simple strings of letters, numbers and hyphens (and, in particular, do not contain colons). Bindings specified elsewhere shall have binding names that are URIs. Bindings specified in this document use numbers to identify the version of the binding, not the version(s) of the carrier protocol.

BTP message representation: This section will define how BTP messages are represented. For many bindings, this will be the normal string encoding of the XML, in accordance with the XML schema defined in this document.

Mapping for BTP messages (unrelated) : This section will define how BTP messages that are not related to application messages are sent in either direction between Superior and Inferior. (i.e. those messages sent directly between BTP actors). This mapping need not be symmetric (i.e. Superior to Inferior may differ to some degree to Inferior to Superior). The mapping may define particular rules for particular BTP messages, or messages with particular parameter values (e.g. the FAULT message with “fault-type” “CommunicationFailure” will typically not be sent as a BTP message). The mapping states any constraints or requirements on which BTP may or must be bundled together by compounding.

Mapping for BTP messages related to application messages : This section will define how BTP messages that are related to application messages are sent. A binding specification may defer details of this to a particular application (e.g. a mapping specification could just say “the CONTEXT may be carried as a parameter of an application invocation”). Alternatively, the binding may specify a general method that represents the relationship between application and BTP messages.

Implicit messages: This section specifies which BTP messages, if any, are not sent explicitly but are treated as implicit in application messages or other BTP messages. This may depend on particular parameter values of the BTP messages or the application messages.

Faults: The relationship between the fault and exception reporting mechanisms of the carrier protocol and of BTP shall be defined. This may include definition of which carrier protocol exceptions are equivalent to a FAULT/communication-failure message.

Relationship to other bindings: Any relationship to other bindings is defined in this section. If BTP addresses with different bindings are be considered to match (for purposes of identifying the peer Superior/Inferior and redirection), this should be specified here.

Limitations on BTP use: Any limitations on the full range of BTP functionality that are imposed by use of this binding should be listed. This would include limitations on which messages can be sent, which event sequences are supported and restrictions on parameter values. Such limitations may reduce the usefulness of an implementation, but may be appropriate in certain environments.

Other : Other features of the binding, especially any that will potentially affect interoperability should be specified here. This may include restrictions or requirements on the use or support of optional carrier parameters or mechanisms>

SOAP Binding

This binding describes how BTP messages will be carried using SOAP as in the [SOAP 1.1](#) specification.

Binding name: soap-http-1

BTP message representation: The string representation of the XML, as specified in the XML schema defined in this document shall be used. BTP messages conform to the rules of the Section 5 (of the SOAP 1.1 specification) SOAP Encoding as specified by the URI: "http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/".

Mapping for BTP messages (unrelated): If no application message is being sent at the same time, BTP messages shall be contained in a btp:messages element which shall be an immediate child element of the SOAP-Body. There shall be precisely one btp:messages element. Any number of BTP messages with the same binding address in their target address may be carried in the same btp:messages element.

If an application message is being sent at the same time, the mapping for related messages shall be used, as if the BTP messages were related to the application message. (There is no ambiguity in whether the BTP messages are related, because only CONTEXT can be related to an application message.)

Mapping for BTP messages related to application messages: All BTP messages sent with an application message, whether related to the application message or not, shall be sent in a single `btm:messages` element in the `SOAP:Header`. There shall be precisely one `btm:messages` element in the `SOAP:Header`.

Implicit messages: A SOAP fault, or other communication failure received in response to a SOAP request that had a `CONTEXT` in the `SOAP:Header` shall be treated as if a `CONTEXT_REPLY/repudiated` had been received. See also the discussion under “other” about the `SOAP mustUnderstand` attribute.

Faults: A SOAP fault or other communication failure shall be treated as `FAULT/communication-failure`.

Relationship to other bindings: A BTP address for Superior or Inferior that has the binding string “`soap-http-1`” is considered to match one that has the binding string “`soap-attachments-http-1`” if the binding address and additional information fields match.

Limitations on BTP use: None

Other: The SOAP BTP binding does not make use of `SOAPAction` HTTP header or actor attribute. The `SOAPAction` HTTP header is left to be application specific when there are application messages in the `SOAP:Body`, as an already existing web service that is being upgraded to use BTP might have already made use of `SOAPAction`. The `SOAPAction` HTTP header shall be omitted when the SOAP message carries only BTP messages in the `SOAP:Body`.

The `SOAP mustUnderstand` attribute, when used on the `btm:messages` containing a the BTP `CONTEXT`, ensures that the server (as a whole) determines whether any enrolments are necessary and reply with `CONTEXT_REPLY` as appropriate. If `mustUnderstand` is false, a server can ignore the `CONTEXT` (if BTP is not supported there). It is an implementation or configuration option whether a `CONTEXT_REPLY/ok` is assumed to be implicit in the HTTP response in such a case. (If no `CONTEXT_REPLY/ok` is assumed, it will be impossible for the business transaction to confirm) .

Note – some SOAP implementations may not support the `mustUnderstand` attribute sufficiently to enforce these requirements. If using such an implementation on the service side, it may be necessary to assume an `CONTEXT_REPLY/ok`.

Example scenario using SOAP binding

The example below shows an application request with `CONTEXT` message sent from `client.example.com` (which includes the Superior) to `services.example.com` (Service).

```
<soap:Envelope
```

```

3573     xmlns:soap="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/"
3574     soap-
3575     env:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">
3576
3577     <soap:Header>
3578
3579         <btp:messages xmlns:btp="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml">
3580             <btp:context superior-type="atom">
3581                 <btp:superior-address>
3582                     <btp:binding>soap-http-1</btp:binding>
3583                     <btp:binding-
3584 address>http://client.example.com/soaphandler</btp:binding-
3585 address>
3586                 <btp:additional-information>btpengine</btp:additional-
3587 information>
3588                 </btp:superior-address>
3589                 <btp:superior-identifier>1001</btp:superior-identifier>
3590                 <btp:qualifiers>
3591                     <btpq:transaction-timelimit
3592 xmlns:btpq="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:qualifiers">1800</btpq:transact
3593 ion-timelimit>
3594                     </btp:qualifiers>
3595                 </btp:context>
3596             </btp:messages>
3597
3598         </soap:Header>
3599
3600     <soap:Body>
3601
3602         <ns1:orderGoods
3603 xmlns:ns1="http://example.com/2001/Services/xyzgoods">
3604             <custID>ABC8329045</custID>
3605             <itemID>224352</itemID>
3606             <quantity>5</quantity>
3607         </ns1:orderGoods>
3608
3609     </soap:Body>
3610
3611 </soap:Envelope>
3612

```

3613
3614 The example below shows CONTEXT_REPLY and a related (and therefore contained)
3615 ENROL message sent from services.example.com to client.example.com, in reply to the
3616 previous message. There is no application response, so the BTP messages are in the
3617 SOAP:Body.

```

3619 <soap:Envelope
3620     xmlns:soap="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/"
3621     soap-
3622     env:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">
3623
3624     <soap:Header>
3625     </soap:Header>
3626

```

```

3627 <soap:Body>
3628
3629     <btp:messages xmlns:btp="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml">
3630         <btp:context-reply>
3631             <btp:superior-address>
3632                 <btp:binding>soap-http-1</btp:binding>
3633                 <btp:binding-address>
3634                     http://client.example.com/soaphandler
3635                 </btp:binding-address>
3636                 <btp:additional-information>
3637                     btpengine
3638                 </btp:additional-information>
3639             </btp:superior-address>
3640             <btp:superior-identifier>1001</btp:superior-identifier>
3641             <completion-status>related</completion-status>
3642
3643             <btp:enrol reply-requested="false">
3644                 <btp:target-additional-information>
3645                     btpengine
3646                 </btp:target-additional-information>
3647                 <btp:superior-identifier>
3648                     1001
3649                 </btp:superior-identifier>
3650                 <btp:inferior-address>
3651                     <btp:binding>soap-http-1</btp:binding>
3652                     <btp:binding-address>
3653                         http://services.example.com/soaphandler
3654                     </btp:binding-address>
3655                     </btp:inferior-address>
3656                     <btp:inferior-identifier>
3657                         AAAB
3658                     </btp:inferior-identifier>
3659                 </btp:inferior-address>
3660             </btp:enrol>
3661
3662         </btp:context-reply>
3663
3664     </btp:messages>
3665
3666 </soap:Body>
3667
3668 </soap:Envelope>
3669

```

SOAP + Attachments Binding

This binding describes how BTP messages will be carried using SOAP as in the [SOAP Messages with Attachments](#) specification. It is a superset of the Basic SOAP binding, soap-http-1. The two bindings only differ when application messages are sent

Binding name: soap-attachments-http-1

BTP message representation: As for soap-http-1

Mapping for BTP messages (unrelated): As for “soap-http-1”, except the SOAP:Envelope containing the SOAP-Body containing the BTP messages shall be in a MIME body part, as specified in [SOAP Messages with Attachments](#) specification. If an application message is being sent at the same time, the mapping for related messages for this binding shall be used, as if the BTP messages were related to the application message(s).

Mapping for BTP messages related to application messages: MIME packaging shall be used. One of the MIME multipart/related parts shall contain a SOAP:Envelope, whose SOAP:Headers element shall contain precisely one btp:messages element, containing any BTP messages. Any BTP CONTEXT in the btp:messages is considered to be related to the application message(s) in the SOAP:Body, and to also any of the MIME parts referenced from the SOAP:Body (using the “href” attribute).

Implicit messages: As for soap-http-1.

Faults: As for soap-http-1.

Relationship to other bindings: A BTP address for Superior or Inferior that has the binding string “soap-http-1” is considered to match one that has the binding string “soap-attachments-http-1” if the binding address and additional information fields match.

Limitations on BTP use: None

Other: As for soap-http-1

Example using SOAP + Attachments binding

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Multipart/Related; boundary=MIME_boundary;
type=text/xml;
    start="someID"

--MIME_boundary
Content-Type: text/xml; charset=UTF-8
Content-ID: someID

<?xml version='1.0' ?>
<soap:Envelope
  xmlns:soap="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/"
  soap-
env:encodingStyle="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">

  <soap:Header>

    <btp:messages xmlns:btp="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml">
      <btp:context superior-type="atom">
```

```

3728         <btp:superior-address>
3729         <btp:binding>soap-http-1</btp:binding>
3730         <btp:binding-address>
3731             http://client.example.com/soaphandler
3732         </btp:binding-address>
3733         </btp:superior-address>
3734         <btp:superior-identifier>1001</btp:superior-identifier>
3735     </btp:context>
3736 </btp:messages>
3737
3738 </soap:Header>
3739
3740 <soap:Body>
3741     <orderGoods href="cid:anotherID"/>
3742 </soap:Body>
3743
3744 </soap:Envelope>
3745
3746 --MIME_boundary
3747 Content-Type: text/xml
3748 Content-ID: anotherID
3749
3750     <ns1:orderGoods
3751 xmlns:ns1="http://example.com/2001/Services/xyzgoods">
3752         <custID>ABC8329045</custID>
3753         <itemID>224352</itemID>
3754         <quantity>5</quantity>
3755     </ns1:orderGoods>
3756
3757
3758 --MIME_boundary--
3759
3760

```

XML Schema for SOAP Bindings

```

3761
3762
3763 <?xml version="1.0"?>
3764 <schema targetNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml"
3765     xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
3766     xmlns:tns="urn:oasis:names:tc:BTP:xml">
3767
3768     <complexType name="qualifier_type">
3769         <simpleContent>
3770             <extension base="string">
3771                 <attribute name="must-be-understood" type="boolean"/>
3772                 <attribute name="to-be-propagated" type="boolean"/>
3773             </extension>
3774         </simpleContent>
3775     </complexType>
3776     <element name="qualifier" type="tns:qualifier_type"/>
3777     <element name="qualifiers">
3778         <complexType>
3779             <sequence>
3780                 <element ref="tns:qualifier" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>

```

```

3781         </sequence>
3782     </complexType>
3783 </element>
3784
3785     <complexType name="address">
3786         <sequence>
3787             <element name="binding-name" type="string"/>
3788             <element name="binding-address" type="string"/>
3789             <element name="additional-information" type="string"
3790 minOccurs="0"/>
3791         </sequence>
3792     </complexType>
3793
3794     <simpleType name="identifier">
3795         <restriction base="string">
3796             <pattern value="([0-9,A-Z])*"/>
3797         </restriction>
3798     </simpleType>
3799
3800     <simpleType name="superior-type">
3801         <restriction base="string">
3802             <enumeration value="cohesion"/>
3803             <enumeration value="atom"/>
3804         </restriction>
3805     </simpleType>
3806
3807     <simpleType name="transaction-type">
3808         <restriction base="string">
3809             <enumeration value="cohesion"/>
3810             <enumeration value="atom"/>
3811         </restriction>
3812     </simpleType>
3813
3814
3815     <element name="context">
3816         <complexType>
3817             <sequence>
3818                 <element name="superior-address" type="tns:address"
3819 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
3820                 <element name="superior-identifier"
3821 type="tns:identifier"/>
3822                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3823             </sequence>
3824             <attribute name="id" type="ID" use="optional"/>
3825             <attribute name="superior-type" type="tns:superior-type"
3826 use="required"/>
3827         </complexType>
3828     </element>
3829
3830     <element name="context-reply">
3831         <complexType>
3832             <sequence>
3833                 <element name="superior-address" type="tns:address"
3834 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>

```

```

3835         <element name="superior-identifier"
3836 type="tns:identifier"/>
3837         <element name="completion-status">
3838             <simpleType>
3839                 <restriction base="string">
3840                     <enumeration value="completed"/>
3841                     <enumeration value="related"/>
3842                     <enumeration value="repudiated"/>
3843                 </restriction>
3844             </simpleType>
3845         </element>
3846         <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3847     </sequence>
3848     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3849     <attribute name="superior-type" type="tns:superior-type"
3850 use="required"/>
3851 </complexType>
3852 </element>
3853
3854     <element name="begin">
3855         <complexType>
3856             <sequence>
3857                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3858 type="string"/>
3859                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"/>
3860                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3861             </sequence>
3862             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3863             <attribute name="transaction-type" type="tns:superior-type"
3864 use="required"/>
3865         </complexType>
3866     </element>
3867
3868     <element name="begun">
3869         <complexType>
3870             <sequence>
3871                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3872 type="string"/>
3873                 <element name="decider-address" type="tns:address"
3874 minOccurs="0"/>
3875                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
3876 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
3877                 <element name="inferior-handle" type="tns:identifier"
3878 minOccurs="0"/>
3879                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
3880 minOccurs="0"/>
3881                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3882             </sequence>
3883             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3884             <attribute name="transaction-type" type="tns:superior-type"
3885 use="required"/>
3886         </complexType>
3887     </element>
3888

```

```

3889     <element name="enrol">
3890         <complexType>
3891             <sequence>
3892                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3893 type="string"/>
3894                 <element name="superior-identifier"
3895 type="tns:identifier"/>
3896                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"
3897 minOccurs="0"/>
3898                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
3899 minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
3900                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
3901 type="tns:identifier"/>
3902                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3903             </sequence>
3904             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3905             <attribute name="reply-requested" type="boolean"/>
3906         </complexType>
3907     </element>
3908
3909
3910     <element name="enrolled">
3911         <complexType>
3912             <sequence>
3913                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3914 type="string"/>
3915                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
3916 type="tns:identifier"/>
3917                 <element name="inferior-handle" type="tns:identifier"
3918 minOccurs="0"/>
3919                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3920             </sequence>
3921             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3922         </complexType>
3923     </element>
3924
3925     <element name="resign">
3926         <complexType>
3927             <sequence>
3928                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3929 type="string"/>
3930                 <element name="superior-identifier"
3931 type="tns:identifier"/>
3932                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
3933 minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
3934                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
3935 type="tns:identifier"/>
3936                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3937             </sequence>
3938             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3939             <attribute name="response-requested" type="boolean"/>
3940         </complexType>
3941     </element>
3942

```

```

3943     <element name="resigned">
3944         <complexType>
3945             <sequence>
3946                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3947 type="string"/>
3948                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
3949 type="tns:identifier"/>
3950                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3951             </sequence>
3952             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3953         </complexType>
3954     </element>
3955
3956     <element name="prepare">
3957         <complexType>
3958             <sequence>
3959                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3960 type="string"/>
3961                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
3962 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
3963                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"
3964 minOccurs="0"/>
3965                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
3966 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
3967                 <element name="inferiors-list" minOccurs="0">
3968                     <complexType>
3969                         <sequence>
3970                             <element name="inferior-handle"
3971 type="tns:identifier" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
3972                         </sequence>
3973                     </complexType>
3974                 </element>
3975                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3976             </sequence>
3977             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3978         </complexType>
3979     </element>
3980
3981     <element name="prepared">
3982         <complexType>
3983             <sequence>
3984                 <element name="target-additional-information"
3985 type="string"/>
3986                 <element name="superior-identifier"
3987 type="tns:identifier"/>
3988                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
3989 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
3990                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
3991 type="tns:identifier"/>
3992                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
3993             </sequence>
3994             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
3995             <attribute name="default-is-cancel" type="boolean"/>
3996         </complexType>

```

```

3997     </element>
3998
3999     <element name="confirm">
4000         <complexType>
4001             <sequence>
4002                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4003 type="string"/>
4004                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4005 type="tns:identifier"/>
4006                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4007             </sequence>
4008             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4009         </complexType>
4010     </element>
4011
4012     <element name="confirmed">
4013         <complexType>
4014             <sequence>
4015                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4016 type="string"/>
4017                 <element name="superior-identifier"
4018 type="tns:identifier"/>
4019                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
4020 minOccurs="0"/>
4021                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4022 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4023                 <element name="decider-address" type="tns:address"
4024 minOccurs="0"/>
4025                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4026 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4027                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4028             </sequence>
4029             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4030             <attribute name="confirmed-received" type="boolean"/>
4031         </complexType>
4032     </element>
4033
4034     <element name="cancel">
4035         <complexType>
4036             <sequence>
4037                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4038 type="string"/>
4039                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4040 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4041                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"
4042 minOccurs="0"/>
4043                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4044 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4045                 <element name="decider-address" type="tns:address"
4046 minOccurs="0"/>
4047                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4048 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4049                 <element name="inferiors-list" minOccurs="0">
4050                     <complexType>

```

```

4051         <sequence>
4052             <element name="inferior-handle"
4053 type="tns:identifier" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
4054         </sequence>
4055     </complexType>
4056 </element>
4057     <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4058 </sequence>
4059     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4060 </complexType>
4061 </element>
4062
4063     <element name="cancelled">
4064         <complexType>
4065             <sequence>
4066                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4067 type="string"/>
4068                 <element name="superior-identifier"
4069 type="tns:identifier"/>
4070                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
4071 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
4072                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4073 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4074                 <element name="decider-address" type="tns:address"
4075 minOccurs="0"/>
4076                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4077 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4078                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4079             </sequence>
4080             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4081         </complexType>
4082     </element>
4083
4084     <element name="hazard">
4085         <complexType>
4086             <sequence>
4087                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4088 type="string"/>
4089                 <element name="superior-identifier"
4090 type="tns:identifier"/>
4091                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
4092 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
4093                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4094 type="tns:identifier"/>
4095                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4096             </sequence>
4097             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4098         </complexType>
4099     </element>
4100
4101     <element name="contradiction">
4102         <complexType>
4103             <sequence>

```



```

4104         <element name="target-additional-information"
4105 type="string"/>
4106         <element name="inferior-identifier"
4107 type="tns:identifier"/>
4108         <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4109     </sequence>
4110     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4111 </complexType>
4112 </element>
4113
4114     <element name="superior-state">
4115         <complexType>
4116             <sequence>
4117                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4118 type="string"/>
4119                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4120 type="tns:identifier"/>
4121                 <element name="status">
4122                     <simpleType>
4123                         <restriction base="string">
4124                             <enumeration value="active"/>
4125                             <enumeration value="prepared-received"/>
4126                             <enumeration value="inaccessible"/>
4127                             <enumeration value="unknown"/>
4128                         </restriction>
4129                     </simpleType>
4130                 </element>
4131                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4132             </sequence>
4133             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4134             <attribute name="reply-requested" type="boolean"/>
4135         </complexType>
4136     </element>
4137
4138     <element name="inferior-state">
4139         <complexType>
4140             <sequence>
4141                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4142 type="string"/>
4143                 <element name="superior-identifier"
4144 type="tns:identifier"/>
4145                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
4146 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
4147                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4148 type="tns:identifier"/>
4149                 <element name="status">
4150                     <simpleType>
4151                         <restriction base="string">
4152                             <enumeration value="active"/>
4153                             <enumeration value="prepared-received"/>
4154                             <enumeration value="inaccessible"/>
4155                             <enumeration value="unknown"/>
4156                         </restriction>
4157                     </simpleType>

```

```

4158         </element>
4159         <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4160     </sequence>
4161     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4162     <attribute name="reply-requested" type="boolean"/>
4163 </complexType>
4164 </element>
4165
4166     <element name="confirm-one-phase">
4167         <complexType>
4168             <sequence>
4169                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4170 type="string"/>
4171                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4172 type="tns:identifier"/>
4173                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4174             </sequence>
4175             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4176             <attribute name="report-hazard" type="boolean"/>
4177         </complexType>
4178     </element>
4179
4180     <element name="request-confirm">
4181         <complexType>
4182             <sequence>
4183                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4184 type="string"/>
4185                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"/>
4186                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4187 type="tns:identifier"/>
4188                 <element name="inferiors-list" minOccurs="0">
4189                     <complexType>
4190                         <sequence>
4191                             <element name="inferior-handle"
4192 type="tns:identifier" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
4193                         </sequence>
4194                     </complexType>
4195                 </element>
4196                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4197             </sequence>
4198             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4199             <attribute name="report-hazard" type="boolean"/>
4200         </complexType>
4201     </element>
4202
4203     <element name="request-statuses">
4204         <complexType>
4205             <sequence>
4206                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4207 type="string"/>
4208                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"/>
4209                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4210 type="tns:identifier"/>
4211                 <element name="inferiors-list" minOccurs="0">

```

```

4212         <complexType>
4213             <sequence>
4214                 <element name="inferior-handle"
4215 type="tns:identifier" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
4216             </sequence>
4217         </complexType>
4218     </element>
4219     <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4220 </sequence>
4221     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4222 </complexType>
4223 </element>
4224
4225     <element name="inferior-statuses">
4226         <complexType>
4227             <sequence>
4228                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4229 type="string"/>
4230                 <element name="decider-address" type="tns:address"/>
4231                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4232 type="tns:identifier"/>
4233                 <element name="status-list">
4234                     <complexType>
4235                         <sequence>
4236                             <element name="status-item" maxOccurs="unbounded">
4237                                 <complexType>
4238                                     <sequence>
4239                                         <element name="inferior-handle"
4240 type="tns:identifier"/>
4241                                         <element name="status">
4242                                             <simpleType>
4243                                                 <restriction base="string">
4244                                                     <enumeration value="active"/>
4245                                                     <enumeration value="resigned"/>
4246                                                     <enumeration value="preparing"/>
4247                                                     <enumeration value="prepared"/>
4248                                                     <enumeration value="autonomously-
4249 confirmed"/>
4250                                                     <enumeration value="autonomously-
4251 cancelled"/>
4252                                                     <enumeration value="confirming"/>
4253                                                     <enumeration value="confirmed"/>
4254                                                     <enumeration value="cancelling"/>
4255                                                     <enumeration value="cancelled"/>
4256                                                     <enumeration value="cancel-contradiction"/>
4257                                                     <enumeration value="confirm-contradiction"/>
4258                                                     <enumeration value="hazard"/>
4259                                                 </restriction>
4260                                             </simpleType>
4261                                         </element>
4262                                         <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4263                                     </sequence>
4264                                 </complexType>
4265                             </element>

```

```

4266         </sequence>
4267     </complexType>
4268 </element>
4269     <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4270 </sequence>
4271     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4272 </complexType>
4273 </element>
4274
4275     <element name="request-status">
4276         <complexType>
4277             <sequence>
4278                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4279 type="string"/>
4280                 <element name="reply-address" type="tns:address"/>
4281                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4282 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4283                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4284 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4285                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4286             </sequence>
4287             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4288         </complexType>
4289     </element>
4290
4291     <element name="status">
4292         <complexType>
4293             <sequence>
4294                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4295 type="string"/>
4296                 <element name="inferior-address" type="tns:address"
4297 minOccurs="0"/>
4298                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4299 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4300                 <element name="decider-address" type="tns:address"
4301 minOccurs="0"/>
4302                 <element name="transaction-identifier"
4303 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4304                 <element name="status-value">
4305                     <simpleType>
4306                         <restriction base="string">
4307                             <enumeration value="created"/>
4308                             <enumeration value="enrolling"/>
4309                             <enumeration value="active"/>
4310                             <enumeration value="resigning"/>
4311                             <enumeration value="resigned"/>
4312                             <enumeration value="preparing"/>
4313                             <enumeration value="prepared"/>
4314                             <enumeration value="confirming"/>
4315                             <enumeration value="confirmed"/>
4316                             <enumeration value="cancelling"/>
4317                             <enumeration value="cancelled"/>
4318                             <enumeration value="cancel-contradiction"/>
4319                             <enumeration value="confirm-contradiction"/>

```

```

4320         <enumeration value="hazard"/>
4321         <enumeration value="contradicted"/>
4322         <enumeration value="unknown"/>
4323         <enumeration value="inaccessible"/>
4324     </restriction>
4325     </simpleType>
4326 </element>
4327     <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4328 </sequence>
4329     <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4330 </complexType>
4331 </element>
4332
4333     <element name="redirect">
4334         <complexType>
4335             <sequence>
4336                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4337 type="string"/>
4338                 <element name="superior-identifier"
4339 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4340                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4341 type="tns:identifier"/>
4342                 <element name="old-address" type="tns:address"
4343 minOccurs="unbounded"/>
4344                 <element name="new-address" type="tns:address"
4345 minOccurs="unbounded"/>
4346                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4347             </sequence>
4348             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4349         </complexType>
4350     </element>
4351
4352     <element name="fault">
4353         <complexType>
4354             <sequence>
4355                 <element name="target-additional-information"
4356 type="string"/>
4357                 <element name="superior-identifier"
4358 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4359                 <element name="inferior-identifier"
4360 type="tns:identifier" minOccurs="0"/>
4361                 <element name="fault-type" type="string"/>
4362                 <element name="fault-data" type="anyType"
4363 minOccurs="0"/>
4364                 <element ref="tns:qualifiers" minOccurs="0"/>
4365             </sequence>
4366             <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
4367         </complexType>
4368     </element>
4369
4370 </schema>
4371

```

Conformance

A BTP implementation need not implement all aspects of the protocol to be useful. The level of conformance of an implementation is defined by which roles it can support using the specified messages and carrier protocol bindings for interoperation with other implementations.

A partially conformant implementation may implement some roles in a non-interoperable way, giving that implementation’s users comparable proprietary functionality.

The following Roles and Role Groups are used to define conformance:

Role Group	Role
Initiator/Terminator	Initiator Terminator
Cohesive Hub	Factory Composer (as Decider and Superior) Coordinator (as Decider and Superior) Sub-composer Sub-coordinator
Atomic Hub	Factory Coordinator Sub-coordinator
Cohesive Superior	Composer (as Superior only) Sub-Composer Coordinator (as Superior only) Sub-coordinator
Atomic Superior	Coordinator (as Superior only)) Sub-coordinator
Participant	Inferior Enroller

An implementation may support one or more Role Groups. The following combinations are defined as commonly expected conformance profiles, although other combinations or selections are equally possible.

Conformance Profile	Role Groups
Participant Only	Participant
Atomic	Atomic Superior Participant
Cohesive	Full Superior Participant
Atomic Coordination Hub	Initiator/Terminator Atomic Coordination Hub Participant
Cohesive Coordination Hub	Initiator/Terminator Cohesive Coordination Hub Participant

BTP has several features, such as optional parameters, that allow alternative implementation architectures. Implementations should pay particular attention to avoid assuming their peers have made the same implementation options as they have (e.g. an implementation that always sends ENROL with the same inferior address and with the reply address absent (because the Inferior in all transactions are dealt with by the same addressable entity), must not assume that the same is true of received ENROLs)

Part 3. Appendices

These terms seem to be all either not used, or effectively defined elsewhere

A. Glossary

Message	A datum which is produced and then consumed.
Sender	The producer of a message.
Receiver	The consumer of a message.
Transmission	The passage of a message from a sender to a receiver.
Endpoint	A sender or receiver.
Address	An identifier for an endpoint.
Carrier Protocol	A protocol which defines how transmissions occur.
Carrier Protocol Address (CPA)	The address of an endpoint for a particular carrier protocol.
Business Transaction Protocol Address (BTPA)	A compound address consisting of a mandatory <i>carrier protocol address</i> and an optional opaque suffix. <div><i>PRF - suffix ? I've used "additional information"</i></div>
Actor	An entity which executes procedures, a software agent.
Application	An actor which uses the Business Transaction Protocol.
Application Message	A message produced by an application and consumed by an application.
Application Endpoint	An endpoint of an application message.
Operation	A procedure which is started by a receiver when a message arrives at it

	message arrives at it.
Application Operation	An operation which is started when an application message arrives.
Contract	Any rule, agreement or promise which constrains an actor's behaviour and is known to any other actor, and upon which any other knowing actor may rely.
Appropriate	In accordance with a pertinent contract.
Inappropriate	In violation of a pertinent contract.
Service	An actor which on receipt of an application messages may start an application operation which is appropriate. For example, a process which advertises an interface allowing defined RPCs to be invoked by a remote client.
Client	An actor which sends application messages to services.
Effect	<p>The changes induced by the incomplete or complete processing of a set of procedures by an actor, which are observable by another contemporary or future actor, and which are made in conformance with a contract known to any such observer. This contract must state the countereffect of the effect, and is known as the countereffect contract. An effect is Completed when the change-inducing processing of the set of procedures is finished. [Need an indirect or consequential damage exclusion clause]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <i>PRF - Sentence about countereffect contract doesn't fit well</i> </div>
Ineffectual	Describes a set of procedures which has no effect.
Countereffect	An appropriate effect intended to counteract a prior effect.
Countereffect Contract	<p>The contract which governs the relationship between the effect and the countereffect of a procedure. In the absence of any other overriding contracts the countereffect contract is the promise that</p> <p>“The Countereffect will attempt so far as is</p>

possible to reverse or cancel the **Effect** such that an observer (on completion of the **Countereffect**) is unaware that the **Effect** ever occurred, but this attempt cannot be guaranteed to succeed”.

Cancel	Process a countereffect for the current effect of a set of procedures.
Confirm	Ensure that the effect of a set of procedures is completed.
Prepare	Ensure that of a set of procedures is capable of being successfully instructed to cancel or to confirm.
Outcome	A decision to either cancel or confirm.
Participant	A set of procedures which is capable of receiving instructions from a coordinator to prepare, cancel and confirm. A participant must also have a BTPA to which these instructions will be delivered, in the form of BTP messages. A participant is identified by a participant identifier.
Inferior Identifier	An identifier assigned to an Inferior which is unique within the scope of an Address-as-Inferior.
Atomic Business Transaction <i>or</i> Atom	A set of participants (which may have only one member), all of which will receive instructions that will result in a homogeneous outcome. (Transitively, a set of operations, whose effect is capable of countereffect.) An atom is identified by an atom identifier.
Atom Identifier	A globally unique identifier assigned to an atom.
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><i>PRF – abs msgs define as unambiguous in scope of its address-as-superior, I think.</i></div>
Coordinator	An actor which decides the outcome of a single atom, and has a lifetime which is coincident with that of the atom. A coordinator can issue instructions to a participant to prepare, cancel and confirm. These instructions take the form of BTP messages. A coordinator is identified by its atom’s atom identifier. A coordinator must also have a BTPA to which participants can send BTP messages.

Address-as-Superior	The address used to communicate with an actor playing the role of an Superior
Address-as-Composer	The address used to communicate with a Composer by an application actor that controls its resolution. The messages that might be sent to or received from this endpoint are undefined.
Address-as-Inferior	The address used to communicate with an actor playing the role of an Inferior.
Identity-as-Superior	The combination of Superior Identifier and Address-as-Superior of a given Superior.
Identity-as-Inferior	The combination of Inferior Identifier and Address-as-Inferior of a given Inferior.

4405