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1 Introduction

This specification defines a domain-specific policy assertion for reliable messaging for use with WS-Policy and WS-ReliableMessaging.

1.1 Goals and Requirements

1.1.1 Requirements

1.2 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [KEYWORDS].

This specification uses the following syntax to define normative outlines for messages:

- The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in italics indicate data types instead of values.
- Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate cardinality:
  - "?" (0 or 1)
  - "*" (0 or more)
  - "+" (1 or more)
- The character "|" is used to indicate a choice between alternatives.
- The characters "[" and "]" are used to indicate that contained items are to be treated as a group with respect to cardinality or choice.
- An ellipsis (i.e. "...") indicates a point of extensibility that allows other child, or attribute, content. Additional children and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If an extension is not recognized it SHOULD be ignored.
- XML namespace prefixes (See Section 1.3) are used to indicate the namespace of the element being defined.

1.3 Namespace

The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrm/200602

Dereferencing the above URI will produce the Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0] document that describes this namespace.

Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Namespace</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wsdl</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/</a></td>
<td>[WSDL 1.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsrmp</td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200602">http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200602</a></td>
<td>This specification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.4 Compliance

An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace identifier for this specification (listed in Section 1.3) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this specification.

Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take precedence over the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] descriptions.
## RM Policy Assertions

WS-Policy Framework and WS-Policy Attachment [WS-PolicyAttachment] collectively define a framework, model and grammar for expressing the requirements, and general characteristics of entities in an XML Web services-based system. To enable an RM Destination and an RM Source to describe their requirements for a given Sequence, this specification defines a single RM policy assertion that leverages the WS-Policy framework.

### 2.1 Assertion Model

The RM policy assertion indicates that the RM Source and RM Destination MUST use WS-ReliableMessaging to ensure reliable delivery of messages. Specifically, the WS-ReliableMessaging protocol determines invariants maintained by the reliable messaging endpoints and the directives used to track and manage the delivery of a Sequence of messages.

### 2.2 Normative Outline

The normative outline for the RM assertion is:

```xml
<wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... >
  ...
</wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

The following describes additional, normative constraints on the outline listed above:

- `/wsrmp:RMAssertion` - A policy assertion that specifies that WS-ReliableMessaging protocol MUST be used when sending messages.
- `/wsrmp:RMAssertion/@wsp:Optional="true"` - Per WS-Policy, this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case, that WS-ReliableMessaging MAY be used.
- `/wsrmp:RMAssertion/{any}` - This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.
- `/wsrmp:RMAssertion/@{any}` - This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

### 2.3 Assertion Attachment

The RM policy assertion is allowed to have the following Policy Subjects [WS-PolicyAttachment]:

- Endpoint Policy Subject
- Message Policy Subject

WS-PolicyAttachment defines a set of WSDL/1.1 policy attachment points for each of the above Policy Subjects. Since an RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior, it MUST NOT be attached to the abstract WSDL policy attachment points.
The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an RM policy assertion but which MUST NOT have RM policy assertions attached:

- `wsdl:message`
- `wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input`
- `wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output`
- `wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault`
- `wsdl:portType`

The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an RM policy assertion and which MAY have RM policy assertions attached:

- `wsdl:port`
- `wsdl:binding`
- `wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input`
- `wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output`
- `wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault`

If an RM policy assertion is attached to any of:

- `wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input`
- `wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output`
- `wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault`

then an RM policy assertion, specifying `wsp:Optional=true` MUST be attached to the corresponding `wsdl:binding` or `wsdl:port`, indicating that the endpoint supports WS-RM. Any messages, regardless of whether they have an attached Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion, MAY be sent to that endpoint using WS-RM. Additionally, the receiving endpoint MUST NOT reject any message belonging to a Sequence, simply because there was no Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion attached to that message. There might be certain RM implementations that are incapable of applying RM QoS semantics on a per-message basis. In order to ensure the broadest interoperability, when an endpoint decorates its WSDL with RM policy assertions using Message Policy Subject, it MUST also be prepared to accept that all messages sent to that endpoint might be sent within the context of an RM Sequence, regardless of whether the corresponding `wsdl:input`, `wsdl:output` or `wsdl:fault` had an attached RM policy assertion.

Rather than turn away messages that were unnecessarily sent with RM semantics, the receiving endpoint described by the WSDL MUST accept these messages.

By attaching an RM policy assertion that specifies `wsp:Optional="true"` to the corresponding endpoint that has attached RM policy assertions at the Message Policy Subject level, the endpoint is describing the above constraint in policy.

In the case where an optional RM Assertion applies to an output message, there is no requirement on the client to support an RM Destination implementation.

### 2.4 Assertion Example

Table 2 lists an example use of the RM policy assertion.
Table 2: Example policy with RM policy assertion

```xml
<wsp:UsingPolicy wsdl:required="true" />
<wsp:Policy wsu:Id="MyPolicy">
  <wsrmp:RMAssertion/>
  <!-- omitted assertions -->
</wsp:Policy>
<wsp:PolicyReference URI="#MyPolicy"/>
<wsd:binding name="MyBinding" type="tns:MyPortType" />
</wsdl:definitions>
```

Line (09) in Table 2 indicates that WS-Policy is in use as a required extension.

Lines (11-14) are a policy expression that includes a RM policy assertion (Line 12) to indicate that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used.

Lines (18-21) are a WSDL binding. Line (19) indicates that the policy in Lines (11-14) applies to this binding, specifically indicating that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used over all the messages in the binding.

### 2.5 Sequence Security Policy

WS-SecurityPolicy [WS-SecurityPolicy] provides a framework and grammar for expressing the security requirements and characteristics of entities in a XML web services based system. The following assertions MAY be used in conjunction with WS-SecurityPolicy to express additional security requirements particular to RM Sequences.

#### 2.5.1 Sequence STR Assertion

This assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an explicit token that is referenced from a `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` in the `CreateSequence` message. This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. This assertion MUST NOT be used for an endpoint that does not also use the RM assertion.

The normative outline for the Sequence STR Assertion is:

```xml
<wsrmp:SequenceSTR [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... />
```

A policy assertion that specifies security requirements which MUST be used with an RM Sequence that are particular to WS-RM and beyond what can be expressed in WS-SecurityPolicy.
Per WS-Policy [WS-Policy], this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case, that the RM Sequence binding to a specific token MAY be used.

2.5.2 Sequence Transport Security Assertion

This assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to the session(s) of the underlying transport-level security protocol (e.g. SSL/TLS) used to carry the CreateSequence and CreateSequenceResponse messages.

This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. This assertion is effectively meaningless unless it occurs in conjunction with the RMAssertion and a sp:TransportBinding assertion that requires the use of some transport-level security mechanism (e.g. sp:HttpsToken).

The normative outline for the Sequence Transport Security Assertion is:

```
<wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... />
```

A policy assertion that specifies that any Sequences targeted to the indicated endpoint MUST be bound to the underlying session(s) of the transport-level security used to carry messages related to the Sequence.

Per WS-Policy [WS-Policy], this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the assertion. The meaning is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case, that the binding of RM Sequences to transport-level security sessions MAY be used.
3 Security Considerations

It is strongly RECOMMENDED that policies and assertions be signed to prevent tampering.

It is RECOMMENDED that policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an associated security token to specify the signer has proper claims for the given policy. That is, a relying party shouldn't rely on a policy unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient claims to pass the relying parties acceptance criteria.

It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be secured as part of a SOAP message using WS-Security [WSS] or embedded within other objects using object-specific security mechanisms.
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B. XML Schema

A normative copy of the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] description for this specification may be retrieved from the following address:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200602/wsrmp-1.1-schema-200602.xsd

The following copy is provided for reference.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!--
OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director. OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.
Copyright (c) OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.
This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English. The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.
This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
-->
<xs:schema xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200602"
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200602"
elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="RMAssertion">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```
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