

Tuesday, July 19, 2005
F2F, Vancouver

Attendees:

Frank McCabe
Al Brown
Michael Stiefel
Ken Lasky
Rebakah Metz
Tim Matthews
Ron Schuldt
Matt Mackenzie
Wes McGregor
Peter Brown
Thomas Earl
Duane Nickull
Prasanta Behera

We have quorum – 13 out of 24 voting members

Review the issues list.

ID#11 - Joe concurred – CLOSED

ID#18 – CLOSED

ID#32 – CLOSED - 7B – line 203 – Section 2.1 (need larger discussion)

ID#34 – CLOSED

RM: When are we going to talk about the major issues e.g., service definition?

TC: Use the afternoon for bigger issues.

ID#35 – CLOSED – Manifested in 7b – 2.3.1.2

AI [Matt]: The editing team should figure #s in the document.

ID#37 – CLOSED – Section on layers removed. See graphic on line 550 on 7-B.

ID#39 – CLOSED – Joe concurred.

Replace

ID#41 – CLOSED – Section 2.3.1.3 in 7B

ID#42 – CLOSED – “offending” section removed.

ID#45 – CLOSED – Section removed.

ID#46 – CLOSED

ID#50 – CLOSED

ID#51 – CLOSED – Addressed in section 3 in 7B

ID#53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60 – CLOSED – addressed in 7B

ID#9

Discussion in 7B – section 2.1.2.2. – line 323

Service interface - what's it? How does it relate to Data Model

Service Interface is the “thing” and Service description - describes the thing.

- NOT ACCEPTED based on understanding in the use of Interface. Discussed led to changes in 7B+.
- CLOSED?

AI – needs rewording in 7B+

ID #13 – SOA semantics and Service semantics

- Moved from “SOA semantics” to “semantics”
- Issue CLOSED.

ID #14

- interface and mapping

RM – need for interoperability? Interface may not be sufficient

DN- Semantics is also needed -

AI [Ken] – to incorporate the “discussion”. Good luck

OPEN – pending new revision

ID#67 is similar to ID#14.

ID #15

KL – Technical Assumptions? Facts vs assumptions

AI – constraints or undocumented

KL – “assumptions” may be a wrong term –

OPEN – pending rewording the use of word “assumption”

ID#16 - NOT ACCEPTED.

ID#17 - no longer valid - CLOSED.

ID#21 – NOT ACCEPTED. The word of “format” is well accepted/understood.

Minutes July 19, 2005 Afternoon Session

Reconvened 1:55 PM

Please refer to spreadsheet for actual issue resolutions.

Issue: 23 Deferred to version 8

Issue 30 Transaction too concrete for RM
Context used as input for reference architecture or RM in the future.
Closed

Issue 31: Closed

Issue 33: Rejected. See issue 30.

Issue 36: Remains open semantics discussion

Issue: 38: Closed and accepted moved to Metadata/context discussion

Triage idea discussed.

Issue 40: Closed

Issue: 103: Closed

Issue 43: Closed

Issue 44: Closed

Issue 46: Closed

Issue 47: Closed

Issue 48: Closed

Issue 85: Deleted

Issue 87: Deleted

Issue 89: Deleted

Issue 106:

Issue 112 –114: Closed

Issue 2.4.* Closed

Discussion of issues and how large changes should be handled?

Straw poll for name and meaning of Reference Model Document name?

Matt MacKenzie motioned to resolve issues 116, 117, 118, 119 that we rename the document to “Reference Model for Service Oriented Architectures”? Seconded by Ron Schuldt. Will be done by electronic ballot.

More issues closed.

Issue 94: Closed

Issue: 175: Accepted changed to “within software industry”

Issue 176: Closed

Issue 177: Accepted

Authors should keep in mind that all who read the RM should be able to understand it with minimal background.

Issue 120: Duane to propose additional test.

Matt to chair first part of tomorrows meeting as Duane will be late.

5:00pm PDT Motion to adjourn meeting.
Seconded.