

WS-Security Profile of the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML)

Working Draft 04, 10 September 2002

6 7	Document identifier: draft-sstc-ws-sec-profile-04
8 9	Location: http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/docs
10 11	Editor: Prateek Mishra, Netegrity <pmishra@netegrity.com></pmishra@netegrity.com>
12 13 14 15 16 17	Contributors: Philip Hallam-Baker, Verisign Jeff Hodges, Sun Microsystems Eve Maler, Sun Microsystems Chris McLaren, Netegrity Irving Reid, Baltimore
18	Abstract:

Abstract:

This document specifies the WS-Security profile of SAML. WS-Security is a proposal for a standard set of SOAP extensions (message headers) that can be used to implement integrity and confidentiality. WS-Security also supports the secure addition of security tokens to SOAP messages. This specification defines how to use WS-Security headers for the secure addition of SAML assertions to SOAP messages.

Status:

Interim draft. Send comments to the editor.

Committee members should send comments on this specification to the securityservices@lists.oasis-open.org list. Others should subscribe to and send comments to the security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list. To subscribe, send an email message to security-services-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Security Services TC web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/).

35

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Table of Contents

36	Introduction	3
37	1.1 Notation	3
38	2 WS-Security Profile of SAML	4
39	2.1 Required Information	4
40	2.2 SAML Assertions and SOAP Headers	4
41	2.3 Error Codes	5
42	2.4 Processing Model	5
43	2.5 HolderOfKey Format	6
44	2.5.1 Sender	6
45	2.5.2 Receiver	6
46	2.5.3 Example	7
47	2.6 SenderVouches Format	8
48	2.6.1 Sender	8
49	2.6.2 Receiver	9
50	2.6.3 Example	9
51	2.7 Additional Issues	9
52	3 Security Considerations	10
53	3.1 Holder of Key	10
54	3.1.1 Eavesdropping	10
55	3.1.2 Replay	10
56	3.1.3 Message Insertion	11
57	3.1.4 Message Deletion	11
58	3.1.5 Message Modification	11
59	3.1.6 Man-in-the-Middle	11
60	3.2 Sender Vouches	11
61	3.2.1 Eavesdropping	12
62	3.2.2 Replay	12
63	3.2.3 Message Insertion	12
64	3.2.4 Message Deletion	12
65	3.2.5 Message Modification	12
66	3.2.6 Man-in-the-Middle	12
67	4 Conformance	14
68	5 References	15
69	Appendix A. Revision History	16
70	Appendix B. Notices	17
71		

Introduction

- 73 This document specifies the WS-Security [WS-Sec] profile of SAML [SAMLCore]. WS-Security is
- 74 a proposal for a standard set of SOAP [SOAP1.1] extensions (message headers) that can be
- used to implement integrity and confidentiality. WS-Security also supports the secure addition of
- 76 security tokens to SOAP messages. This specification builds on these foundations in defining a
- 77 message format that uses WS-Security headers for the secure addition of SAML assertions to
- 78 SOAP messages.

72

79 80

81 82

83

84

86

87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

1.1 Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in IETF RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Listings of productions or other normative code appear like this.

85 Example code listings appear like this.

Note: Non-normative notes and explanations appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

- The prefix saml: stands for the SAML assertion namespace [SAMLCore].
- The prefix samlp: stands for the SAML request-response protocol namespace [SAMLCore].
 - The prefix ds: stands for the W3C XML Signature namespace, http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig# [XMLSig].
- The prefix SOAP-ENV: stands for the SOAP 1.1 namespace, http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope [SOAP1.1].
- The prefix wsse: stands for the WS-Security 1.0 namespace http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/04/secext [WS-Sec].

2 WS-Security Profile of SAML

- 100 The WS-Security profile of SAML is a realization of Scenarios 3-1 and 3-3 of the SAML
- 101 requirements document [SAMLReqs] in the context of SOAP. It is based on a single interaction
- between a *sender* and a *receiver*, as follows:
- 103 1. The sender obtains one or more SAML assertions and/or assertion identifiers.
- The sender adds the assertions and/or assertion identifiers to a SOAP message using WS Security headers.
 - The sender sends the SOAP message with the included assertions and assertion identifiers
 to the receiver. The SOAP message may be sent over any protocol for which a SOAP
 protocol binding is available [SOAP1.1].
- The receiver attempts to process the assertions and assertion identifiers present in the SOAP message. If it cannot process them, it returns an error message. If it can process them, it does so and also processes the rest of the SOAP message in an application-dependent way.
- In the terminology of WS-Security, SAML assertions or assertion identifiers constitute *claims*.
- Additional components such as signatures may also be required to provide *proof-of-possesion* or
- demonstrations of knowledge at the sender known only to senders with a particular relationship to
- 115 the claims.

99

106 107

108

123

- 116 See [SAMLBind] for the definition of the SOAP binding for SAML, as opposed to the WS-
- 117 Security profile of SAML.

118 **2.1 Required Information**

- 119 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:profiles:ws-security
- 120 Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org
- 121 **Description:** Given below.
- 122 Updates: None.

2.2 SAML Assertions and SOAP Headers

- 124 SOAP provides a flexible header mechanism, which may be used for extending SOAP payloads
- with additional information. Rules for SOAP headers are given in [SOAP1.1] §4.2. WS-Security
- extends this foundation by proposing the use of a <wsse:Security> header element to provide
- 127 a mechanism for attaching security-related information targeted at a specific receiver.
- 128 SAML assertions and references to assertion identifiers MUST be contained within the
- 130 Every SAML assertion MUST be signed by the issuer following the guidelines in [SAMLCore].
- 131 Assertion identifier references and information about assertion retrieval services MUST be carried
- within the <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element. One or more
- 133 <saml: AssertionIDReference> elements holding the assertion identifier references may be
- 134 included within the <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element. The URI attribute of the
- 135 <wsse: Reference> element specifies the location of a SAML responder implementing the
- 136 SAML SOAP binding [SAMLBind].
- 137 Example:

- 142 Two standard SOAP attributes are available for use with top-level header elements: actor and
- 143 mustUnderstand. [WS-Sec] § 4 provides recommendations for the use of the actor attribute
- 144 with the <wsse: Security > element. Use of the mustUnderstand attribute is application-
- dependent and no normative use is specified herein.

2.3 Error Codes

- 147 If the receiver is able to access the SAML assertions contained in the
- 148 <wsse:Security>header, but is unable to process them, the receiver MUST use one of the
- fault codes listed in [WS-Sec] §6. A receiver MUST not return any other SAML-related fault code.
- 150 Reasons why the receiver may be unable to process SAML assertions, include, but are not
- 151 limited to:

146

165

- 152 1. The assertion contains a <saml:Condition> element that the receiver does not understand.
- 154 2. The signature on the assertion is invalid.
- 155 3. The receiver does not accept assertions from the issuer of the assertion in question.
- 156 4. The receiver does not understand the extension schema used in the assertion.
- 157 It is RECOMMENDED that the <SOAP-ENV: Faultstring> element contain an informative
- message. This specification does not specify any normative text. Sending parties MUST NOT rely
- on specific contents in the <SOAP-ENV: Faultstring> element.
- 160 Following is an example of providing fault information:

2.4 Processing Model

- 166 The receiver MUST resolve each assertion reference carried within a
- 167 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> element and acquire an assertion for each such
- 168 reference. This MAY be accomplished by the receiver sending a <samlp: Request> message
- 169 with <saml:AssertionIDReference> elements to the SAML service described by the URI attribute
- 170 of the <wsse: Reference> element. If the receiver is unable to resolve an assertion reference it
- 171 MUST return a wsse: SecurityTokenUnavailable error to the sender.
- 172 The sender and receiver MUST ensure the data integrity of SOAP messages and contained
- assertions. A variety of different techniques are available for providing data integrity, including, for
- 174 example, use of TLS/SSL, digital signatures over the SOAP message, and IPsec.
- When a receiver processes a SOAP message containing SAML assertions, it MUST make an
- 176 explicit determination of the relationship between subject of the assertions and the sender. Merely
- 177 obtaining a SOAP message containing assertions carries no implication about the sender's right
- 178 to possess and communicate the included assertions. A variety of means are available for making
- 179 such a determination, including, for example, explicit policies at the receiver, authentication of
- sender, and use of digital signature.
- 181 Two message formats for ensuring the data integrity of a SOAP message and included
- 182 assertions, HolderOfKey and SenderVouches, are described below. The HolderOfKey
- 183 format has the additional property that it implies a specific relationship between the sender and
- subject of the assertions included within the SOAP message. Senders and receivers
- implementing the WS-Security Profile of SAML MUST implement both formats.

2.5 HolderOfKey Format

The following section describe the HolderOfKey format for ensuring the data integrity of a

188 SOAP message and included assertions.

2.5.1 **Sender**

186

189

199

200

201

211212

213

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

In this case, the sender and the subject are the same entity. The sender obtains one or more assertions or assertion identifiers from one or more authorities. Each assertion or referenced assertion MUST include the following <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element:

The <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element carries information about the sender's key within the <ds:KeyInfo> element. The <ds:KeyInfo> element provides varied ways for describing information about the sender's public or secret key.

In addition to the assertions, the sender MUST include a <ds:Signature> element within the WS-Sec <wsse:Security> header. Section 4.5 of [WS-Sec] provides recommendations for the canonicalization and transformation algorithms that should be used to construct the signature.

Following the recommendations in [WS-Sec] §4, the <ds:Signature> element should be added before the SAML assertions. The <ds:Signature> element MUST apply to the relevant SAML assertion and <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> elements found in the <wsse:Security> element, and all the relevant portions of the <SOAP-ENV:Body> element, as

208 <wsse:Security> element, and all the relevant portions of the <SOAP-ENV:Body> element, as required by the application. Specific applications might require that the signature also apply to additional elements in SOAP header.

2.5.2 Receiver

The receiver MUST verify that each assertion carries a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of the following form:

The receiving party MUST follow the recommendations of **[WS-Sec]** §4.5.3 for verifying integrity of the <wsse:Security>/<ds:Signature> sub-element of the SOAP message. The receiving party SHOULD use the sender's public or information about a secret key carried within the <saml:SubjectConfirmation>/<ds:KeyInfo> element carried within each assertion.

Note: The <ds:KeyInfo> element is used only for checking integrity of assertion attachment (message integrity). Therefore, there is no requirement that the receiver validate the key or certificate. This suggests that, if needed, a sender can generate a public/private key pair and utilize it for this purpose.

Once the above steps have been completed, the receiver can further process the assertions and SOAP message contents with the assurance that portions of the SOAP message that fall within the scope of the digital signature have been constructed by the sender and have not been altered by an intermediary. Further, the sender has provided proof of possession of the corresponding private-key (or secret-key) component of the information included in the

<saml:SubjectConfirmation>/<ds:KeyInfo> element included in each assertion. If the
receiver believes the assertions to be valid, then the information contained in the assertions MAY
be considered to be describing the sender.

2.5.3 Example

233

234

235

236

237

The following example illustrates the HolderOfKey message format:

```
238
           <?xml:version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
239
          <SOAP-ENV:Envelope
240
             xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/"
241
             xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
242
             xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
243
244
           <SOAP-ENV: Header>
245
          <wsse:Security>
246
             <saml:Assertion
247
               xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:assertion"
248
               MajorVersion="1" MinorVersion="0"
249
               AssertionID="2sxJu9q/vvLG9sAN9bKp/8q0NKU="
250
               Issuer="www.example.com"
251
               IssueInstant="2002-06-19T16:58:33.173Z">
252
               <saml:Conditions
253
                 NotBefore="2002-06-19T16:53:33.173Z"
254
                 NotOnOrAfter="2002-06-19T17:08:33.173Z"/>
255
256
               <saml:AuthenticationStatement</pre>
257
                 AuthenticationMethod="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:password"
258
                 AuthenticationInstant="2002-06-19T16:57:30.000Z">
259
                 <saml:Subject>
260
                   <saml:NameIdentifier</pre>
261
                     NameQualifier="www.example.com"
262
                     Format="">
263
           uid=joe, ou=people, ou=saml-demo, o=example.com
264
                   </saml:NameIdentifier>
265
                   <saml:SubjectConfirmation>
266
                     <saml:ConfirmationMethod>
267
           urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:holder-of-kev
268
                     </saml:ConfirmationMethod>
269
                     <ds:KeyInfo>
270
                       <ds:KeyValue>...</ds:KeyValue>
271
                     </ds:KeyInfo>
272
                   </saml:SubjectConfirmation>
273
                 </saml:Subject>
274
               </saml:AuthenticationStatement>
275
276
               <saml:AttributeStatement>
277
                 <saml:Subject>
278
                   <saml:NameIdentifier</pre>
279
                     NameQualifier="www.example.com"
280
                     Format="">
281
          uid=joe, ou=people, ou=saml-demo, o=baltimore.com
282
                   </saml:NameIdentifier>
283
                   <saml:SubjectConfirmation>
284
                     <saml:ConfirmationMethod>
285
           urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:holder-of-key
286
                     </saml:ConfirmationMethod>
287
                     <ds:KeyInfo>
288
                       <ds:KeyValue>...</ds:KeyValue>
289
                     </ds:KeyInfo>
290
                   </saml:SubjectConfirmation>
291
                 </saml:Subject>
```

```
292
293
                 <saml:Attribute
294
                  AttributeName="MemberLevel"
295
                  AttributeNamespace="http://www.oasis-
296
           open.org/Catalyst2002/attributes">
297
                     <saml:AttributeValue>gold</saml:AttributeValue>
298
                 </saml:Attribute>
299
                 <saml:Attribute</pre>
300
                   AttributeName="E-mail"
301
                  AttributeNamespace="http://www.oasis-
302
           open.org/Catalyst2002/attributes">
303
                   <saml:AttributeValue>joe@yahoo.com</saml:AttributeValue>
304
                 </saml:Attribute>
305
              </saml:AttributeStatement>
306
              <ds:Signature>...</ds:Signature>
307
            </saml:Assertion>
308
            <ds:Signature>
309
               <ds:SignedInfo>...</ds:SignedInfo>
310
               <ds:SignatureValue>
311
          HJJWbvqW9E84vJVQkjjLLA6nNvBX7mY00TZhwBdFNDElgscSXZ5Ekw==
312
               </ds:SignatureValue>
313
             </ds:Signature>
314
           </wsse:Security>
315
          </SOAP-ENV:Header>
316
317
          <SOAP-ENV:Body>
318
            <ReportRequest>
319
              <TickerSymbol>SUNW</TickerSymbol>
320
            </ReportRequest>
321
           </SOAP-ENV:Body>
322
          </SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
```

2.6 SenderVouches Format

The following section describe the SenderVouches format for ensuring the data integrity of a SOAP message and included assertions.

2.6.1 **Sender**

323

326 327

328

329

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

In this case, the sender and subject MAY be distinct entities. The sender obtains one or more assertions or assertion identifiers from one or more authorities and includes them in a SOAP message. Each assertion or referenced assertion MUST include the following

In addition to the assertions, the sender MUST include a <ds:Signature> element within the WS-Security <wsse:Security> element. The <ds:Signature> element MUST apply to the relevant SAML assertion and <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> elements found in the <wsse:Security> element, and all the relevant portions of the <SOAP-ENV:Body> element, as required by the application. Specific applications might require that the signature also apply to additional elements in SOAP header.

Following the XML Signature specification, the sender MAY include a <ds:KeyInfo> element within the <ds:Signature> element. The <ds:KeyInfo> element provides varied ways for describing information about the sender's public or secret key. If it is omitted, the receiver is expected to identify the key based on context.

2.6.2 Receiver

346 347

348

354

355

356 357

358

359

360 361

362

363

364

365 366

386

The receiver MUST verify that each assertion carries a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of the following form:

```
349
350
350
351
351
352
352
353

<pre
```

The receiving party MUST check the validity of the signature found in the

<wsse:Security>/<ds:Signature> element. Information about the sender's public or secret
key either is found in the <wsse:Security>/<ds:Signature>/<ds:KeyInfo> element
carried within the SOAP envelope or is based on application context.

Once the above steps have been completed, the receiver can further process the assertions and SOAP message contents with the assurance that portions of the SOAP message that fall within the scope of the digital signature have been constructed by the sender and have not been altered by an intermediary.

In contrast to the <code>HolderOfKey</code> case, information about the sender either is provided by the contents of the <code><ds:KeyInfo></code> element found within the signature or is based on application context.

2.6.3 Example

The following example illustrates the SenderVouches message format:

```
367
          <SOAP-ENV:Envelope
368
             xmlns:SOAP-ENV="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/">
369
             <SOAP-ENV:Header
370
              xmlns:saml="..."
371
               <wsse:Security>
372
                 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
373
                 <saml:AssertionIDReference>XVB12#$21abc</AssertionIDReference>
374
                <wsse:Reference URI=http://www.example.com/SAMLservice"/>
375
                </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
376
                <saml:Assertion>...</saml:Assertion>
377
                 <ds:Signature>...
378
                   <ds:KeyInfo>...</ds:KeyInfo>
379
                 </ds:Signature>
380
              </wsse:Security>
381
            </SOAP-ENV:Header>
382
            <SOAP-ENV:Body>
383
384
             </SOAP-ENV:Body>
385
          </SOAP-ENV:Envelope>
```

2.7 Additional Issues

The processing models described in this section does not take into account replay attacks, authentication of sender by receiver, authentication of receiver by sender, or confidentiality. These concerns must be addressed by means other than those described in this section.

3 Security Considerations

- 391 This profile defines methods for securely attaching SAML assertions to a SOAP document. SOAP
- documents are used in multiple contexts, specifically including cases where the message is
- 393 transported without an active session, the message can be persisted, and the message is routed
- through a number of intermediaries. Such a general context of use suggests that users of this
- profile must be concerned with a variety of threats. In particular, no consideration has been given
- 396 to the issue of sender or receiver authentication. Therefore, if required, the sender may need to
- 397 authenticate the receiver using some authentication technique dependent on the context of use.
- 398 Further, the receiver may need to authenticate the sender using some techniques dependent on
- 399 the context of use. In the latter case, there is a possibility that the receiver may authenticate the
- 400 sender utilizing the attached SAML assertions as a credential together with other information.

401 3.1 Holder of Key

390

408

420

- 402 This profile has one or more authorities issuing assertions that contain
- 403 <SubjectConfirmation> elements that essentially say "This assertion is valid if it is presented
- 404 with proof that the presenter is the holder of the specified key."
- 405 A sender inserts these assertions in a message and the entire message (payload and assertions)
- 406 are digitally signed using the specified key—thus providing proof to the receiver that the sender of
- 407 the message held the key specified in the assertions.

3.1.1 Eavesdropping

- 409 Eavesdropping continues to be a threat in the same manner as for the SAML SOAP binding, as
- discussed in [SAMLSecure][SAMLSecure]. The routable nature of SOAP adds the potential for
- a large number of steps and actors in the course of a message's lifetime, which means that the
- 412 potential incidences of eavesdropping are increased as the number of possible times a message
- 413 is in transit increases.
- The persistent nature of SOAP messages adds an additional possibility of eavesdropping, in that
- 415 stored items can be read from their store.
- 416 To provide maximum protection from eavesdropping, assertions should be encrypted in such a
- 417 way that only the intended audiences can view the material. This removes threats of
- 418 eavesdropping in transit, but does not remove risks associated with storage by the receiver or
- 419 poor handling of the clear text by the receiver.

3.1.2 Replay

- 421 Binding of assertions to a document opens the door to replay attacks by a malicious user. Issuing
- 422 a HolderOfkey assertion amounts to "blessing the user's key" for the purpose of binding
- 423 assertions to documents. Once a HolderOfKey assertion has been issued to a user, that user
- 424 can bind it to any document or documents he chooses.
- While each assertion is signed, and bound by a second signature into a document, which
- 426 prevents a malicious third-party (who has no access to the private key required for the binding
- signature) from binding the assertions to arbitrary documents, there is nothing preventing a
- 428 malicious **user** (who by definition has access to the private key) from detaching a signed
- 429 assertion from the document it arrived in and rebinding it to another document.
- There are two lines of defense against this type of attack. The first is to consider carefully to
- 431 whom you issue HolderOfKey assertions (can they be trusted with the right to attach the
- 432 assertion to any document?) and what kind of assertions you issue as HolderOfKey assertions
- 433 (do you want to give up control over the binding of this particular statement to a given

- document?). The second is a short lifetime on the assertion, to narrow the window of opportunity
- 435 for this attack.
- 436 The capture and resubmission of the entire message (SAML assertions and business payload) is
- 437 a threat. One counter-measure is to add information about time, or a sequence number to the
- 438 digital signature included in the SOAP header. The receiver can use this information to detect
- 439 duplicate messages.

440 3.1.3 Message Insertion

There is no message insertion attack at the level of the HolderOfkey format of this profile.

3.1.4 Message Deletion

There is no message deletion attack at the level of the HolderOfkey format of this profile.

444 3.1.5 Message Modification

- The double signing in this profile prevents most message modification attacks. The receiver is
- always able to verify the signature on the assertion itself (and should be able to verify that the key
- used in that signing act is associated with the putative signer by means of X509v3 certificate,
- 448 Certificate Revocation List checks, and so on), which provides a guarantee that the assertion is
- 449 unaltered.

442

- 450 The receiver can also verify the binding signature to ensure that the message to which the
- 451 assertion is attached is unaltered.
- The profile is secure against modification within the context of an existing trust relationship. The
- 453 remaining threats (compromised keys, revoked certificates being used, and so on) are outside the
- 454 scope of SAML.
- Note that the threat of message modification by the holder of the key exists, as discussed in the
- 456 discussion of replay attacks in Section 3.1.2.

457 **3.1.6 Man-in-the-Middle**

- 458 An MITM attack is impossible for the HolderOfkey format of this profile, since the assertion
- 459 specifies the key that must be used for the binding signature, and the assertion itself is protected
- against tampering by a signature.
- The MITM can eavesdrop (if communication is not protected by some confidentiality scheme) but
- 462 cannot alter the document without detection.
- 463 Note that a MITM could alter parts of the document unprotected by the signature (i.e. the other
- header elements within the <ds: Signature > element). For example, a MITM could remove an
- 465 included <ds: KeyInfo> block from a <ds: Signature> without affecting the validity of the
- 466 signature. Theoretically this could force an XKMS lookup or other network call that could be
- perverted to malicious ends. However this does not pose a threat for the HolderOfKey profile
- 468 since (1) the assertion has issuer info (so you know who originated the assertion came) (2) the
- signed assertion includes the key for the binding signature.

470 3.2 Sender Vouches

- This profile has one or more authorities issuing assertions that contain
- 472 <SubjectConfirmation> elements that basically say "Trust these if you trust the issuer and
- the entity who signed them".
- 474 A sender collects these assertions and inserts them in a message. The sender then signs over
- 475 the entire message, with the signature being used to indicate that these assertions (which are
- 476 themselves signed by their issuers) are vouched for by the sender.

3.2.1 Eavesdropping

- 478 Eavesdropping continues to be a threat in the same manner as for the SAML SOAP binding, as
- discussed in [SAMLSecure]. The routable nature of SOAP adds the potential for a large number
- 480 of steps and actors in the course of a message's lifetime, which means that the potential
- incidences of eavesdropping are increased as the number of possible times a message is in
- 482 transit increases.

477

- The persistent nature of SOAP messages adds an additional possibility of eavesdropping, in that
- 484 persisted items can be read from their store.
- 485 To provide maximum protection from eavesdropping, assertions should be encrypted in such a
- 486 way that only the intended audiences can view the material. This removes threats of
- 487 eavesdropping in transit, but does not remove risks associated with storage by the receiver or
- 488 poor handling of the clear text by the receiver.

489 **3.2.2 Replay**

- The fact that the sender does all binding prevents a variety of replay attacks that reuse the
- 491 assertion with different documents. In this case the assertions are directly signed into the
- document, so separating them from the document for reuse would not benefit a malicious user.
- 493 (i.e. The assertions are only as valid as the binding signature of the sender, so reusing them with
- 494 a different key does not pose a risk).
- 495 Authorities should note that once a "SenderVouches" assertion has been issued, there is no
- 496 control over who may use it. Any entity coming into contact with the assertion can separate these
- 497 assertions and use them by signing them with their own keys. Consumers of SenderVouches
- assertions must, therefore, carefully decide which senders to allow to vouch for what assertions.
- The capture and resubmission of the entire message (SAML assertions and business payload) is
- a threat. One counter-measure is to add information about time, or a sequence number to the
- digital signature included in the SOAP header. The receiver can use this information to detect
- 502 duplicate messages.

503 3.2.3 Message Insertion

There is no message insertion attack at the level of the Sender Vouches format of this profile.

505 3.2.4 Message Deletion

There is no message insertion attack at the level of the Sender Vouches format of this profile.

3.2.5 Message Modification

- The binding signature should prevent any message modification attacks. Selection of what parts
- of the document to sign should be made carefully with the possibility of this attack in mind.
- 510 Receivers should consider only the portions of the document actually bound by signature to the
- assertions as valid with respect to the assertions.

3.2.6 Man-in-the-Middle

- 513 The requirement for a signature here should prevent MITM attacks. Note that the verifiability of
- the signature is key to this step: Not only must a receiver be able to verify that a document was
- signed with a key, but he also needs to be able to verify the binding of key to identity. This may
- be accomplished by including an X509v3 certificate with the digital signature, which the receiver
- verifies by some means (XKMS, OCSP, CRLs) and further maps onto a known identity for the
- 518 signer.

512

If this step is skipped, then MITM becomes a possibility: The MITM captures the original document, alters it, and passes along this new document signed with a key that purports to be from the original sender (but which is actually held by the MITM).

The MITM can eavesdrop (if communication is not protected by some confidentiality scheme) but cannot alter the document without detection.

524 4 Conformance

525 TBD

526	5 References					
527 528	[RFC2119]	S. Bradner, <i>Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels</i> , http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.				
529 530 531 532	[SAMLBind]	P. Mishra (Editor), Bindings and Profiles for the OASIS <i>Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML)</i> , Committee Specification 01, available from http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security, OASIS, May 2002.				
533 534 535 536	[SAMLCore]	P. Hallam-Baker, P., and E. Maler, (Editors), <i>Assertions and Protocol for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML)</i> , Committee Specification 01, available from http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security, OASIS, May 2002.				
537 538	[SAMLReqs]	D. Platt et al., SAML Requirements and Use Cases, OASIS, December 2001.				
539 540 541	[SAMLSecure]	Security and Privacy Cpnsiderations for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML), http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/docs/cs-sstc-sec-consider-01.doc				
542 543 544	[SOAP1.1]	D. Box et al., Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1, http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP, World Wide Web Consortium Note, May 2000.				
545 546	[WS-Sec]	Web Services Security (WS-Security), Version 1.0, August 5, 2002, available from http://www.verisign.com/spotlight				
547 548	[XMLSig]	D. Eastlake et al., <i>XML-Signature Syntax and Processing</i> , http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/ , World Wide Web Consortium.				

Appendix A. Revision History

Rev	Date	By Whom	What
wd-00	2002-06-16	Prateek Mishra	Added use of WS-Security headers to contents of draft-sstc-soap-profile-01.doc.
wd-01	2002-07-23	Prateek Mishra	Added use of <wsse:securitytokenreference> to carry SAML assertion id references.</wsse:securitytokenreference>
wd-02	2002-08-16	Prateek Mishra	Comments from Allen Rogers, Dipak Chopra. Added use of terminology from WS-Security draft.
wd-03	2002-08-21	Prateek Mishra, Eve Maler	Editorial cleanup. Addition of assertion reference example.
wd-04	2002-09-10	Prateek Mishra	Clarification on authorship and IPR issues relevant to WSS submission

Appendix B. Notices

550

563

- 551 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this 552 553 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available: 554 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS 555 556 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses 557 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission 558 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be 559 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.
- OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. All Rights Reserved.

- 564 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works 565 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied. published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the 566 567 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. 568 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS 569 570 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual 571 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other 572 than English.
- 573 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.
- This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
 ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A