

Web Services Security Core Specification

Working Draft 06, 08 December 2002

Document identifier:
WSS-Core-06v

Location:
TBD

Editors:
Phillip Hallam-Baker, VeriSign
Chris Kaler, Microsoft
Ronald Monzillo, Sun
Anthony Nadalin, IBM

Contributors:

TBD – Revise this list to include WSS TC contributors

- | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Bob Atkinson, Microsoft | John Manferdelli, Microsoft |
| Giovanni Della-Libera, Microsoft | Hiroshi Maruyama, IBM |
| Satoshi Hada, IBM | Anthony Nadalin, IBM |
| Phillip Hallam-Baker, VeriSign | Nataraj Nagaratnam, IBM |
| Maryann Hondo, IBM | Hemma Prafullchandra, VeriSign |
| Chris Kaler, Microsoft | John Shewchuk, Microsoft |
| Johannes Klein, Microsoft | Dan Simon, Microsoft |
| Brian LaMacchia, Microsoft | Kent Tamura, IBM |
| Paul Leach, Microsoft | Hervey Wilson, Microsoft |

Abstract:

This specification describes enhancements to the SOAP messaging to provide quality of protection through message integrity, and single message authentication. These mechanisms can be used to accommodate a wide variety of security models and encryption technologies.

This specification also provides a general-purpose mechanism for associating security tokens with messages. No specific type of security token is required; it is designed to be extensible (e.g. support multiple security token formats). For example, a client might provide one format for proof of identity and provide another format for proof that they have a particular business certification.

Additionally, this specification describes how to encode binary security tokens, a framework for XML-based tokens, and describes how to include opaque encrypted keys. It also includes extensibility mechanisms that can be used to further describe the characteristics of the tokens that are included with a message.

30

31 **Status:**

32 This is an interim draft. Please send comments to the editors.

33

34 Committee members should send comments on this specification to the [wss@lists.oasis-](mailto:wss@lists.oasis-open.org)
35 [open.org](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org) list. Others should subscribe to and send comments to the [wss-](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)
36 [comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org) list. To subscribe, visit [http://lists.oasis-](http://lists.oasis-open.org/ob/adm.pl)
37 [open.org/ob/adm.pl](http://lists.oasis-open.org/ob/adm.pl).

38 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
39 implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to
40 the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Security Services TC web page
41 (<http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml>).

Table of Contents

43	1	Introduction	5
44	1.1	Goals and Requirements	5
45	1.1.1	Requirements.....	5
46	1.1.2	Non-Goals	5
47	2	Notations and Terminology	7
48	2.1	Notational Conventions.....	7
49	2.2	Namespaces	7
50	2.3	Terminology.....	7
51	3	Message Protection Mechanisms	9
52	3.1	Message Security Model.....	9
53	3.2	Message Protection	9
54	3.3	Invalid or Missing Claims	9
55	3.4	Example	10
56	4	ID References	12
57	4.1	Id Attribute	12
58	4.2	Id Schema	12
59	5	Security Header.....	14
60	6	Security Tokens	16
61	6.1	User Name Tokens	16
62	6.1.1	Usernames and Passwords.....	16
63	6.2	Binary Security Tokens	18
64	6.2.1	Attaching Security Tokens.....	18
65	6.2.2	Processing Rules	18
66	6.2.3	Encoding Binary Security Tokens	18
67	6.3	XML Tokens	20
68	6.3.1	Attaching Security Tokens.....	20
69	6.3.2	Identifying and Referencing Security Tokens	20
70	6.3.3	Subject Confirmation	20
71	6.3.4	Processing Rules	20
72	7	Token References	21
73	7.1	SecurityTokenReference Element	21
74	7.2	Direct References	21
75	7.3	Key Identifiers.....	22
76	7.4	ds:KeyInfo	23
77	7.5	Key Names	23
78	7.6	Token Reference Lookup Processing Order.....	23
79	8	Signatures	25
80	8.1	Algorithms	25
81	8.2	Signing Messages.....	26
82	8.3	Signature Validation.....	26
83	8.4	Example	26

84	9	Encryption.....	28
85	9.1	xenc:ReferenceList.....	28
86	9.2	xenc:EncryptedKey.....	29
87	9.3	xenc:EncryptedData.....	30
88	9.4	Processing Rules.....	30
89	9.4.1	Encryption.....	31
90	9.4.2	Decryption.....	31
91	9.5	Decryption Transformation.....	31
92	10	Message Timestamps.....	33
93	10.1	Model.....	33
94	10.2	Timestamp Elements.....	33
95	10.2.1	Expiration.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
96	10.2.2	Creation.....	33
97	10.3	Timestamp Header.....	34
98	10.4	TimestampTrace Header.....	36
99	11	Extended Example.....	38
100	12	Error Handling.....	41
101	13	Security Considerations.....	42
102	14	Privacy Considerations.....	44
103	15	Acknowledgements.....	45
104	16	References.....	46
105		Appendix A: Revision History.....	48
106		Appendix B: Notices.....	49
107			

Deleted: 33

108 **1 Introduction**

109 This specification proposes a standard set of **SOAP** extensions that can be used when building
110 secure Web services to implement message level integrity and confidentiality. This specification
111 refers to this set of extensions as the "Web Services Security Core Language" or "WSS-Core".

112 This specification is flexible and is designed to be used as the basis for securing Web services
113 within a wide variety of security models including PKI, Kerberos, and SSL. Specifically, this
114 specification provides support for multiple security token formats, multiple trust domains, multiple
115 signature formats, and multiple encryption technologies. The token formats and semantics for
116 using these are defined in the associated binding documents.

117 This specification provides three main mechanisms: ability to send security token as part of a
118 message, message integrity, and message confidentiality. These mechanisms by themselves do
119 not provide a complete security solution for Web services. Instead, this specification is a building
120 block that can be used in conjunction with other Web service extensions and higher-level
121 application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of security models and security
122 technologies.

123 These mechanisms can be used independently (e.g., to pass a security token) or in a tightly
124 coupled manner (e.g., signing and encrypting a message and providing a security token path
125 associated with the keys used for signing and encryption).

126 **1.1 Goals and Requirements**

127 The goal of this specification is to enable applications to conduct secure **SOAP** message
128 exchanges.

129 This specification is intended to provide a flexible set of mechanisms that can be used to
130 construct a range of security protocols; in other words this specification intentionally does not
131 describe explicit fixed security protocols.

132 As with every security protocol, significant efforts must be applied to ensure that security
133 protocols constructed using this specification are not vulnerable to any one of a wide range of
134 attacks.

135 The focus of this specification is to describe a single-message security language that provides for
136 message security that may assume an established session, security context and/or policy
137 agreement.

138 The requirements to support secure message exchange are listed below.

139 **1.1.1 Requirements**

140 The Web services security language must support a wide variety of security models. The
141 following list identifies the key driving requirements for this specification:

- 142 • Multiple security token formats
- 143 • Multiple trust domains
- 144 • Multiple signature formats
- 145 • Multiple encryption technologies
- 146 • End-to-end message-level security and not just transport-level security

147 **1.1.2 Non-Goals**

148 The following topics are outside the scope of this document:

- 149 • Establishing a security context or authentication mechanisms .

- 150 • Key derivation.
- 151 • Advertisement and exchange of security policy.
- 152 • How trust is established or determined.
- 153

2 Notations and Terminology

This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

2.1 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC2119.

Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represent some application-dependent or context-dependent URI as defined in RFC2396.

This specification is designed to work with the general SOAP message structure and message processing model, and should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.2 namespace URI is used herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP.

Readers are presumed to be familiar with the terms in the Internet Security Glossary.

2.2 Namespaces

The XML namespace URIs that MUST be used by implementations of this specification are as follows (note that elements used in this specification are from various namespaces):

```
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility
```

The following namespaces are used in this document:

Prefix	Namespace
S	http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope
ds	http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#
xenc	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#
wsse	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext
wsu	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility

2.3 Terminology

Defined below are the basic definitions for the security terminology used in this specification.

Claim – A *claim* is a declaration made by a client (e.g. name, identity, key, group, privilege, capability, etc).

Security Token – A *security token* represents a collection of claims.

Signed Security Token – A *signed security token* is a security token that is asserted and cryptographically signed by a specific authority (e.g. an X.509 certificate or a Kerberos ticket).

181



182

183 **Proof-of-Possession** – *Proof-of-possession* is authentication data that is provided with a
184 message to prove that the message was sent and or created by a claimed identity..

185 **Integrity** – *Integrity* is the property that data has not been modified.

186 **Message Integrity** - *Message Integrity* is a property of the message and digital signature is
187 the service or mechanism by with this property of the message is provided.

188 **Confidentiality** – *Confidentiality* is the property that data is not made available to
189 unauthorized individuals, entities, or processes.

190 **Message Confidentiality** - *Message Confidentiality* is a property of the message and
191 encryption is the service or mechanism by with this property of the message is provided.

192 **Digest** – A *digest* is a cryptographic checksum of an octet stream.

193 **Signature** - A *signature* is a cryptographic binding between a proof-of-possession and a digest.
194 This covers both symmetric key-based and public key-based signatures. Consequently, non-
195 repudiation is not always achieved.

196 **Attachment** – An *attachment* is a generic term referring to additional data that travels with a
197 SOAP message, but is not part of the SOAP Envelope.

198 **Trust** - *Trust* is the characteristic that one entity is willing to rely upon a second entity to execute
199 a set of actions and/or to make set of assertions about a set of subjects and/or scopes.

200 **Trust Domain** – A *Trust Domain* is a security space in which the target of a request can
201 determine whether particular sets of credentials from a source satisfy the relevant security
202 policies of the target. The target may defer trust to a third party thus including the trusted third
203 party in the Trust Domain.

204 **End-To_End Messgae Level Security** – *End-to-end message level security* is
205 established when a message that traverses multiple applications within and between business
206 entities, e.g. companies, divisions and business units, is secure over its full route through and
207 between those business entities. This includes not only messages that are initiated within the
208 entity but also those messages that originate outside the entity, whether they are Web Services
209 or the more traditional messages.

210

211 **3 Message Protection Mechanisms**

212 When securing SOAP messages, various types of threats should be considered. This includes,
213 but is not limited to: 1) the message could be modified or read by antagonists or 2) an antagonist
214 could send messages to a service that, while well-formed, lack appropriate security claims to
215 warrant processing.

216 To understand these threats this specification defines a message security model.

217 **3.1 Message Security Model**

218 This document specifies an abstract *message security model* in terms of [security tokens](#)
219 combined with digital [signatures](#) to protect and authenticate SOAP messages.

220 Security tokens assert [claims](#) and can be used to assert the binding between authentication
221 secrets or keys and security identities. An authority can vouch for or endorse the claims in a
222 security token by using its key to sign or encrypt the security token thereby enabling the
223 authentication of the claims in the token. An X.509 certificate, claiming the binding between one's
224 identity and public key, is an example of a [signed security token](#) endorsed by the certificate
225 authority. In the absence of endorsement by a third party, the recipient of a security token may
226 choose to accept the claims made in the token based on its [trust](#) of the sender of the containing
227 message.

228 Signatures are also used by message senders to demonstrate knowledge of the key claimed in a
229 security token and thus to authenticate or bind their identity (and any other claims occurring in the
230 security token) to the messages they create. A signature created by a message sender to
231 demonstrate knowledge of an authentication key is referred to as a [Proof-of-Possession](#) and may
232 serve as a message authenticator if the signature is performed over the message.

233 It should be noted that this security model, by itself, is subject to multiple security attacks. Refer
234 to the [Security Considerations](#) section for additional details.

235 **3.2 Message Protection**

236 Protecting the message content from being disclosed (confidentiality) or modified without
237 detection (integrity) are primary security concerns. This specification provides a means to protect
238 a message by encrypting and/or digitally signing a body, a header, an attachment, or any
239 combination of them (or parts of them).

240 Message [integrity](#) is provided by leveraging [XML Signature](#) in conjunction with [security tokens](#) to
241 ensure that messages are received without modifications. The [integrity](#) mechanisms are
242 designed to support multiple [signatures](#), potentially by multiple [SOAP](#) roles, and to be extensible
243 to support additional [signature](#) formats.

244 Message [confidentiality](#) leverages [XML Encryption](#) in conjunction with [security tokens](#) to keep
245 portions of a [SOAP](#) message [confidential](#). The encryption mechanisms are designed to support
246 additional encryption processes and operations by multiple [SOAP](#) roles.

247 This document defines syntax and semantics of signatures within `<wsse:Security>` element.
248 This document also does not specify any signature appearing outside of `<wsse:Security>`
249 element, if any.

250 **3.3 Invalid or Missing Claims**

251 The message recipient SHOULD reject a message with a signature determined to be invalid,
252 missing or unacceptable [claims](#) as it is an unauthorized (or malformed) message. Th is
253 specification provides a flexible way for the message sender to make a [claim](#) about the security
254 properties by associating zero or more [security tokens](#) with the message. An example of a

255 security [claim](#) is the identity of the sender; the sender can [claim](#) that he is Bob, known as an
256 employee of some company, and therefore he has the right to send the message.

257 3.4 Example

258 The following example illustrates the use of a username security token containing a claimed
259 security identity to establish a password derived signing key. The password is not provided in the
260 security token. The message sender combines the password with the nonce and timestamp
261 appearing in the security token to define an HMAC signing key that it then uses to sign the
262 message. The message receiver uses its knowledge of the shared secret to repeat the HMAC
263 key calculation which it uses to validate the signature and in the process confirm that the
264 message was authored by the claimed user identity. The nonce and timestamp are used in the
265 key calculation to introduce variability in the keys derived from a given password value.

```
266 (001) <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
267 (002) <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
268       xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
269 (003)   <S:Header>
270 (004)     <wsse:Security
271           xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
272 (005)       <\wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="MyID">
273 (006)         <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
274 (007)         <wsse:Nonce>FKJh...</wsse:Nonce>
275 (008)         <wsu:Created>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu:Created>
276 (009)       </wsse:UsernameToken>
277 (010)       <ds:Signature>
278 (011)         <ds:SignedInfo>
279 (012)           <ds:CanonicalizationMethod
280                   Algorithm=
281                     "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
282 (013)           <ds:SignatureMethod
283                   Algorithm=
284                     "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#hmac-sha1" />
285 (014)           <ds:Reference URI="#MsgBody">
286 (015)             <ds:DigestMethod
287                   Algorithm=
288                     "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
289 (016)             <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF0Pi4wPU...</ds:DigestValue>
290 (017)           </ds:Reference>
291 (018)           </ds:SignedInfo>
292 (019)           <ds:SignatureValue>DJbchm5gK...</ds:SignatureValue>
293 (020)           <ds:KeyInfo>
294 (021)             <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
295 (022)               <wsse:Reference URI="#MyID" />
296 (023)             </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
297 (024)           </ds:KeyInfo>
298 (025)           </ds:Signature>
299 (026)         </wsse:Security>
300 (027)   </S:Header>
301 (028)   <S:Body wsu:Id="MsgBody">
302 (029)     <tru:StockSymbol xmlns:tru="http://fabrikaml23.com/payloads">
303           QQQ
304         </tru:StockSymbol>
305 (030)   </S:Body>
306 (031) </S:Envelope>
```

307 The first two lines start the [SOAP envelope](#). Line (003) begins the headers that are associated
308 with this [SOAP message](#).

309 Line (004) starts the [<Security>](#) header defined in this specification. This header contains
310 security information for an intended recipient. This element continues until line (026)

311 Lines (005) to (009) specify a [security token](#) that is associated with the message. In this case, it
312 defines *username* of the client using the `<UsernameToken>`. Note that here the assumption is
313 that the service knows the password – in other words, it is a shared secret and the `<Nonce>` and
314 `<Created>` are used to generate the key

315 Lines (010) to (025) specify a digital signature. This signature ensures the [integrity](#) of the signed
316 elements. The signature uses the [XML Signature](#) specification identified by the ds namespace
317 declaration in Line (002). In this example, the signature is based on a key generated from the
318 user's password; typically stronger signing mechanisms would be used (see the [Extended](#)
319 [Example](#) later in this document).

320 Lines (011) to (018) describe what is being signed and the type of canonicalization being used.
321 Line (012) specifies how to canonicalize (normalize) the data that is being signed. Lines (014) to
322 (017) select the elements that are signed and how to digest them. Specifically, line (014)
323 indicates that the `<S:Body>` element is signed. In this example only the message body is
324 signed; typically all critical elements of the message are included in the signature (see the
325 [Extended Example](#) below).

326 Line (019) specifies the signature value of the canonicalized form of the data that is being signed
327 as defined in the [XML Signature](#) specification.

328 Lines (020) to (024) provide a *hint* as to where to find the [security token](#) associated with this
329 signature. Specifically, lines (021) to (023) indicate that the [security token](#) can be found at (pulled
330 from) the specified URL.

331 Lines (028) to (030) contain the *body* (payload) of the [SOAP](#) message.
332

333 4 ID References

334 There are many motivations for referencing other message elements such as signature
335 references or correlating signatures to security tokens. However, because arbitrary ID attributes
336 require the schemas to be available and processed, ID attributes which can be referenced in a
337 signature are restricted to the following list:

- 338 • ID attributes from XML Signature
- 339 • ID attributes from XML Encryption
- 340 • wsu:Id global attribute described below

341 In addition, when signing a part of an envelope such as the body, it is RECOMMENDED that an
342 ID reference is used instead of a more general transformation, especially [XPath](#). This is to
343 simplify processing.

344 4.1 Id Attribute

345 There are many situations where elements within [SOAP](#) messages need to be referenced. For
346 example, when signing a SOAP message, selected elements are included in the scope of the
347 signature. [XML Schema Part 2](#) provides several built-in data types that may be used for
348 identifying and referencing elements, but their use requires that consumers of the SOAP
349 message either to have or be able to obtain the schemas where the identity or reference
350 mechanisms are defined. In some circumstances, for example, intermediaries, this can be
351 problematic and not desirable.

352 Consequently a mechanism is required for identifying and referencing elements, based on the
353 SOAP foundation, which does not rely upon complete schema knowledge of the context in which
354 an element is used. This functionality can be integrated into SOAP processors so that elements
355 can be identified and referred to without dynamic schema discovery and processing.

356 This section specifies a namespace-qualified global attribute for identifying an element which can
357 be applied to any element that either allows arbitrary attributes or specifically allows a particular
358 attribute.

359 4.2 Id Schema

360 To simplify the processing for intermediaries and recipients, a common attribute is defined for
361 identifying an element. This attribute utilizes the XML Schema ID type and specifies a common
362 attribute for indicating this information for elements.

363 The syntax for this attribute is as follows:

```
364 <anyElement wsu:Id="...">...</anyElement>
```

365 The following describes the attribute illustrated above:

366 *.../@wsu:Id*

367 This attribute, defined as type `xsd:ID`, provides a well-known attribute for specifying the
368 local ID of an element.

369 Two `wsu:Id` attributes within an XML document MUST NOT have the same value.
370 Implementations MAY rely on XML Schema validation to provide rudimentary enforcement for
371 intra-document uniqueness. However, applications SHOULD NOT rely on schema validation
372 alone to enforce uniqueness.

373 This specification does not specify how this attribute will be used and it is expected that other
374 specifications MAY add additional semantics (or restrictions) for their usage of this attribute.

375 The following example illustrates use of this attribute to identify an element:

376 `<x:myElement wsu:Id="ID1" xmlns:x="..."`
377 `xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility"/>`

378 Conformant processors that do support XML Schema MUST treat this attribute as if it was
379 defined using a global attribute declaration.

380 Conformant processors that do not support XML Schema or DTDs are strongly encouraged to
381 treat this attribute information item as if its PSVI has a [type definition] which {target namespace}
382 is "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" and which {name} is "Id." Specifically,
383 implementations MAY support the value of the `wsu:Id` as the valid identifier for use as an
384 [XPointer](#) shorthand pointer.

385

5 Security Header

386 The `<wsse:Security>` header block provides a mechanism for attaching security-related
387 information targeted at a specific recipient in a form of a [SOAP role](#). This MAY be either the
388 ultimate recipient of the message or an intermediary. Consequently, elements of this type MAY
389 be present multiple times in a [SOAP](#) message. An intermediary on the message path MAY add
390 one or more new sub-elements to an existing `<wsse:Security>` header block if they are
391 targeted for its [SOAP](#) node or it MAY add one or more new headers for additional targets.

392 As stated, a message MAY have multiple `<wsse:Security>` header blocks if they are targeted
393 for separate recipients. However, only one `<wsse:Security>` header block MAY omit the
394 `S:role` attribute and no two `<wsse:Security>` header blocks MAY have the same value for
395 `S:role`. Message security information targeted for different recipients MUST appear in different
396 `<wsse:Security>` header blocks. The `<wsse:Security>` header block without a specified
397 `S:role` MAY be consumed by anyone, but MUST NOT be removed prior to the final destination
398 or endpoint.

399 As elements are added to the `<wsse:Security>` header block, they SHOULD be prepended to
400 the existing elements. As such, the `<wsse:Security>` header block represents the signing and
401 encryption steps the message sender took to create the message. This prepending rule ensures
402 that the receiving application MAY process sub-elements in the order they appear in the
403 `<wsse:Security>` header block, because there will be no forward dependency among the sub-
404 elements. Note that this specification does not impose any specific order of processing the sub-
405 elements. The receiving application can use whatever order is required.

406 When a sub-element refers to a key carried in another sub-element (for example, a signature
407 sub-element that refers to a binary security token sub-element that contains the [X.509](#) certificate
408 used for the signature), the key-bearing security token SHOULD be prepended to the key-using
409 sub-element being added, so that the key material appears before the key-using sub-element.

410 The following illustrates the syntax of this header:

```
411 <S:Envelope>  
412   <S:Header>  
413     ...  
414     <wsse:Security S:role="..." S:mustUnderstand="...">  
415       ...  
416     </wsse:Security>  
417     ...  
418   </S:Header>  
419   ...  
420 </S:Envelope>
```

421 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

422 `/wsse:Security`

423 This is the header block for passing security-related message information to a recipient.

424 `/wsse:Security/@S:role`

425 This attribute allows a specific [SOAP](#) role to be identified. This attribute is optional,
426 however, no two instances of the header block may omit a role or specify the same role.

427 `/wsse:Security/{any}`

428 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
429 information, based on a schema, to be passed.

430 `/wsse:Security/@{any}`

431 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
432 added to the header.

433 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a `<wsse:Security>` element.

434 All compliant implementations MUST declare which profiles they support and MUST be able to
435 process a `<wsse:Security>` element including any sub-elements which may be defined by that
436 profile.

437 The next few sections outline elements that are expected to be used within the
438 `<wsse:Security>` header.

439 6 Security Tokens

440 This chapter specifies some different types of security tokens and how they SHALL be attached
441 to messages.

442 6.1 User Name Tokens

443 6.1.1 Usernames and Passwords

444 The `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element is introduced as a way of providing a username and
445 optional password information. This element is optionally included in the `<wsse:Security>`
446 header.

447 Within this element, a `<wsse:Password>` element MAY be specified. The password has an
448 associated type – either `wsse:PasswordText` or `wsse:PasswordDigest`. The
449 `wsse:PasswordText` is not limited to the actual password. Any password equivalent such as a
450 derived password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used.

451 The `wsse:PasswordDigest` is defined as a base64-encoded SHA1 hash value of the UTF8-
452 encoded password. However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel, the
453 digest offers no real additional security than `wsse:PasswordText`.

454 To address this issue, two optional elements are introduced in the `<wsse:UsernameToken>`
455 element: `<wsse:Nonce>` and `<wsu:Created>`. If either of these is present, they MUST be
456 included in the digest value as follows:

```
457 PasswordDigest = SHA1 ( nonce + created + password )
```

458 That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or
459 password equivalent) and include the digest of the combination. This helps obscure the
460 password and offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. It is RECOMMENDED that timestamps
461 and nonces be cached for a given period of time, as a guideline a value of five minutes can be
462 used as a minimum to detect replays, and that timestamps older than that given period of time set
463 be rejected.

464 Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp
465 is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the
466 element.

467 Note that password digests SHOULD NOT be used unless the plain text password, secret, or
468 password-equivalent is available to both the requestor and the recipient.

469 The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
470 <wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="...">  
471   <wsse:Username>...</wsse:Username>  
472   <wsse:Password Type="...">...</wsse:Password>  
473   <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="...">...</wsse:Nonce>  
474   <wsu:Created>...</wsu:Created>  
475 </wsse:UsernameToken>
```

476 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

477 `/wsse:UsernameToken`

478 This element is used for sending basic authentication information.

479 `/wsse:UsernameToken/@wsu:Id`

480 A string label for this [security token](#).

481 `/wsse:UsernameToken/Username`

482 This required element specifies the username of the authenticated or the party to be
 483 authenticated.

484 */wsse:UsernameToken/Username/@{any}*

485 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 486 added to the header.

487 */wsse:UsernameToken/Password*

488 This optional element provides password information. It is RECOMMENDED that this
 489 element only be passed when a secure transport is being used.

490 */wsse:UsernameToken/Password/@Type*

491 This optional attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The following table
 492 identifies the pre-defined types:

Value	Description
wsse:PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username or derived password or S/KEY.
wsse:PasswordDigest	The digest of the password for the username using the algorithm described above.

493 */wsse:UsernameToken/Password/@{any}*

494 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 495 added to the header.

496 */wsse:UsernameToken//wsse:Nonce*

497 This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce.

498 */wsse:UsernameToken//wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType*

499 This optional attribute specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see definition of
 500 `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then
 501 the default of Base64 encoding is used.

502 */wsse:UsernameToken//wsu:Created*

503 This optional element specifies the time (according to the originator) at which the
 504 password digest was created.

505 */wsse:UsernameToken/{any}*

506 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
 507 information, based on a schema, to be passed.

508 */wsse:UsernameToken/@{any}*

509 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
 510 added to the header.

511 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process a `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element.
 512 The following illustrates the use of this element (note that in this example the password is sent in
 513 clear text and the message should therefore be sent over a confidential channel:

```

514 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
515           xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
516   <S:Header>
517     ...
518     <wsse:Security>
519       <wsse:UsernameToken >
520         <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
521         <wsse:Password>ILoveDogs</wsse:Password>
522       </wsse:UsernameToken>
523     </wsse:Security>
  
```

```
524     ...
525     </S:Header>
526     ...
527 </S:Envelope>
```

528 The following example illustrates a hashed password using both a nonce and a timestamp with
529 the password hashed:

```
530 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
531           xmlns:wssse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
532   <S:Header>
533     ...
534     <wsse:Security>
535       <wsse:UsernameToken
536         xmlns:wssse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
537         xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">
538         <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>
539         <wsse:Password Type="wsse:PasswordDigest">
540           FEdR...</wsse:Password>
541         <wsse:Nonce>FKJh...</wsse:Nonce>
542         <wsu:Created>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z </wsu:Created>
543       </wsse:UsernameToken>
544     </wsse:Security>
545     ...
546   </S:Header>
547   ...
548 </S:Envelope>
```

549 6.2 Binary Security Tokens

550 6.2.1 Attaching Security Tokens

551 For binary-formatted security tokens, this specification provides a
552 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> element that can be included in the <wsse:Security>
553 header block..

554 6.2.2 Processing Rules

555 This specification describes the processing rules for using and processing [XML Signature](#) and
556 [XML Encryption](#). These rules MUST be followed when using any type of security token including
557 XML-based tokens. Note that this does NOT mean that binary security tokens MUST be signed
558 or encrypted – only that if signature or encryption is used in conjunction with binary security
559 tokens, they MUST be used in a way that conforms to the processing rules defined by this
560 specification.

561 6.2.3 Encoding Binary Security Tokens

562 Binary security tokens (e.g., [X.509](#) certificates and [Kerberos](#) tickets) or other non-XML formats
563 require a special encoding format for inclusion. This section describes a basic framework for
564 using binary security tokens. Subsequent specifications MUST describe the rules for creating
565 and processing specific binary security token formats.

566 The <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> element defines two attributes that are used to interpret
567 it. The `ValueType` attribute indicates what the security token is, for example, a [Kerberos](#) ticket.
568 The `EncodingType` tells how the security token is encoded, for example `Base64Binary`.

569 The following is an overview of the syntax:

```
570 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken wsu:Id=...
571                           EncodingType=...
572                           ValueType=.../>
```

573 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

574 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken`

575 This element is used to include a binary-encoded security token.

576 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@wsu:Id`

577 An optional string label for this [security token](#).

578 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@ValueType`

579 The `ValueType` attribute is used to indicate the "value space" of the encoded binary data (e.g. an [X.509](#) certificate). The `ValueType` attribute allows a qualified name that defines the value type and space of the encoded binary data. This attribute is extensible using [XML namespaces](#). Subsequent specifications MUST define the `ValueType` value for the tokens that they define.

584 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@EncodingType`

585 The `EncodingType` attribute is used to indicate, using a QName, the encoding format of the binary data (e.g., `wsse:Base64Binary`). A new attribute is introduced, as there are currently issues that make derivations of mixed simple and complex types difficult within [XML Schema](#). The `EncodingType` attribute is interpreted to indicate the encoding format of the element. The following encoding formats are pre-defined:

QName	Description
<code>wsse:Base64Binary</code>	XML Schema base 64 encoding

590 `/wsse:BinarySecurityToken/@{any}`

591 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added.

593 All compliant implementations MUST be able to support a `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` element.

595 When a `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` is included in a signature—that is, it is referenced from a `<ds:Signature>` element—care should be taken so that the canonicalization algorithm (e.g., [Exclusive XML Canonicalization](#)) does not allow unauthorized replacement of namespace prefixes of the QNames used in the attribute or element values. In particular, it is RECOMMENDED that these namespace prefixes be declared within the

600 `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` element if this token does not carry the validating key (and consequently it is not cryptographically bound to the [signature](#)). For example, if we wanted to sign the previous example, we need to include the consumed namespace definitions.

603 In the following example, a custom `ValueType` is used. Consequently, the namespace definition for this `ValueType` is included in the `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` element. Note that the definition of `wsse` is also included as it is used for the encoding type and the element.

```
606 <wsse:BinarySecurityToken
607   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext "
608   wsu:Id="myToken"
609   ValueType="x:MyType" xmlns:x="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/x"
610   EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary">
611   MIIIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0...
612 </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
```

613 **6.3 XML Tokens**

614 This section presents the basic principles and framework for using XML-based security tokens.
615 Subsequent specifications describe rules and processes for specific XML-based security token
616 formats.

617 **6.3.1 Attaching Security Tokens**

618 This specification defines the `<wsse:Security>` header as a mechanism for conveying security
619 information with and about a [SOAP](#) message. This header is, by design, extensible to support
620 many types of security information.

621 For security tokens based on XML, the extensibility of the `<wsse:Security>` header allows for
622 these security tokens to be directly inserted into the header.

623 **6.3.2 Identifying and Referencing Security Tokens**

624 This specification also defines multiple mechanisms for identifying and referencing security
625 tokens using the `wsu:id` attribute and the `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element (as well
626 as some additional mechanisms). Please refer to the specific binding documents for the
627 appropriate reference mechanism. However, specific extensions MAY be made to the
628 `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` element.

629 **6.3.3 Subject Confirmation**

630 This specification does not dictate if and how subject confirmation must be done, however, it does
631 define how signatures can be used and associated with security tokens (by referencing them in
632 the signature) as a form of Proof-of-Possession.

633 **6.3.4 Processing Rules**

634 This specification describes the processing rules for using and processing [XML Signature](#) and
635 [XML Encryption](#). These rules MUST be followed when using any type of security token including
636 XML-based tokens. Note that this does NOT mean that XML-based tokens MUST be signed or
637 encrypted – only that if signature or encryption is used in conjunction with XML-based tokens,
638 they MUST be used in a way that conforms to the processing rules defined by this specification.

639 7 Token References

640 This chapter discusses and defines mechanisms for referencing security tokens.

641 7.1 SecurityTokenReference Element

642 A [security token](#) conveys a set of [claims](#). Sometimes these claims reside somewhere else and
643 need to be "pulled" by the receiving application. The `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>`
644 element provides an extensible mechanism for referencing [security tokens](#).

645 This element provides an open content model for referencing security tokens because not all
646 tokens support a common reference pattern. Similarly, some token formats have closed
647 schemas and define their own reference mechanisms. The open content model allows
648 appropriate reference mechanisms to be used when referencing corresponding token types.

649 The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
650 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference wsu:Id="..." >  
651   ...  
652 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

653 The following describes the elements defined above:

654 / `wsse:SecurityTokenReference`

655 This element provides a reference to a security token.

656 / `wsse:SecurityTokenReference/@wsu:Id`

657 A string label for this [security token](#) reference.

658 / `wsse:SecurityTokenReference/{any}`

659 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
660 references, based on a schema, to be passed.

661 / `wsse:SecurityTokenReference/@{any}`

662 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
663 added to the header.

664 The following illustrates the use of this element:

```
665 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference  
666   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">  
667   <wsse:Reference  
668     URI="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/tokens/Zoe#X509token"/>  
669 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

670 All compliant implementations **MUST** be able to process a

671 `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element.

672 This element can also be used as a direct child element of `<ds:KeyInfo>` to indicate a hint to
673 retrieve the key information from a security token placed somewhere else. In particular, it is

674 **RECOMMENDED**, when using [XML Signature](#) and [XML Encryption](#), that a

675 `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` element be placed inside a `<ds:KeyInfo>` to reference

676 the [security token](#) used for the signature or encryption.

677 7.2 Direct References

678 The `<wsse:Reference>` element provides an extensible mechanism for directly referencing
679 [security tokens](#) using URIs.

680 The following illustrates the syntax of this element:

```
681 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference wsu:Id="..." >
```

```
682 <wsse:Reference URI="..." ValueType="..." />
683 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

684 The following describes the elements defined above:

685 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference*

686 This element is used to identify a URI location for locating a security token.

687 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@URI*

688 This optional attribute specifies a URI for where to find a security token.

689 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@ValueType*

690 This optional attribute specifies a QName that is used to identify the *type* of token being
691 referenced (see *<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>*). This specification does not define
692 any processing rules around the usage of this attribute, however, specifications for
693 individual token types MAY define specific processing rules and semantics around the
694 value of the URI and how it SHALL be interpreted. If this attribute is not present, the URI
695 SHALL be processed as a normal URI.

696 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/{any}*

697 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of security
698 references, based on a schema, to be passed.

699 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/Reference/@{any}*

700 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
701 added to the header.

702 The following illustrates the use of this element:

```
703 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference
704     xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext">
705   <wsse:Reference
706     URI="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/tokens/Zoe#X509token" />
707 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

708 7.3 Key Identifiers

709 If a direct reference is not possible, then it is RECOMMENDED to use a key identifier to
710 specify/reference a security token instead of a key name. The *<wsse:KeyIdentifier>*
711 element SHALL be placed in the *<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>* element to reference a
712 token using an identifier. This element SHOULD be used for all key identifiers.

713 The processing model assumes that the key identifier for a security token is constant.
714 Consequently, processing a key identifier is simply looking for a security token whose key
715 identifier matches a given specified constant.

716 The following is an overview of the syntax:

```
717 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
718   <wsse:KeyIdentifier wsu:Id="..."
719     ValueType="..."
720     EncodingType="...">
721     ...
722   </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
723 </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
```

724 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

725 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier*

726 This element is used to include a binary-encoded key identifier.

727 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@wsu:Id*

728 An optional string label for this identifier.

729 / *wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@ValueType*

730 The valueType attribute is used to optionally indicate the type of token with the
731 specified identifier. If specified, this is a hint to the recipient. Any value specified for
732 binary security tokens, or any XML token element QName can be specified here. If this
733 attribute isn't specified, then the identifier applies to any type of token.

734 / wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@EncodingType

735 The optional EncodingType attribute is used to indicate, using a QName, the encoding
736 format of the binary data (e.g., wsse:Base64Binary). The base values defined in this
737 specification are used:

QName	Description
wsse:Base64Binary	XML Schema base 64 encoding (default)

738 / wsse:SecurityTokenReference/KeyIdentifier/@{any}

739 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
740 added.

741 7.4 ds:KeyInfo

742 The <ds:KeyInfo> element (from [XML Signature](#)) can be used for carrying the key information
743 and is allowed for different key types and for future extensibility. However, in this specification,
744 the use of <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> is the RECOMMENDED way to carry key material
745 if the key type contains binary data. Please refer to the specific binding documents for the
746 appropriate way to carry key material.

747 The following example illustrates use of this element to fetch a named key:

```
748 <ds:KeyInfo Id="..." xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">  
749   <ds:KeyName>CN=Hiroshi Maruyama, C=JP</ds:KeyName>  
750 </ds:KeyInfo>
```

751 7.5 Key Names

752 It is strongly RECOMMEND to use key identifiers. However, if key names are used, then it is
753 strongly RECOMMENDED that <ds:KeyName> elements conform to the attribute names in
754 section 2.3 of RFC 2253 (this is recommended by XML Signature for <X509SubjectName>) for
755 interoperability.

756 Additionally, defined are the following convention for e-mail addresses, which SHOULD conform
757 to RFC 822:

```
758 EmailAddress=ckaler@microsoft.com
```

759 7.6 Token Reference Lookup Processing Order

760 There are a number of mechanisms described in [XML Signature](#) and this specification
761 for referencing security tokens. To resolve possible ambiguities when more than one
762 of these reference constructs is included in a single KeyInfo element, the following
763 processing order SHOULD be used:

- 764 1. Resolve any <wsse:Reference> elements (specified within
765 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>).
- 766 2. Resolve any <wsse:KeyIdentifier> elements (specified within
767 <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>).
- 768 3. Resolve any <ds:KeyName> elements.

- 769 4. Resolve any other `<ds:KeyInfo>` elements.
770 The processing stops as soon as one key has been located.

771 8 Signatures

772 Message senders may want to enable message recipients to determine whether a message was
773 altered in transit and to verify that a message was sent by the possessor of a particular [security](#)
774 [token](#).

775 The validation of an [XML signature](#) that uses a SecurityTokenReference to identify the key that
776 may be used to validate the signature, supports the confirmation (by the relying party/recipient) of
777 any other claims made within the referenced token (most notably the identity bound to the key) to
778 the signature author (that is, if the relying party trusts the authority responsible for the claims in
779 the referenced token).

780 Because of the mutability of some [SOAP](#) headers, senders SHOULD NOT use the *Enveloped*
781 *Signature Transform* defined in [XML Signature](#). Instead, messages SHOULD explicitly include
782 the elements to be signed. Similarly, senders SHOULD NOT use the *Enveloping Signature*
783 defined in [XML Signature](#).

784 This specification allows for multiple signatures and signature formats to be attached to a
785 message, each referencing different, even overlapping, parts of the message. This is important
786 for many distributed applications where messages flow through multiple processing stages. For
787 example, a sender may submit an order that contains an orderID header. The sender signs the
788 orderID header and the body of the request (the contents of the order). When this is received by
789 the order processing sub-system, it may insert a shippingID into the header. The order sub-
790 system would then sign, at a minimum, the orderID and the shippingID, and possibly the body as
791 well. Then when this order is processed and shipped by the shipping department, a shippedInfo
792 header might be appended. The shipping department would sign, at a minimum, the shippedInfo
793 and the shippingID and possibly the body and forward the message to the billing department for
794 processing. The billing department can verify the signatures and determine a valid chain of trust
795 for the order, as well as who authorized each step in the process.

796 All compliant implementations MUST be able to support the [XML Signature](#) standard.

797 8.1 Algorithms

798 This specification builds on [XML Signature](#) and therefore has the same algorithm requirements as
799 those specified in the [XML Signature](#) specification.

800 The following table outlines additional algorithms that are strongly RECOMMENDED by this
801 specification:

Algorithm Type	Algorithm	Algorithm URI
Canonicalization	Exclusive XML Canonicalization	http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#
Transformations	XML Decryption Transformation	http://www.w3.org/2001/04/decrypt#

802 The [Exclusive XML Canonicalization](#) algorithm addresses the pitfalls of general canonicalization
803 that can occur from *leaky* namespaces with pre-existing signatures.

804 Finally, if a sender wishes to sign a message before encryption, they should use the [Decryption](#)
805 [Transformation for XML Signature](#).

8.2 Signing Messages

The `<wsse:Security>` header block MAY be used to carry a signature compliant with the [XML Signature](#) specification within a [SOAP Envelope](#) for the purpose of signing one or more elements in the [SOAP Envelope](#). Multiple signature entries MAY be added into a single [SOAP Envelope](#) within the `<wsse:Security>` header block. Senders SHOULD take care to sign all important elements of the message, but care MUST be taken in creating a signing policy that will not sign parts of the message that might legitimately be altered in transit.

[SOAP](#) applications MUST satisfy the following conditions:

1. The application MUST be capable of processing the required elements defined in the [XML Signature](#) specification.
2. To add a signature to a `<wsse:Security>` header block, a `<ds:Signature>` element conforming to the [XML Signature](#) specification SHOULD be prepended to the existing content of the `<wsse:Security>` header block. All the `<ds:Reference>` elements contained in the signature SHOULD refer to a resource within the enclosing [SOAP](#) envelope, or in an attachment.

[xpath](#) filtering can be used to specify objects to be signed, as described in the [XML Signature](#) specification. However, since the [SOAP](#) message exchange model allows intermediate applications to modify the Envelope (add or delete a header block; for example), [XPath](#) filtering does not always result in the same objects after message delivery. Care should be taken in using [XPath](#) filtering so that there is no subsequent validation failure due to such modifications.

The problem of modification by intermediaries is applicable to more than just [XPath](#) processing. Digital signatures, because of canonicalization and [digests](#), present particularly fragile examples of such relationships. If overall message processing is to remain robust, intermediaries must exercise care that their transformations do not occur within the scope of a digitally signed component.

Due to security concerns with namespaces, this specification strongly RECOMMENDS the use of the "[Exclusive XML Canonicalization](#)" algorithm or another canonicalization algorithm that provides equivalent or greater protection.

For processing efficiency it is RECOMMENDED to have the signature added and then the security token pre-pended so that a processor can read and cache the token before it is used.

8.3 Signature Validation

The validation of a `<ds:Signature>` element inside an `<wsse:Security>` header block fails if

1. the syntax of the content of the element does not conform to this specification, or
2. the validation of the [signature](#) contained in the element fails according to the core validation of the [XML Signature](#) specification, or
3. the application applying its own validation policy rejects the message for some reason (e.g., the [signature](#) is created by an untrusted key – verifying the previous two steps only performs cryptographic validation of the [signature](#)).

If the validation of the signature element fails, applications MAY report the failure to the sender using the fault codes defined in [Section 12](#) Error Handling.

8.4 Example

The following sample message illustrates the use of integrity and security tokens. For this example, only the message body is signed.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
```

```

852 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
853         xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
854         xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
855         xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
856   <S:Header>
857     <wsse:Security>
858       <wsse:BinarySecurityToken
859         ValueType="wsse:X509v3"
860         EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"
861         wsu:Id="X509Token">
862         MIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0rqrKh5i...
863       </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
864       <ds:Signature>
865         <ds:SignedInfo>
866           <ds:CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm=
867             "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
868           <ds:SignatureMethod Algorithm=
869             "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1" />
870           <ds:Reference URI="#myBody">
871             <ds:Transforms>
872               <ds:Transform Algorithm=
873                 "http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
874             </ds:Transforms>
875             <ds:DigestMethod Algorithm=
876               "http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
877             <ds:DigestValue>EULddytSol...</ds:DigestValue>
878           </ds:Reference>
879         </ds:SignedInfo>
880         <ds:SignatureValue>
881         BL8jdfToEbl1/vXcMZNNjPOV...
882       </ds:SignatureValue>
883       <ds:KeyInfo>
884         <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
885           <wsse:Reference URI="#X509Token" />
886         </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
887       </ds:KeyInfo>
888     </ds:Signature>
889   </wsse:Security>
890 </S:Header>
891 <S:Body wsu:Id="myBody" >
892   <tru:StockSymbol xmlns:tru="http://www.fabrikaml23.com/payloads">
893     QQQ
894   </tru:StockSymbol>
895 </S:Body>
896 </S:Envelope>

```

897

9 Encryption

898
899
900

This specification allows encryption of any combination of body blocks, header blocks, any of these sub-structures, and attachments by either a common symmetric key shared by the sender and the recipient or a symmetric key carried in the message in an encrypted form.

901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911

In order to allow this flexibility, this specification leverages the [XML Encryption](#) standard. Specifically what this specification describes is how three elements (listed below and defined in [XML Encryption](#)) can be used within the `<wsse:Security>` header block. When a sender or an intermediary encrypts portion(s) of a [SOAP](#) message using [XML Encryption](#) they MUST add prepend a sub-element to the `<wsse:Security>` header block. Furthermore, the encrypting party MUST prepend the sub-element into the `<wsse:Security>` header block for the targeted recipient that is expected to decrypt these encrypted portions. The combined process of encrypting portion(s) of a message and adding one of these a sub- elements referring to the encrypted portion(s) is called an encryption step hereafter. The sub- element should containhav e enough information for the recipient to identify which portions of the message are to be decrypted by the recipient.

912
913

All compliant implementations MUST be able to support the [XML Encryption](#) standard.

914

9.1 xenc:ReferenceList

915
916
917
918
919
920
921

When encrypting elements or element contents within a [SOAP](#) envelope, the `<xenc:ReferenceList>` element from [XML Encryption](#) MAY be used to create a manifest of encrypted portion(s), which are expressed as `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements within the envelope. An element or element content to be encrypted by this encryption step MUST be replaced by a corresponding `<xenc:EncryptedData>` according to [XML Encryption](#). All the `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements created by this encryption step SHOULD be listed in `<xenc:DataReference>` elements inside an `<xenc:ReferenceList>` element.

922
923
924
925
926
927

Although in [XML Encryption](#), `<xenc:ReferenceList>` is originally designed to be used within an `<xenc:EncryptedKey>` element (which implies that all the referenced `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements are encrypted by the same key), this specification allows that `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements referenced by the same `<xenc:ReferenceList>` MAY be encrypted by different keys. Each encryption key can be specified in `<ds:KeyInfo>` within individual `<xenc:EncryptedData>`.

928
929

A typical situation where the `<xenc:ReferenceList>` sub-element is useful is that the sender and the recipient use a shared secret key. The following illustrates the use of this sub-element:

930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944

```
<S:Envelope
  xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
  xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
  xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
  xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
  <S:Header>
    <wsse:Security>
      <xenc:ReferenceList>
        <xenc:DataReference URI="#bodyID"/>
      </xenc:ReferenceList>
    </wsse:Security>
  </S:Header>
  <S:Body>
    <xenc:EncryptedData Id="bodyID">
      <ds:KeyInfo>
```

```

945     <ds:KeyName>CN=Hiroshi Maruyama, C=JP</ds:KeyName>
946     </ds:KeyInfo>
947     <xenc:CipherData>
948       <xenc:CipherValue>...</xenc:CipherValue>
949     </xenc:CipherData>
950   </xenc:EncryptedData>
951 </S:Body>
952 </S:Envelope>

```

9.2 xenc:EncryptedKey

954 When the encryption step involves encrypting elements or element contents within a SOAP
 955 envelope with a symmetric key, which is in turn to be encrypted by the recipient's key and
 956 embedded in the message, <xenc:EncryptedKey> MAY be used for carrying such an
 957 encrypted key. This sub-element SHOULD have a manifest, that is, an
 958 <xenc:ReferenceList> element, in order for the recipient to know the portions to be
 959 decrypted with this key. An element or element content to be encrypted by this encryption step
 960 MUST be replaced by a corresponding <xenc:EncryptedData> according to XML Encryption.
 961 All the <xenc:EncryptedData> elements created by this encryption step SHOULD be listed in
 962 the <xenc:ReferenceList> element inside this sub-element.

963 This construct is useful when encryption is done by a randomly generated symmetric key that is
 964 in turn encrypted by the recipient's public key. The following illustrates the use of this element:

```

965 <S:Envelope
966   xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
967   xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
968   xmlns:wss="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
969   xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
970   <S:Header>
971     <wss:Security>
972       <xenc:EncryptedKey>
973         <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="..."/>
974         <ds:KeyInfo>
975           <wss:SecurityTokenReference>
976             <wss:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wss:Base64Binary"
977               ValueType="wss:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
978           </wss:KeyIdentifier>
979           </wss:SecurityTokenReference>
980         </ds:KeyInfo>
981         <xenc:CipherData>
982           <xenc:CipherValue>...</xenc:CipherValue>
983         </xenc:CipherData>
984         <xenc:ReferenceList>
985           <xenc:DataReference URI="#bodyID"/>
986         </xenc:ReferenceList>
987       </xenc:EncryptedKey>
988     </wss:Security>
989   </S:Header>
990   <S:Body>
991     <xenc:EncryptedData Id="bodyID">
992       <xenc:CipherData>
993         <xenc:CipherValue>...</xenc:CipherValue>
994       </xenc:CipherData>
995     </xenc:EncryptedData>
996   </S:Body>
997 </S:Envelope>

```

Comment: A naked
wss:KeyIdentifier would be illegal.

998 While XML Encryption specifies that <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements MAY be specified in
 999 <xenc:EncryptedData> elements, this specification strongly RECOMMENDS that
 1000 <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements be placed in the <wss:Security> header.

1001 9.3 xenc:EncryptedData

1002 In some cases security-related information is provided in a purely encrypted form or non-XML
1003 attachments MAY be encrypted. The <xenc:EncryptedData> element from [XML Encryption](#)
1004 SHALL be used for these scenarios. For each part of the encrypted attachment, one encryption
1005 step is needed; that is, for each attachment to be encrypted, one <xenc:EncryptedData> sub-
1006 element MUST be added with the following rules (note that steps 2-4 applies only if MIME types
1007 are being used for attachments).

- 1008 1. The contents of the attachment MUST be replaced by the encrypted octet string.
- 1009 2. The replaced MIME part MUST have the media type `application/octet-stream`.
- 1010 3. The original media type of the attachment MUST be declared in the `MimeType` attribute
1011 of the <xenc:EncryptedData> element.
- 1012 4. The encrypted MIME part MUST be referenced by an <xenc:CipherReference>
1013 element with a URI that points to the MIME part with `cid:` as the scheme component of
1014 the URI.

1015 The following illustrates the use of this element to indicate an encrypted attachment:

```
1016 <S:Envelope  
1017   xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
1018   xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"  
1019   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"  
1020   xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">  
1021   <S:Header>  
1022     <wsse:Security>  
1023       <xenc:EncryptedData MimeType="image/png">  
1024         <ds:KeyInfo>  
1025           <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>  
1026             <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm="..."/>  
1027             <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"  
1028               ValueType="wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...  
1029           </wsse:KeyIdentifier>  
1030         </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>  
1031       </ds:KeyInfo>  
1032     <xenc:CipherData>  
1033       <xenc:CipherReference URI="cid:image"/>  
1034     </xenc:CipherData>  
1035   </xenc:EncryptedData>  
1036 </wsse:Security>  
1037 </S:Header>  
1038 <S:Body> </S:Body>  
1039 </S:Envelope>
```

1040 9.4 Processing Rules

1041 Encrypted parts or attachments to the [SOAP](#) message using one of the sub-elements defined
1042 above MUST be in compliance with the [XML Encryption](#) specification. An encrypted [SOAP](#)
1043 envelope MUST still be a valid [SOAP](#) envelope. The message creator MUST NOT encrypt the
1044 <S:Envelope>, <S:Header>, or <S:Body> elements but MAY encrypt child elements of
1045 either the <S:Header> and <S:Body> elements. Multiple steps of encryption MAY be added
1046 into a single <Security> header block if they are targeted for the same recipient.

1047 When an element or element content inside a [SOAP](#) envelope (e.g. of the contents of <S:Body>)
1048 is to be encrypted, it MUST be replaced by an <xenc:EncryptedData>, according to [XML](#)
1049 [Encryption](#) and it SHOULD be referenced from the <xenc:ReferenceList> element created
1050 by this encryption step. This specification allows placing the encrypted octet stream in an
1051 attachment. For example, if an <xenc:EncryptedData> element in an <S:Body> element
1052 has <xenc:CipherReference> that refers to an attachment, then the decrypted octet stream

1053 SHALL replace the `<xenc:EncryptedData>`. However, if the `<xenc:EncryptedData>`
1054 element is located in the `<Security>` header block and it refers to an attachment, then the
1055 decrypted octet stream MUST replace the encrypted octet stream in the attachment.

1056 9.4.1 Encryption

1057 The general steps (non-normative) for creating an encrypted SOAP message in compliance with
1058 this specification are listed below (note that use of `<xenc:ReferenceList>` is
1059 RECOMMENDED).

- 1060 1. Create a new SOAP envelope.
- 1061 2. Create a `<Security>` header
- 1062 3. Create an `<xenc:ReferenceList>` sub-element, an `<xenc:EncryptedKey>` sub-
1063 element, or an `<xenc:EncryptedData>` sub-element in the `<Security>` header
1064 block (note that if the SOAP "role" and "mustUnderstand" attributes are different, then a
1065 new header block may be necessary), depending on the type of encryption.
- 1066 4. Locate data items to be encrypted, i.e., XML elements, element contents within the target
1067 SOAP envelope, and attachments.
- 1068 5. Encrypt the data items as follows: For each XML element or element content within the
1069 target SOAP envelope, encrypt it according to the processing rules of the XML
1070 Encryption specification. Each selected original element or element content MUST be
1071 removed and replaced by the resulting `<xenc:EncryptedData>` element. For an
1072 attachment, the contents MUST be replaced by encrypted cipher data as described in
1073 section 9.3 Signature Validation.
- 1074 6. The optional `<ds:KeyInfo>` element in the `<xenc:EncryptedData>` element MAY
1075 reference another `<ds:KeyInfo>` element. Note that if the encryption is based on an
1076 attached security token, then a `<SecurityTokenReference>` element SHOULD be
1077 added to the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element to facilitate locating it.
- 1078 7. Create an `<xenc:DataReference>` element referencing the generated
1079 `<xenc:EncryptedData>` elements. Add the created `<xenc:DataReference>`
1080 element to the `<xenc:ReferenceList>`.

1081 9.4.2 Decryption

1082 On receiving a SOAP envelope containing encryption header elements, for each encryption
1083 header element the following general steps should be processed (non-normative):

- 1084 1. Locate the `<xenc:EncryptedData>` items to be decrypted (possibly using the
1085 `<xenc:ReferenceList>`).
- 1086 2. Decrypt them as follows: For each element in the target SOAP envelope, decrypt it
1087 according to the processing rules of the XML Encryption specification and the processing
1088 rules listed above.
- 1089 3. If the decrypted data is part of an attachment and MIME types were used, then revise the
1090 MIME type of the attachment to the original MIME type (if one exists).

1091 If the decryption fails for some reason, applications MAY report the failure to the sender using the
1092 fault code defined in Section 12 Error Handling.

1093 9.5 Decryption Transformation

1094 The ordering semantics of the `<wsse:Security>` header are sufficient to determine if
1095 signatures are over encrypted or unencrypted data. However, when a signature is included in
1096 one `<wsse:Security>` header and the encryption data is in another `<wsse:Security>`
1097 header, the proper processing order may not be apparent.

1098 If the sender wishes to sign a message that MAY subsequently be encrypted by an intermediary
1099 then the sender MAY use the Decryption Transform for XML Signature to explicitly specify the
1100 order of decryption.
1101

1102 **10 Message Timestamps**

1103 It is often important for the recipient to be able to determine the *freshness* of a message. In some
1104 cases, a message may be so *stale* that the recipient may decide to ignore it.

1105 This specification does not provide a mechanism for synchronizing time. The assumption is
1106 either that the recipient is using a mechanism to synchronize time (e.g. NTP) or, more likely for
1107 federated applications, that they are making assessments about time based on three factors:
1108 creation time of the message, transmission checkpoints, and transmission delays and their local
1109 time.

1110 To assist a recipient in making an assessment of staleness, a requestor may wish to indicate a
1111 suggested expiration time after which the recipient should ignore the message. The specification
1112 provides XML elements by which the requestor may express the expiration time of a message,
1113 the requestor's clock time at the moment the message was created, checkpoint timestamps
1114 (when an **SOAP** role received the message) along the communication path, and the delays
1115 introduced by transmission and other factors subsequent to creation. The quality of the delays is
1116 a function of how well they reflect the actual delays (e.g., how well they reflect transmission
1117 delays).

1118 It should be noted that this is not a protocol for making assertions or determining when, or how
1119 fast, a service produced or processed a message.

1120 This specification defines and illustrates time references in terms of the *dateTime* type defined in
1121 XML Schema. It is RECOMMENDED that all time references use this type. It is further
1122 RECOMMENDED that all references be in UTC time. If, however, other time types are used,
1123 then the *ValueType* attribute (described below) MUST be specified to indicate the data type of the
1124 time format.

1125 **10.1 Model**

1126 This specification provides several tools for recipients to usprocess the expiration time presented
1127 by the requestor. The first is the **creation time**. Recipients can use this value to assess possible
1128 clock skew . However, to make some assessments, the time required to go from the requestor to
1129 the recipient may also be useful in making this assessment. Two mechanisms are provided for
1130 this. The first is that **intermediaries** may add timestamp elements indicating when they received
1131 the message. This knowledge can be useful to get a holistic view of clocks along the message
1132 path. The second is that intermediaries can specify any delays they imposed on message
1133 delivery. It should be noted that not all **delays** can be accounted for, such as wire time and
1134 parties that don't report. Recipients need to take this into account when evaluating clock skew.

1135 **10.2 Timestamp Elements**

1136 This specification defines the following message timestamp elements. These elements are
1137 defined for use with the `<wsu:Timestamp>` header for SOAP messages, but they can be used
1138 anywhere within the header or body that creation, expiration, and delay times are needed.

1139

1140 **10.2.1 Creation**

1141 The `<wsu:Created>` element specifies a creation timestamp. The exact meaning and
1142 semantics are dependent on the context in which the element is used. The syntax for this
1143 element is as follows:

```
1144 <wsu:Created ValueType="..." wsu:Id="...">...</wsu:Created>
```

1145 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1146 / *wsu:Created*
1147 This element's value is a creation timestamp. Its type is specified by the *ValueType*
1148 attribute.
1149 / *wsu:Created/@ValueType*
1150 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data. This is specified as the XML
1151 Schema type. The default value is `xsd:dateTime`.
1152 / *wsu:Created/@wsu:Id*
1153 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
1154 element.

1155 10.2.2 Expiration

1156 The `<wsu:Expires>` element specifies the expiration time. The exact meaning and processing
1157 rules for expiration depend on the context in which the element is used. The syntax for this
1158 element is as follows:

```
1159 <wsu:Expires ValueType="..." wsu:Id="...">...</wsu:Expires>
```

1160 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1161 /*wsu:Expires*
1162 This element's value represents an expiration time. Its type is specified by the *ValueType*
1163 attribute
1164 / *wsu:Expires/@ValueType*
1165 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data. This is specified as the XML
1166 Schema type. The default value is `xsd:dateTime`.
1167 / *wsu:Expires/@wsu:Id*
1168 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
1169 element.

1170 The expiration is relative to the requestor's clock. In order to evaluate the expiration time,
1171 recipients need to recognize that the requestor's clock may not be synchronized to the recipient's
1172 clock. The recipient, therefore, **MUST** make an assessment of the level of trust to be placed in
1173 the requestor's clock, since the recipient is called upon to evaluate whether the expiration time is
1174 in the past relative to the requestor's, not the recipient's, clock. The recipient may make a
1175 judgment of the requestor's likely current clock time by means not described in this specification,
1176 for example an out-of-band clock synchronization protocol. The recipient may also use the
1177 creation time and the delays introduced by intermediate **SOAP** roles to estimate the degree of
1178 clock skew .

1179 One suggested formula for estimating clock skew is

```
1180 skew = recipient's arrival time - creation time - transmission time
```

1181 Transmission time may be estimated by summing the values of delay elements, if present. It
1182 should be noted that wire-time is only part of this if delays include it in estimates. Otherwise the
1183 transmission time will not reflect the on-wire time. If no delays are present, there are no special
1184 assumptions that need to be made about processing time

1185 10.3 Timestamp Header

1186 A `<wsu:Timestamp>` header provides a mechanism for expressing the creation and expiration
1187 times of a message introduced throughout the message path. Specifically, it uses the previously
1188 defined elements in the context of message creation, receipt, and processing.

1189 All times **SHOULD** be in UTC format as specified by the [XML Schema](#) type (`dateTime`). It should
1190 be noted that times support time precision as defined in the [XML Schema](#) specification.

1191 Multiple `<wsu:Timestamp>` headers can be specified if they are targeted at different SOAP
1192 roles. The ordering within the header is as illustrated below.
1193 The ordering of elements in this header is fixed and MUST be preserved by intermediaries.
1194 To preserve overall integrity of each `<wsu:Timestamp>` header, it is strongly RECOMMENDED
1195 that each SOAP role create or update the appropriate `<wsu:Timestamp>` header destined to
1196 itself.

1197 The schema outline for the `<wsu:Timestamp>` header is as follows:

```
1198 <wsu:Timestamp wsu:Id="...">  
1199   <wsu:Created>...</wsu:Created>  
1200   <wsu:Expires>...</wsu:Expires>  
1201   ...  
1202 </wsu:Timestamp>
```

1203 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1204 / *wsu:Timestamp*

1205 This is the header for indicating message timestamps.

1206 / *wsu:Timestamp/Created*

1207 This represents the [creation time](#) of the message. This element is optional, but can only
1208 be specified once in a `Timestamp` header. Within the SOAP processing model, creation
1209 is the instant that the infoset is serialized for transmission. The creation time of the
1210 message SHOULD NOT differ substantially from its transmission time. The difference in
1211 time should be minimized.

1212 / *wsu:Timestamp/Expires*

1213 This represents the [expiration](#) of the message. This is optional, but can appear at most
1214 once in a `Timestamp` header. Upon expiration, the requestor asserts that the message
1215 is no longer valid. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that recipients (anyone who processes
1216 this message) discard (ignore) any message that has passed its expiration. A Fault code
1217 (`wsu:MessageExpired`) is provided if the recipient wants to inform the requestor that its
1218 message was expired. A service MAY issue a Fault indicating the message has expired.

1219 / *wsu:Timestamp/{any}*

1220 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional elements to be added to the
1221 header.

1222 / *wsu:Timestamp/@wsu:Id*

1223 This optional attribute specifies an XML Schema ID that can be used to reference this
1224 element.

1225 / *wsu:Timestamp/@{any}*

1226 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes to be added to the
1227 header.

1228 The following example illustrates the use of the `<wsu:Timestamp>` element and its content.

```
1229 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
1230   xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">  
1231   <S:Header>  
1232     <wsu:Timestamp>  
1233       <wsu:Created>2001-09-13T08:42:00Z</wsu:Created>  
1234       <wsu:Expires>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu:Expires>  
1235     </wsu:Timestamp>  
1236     ...  
1237   </S:Header>  
1238   <S:Body>  
1239     ...  
1240   </S:Body>  
1241 </S:Envelope>
```

1242 10.4 TimestampTrace Header

1243 A `<wsu:TimestampTrace>` header provides a mechanism for expressing the delays introduced
1244 throughout the message path. Specifically, it uses the previously defined elements in the context
1245 of message creation, receipt, and processing.

1246 All times SHOULD be in UTC format as specified by the [XML Schema](#) type (`dateTime`). It should
1247 be noted that times support time precision as defined in the [XML Schema](#) specification.

1248 Multiple `<wsu:TimestampTrace>` headers can be specified if they reference a different [SOAP](#)
1249 role.

1250 The `<wsu:Received>` element specifies a receipt timestamp with an optional processing delay.

1251 The exact meaning and semantics are dependent on the context in which the element is used.

1252 It is also strongly RECOMMENDED that each [SOAP](#) role sign its elements by referencing their
1253 ID, NOT by signing the `TimestampTrace` header as the header is mutable.

1254 The syntax for this element is as follows:

```
1255 <wsu:TimestampTrace>  
1256   <wsu:Received Role="..." Delay="..." ValueType="..."  
1257     wsu:Id="..." >...</wsu:Received>  
1258 </wsu:TimestampTrace>
```

1259 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the schema above:

1260 / `wsu:Received`

1261 This element's value is a receipt timestamp. The time specified SHOULD be a UTC
1262 format as specified by the `ValueType` attribute (default is [XML Schema](#) type `dateTime`).

1263 / `wsu:Received/@Role`

1264 A required attribute, `Role`, indicates which [SOAP](#) role is indicating receipt. Roles MUST
1265 include this attribute, with a value matching the role value as specified as a [SOAP](#)
1266 intermediary.

1267 / `wsu:Received/@Delay`

1268 The value of this optional attribute is the delay associated with the [SOAP](#) role expressed
1269 in milliseconds. The delay represents processing time by the `Role` after it received the
1270 message, but before it forwarded to the next recipient.

1271 / `wsu:Received/@ValueType`

1272 This optional attribute specifies the type of the time data (the element value). This is
1273 specified as the [XML Schema](#) type. If this attribute isn't specified, the default value is
1274 `xsd:dateTime`.

1275 / `wsu:Received/@wsu:Id`

1276 This optional attribute specifies an [XML Schema](#) ID that can be used to reference this
1277 element.

1278 The delay attribute indicates the time delay attributable to an [SOAP](#) role (intermediate
1279 processor). In some cases this isn't known; for others it can be computed as *role's send time* –
1280 *role's receipt time*.

1281 Each delay amount is indicated in units of milliseconds, without fractions. If a delay amount
1282 would exceed the maximum value expressible in the datatype, the value should be set to the
1283 maximum value of the datatype.

1284 The following example illustrates the use of the `<wsu:Timestamp>` header and a
1285 `<wsu:TimestampTrace>` header indicating a processing delay of one minute subsequent to the
1286 receipt which was two minutes after creation.

```
1287 <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"  
1288   xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility">  
1289   <S:Header>
```

```
1290 <wsu:Timestamp>
1291   <wsu:Created>2001-09-13T08:42:00Z</wsu:Created>
1292   <wsu:Expires>2001-10-13T09:00:00Z</wsu:Expires>
1293 </wsu:Timestamp>
1294 <wsu:TimespampTrace>
1295   <wsu:Received Role="http://x.com/" Delay="60000">
1296     2001-09-13T08:44:00Z</wsu:Received>
1297 </wsu:TimespampTrace>
1298   ...
1299 </S:Header>
1300 <S:Body>
1301   ...
1302 </S:Body>
1303 </S:Envelope>
1304
```

1305

11 Extended Example

1306

The following sample message illustrates the use of security tokens, signatures, and encryption.

1307

For this example, the timestamp and the message body are signed prior to encryption. The

1308

decryption transformation is not needed as the signing/encryption order is specified within the

1309

<wsse:Security> header.

1310

```
(001) <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
(002) <S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2001/12/soap-envelope"
(003)   xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
(004)   xmlns:wsse="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/secext"
(005)   xmlns:wsu="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2002/xx/utility"
(006)   xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#">
(007)   <S:Header>
(008)     <wsu:Timestamp>
(009)       <wsu:Created wsu:Id="T0">
(010)         2001-09-13T08:42:00Z
(011)       </wsu:Created>
(012)     </wsu:Timestamp>
(013)     <wsse:Security>
(014)       <wsse:BinarySecurityToken
(015)         ValueType="wsse:X509v3"
(016)         wsu:Id="X509Token"
(017)         EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary">
(018)         MIIIEZzCCA9CgAwIBAgIQEmtJZc0rqrKh5i...
(019)       </wsse:BinarySecurityToken>
(020)       <xenc:EncryptedKey>
(021)         <xenc:EncryptionMethod Algorithm=
(022)           "http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
(023)         <wsse:KeyIdentifier EncodingType="wsse:Base64Binary"
(024)           ValueType="wsse:X509v3">MIGfMa0GCSq...
(025)         </wsse:KeyIdentifier>
(026)         <xenc:CipherData>
(027)           <xenc:CipherValue>d2FpbmdvbGRfE0lm4byV0...
(028)         </xenc:CipherValue>
(029)         </xenc:CipherData>
(030)         <xenc:ReferenceList>
(031)           <xenc:DataReference URI="#enc1"/>
(032)         </xenc:ReferenceList>
(033)       </xenc:EncryptedKey>
(034)       <ds:Signature>
(035)         <ds:SignedInfo>
(036)           <ds:CanonicalizationMethod
(037)             Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
(038)           <ds:SignatureMethod
(039)             Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
(040)           <ds:Reference URI="#T0">
(041)             <ds:Transforms>
(042)               <ds:Transform
(043)                 Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
(044)             </ds:Transforms>
(045)           <ds:DigestMethod
(046)             Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
(047)           <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF094hPi4wPU...
(048)         </ds:DigestValue>
(049)       </ds:Reference>
(050)       <ds:Reference URI="#body">
(051)         <ds:Transforms>
(052)           <ds:Transform
```

```

1362           Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#" />
1363 (041)         </ds:Transforms>
1364 (042)         <ds:DigestMethod
1365           Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1" />
1366 (043)         <ds:DigestValue>LyLsF094hPi4wPU...
1367 (044)         </ds:DigestValue>
1368 (045)         </ds:Reference>
1369 (046)       </ds:SignedInfo>
1370 (047)     <ds:SignatureValue>
1371 (048)       Hp1ZkmFZ/2kQLXDJbchm5gK...
1372 (049)     </ds:SignatureValue>
1373 (050)     <ds:KeyInfo>
1374 (051)       <wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1375 (052)         <wsse:Reference URI="#X509Token" />
1376 (053)       </wsse:SecurityTokenReference>
1377 (054)     </ds:KeyInfo>
1378 (055)   </ds:Signature>
1379 (056) </wss:Security>
1380 (057) </S:Header>
1381 (058) <S:Body wsu:Id="body">
1382 (059)   <xenc:EncryptedData
1383     Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element"
1384     wsu:Id="enc1">
1385 (060)     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
1386     Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#3des-cbc" />
1387 (061)     <xenc:CipherData>
1388 (062)       <xenc:CipherValue>d2FpbmdvbGRfE0lm4byV0...
1389 (063)     </xenc:CipherValue>
1390 (064)     </xenc:CipherData>
1391 (065)   </xenc:EncryptedData>
1392 (066) </S:Body>
1393 (067) </S:Envelope>

```

1394 Let's review some of the key sections of this example:

1395 Lines (003)-(057) contain the SOAP message headers.

1396 Lines (004)-(008) specify the timestamp information. In this case it indicates the creation time of
1397 the message.

1398 Lines (009)-(056) represent the `<wss:Security>` header block. This contains the security-
1399 related information for the message.

1400 Lines (010)-(012) specify a [security token](#) that is associated with the message. In this case, it
1401 specifies an [X.509](#) certificate that is encoded as Base64. Line (011) specifies the actual Base64
1402 encoding of the certificate.

1403 Lines (013)-(025) specify the key that is used to encrypt the body of the message. Since this is a
1404 symmetric key, it is passed in an encrypted form. Line (014) defines the algorithm used to
1405 encrypt the key. Lines (015)-(017) specify the name of the key that was used to encrypt the
1406 symmetric key. Lines (018)-(021) specify the actual encrypted form of the symmetric key. Lines
1407 (022)-(024) identify the encryption block in the message that uses this symmetric key. In this
1408 case it is only used to encrypt the body (Id="enc1").

1409 Lines (026)-(055) specify the digital signature. In this example, the signature is based on the
1410 [X.509](#) certificate. Lines (027)-(046) indicate what is being signed. Specifically, Line (039)
1411 references the creation timestamp and line (038) references the message body.

1412 Lines (047)-(049) indicate the actual signature value – specified in Line (042).

1413 Lines (051)-(053) indicate the key that was used for the signature. In this case, it is the [X.509](#)
1414 certificate included in the message. Line (052) provides a URI link to the Lines (010)-(012).

1415 The body of the message is represented by Lines (056)-(066).

1416 Lines (059)-(065) represent the encrypted metadata and form of the body using [XML Encryption](#).
1417 Line (059) indicates that the "element value" is being replaced and identifies this encryption. Line

1418 (060) specifies the encryption algorithm – Triple-DES in this case. Lines (062)-(063) contain the
1419 actual cipher text (i.e., the result of the encryption). Note that we don't include a reference to the
1420 key as the key references this encryption – Line (023).

1421 12Error Handling

1422 There are many circumstances where an *error* can occur while processing security information.

1423 For example:

- 1424 • Invalid or unsupported type of security token, signing, or encryption
- 1425 • Invalid or unauthenticated or unauthenticatable security token
- 1426 • Invalid signature
- 1427 • Decryption failure
- 1428 • Referenced security token is unavailable
- 1429 • Unsupported namespace

1430 These can be grouped into two *classes* of errors: unsupported and failure. For the case of
1431 unsupported errors, the recipient *MAY* provide a response that informs the sender of supported
1432 formats, etc. For failure errors, the recipient *MAY* choose not to respond, as this may be a form
1433 of Denial of Service (DOS) or cryptographic attack. We combine signature and encryption
1434 failures to mitigate certain types of attacks.

1435 If a failure is returned to a sender then the failure *MUST* be reported using [SOAPs](#) Fault
1436 mechanism. The following tables outline the predefined security fault codes. The "unsupported"
1437 class of errors are:

Error that occurred	faultcode
An unsupported token was provided	wsse:UnsupportedSecurityToken
An unsupported signature or encryption algorithm was used	wsse:UnsupportedAlgorithm

1438 The "failure" class of errors are:

Error that occurred	faultcode
An error was discovered processing the <wsse:Security> header.	wsse:InvalidSecurity
An invalid security token was provided	wsse:InvalidSecurityToken
The security token could not be authenticated or authorized	wsse:FailedAuthentication
The signature or decryption was invalid	wsse:FailedCheck
Referenced security token could not be retrieved	wsse:SecurityTokenUnavailable

1439

13 Security Considerations

1440

It is strongly RECOMMENDED that messages include digitally signed elements to allow message recipients to detect replays of the message when the messages are exchanged via an open network. These can be part of the message or of the headers defined from other SOAP extensions. Four typical approaches are:

1441

recipient s to detect replays of the message when the messages are exchanged via an open

1442

network. These can be part of the message or of the headers defined from other SOAP

1443

extensions. Four typical approaches are:

1444

- Timestamp

1445

- Sequence Number

1446

- Expirations

1447

- Message Correlation

1448

This specification defines the use of XML Signature and XML Encryption in SOAP headers. As

1449

one of the building blocks for securing SOAP messages, it is intended to be used in conjunction

1450

with other security techniques. Digital signatures need to be understood in the context of other

1451

security mechanisms and possible threats to an entity.

1452

Digital signatures alone do not provide message authentication. One can record a signed

1453

message and resend it (a replay attack). To prevent this type of attack, digital signatures must be

1454

combined with an appropriate means to ensure the uniqueness of the message, such as

1455

timestamps or sequence numbers (see earlier section for additional details).

1456

When digital signatures are used for verifying the identity of the sending party, the sender must

1457

prove the possession of the private key. One way to achieve this is to use a challenge-response

1458

type of protocol. Such a protocol is outside the scope of this document.

1459

To this end, the developers can attach timestamps, expirations, and sequences to messages.

1460

Implementers should also be aware of all the security implications resulting from the use of digital

1461

signatures in general and XML Signature in particular. When building trust into an application

1462

based on a digital signature there are other technologies, such as certificate evaluation, that must

1463

be incorporated, but these are outside the scope of this document.

1464

Requestors should use digital signatures to sign security tokens that do not include signatures (or

1465

other protection mechanisms) to ensure that they have not been altered in transit.

1466

Also, as described in XML Encryption, we note that the combination of signing and encryption

1467

over a common data item may introduce some cryptographic vulnerability. For example,

1468

encrypting digitally signed data, while leaving the digital signature in the clear, may allow plain

1469

text guessing attacks. The proper useage of nonce guards against replay attacks.

1470

In order to trust IDs and timestamps, they SHOULD be signed using the mechanisms outlined in

1471

this specification. This allows readers of the IDs and timestamps information to be certain that

1472

the IDs and timestamps haven't been forged or altered in any way. It is strongly

1473

RECOMMENDED that IDs and timestamp elements be signed.

1474

Timestamps can also be used to mitigate replay attacks. Signed timestamps MAY be used to

1475

keep track of messages (possibly by caching the most recent timestamp from a specific service)

1476

and detect replays of previous messages. It is RECOMMENDED that timestamps and nonces be

1477

cached for a given period of time, as a guideline a value of five minutes can be used as a

1478

minimum to detect replays, and that timestamps older than that given period of time set be

1479

rejected. in interactive scenarios.

1480

When a password in a <UsernameToken> is used for authentication, the password needs to be

1481

properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough protection against

1482

eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in Section 6.1.1. Even so, the

1483

password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing attacks will not reveal the

1484

secret from a captured message.

1485 In one-way message authentication, it is RECOMMENDED that the sender and the recipient re-
1486 use the elements and structure defined in this specification for proving and validating freshness of
1487 a message. It is RECOMMEND that the nonce value be unique per message (never been used
1488 as a nonce before by the sender and recipient) and use the <wsse:Nonce> element within the
1489 <wsse:Security> header. Further, the <wsu:Timestamp> header SHOULD be used with a
1490 <wsu:Created> element. It is strongly RECOMMENDED that the <wsu:Created> ,
1491 <wsse:Nonce> elements be included in the signature..

1492 **14 Privacy Considerations**

1493 TBD

1494 **15 Acknowledgements**

1495 This specification was developed as a result of joint work of many individuals from the WSS TC
1496 including: TBD

1497 The input specifications for this document were developed as a result of joint work with many
1498 individuals and teams, including: Keith Ballinger, Microsoft, Bob Blakley, IBM, Allen Brown,
1499 Microsoft, Joel Farrell, IBM, Mark Hayes, VeriSign, Kelvin Lawrence, IBM, Scott Konersmann,
1500 Microsoft, David Melgar, IBM, Dan Simon, Microsoft, Wayne Vicknair, IBM.

1501 16References

- 1502 [DIGSIG] Informational RFC 2828, "[Internet Security Glossary](#)," May 2000.
- 1503 [Kerberos] J. Kohl and C. Neuman, "The Kerberos Network Authentication Service
1504 (V5)," RFC 1510, September 1993, <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1510.txt>.
- 1505 [KEYWORDS] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels,"
1506 RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997
- 1507 [SHA -1] FIPS PUB 180-1. Secure Hash Standard. U.S. Department of
1508 Commerce / National Institute of Standards and Technology.
1509 <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-1/fip180-1.txt>
- 1510 [SOAP11] W3C Note, "[SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1](#)," 08 May 2000.
- 1511 [SOAP12] **W3C Working Draft**, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging
1512 Framework", 26 June 2002
- 1513 [SOAP-SEC] W3C Note, "[SOAP Security Extensions: Digital Signature](#)," 06 February
1514 2001.
- 1515 [URI] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers
1516 (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 2396, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox
1517 Corporation, August 1998.
- 1518 [WS-Security] "[Web Services Security Language](#)", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, April 2002.
1519 "[WS-Security Addendum](#)", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, August 2002.
1520 "[WS-Security XML Tokens](#)", IBM, Microsoft, VeriSign, August 2002.
- 1521 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "[Canonical XML Version 1.0](#)," 15 March 2001
- 1522 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "[XML Encryption Syntax and Processing](#)," 04 March
1523 2002.
- 1524 [XML-ns] W3C Recommendation, "[Namespaces in XML](#)," 14 January 1999.
- 1525 [XML-Schema] W3C Recommendation, "[XML Schema Part 1: Structures](#)," 2 May 2001.
1526 W3C Recommendation, "[XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes](#)," 2 May 2001.
- 1527 [XML Signature] W3C Recommendation, "[XML Signature Syntax and Processing](#)," 12
1528 February 2002.
- 1529 [X509] S. Santesson, et al, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Qualified
1530 Certificates Profile,"
1531 <http://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?type=items&lang=e&parent=T-REC-X.509-200003-I>
1532
- 1533 [XPath] W3C Recommendation, "[XML Path Language](#)", 16 November 1999
- 1534 [WSS-SAML] OASIS Working Draft 02, "Web Services Security SAML Token Binding,
1535 23 September 2002
- 1536 [WSS-XrML] OASIS Working Draft 01, "Web Services Security XrML Token Binding,
1537 20 September 2002
- 1538 [WSS-X509] OASIS Working Draft 01, "Web Services Security X509 Binding, 18
1539 September 2002
- 1540 [WSS-Kerberos] OASIS Working Draft 01, "Web Services Security Kerberos Binding, 18
1541 September 2002

1542 **[XPointer]** "XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0, Candidate Recommendation",
1543 DeRose, Maler, Daniel, 11 September 2001.
1544
1545

1546

Appendix A: Revision History

Rev	Date	What
01	20-Sep-02	Initial draft based on input documents and editorial review
02	24-Oct-02	Update with initial comments (technical and grammatical)
03	03-Nov-02	Feedback updates
04	17-Nov-02	Feedback updates

1547

1548

Appendix B: Notices

1549 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights
1550 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this
1551 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available;
1552 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on
1553 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS
1554 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
1555 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission
1556 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be
1557 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

1558 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent
1559 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to
1560 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

1561 Copyright © OASIS Open 2002. *All Rights Reserved.*

1562 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works
1563 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
1564 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the
1565 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
1566 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the
1567 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
1568 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual
1569 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other
1570 than English.

1571 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its
1572 successors or assigns.

1573 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
1574 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
1575 ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
1576 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
1577 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

1578