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1 Introduction

This specification defines a browser-based protocol by which a centralized discovery service can provide a requesting service provider with the unique identifier of an identity provider that can authenticate a principal. Thus, the protocol provides an alternative means of addressing section 4.1.3.2 of [SAML2Prof]. The profile for discovery defined in section 4.3 of [SAML2Prof] is similar, but has different deployment properties, such as the requirement for a shared domain.

Instead, this profile relies on a normative redirect-based wire protocol that allows for independent implementation and deployment of the service provider and discovery service components, a model that has proven interesting in some large-scale deployments in which managing common domain membership may be impractical.

Note that most Web SSO protocols and profiles, including the multiple versions of SAML, share similar properties and requirements for identity provider discovery (although terminology often differs). This protocol, while well-suited to SAML V2.0 SSO requirements, is not specific to them.

1.1 Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>XML Namespace</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>md:</td>
<td>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata</td>
<td>This is the SAML V2.0 metadata namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 metadata specification [SAML2Meta].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idpdisc:</td>
<td>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:profiles:SSO:idp-discovery-protocol</td>
<td>This is the SAML V2.0 metadata extension namespace defined by this document and its accompanying schema [IDPDisco-XSD].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xsd:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
<td>This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <SAMLElement>, <ns:ForeignElement>, Attribute, Datatype, OtherKeyword.
1.2 Normative References


2  Identity Provider Discovery Service Protocol

2.1  Required Information


Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

Description: Given below.

Updates: Provides an alternative to the cookie-based discovery profile in section 4.3 of [SAML2Prof].

2.2  Protocol Description

This protocol can be used during web-based SSO when a service provider needs to establish which identity provider is associated with a principal. It is assumed that the user wields a standard HTTP user agent.

The discovery protocol encompasses three steps, covering two normative message exchanges:

2.2.1  HTTP request to discovery service

In the first step, a requesting service provider redirects the user agent to the discovery service with an HTTP GET request.

The following parameters MUST be present on the query string (and URL-encoded):

entityID
   The unique identifier of the service provider the end user is (or will be) interacting with, following successful authentication by an identity provider.

return
   A URL, which MAY itself include a query string. The discovery service MUST redirect the user agent to this location in response to this request (see section 2.2.3).

returnIDParam
   A parameter name used to return the unique identifier of the selected identity provider to the original requester.

The following parameters MAY be present:

isPassive
   A boolean value of "true" or "false" that controls whether the discovery service is allowed to visibly interact with the user agent in the second step below. If a value is not provided, the default is "false".

2.2.2  Discovery service determines appropriate identity provider

In this step, the discovery service and user agent interact via unspecified means in order to establish the user's choice of identity provider. This may involve user selection, hints obtained through various means, and filtering based on the service provider (identified by the entityID parameter in step 1 above), preferred SSO protocols or profiles, etc.
If the isPassive parameter is set to "true", the discovery service MUST NOT visibly take control of the user interface from the requesting service provider and interact with the user agent in a noticeable fashion. Additional redirection is permitted, however, provided the passive guarantee can be met.

The discovery service MAY rely on saved state, such as a browser cookie, to determine the appropriate identity provider. If a cookie is used, it SHOULD conform to the name and format specified by the Identity Provider Discovery Profile in section 4.3 of [SAML2Prof].

2.2.3 HTTP request to service provider

In the final step, the discovery service redirects the user agent back to the requesting service provider with an HTTP GET request, at the location supplied in the return parameter in the original request in step 1.

If an identity provider has been determined, then its unique identifier MUST be included as the value of the parameter whose name was specified as the value of the returnIDParam parameter in the original request.

The discovery service MUST take care to preserve any query string that may already be present within the return URL.

2.3 Use of Metadata

All redirection-based SSO protocols share a common property in that the service provider is permitted to (and in most cases must) redirect the user agent to the identity provider. This creates opportunities for phishing-style attacks against the user's authentication credentials when weak (but extremely common) forms of authentication such as passwords are used.

This protocol has the potential for creating additional opportunities for phishing if arbitrary web sites are permitted to utilize the protocol and obtain the user's identity provider, the key piece of knowledge required to fake the expected authentication experience. To mitigate this threat, metadata can be used to limit the sites authorized to use a discovery service, without introducing heavier-weight security approaches such as message authentication.

A discovery service SHOULD require that the service providers making use of it supply metadata (out of band or using techniques such as those described in the SAML V2.0 Metadata specification [SAML2Meta]. An extension element, <idpdisc:DiscoveryResponse>, of type md:EndpointType, is used to define the acceptable locations to which the discovery service should respond with the user's identity provider.

Upon receiving a request, the discovery service SHOULD ensure that it recognizes the requesting service provider, as identified by the entityId parameter in the request. The location supplied in the return parameter SHOULD then be compared to the Location attribute of any <idpdisc:DiscoveryResponse> elements found in the <md:Extensions> element of the service provider's <md:SPSSODescriptor> element. (Note that the ResponseLocation endpoint attribute is unused in this profile.)

In the case that the return parameter includes a query string, the discovery service MAY ignore it for the purposes of this comparison.

The schema for the <idpdisc:DiscoveryResponse> element is as follows:

```xml
<schema
    targetNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:profiles:SSO:idp-discovery-protocol"
```
<schema xmlns:md="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata"
   xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
   elementFormDefault="unqualified"
   attributeFormDefault="unqualified"
   blockDefault="substitution"
   version="1.0">
  <annotation>
    <documentation>
      Document identifier: sstc-saml-idp-discovery
      Revision history:
      V1.0 (January 2007):
      Initial version.
    </documentation>
  </annotation>
  <import namespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata"
    schemaLocation="saml-schema-metadata-2.0.xsd"/>
  <element name="DiscoveryResponse" type="md:EndpointType"/>
</schema>
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