
~~Approved SAML V2.0 Errata to the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0~~

~~Approved Errata Working Draft 021, 1124- January February 2007~~

Document identifier:

sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0-wd-021

Location:

http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/documents.php?wg_abbrev=security

Updates:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf>

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf>

Editor:

Eve Maler, Sun Microsystems, Inc. <eve.maler@sun.com>

Abstract:

This document lists approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard.

Status:

This document is a [Working Draft](#) intended to be a candidate for Committee Draft status on the way to [final](#) Approved Errata status. See the [OASIS TC Process](#) for more information about errata to OASIS Standards.

Committee members should send comments and potential errata to security-services@lists.oasis-open.org. Others should submit them by following the instructions at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/comments/index.php?wg_abbrev=security.

Table of Contents

29	1 Introduction.....	4
30	2 Approved Errata.....	5
31	E0: Incorrect Section Reference.....	5
32	E1: Relay State for HTTP Redirect.....	5
33	E2: Metadata Clarifications for HTTP Artifact Binding.....	5
34	E4: No Role for SAML V1.1 Artifacts in SAML V2.0.....	5
35	E6: Clarify Constraints on Encrypted NameID.....	6
36	E7: Metadata Attributes WantAuthnRequestsSigned and AuthnRequestsSignedfor Agreeing to Sign Authentication Requests.....	7
37	E8: SLO and NameID Termination	7
38	E10: Logout Request rReason Mismatch with Schema	9
39	E11: Improperly Labeled Feature	9
40	E12: Clarification on ManageNameIDRequest.....	9
41	E13: Inaccurate Description of Authorization Decision	10
42	E14: AllowCreate.....	10
43	E15: NameID Policy Adherence.....	12
44	E17: Authentication Response IssuerName vs. Assertion IssuerName.....	12
45	E18: Reference to Identity Provider Discovery Service in ECP Profile.....	13
46	E19: Clarification on Error Processing.....	13
47	E20: ECP SSO Profile and Metadata.....	13
48	E21: PAOS Version.....	14
49	E22: Error in Profile/ECP.....	14
50	E24: HTTPS in URI Binding.....	14
51	E25: Metadata Feature in Conformance.....	14
52	E26: Ambiguities Around Multiple Assertions and Statements in the SSO Profile.....	15
53	E27: Incorrect Step Number in ECP Profile.....	18
54	E28: Profile Labeling in Conformance.....	18
55	E29: Incomplete Listing of Features in Conformance.....	18
56	E30: Considerations for Key Replacement.....	18
57	E31: Various Minor Errors in Binding.....	19
58	E32: Missing Required Information in Profiles.....	19
59	E33: References to Assertion Request Protocol.....	19
60	E34: RequestedAttribute Section Heading.....	20
61	E35: Response Consumer URL Rules and Example in Profiles.....	20
62	E36: Clarification on Action Element.....	20
63	E37: Clarification in Metadata on Indexed Endpoints.....	20
64	E38: Clarification Regarding Index on <LogoutRequest>.....	21
65	E39: Error in SAML Profile Example [@@ISSUE: see E53].....	21
66	E40: Holder of Key.....	22
67	E41: EndpointType ResponseLocation Clarification in Metadata.....	22
68	E42: Match Authorities to Queries in Conformance.....	22
69		

70	E43: Key Location in saml:EncryptedData.....	23
71	E45: AuthnContext Comparison OrderClarifications	25
72	E46: AudienceRestriction Clarifications.....	26
73	E47: Clarification on SubjectConfirmation.....	26
74	E48: Clarification on Encoding for Binary Values in LDAP Profile.....	27
75	E49: Clarification on Attribute Name Format	28
76	E50: Clarification on SSL Ciphersuites	28
77	E51: Schema Type of Contents of <AttributeValue>	28
78	E52: Clarification on NotOnOrAfter Attribute for Subject Confirmation.....	29
79	E53: Correction to LDAP/X.500 Profile Attribute [@@ISSUE].....	29
80	E54: Corrections to ECP URN	29
81	E55: Language Cleanup Around Name Identifier Management.....	30
82	E56: Confirmation Method Typo.....	31
83	E57: SAMLmime Reference.....	31
84	E58: KeyDescriptor Typos in Profiles.....	31
85	E59: SSO Response When Using HTTP-Artifact.....	32
86	E60: Incorrect URI for Unspecified NameID Format.....	32
87	E61: Reference to Non-Existent Element [@@ISSUE].....	32
88	PE62: TLS Keys in KeyDescriptor [TBS].....	33
89	PE63: IdP Discovery Cookie Interpretation [TBS]	33
90	3 References.....	34
91	Appendix A. Notices.....	36
92	Appendix B. Acknowledgments.....	37

93

1 Introduction

This document lists the approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard. Each one has been given an *Enn* designation. Numbers in the sequence are missing wherever a reported problem (a “~~potential~~proposed erratum”, or PE) resulted in a TC decision not to issue an erratum to any V2.0 specification text.

This document is ultimately intended to be ~~submitted for OASIS standardization~~confirmed as a formal Approved Errata document. To see the full list of reported problems and additional background on the approved errata, see the Errata Working Document for SAML V2.0 [SAMLErrWork].

As required by the OASIS Technical Committee Process, the approved errata represent changes that are not “substantive”. The changes focus on clarifications to ambiguous or conflicting specification text, where different compliant implementations might have reasonably chosen different interpretations. The intent of the Security Services TC has been to resolve such issues in service of improved interoperability based on implementation and deployment experience.

In this document, errata change instructions are presented with surrounding context as necessary to make the intent clear. Original specification text is often presented as follows, with problem text highlighted in bold:

This is an original specification sentence. **The second sentence needs to be changed, removed, or replaced.**

New specification text is typically presented as follows, with new or changed text highlighted in bold:

This is a **highly** original specification sentence. **This is the wholly new content to replace the old second sentence. It runs on and on and on.**

In a few cases, text needs only to be struck, in which case the change is shown as follows, with text to be removed both highlighted in bold and struck through:

This is yet another original specification sentence which contains ~~an inappropriately~~ long description.

In addition to this normative document, non-normative “errata composite” documents have been provided that combine the prescribed corrections with the original specification text, illustrating the changes with margin change bars, struck-through original text, and highlighted new text.

Of the SAML V2.0 specifications, only the following have approved errata:

- Assertions and Protocols (original [SAMLCore], errata composite [SAMLCoreErr])
- Bindings (original [SAMLBind], errata composite [SAMLBindErr])
- Conformance Requirements (original [SAMLConf], errata composite [SAMLConfErr])
- Metadata (original [SAMLMeta], errata composite [SAMLMetaErr])
- Profiles (original [SAMLProf], errata composite [SAMLProfErr])

All cited line numbers refer to the PDF forms of the original OASIS Standard specifications in question, not to line numbers in this document or in the errata composite documents.

2 Approved Errata

Following are the approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard.

E0: Incorrect Section Reference

Change [SAMLCore] at line 2660 to refer to section **3.7.3** rather than **3.6.3** for Reason codes.
This was a typographical error.

E1: Relay State for HTTP Redirect

Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.4.3 at lines 551-553 to reflect the fact that, indeed, the RelayState parameter is covered by the query string signature described in Section 3.4.4.1 (DEFLATE encoding). Note that Section 3.5.3, which has similar original wording, remains correct for its case.

Original:

RelayState data MAY be included with a SAML protocol message transmitted with this binding. The value MUST NOT exceed 80 bytes in length and SHOULD be integrity protected by the entity creating the message. **Signing is not realistic given the space limitation, but because the value is exposed to third-party tampering, the entity SHOULD insure that the value has not been tampered with by using a checksum, a pseudo-random value, or similar means.**

New:

RelayState data MAY be included with a SAML protocol message transmitted with this binding. The value MUST NOT exceed 80 bytes in length and SHOULD be integrity protected by the entity creating the message, **either via a digital signature (see Section 3.4.4.1) or by some independent means.**

E2: Metadata Clarifications for HTTP Artifact Binding

Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.7 at lines 1188-1191 to clarify metadata requirements on profiles using the HTTP Artifact binding.

-Original:

Support for the HTTP Artifact binding SHOULD be reflected by indicating URL endpoints at which requests and responses for a particular protocol or profile should be sent. Either a single endpoint or distinct request and response endpoints MAY be supplied. **One or more indexed endpoints for processing <samlp:ArtifactResolve> messages SHOULD also be described.**

New:

Support for **receiving messages using** the HTTP Artifact binding SHOULD be reflected by indicating URL endpoints at which requests and responses for a particular protocol or profile should be sent. **Support for sending messages using this binding SHOULD be accompanied by one or more indexed <md:ArtifactResolutionService> endpoints for processing <samlp:ArtifactResolve> messages.**

E4: No Role for SAML V1.1 Artifacts in SAML V2.0

Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.4 at line 1067 to clarify that SAML V1.1 artifacts have no role in SAML V2.0add a sentence.

-New:

The following describes the single artifact type defined by SAML V2.0. **Although the general artifact structure resembles that used in prior versions of SAML and the type code of the**

158
159
160
161

single format described below does not conflict with previously defined formats, there is explicitly no correspondence between SAML V2.0 artifacts and those found in any previous specifications, and artifact formats not defined specifically for use with SAML V2.0 MUST NOT be used with this binding.

159
160
161
162
163

E6: Clarify Constraints on Encrypted NameID

Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.4.1.1](#) at line 2139 to clarify that, if encrypted name identifiers are chosen, no further description of the type of name identifier will be available in SAML messages.add two sentences.

-New:

164

165 The special Format value urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted
166 indicates that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain <EncryptedID> elements instead of
167 plaintext. The underlying name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the
168 identity provider for the requested subject. **It is not possible for the service provider to**
169 **specifically request that a particular kind of identifier be returned if it asks for encryption.**
170 The <md:NameIDFormat> metadata element (see [SAMLMeta]) or other out-of-band means
171 **MAY be used to determine what kind of identifier to encrypt and return.**

172 **E7: Metadata ~~Attributes WantAuthnRequestsSigned and~~** 173 **~~AuthnRequestsSigned~~ for Agreeing to Sign Authentication** 174 **~~Requests~~**

175 Change [SAMLMeta] [Section 2.4.3](#) at line 710, 741-742, and 744-747 to [remove ambiguity about](#)
176 [how to accomplish signing when the IdP SSO descriptor includes the setting](#)
177 [WantAuthnRequestsSigned](#) and the SP SSO descriptor includes the setting
178 [AuthnRequestsSigned](#). [add sentences.](#)

179 New at line 710:

180 **The WantAuthnRequestsSigned attribute is intended to indicate to service providers**
181 **whether or not they can expect an unsigned <AuthnRequest> message to be accepted by**
182 **the identity provider. The identity provider is not obligated to reject unsigned requests nor**
183 **is a service provider obligated to sign its requests, although it might reasonably expect an**
184 **unsigned request will be rejected. In some cases, a service provider may not even know**
185 **which identity provider will ultimately receive and respond to its requests, so the use of this**
186 **attribute in such a case cannot be strictly defined.**

187
188 **Furthermore, note that the specific method of signing that would be expected is binding**
189 **dependent. The HTTP Redirect binding (see [SAMLBind]) requires that the signature be**
190 **applied to the URL-encoded value rather than placed within the XML message, while other**
191 **bindings generally permit the signature to be within the message in the usual fashion.**

192
193 The following schema fragment defines the <IDPSSODescriptor> element and its
194 IDPSSODescriptorType complex type:

195 New at lines 741-742:

196 Optional attribute that indicates whether the <samlp:AuthnRequest> messages sent by this
197 service provider will be signed. If omitted, the value is assumed to be false. **A value of false (or**
198 **omission of this attribute) does not imply that the service provider will never sign its**
199 **requests or that a signed request should be considered an error. However, an identity**
200 **provider that receives an unsigned <samlp:AuthnRequest> message from a service**
201 **provider whose metadata contains this attribute with a value of true MUST return a SAML**
202 **error response and MUST NOT fulfill the request.**

203 New at lines 744-747:

204 Optional attribute that indicates a requirement for the <saml:Assertion> elements received by
205 this service provider to be signed. If omitted, the value is assumed to be false. This requirement is
206 in addition to any requirement for signing derived from the use of a particular profile/binding
207 combination. **Note that an enclosing signature at the SAML binding or protocol layer does**
208 **not suffice to meet this requirement, for example signing a <samlp:Response> containing**
209 **the assertion(s) or a TLS connection.**

210 **E8: SLO and NameID Termination**

211 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6.3](#) at lines 2479-2480 [to clarify the rules around SP single logout](#)
212 [behavior when a name identifier has been terminated.](#)

213 | -Original:

214 | The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship
215 | represented by the name identifier has been terminated. **It can choose to invalidate the active**
216 | **session(s) of a principal for whom a relationship has been terminated.**

217 | New:

218

219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228

The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated. **In general it SHOULD NOT invalidate any active session(s) of the principal for whom the relationship has been terminated. If the receiving provider is an identity provider, it SHOULD NOT invalidate any active session(s) of the principal established with other service providers. A requesting provider MAY send a <LogoutRequest> message prior to initiating a name identifier termination by sending a <ManageNameIDRequest> message if that is the requesting provider's intent (e.g., the name identifier termination is initiated via an administrator who wished to terminate all user activity). The requesting provider MUST NOT send a <LogoutRequest> message after the <ManageNameIDRequest> message is sent.**

229

E10: Logout Request Reason Mismatch with Schema

230
231
232
233
234

Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.7.1](#) at line 2540 to resolve an apparent conflict between the specification text and the schema~~add two sentences~~. (Note that although in this case the schema could have been more specific, text in SAML specifications is allowed to impose further restrictions on syntactic constraints imposed by a schema, and this technique has been used here to resolve the issue without a substantive change.)

235

-New:

236
237
238

An indication of the reason for the logout, in the form of a URI reference. **The Reason attribute is specified as a string in the schema. This specification further restricts the schema by requiring that the Reason attribute MUST be in the form of a URI reference.**

239

E11: Improperly Labeled Feature—

240
241

Change [SAMLConf] in [Section 3.2](#) (Table 2) to make the labels in feature rows 6 through 9 consistent.

242

-Original labels:

243
244
245
246

Name Identifier Management, HTTP Redirect (IdP-initiated)
Name Identifier Management, SOAP (IdP-initiated)
Name Identifier Management, HTTP Redirect
Name Identifier Management, SOAP

247

New labels:

248
249
250
251

Name Identifier Management (IdP-Initiated), HTTP Redirect
Name Identifier Management (IdP-Initiated), SOAP
Name Identifier Management (SP-Initiated), HTTP Redirect
Name Identifier Management (SP-Initiated), SOAP

252

E12: Clarification on ManageNameIDRequest

253
254
255

Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6](#) at lines 2412-2413 and 2438, and change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.5](#) at lines 1320-1321, to remove incorrect implications that the name identifier format can be changed in the course of the protocol.

256

New [SAMLCore] at lines 2412-2413:

257
258
259
260

After establishing a name identifier for a principal, an identity provider wishing to change the value **and/or format** of the identifier that it will use when referring to the principal, or to indicate that a name identifier will no longer be used to refer to the principal, informs service providers of the change by sending them a <ManageNameIDRequest> message.

261

New [SAMLCore] at line 2438:

262 If the requester is the identity provider, the new value will appear in subsequent <NameID>
263 elements as the element's content. **In either case, if the <NewEncryptedID> is used, its
264 encrypted content is just a <NewID> element containing only the new value for the identifier
265 (format and qualifiers cannot be changed once established).**

266 New [SAMLProf] at lines 1320-23121:

267 Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the
268 **format and/or** value that it will use to identify the same principal in the future.

269 E13: Inaccurate Description of Authorization Decision

270 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 2](#) at lines 357-358 **to complete the list of potential results from an**
271 **authorization decision.**

272 -New:

273 Authorization Decision: A request to allow the assertion subject to access the specified resource
274 has been granted or denied **or is indeterminate.**

275 E14: AllowCreate

276 Change [SAMLCore] at lines 2123-2129, 2130, 2143-2147, 2419-2420, and 2480, and change
277 [SAMLProf] at lines 521-524, **to clarify the semantics of AllowCreate.**

278 Original at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.4.1.1](#), lines 2123-2129 **(full paragraph):**

279 A Boolean value used to indicate whether the identity provider **is allowed**, in the course of fulfilling
280 the request, to create a new identifier **to represent the principal**. Defaults to "false". **When**
281 **"false", the requester constrains the identity provider to only issue an assertion to it if an**
282 **acceptable identifier for the principal has already been established. Note that this does not**
283 **prevent the identity provider from creating such identifiers outside the context of this**
284 **specific request (for example, in advance for a large number of principals).**

285 New at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.4.1.1](#), lines 2123-2129:

286 A Boolean value used to indicate whether the **requester grants to** the identity provider, in the
287 course of fulfilling the request, **permission to create a new identifier or to associate an existing**
288 **identifier representing the principal with the relying party**. Defaults to "false" if not present or
289 **the entire element is omitted.**

290 New at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.4.1.1](#), line 2130 (just after the above changes):

291 **The AllowCreate attribute may be used by some deployments to influence the creation of**
292 **state maintained by the identity provider pertaining to the use of a name identifier (or any**
293 **other persistent, uniquely identifying attributes) by a particular relying party, for purposes**
294 **such as dynamic identifier or attribute creation, tracking of consent, subsequent use of the**
295 **Name Identifier Management protocol (see Section 3.6), or other related purposes.**

296
297 **When "false", the requester tries to constrain the identity provider to issue an assertion**
298 **only if such state has already been established or is not deemed applicable by the identity**
299 **provider to the use of an identifier. Thus, this does not prevent the identity provider from**
300 **assuming such information exists outside the context of this specific request (for example,**
301 **establishing it in advance for a large number of principals).**

302
303 **A value of "true" permits the identity provider to take any related actions it wishes to fulfill**
304 **the request, subject to any other constraints imposed by the request and policy (the**
305 **IsPassive attribute, for example).**

306
307 **Generally, requesters cannot assume specific behavior from identity providers regarding**
308 **the initial creation or association of identifiers on their behalf, as these are details left to**
309 **implementations or deployments. Absent specific profiles governing the use of this**
310 **attribute, it might be used as a hint to identity providers about the requester's intention to**

311 store the identifier or link it to a local value.
312
313 A value of “false” might be used to indicate that the requester is not prepared or able to do
314 so and save the identity provider wasted effort.
315
316 Requesters that do not make specific use of this attribute SHOULD generally set it to “true”
317 to maximize interoperability.
318
319 The use of the AllowCreate attribute MUST NOT be used and SHOULD be ignored in
320 conjunction with requests for or assertions issued with name identifiers with a Format of
321 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:transient (they preclude any such
322 state in and of themselves).

323 | Original at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6](#), lines 2419-2420:

324 A service provider also uses this message to register or change the SPProvidedID value to be
325 included when the underlying name identifier is used to communicate with it, or to terminate the use
326 of a name identifier between itself and the identity provider.
327
328 **Note that this protocol is typically not used with “transient” name identifiers, since their**
329 **value is not intended to be managed on a long-term basis.**

330 | New at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6](#), lines 2419-2420:

331 A service provider also uses this message to register or change the SPProvidedID value to be
332 included when the underlying name identifier is used to communicate with it, or to terminate the use
333 of a name identifier between itself and the identity provider.
334
335 **This protocol MUST NOT be used in conjunction with the**
336 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameidformat:transient <NameID> Format.**

337 | New at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6.3](#), line 2480 (note that E8 and E55 specify additional changes to
338 the original text shown here):

339 If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in
340 the case of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in
341 the case of an identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider about the
342 principal. The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the
343 relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated. It can choose to invalidate the
344 active session(s) of a principal for whom a relationship has been terminated.
345
346 **If the receiving provider is maintaining state associated with the name identifier, such as the**
347 **value of the identifier itself (in the case of a pair-wise identifier), an SPProvidedID value,**
348 **the sender’s consent to the identifier’s creation/use, etc., then the receiver can perform any**
349 **maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier**
350 **has been terminated.**
351
352 **Any subsequent operations performed by the receiver on behalf of the sender regarding the**
353 **principal (for example, a subsequent <AuthnRequest>) SHOULD be carried out in a manner**
354 **consistent with the absence of any previous state.**
355
356 **Termination is potentially the cleanup step for any state management behavior triggered by**
357 **the use of the AllowCreate attribute in the Authentication Request protocol (see Section**
358 **3.4). Deployments that do not make use of that attribute are likely to avoid the use of the**
359 **<Terminate> element or would treat it as a purely advisory matter.**
360
361 **Note that in most cases (a notable exception being the rules surrounding the SPProvidedID**
362 **attribute), there are no requirements on either identity providers or service providers**
363 **regarding the creation or use of persistent state. Therefore, no explicit behavior is mandated**
364 **when the <Terminate> element is received. However, if persistent state is present**
365 **pertaining to the use of an identifier (such as if an SPProvidedID attribute was attached),**

366 the <Terminate> element provides a clear indication that this state SHOULD be deleted (or
367 marked as obsolete in some fashion).

368 | Original at [SAMLProf] [Section 4.1.4.1](#), lines 521-524:

369 If the identity provider cannot or will not satisfy the request, it MUST respond with a <Response>
370 message containing an appropriate error status code or codes.

371
372 **If the service provider wishes to permit the identity provider to establish a new identifier for
373 the principal if none exists, it MUST include a <NameIDPolicy> element with the
374 AllowCreate attribute set to "true". Otherwise, only a principal for whom the identity
375 provider has previously established an identifier usable by the service provider can be
376 authenticated successfully.**

377 | New at [SAMLProf] [Section 4.1.4.1](#), lines 521-524:

378 If the identity provider cannot or will not satisfy the request, it MUST respond with a <Response>
379 message containing an appropriate error status code or codes.

380
381 **This profile does not provide any guidelines for the use of AllowCreate; see [SAMLCore]
382 for normative rules on using AllowCreate.**

383 | **E15: NameID Policy Adherence**

384 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.4.1.1](#) at line 2139 to [clarify that the expressed name identifier
385 policy must be adhered to](#)~~add content~~.

386 | -New (note that E6 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

387 The special Format value urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted
388 indicates that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain <EncryptedID> elements instead of
389 plaintext. The underlying name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the
390 identity provider for the requested subject.

391

392 **When a Format defined in Section 8.3 other than**

393 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:unspecified or**

394 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted is used, then if the
395 identity provider returns any assertions:**

396

397 **• the Format value of the <NameID> within the <Subject> of any <Assertion> MUST be
398 identical to the Format value supplied in the <NameIDPolicy>, and**

399

400 **• if SPNameQualifier is not omitted in <NameIDPolicy>, the SPNameQualifier value of
401 the <NameID> within the <Subject> of any <Assertion> MUST be identical to the
402 SPNameQualifier value supplied in the <NameIDPolicy>.**

403 | **E17: Authentication Response IssuerName vs. Assertion 404 IssuerName**

405 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.1.4.2](#) at lines 541-543 [to accurately reflect the conditions under
406 which issuer information is required and how issuer information at the different levels must
407 correlate](#).

408 | -Original:

409 **The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of
410 the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of
411 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.**

412 | New:

413 **If the <Response> message is signed or if an enclosed assertion is encrypted, then the**
414 **<Issuer> element MUST be present. Otherwise it MAY be omitted. If present it MUST**
415 **contain the unique identifier of the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted**
416 **or have a value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.**

417 **E18: Reference to Identity Provider Discovery Service in ECP** 418 **Profile**

419 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.2.2](#) at lines 725-726 **to remove the incorrect implication that an**
420 **ECP is a direct participant in the identity provider discovery profile by deleting text.**

421 -New:

422 In step 3, the ECP obtains the location of an endpoint at an identity provider for the authentication
423 request protocol that supports its preferred binding. The means by which this is accomplished is
424 implementation-dependent. ~~The ECP MAY use the SAML identity provider discovery profile~~
425 ~~described in Section 4.3.~~

426 **E19: Clarification on Error Processing**

427 Change [SAMLBind] [Section 3.2.2.1](#) at lines 310-317 and [Section 3.2.3.3 at line 378](#) **to clarify**
428 **SAML error processing and its relationship to SOAP error processing.**

429 -Original at [Section 3.2.2.1](#), lines 310-317:

430 The SAML responder **MUST** return **either a SAML response element within the body of**
431 **another SOAP message or generate a SOAP fault.** The SAML responder MUST NOT include
432 more than one SAML response per SOAP message or include any additional XML elements in the
433 SOAP body. **If a SAML responder cannot, for some reason, process a SAML request, it MUST**
434 **generate a SOAP fault.** SOAP fault codes **MUST NOT** be sent for errors within the SAML problem
435 domain, for example, inability to find an extension schema or as a signal that the subject is not
436 authorized to access a resource in an authorization query. (SOAP 1.1 faults and fault codes are
437 discussed in [SOAP11] Section 4.1.)

438 New at [Section 3.2.2.1](#), lines 310-317:

439 The SAML responder **SHOULD** return **a SOAP message containing either a SAML response**
440 **element in the body or a SOAP fault.** The SAML responder MUST NOT include more than one
441 SAML response per SOAP message or include any additional XML elements in the SOAP body.
442 SOAP fault codes **SHOULD NOT** be sent for errors within the SAML problem domain, for example,
443 inability to find an extension schema or as a signal that the subject is not authorized to access a
444 resource in an authorization query. **See Section 3.2.3.3 for more information about error**
445 **handling.** (SOAP 1.1 faults and fault codes are discussed in [SOAP11] Section 4.1.)

446 Original at [Section 3.2.3.3](#), line 378:

447 In the case of a SAML processing error, the SOAP HTTP server **MUST** respond with "200 OK"
448 and include a SAML-specified <samlp:Status> element in the SAML response within the
449 SOAP body.

450 New at [Section 3.2.3.3](#), line 378:

451 In the case of a SAML processing error, the SOAP HTTP server **SHOULD** respond with "200
452 OK" and include a SAML-specified <samlp:Status> element in the SAML response within the
453 SOAP body.

454 **E20: ECP SSO Profile and Metadata**

455 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1081 to add a new subsection, [Section 4.2.6, in order to add](#)
456 [metadata considerations to the ECP profile.](#)

457 -New (small portion of previous subsection shown):

458 The ECP SHOULD be authenticated to the identity provider, such as by maintaining an
 459 authenticated session. Any HTTP exchanges subsequent to the delivery of the
 460 <AuthnRequest> message and before the identity provider returns a <Response> MUST be
 461 securely associated with the original request.

462 4.2.6 Use of Metadata

463 The rules specified in the browser SSO profile in Section 4.1.6 apply here as well.
 464 Specifically, the indexed endpoint element <md:AssertionConsumerService> with a
 465 binding of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:bindings:PAOS MAY be used to
 466 describe the supported binding and location(s) to which an identity provider may send
 467 responses to a service provider using this profile. IN addition, the endpoint
 468 <md:SingleSignOnService> with a binding of
 469 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:bindings:SOAP MAY be used to describe the
 470 supported binding and location(s) to which an service provider may send requests to an
 471 identity provider using this profile.
 472
 473

474 E21: PAOS Version

475 Change [SAMLBind] [Section 3.3.3](#) at line 474 to ~~clarify the PAOS version required~~~~delete text~~.
 476 New:

- 477 ● The HTTP PAOS Header field MUST be present and specify the PAOS version with
 478 "urn:liberty:paos:2003-08" **at a minimum**.

479 E22: Error in Profile/ECP

480 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.2.4.1](#) at line 907 to refer to the **AssertionConsumerServiceURL**
 481 attribute rather than the **AssertionServiceConsumerURL** attribute. ~~This was a typographical~~
 482 ~~error~~.

483 E24: HTTPS in URI Binding

484 Change [SAMLBind] [Section 3.7](#) at lines 1349-1351 ~~to make the HTTP support requirements~~
 485 ~~more appropriate in the context of the URI binding~~.

486 -Original:

487 Like SOAP, URI resolution can occur over multiple underlying transports. This binding has
 488 **transport-independent** aspects, but also calls out the **use of HTTP with SSL 3.0 [SSL3] or TLS**
 489 **1.0 [RFC2246] as REQUIRED (mandatory to implement)**.

490 New:

491 Like SOAP, URI resolution can occur over multiple underlying transports. This binding has
 492 **protocol-independent** aspects, but also calls out **as mandatory the implementation of HTTP**
 493 **URIs**.

494 E25: Metadata Feature in Conformance

495 Change [SAMLConf] in [Section 3.2](#) (Tables 2 and 4) to add feature rows, and at line 231 to add
 496 two subsections, [Sections 3.6 and 3.7](#), ~~in order to reflect conformance aspects of the SAML~~
 497 ~~metadata feature~~.

498 -New in Table 2:

499 Feature	IdP	IdP Lite	SP	SP Lite	ECP
500 Metadata Structures	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT	N/A
501 Metadata Interoperation	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT	N/A

502 New in Table 4:

503	Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
504	Metadata Structures	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT
505	Metadata Interoperation	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT

506 New at line 231 (small portion of previous subsection shown):

507 If a SAML authority uses SSL 3.0 or TLS 1.0, it MUST use a server-side certificate.
508
509 **3.6 Metadata Structures**
510
511 Implementations claiming conformance to SAML V2.0 may declare each operational mode's
512 conformance to SAML V2.0 Metadata [SAMLMeta] through election of the Metadata
513 Structures option.
514
515 With respect to each operational mode, such conformance entails the following:
516
517 ● Implementing SAML metadata according to the extensible SAML V2.0 Metadata format in
518 all cases where an interoperating peer has the option, as stated in SAML V2.0
519 specifications, of depending on the existence of SAML V2.0 Metadata. Electing the Metadata
520 Structures option has the effect of requiring that such metadata be available to the
521 interoperating peer. The Metadata Interoperation feature, described below, provides a
522 means of satisfying this requirement.
523
524 ● Referencing, consuming, and adhering to the SAML metadata, according to [SAMLMeta],
525 of an interoperating peer when the known metadata relevant to that peer and the particular
526 operation, and the current exchange, has expired or is no longer valid in cache, provided
527 the metadata is available and is not prohibited by policy or the particular operation and that
528 specific exchange.
529
530 **3.7 Metadata Interoperation**
531
532 Election of the Metadata Interoperation option requires the implementation to offer, in
533 addition to any other mechanism, the well-known location publication and resolution
534 mechanism described in the SAML metadata specification [SAMLMeta].

535 E26: Ambiguities Around Multiple Assertions and Statements in 536 the SSO Profile

537 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.1.4.2](#) at lines 541-572, [Section 4.1.4.3 at lines 576-591](#), and
538 [Section 4.1.4.5 at lines 600-601 to resolve ambiguities around the usage of multiple assertions](#)
539 [and multiple statements within an assertion in the SSO profile](#).

540 Original at [Section 4.1.4.2](#), lines 541-572:

- 541 • The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of
542 the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of
543 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.
- 544 • It MUST contain at least one <Assertion>. Each assertion's <Issuer> element MUST
545 contain the unique identifier of the **issuing** identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be
546 omitted or have a value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.
- 547 • The set of one or more assertions MUST contain at least one <AuthnStatement> that
548 reflects the authentication of the principal to the identity provider.
- 549 • At least one assertion containing an <AuthnStatement> MUST contain a <Subject>
550 element with at least one <SubjectConfirmation> element containing a Method of
551 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer. If the identity provider supports the
552 Single Logout profile, defined in Section 4.4, any such authentication statements MUST

553 include a `SessionIndex` attribute to enable per-session logout requests by the service
 554 provider.

- 555 • The bearer `<SubjectConfirmation>` element described above **MUST** contain a
 556 `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element that contains a `Recipient` attribute containing
 557 the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a `NotOnOrAfter` attribute
 558 that limits the window during which the assertion can be delivered. It **MAY** contain an
 559 `Address` attribute limiting the client address from which the assertion can be delivered.
 560 It **MUST NOT** contain a `NotBefore` attribute. If the containing message is in response to
 561 an `<AuthnRequest>`, then the `InResponseTo` attribute **MUST** match the request's ID.
- 562 • Other statements **and confirmation methods** **MAY** be included in the assertion(s) at the
 563 discretion of the identity provider. In particular, `<AttributeStatement>` elements **MAY** be
 564 included. The `<AuthnRequest>` **MAY** contain an `AttributeConsumingServiceIndex`
 565 XML attribute referencing information about desired or required attributes in [SAMLMeta]. The
 566 identity provider **MAY** ignore this, or send other attributes at its discretion.
- 567 • The assertion(s) containing a bearer subject confirmation **MUST** contain an
 568 `<AudienceRestriction>` including the service provider's unique identifier as an
 569 `<Audience>`.
- 570 • Other conditions (and other `<Audience>` elements) **MAY** be included as requested by the
 571 service provider or at the discretion of the identity provider. (Of course, all such conditions
 572 **MUST** be understood by and accepted by the service provider in order for the assertion to be
 573 considered valid.) The identity provider is **NOT** obligated to honor the requested set of
 574 `<Conditions>` in the `<AuthnRequest>`, if any.
- 575 • The identity provider is **NOT** obligated to honor the requested set of `<Conditions>` in the
 576 `<AuthnRequest>`, if any.

577 New at [Section 4.1.4.2](#), lines 541-572 (note that E17 specifies additional changes to the first
 578 bullet item shown here):

- 579 • The `<Issuer>` element **MAY** be omitted, but if present it **MUST** contain the unique identifier of
 580 the issuing identity provider; the `Format` attribute **MUST** be omitted or have a value of
 581 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity`.
- 582 • It **MUST** contain at least one `<Assertion>`. Each assertion's `<Issuer>` element **MUST**
 583 contain the unique identifier of the **responding** identity provider; the `Format` attribute **MUST** be
 584 omitted or have a value of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity`.
 585 **Note that this profile assumes a single responding identity provider, and all assertions in**
 586 **a response **MUST** be issued by the same entity.**
- 587 • If multiple assertions are included, then each assertion's `<Subject>` element **MUST**
 588 refer to the same principal. It is allowable for the content of the `<Subject>` elements to
 589 differ (e.g. using different `<NameID>` or alternative `<SubjectConfirmation>`
 590 elements).
- 591 • Any assertion issued for consumption using this profile **MUST** contain a `<Subject>`
 592 element with at least one `<SubjectConfirmation>` element containing a `Method` of
 593 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer`. Such an assertion is termed a
 594 bearer assertion. Bearer assertions **MAY** contain additional `<SubjectConfirmation>`
 595 elements.
- 596 • Assertions without a bearer `<SubjectConfirmation>` **MAY** also be included;
 597 processing of additional assertions or `<SubjectConfirmation>` elements is outside
 598 the scope of this profile.
- 599 • At least one bearer `<SubjectConfirmation>` element **MUST** contain a
 600 `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element that itself **MUST** contain a `Recipient`
 601 attribute containing the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a
 602 `NotOnOrAfter` attribute that limits the window during which the assertion can be
 603 [PE52]confirmed by the relying party. It **MAY** also contain an `Address` attribute limiting

604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627

- the client address from which the assertion can be delivered. It MUST NOT contain a `NotBefore` attribute. If the containing message is in response to an `<AuthnRequest>`, then the `InResponseTo` attribute MUST match the request's ID.
- The set of one or more bearer assertions MUST contain at least one `<AuthnStatement>` that reflects the authentication of the principal to the identity provider. Multiple `<AuthnStatement>` elements MAY be included, but the semantics of multiple statements is not defined by this profile.
 - If the identity provider supports the Single Logout profile, defined in Section , any authentication statements MUST include a `SessionIndex` attribute to enable per-session logout requests by the service provider.
 - Other statements MAY be included in the **bearer** assertion(s) at the discretion of the identity provider. In particular, `<AttributeStatement>` elements MAY be included. The `<AuthnRequest>` MAY contain an `AttributeConsumingServiceIndex` XML attribute referencing information about desired or required attributes in [SAMLMeta]. The identity provider MAY ignore this, or send other attributes at its discretion.
 - **Each bearer** assertion MUST contain an `<AudienceRestriction>` including the service provider's unique identifier as an `<Audience>`.
 - Other conditions (and other `<Audience>` elements) MAY be included as requested by the service provider or at the discretion of the identity provider. (Of course, all such conditions MUST be understood by and accepted by the service provider in order for the assertion to be considered valid.) The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of `<Conditions>` in the `<AuthnRequest>`, if any.
 - The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of `<Conditions>` in the `<AuthnRequest>`, if any.

628 | Original at [Section 4.1.4.3](#), lines 576-591:

629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646

- Verify that the `Recipient` attribute in any bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` matches the assertion consumer service URL to which the `<Response>` or artifact was delivered
- Verify that the `NotOnOrAfter` attribute in any bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` has not passed, subject to allowable clock skew between the providers
- Verify that the `InResponseTo` attribute in the bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` equals the `ID` of its original `<AuthnRequest>` message, unless the response is unsolicited (see Section 4.1.5), in which case the attribute MUST NOT be present
- Verify that any assertions relied upon are valid in other respects.
- If any bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` includes an `Address` attribute, the service provider MAY check the user agent's client address against it.
- Any assertion which is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the principal.
- If an `<AuthnStatement>` used to establish a security context for the principal contains a `SessionNotOnOrAfter` attribute, the security context SHOULD be discarded once this time is reached, unless the service provider reestablishes the principal's identity by repeating the use of this profile.

647 | New at [Section 4.1.4.3](#), lines 576-591:

648
649
650
651
652
653
654

- Verify that the `Recipient` attribute in **the** bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` matches the assertion consumer service URL to which the `<Response>` or artifact was delivered
- Verify that the `NotOnOrAfter` attribute in **the** bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` has not passed, subject to allowable clock skew between the providers
- Verify that the `InResponseTo` attribute in the bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` equals

655 the ID of its original <AuthnRequest> message, unless the response is unsolicited (see Section
 656 4.1.5), in which case the attribute MUST NOT be present

- 657 • Verify that any assertions relied upon are valid in other respects. **Note that while multiple bearer**
 658 **<SubjectConfirmation> elements may be present, the successful evaluation of a single**
 659 **such element in accordance with this profile is sufficient to confirm an assertion. However,**
 660 **each assertion, if more than one is present, MUST be evaluated independently.**
- 661 • If **any the** bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> includes an Address attribute, the service
 662 provider MAY check the user agent's client address against it.
- 663 • Any assertion which is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met
 664 SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the principal.
- 665 • If an <AuthnStatement> used to establish a security context for the principal contains a
 666 SessionNotOnOrAfter attribute, the security context SHOULD be discarded once this time is
 667 reached, unless the service provider reestablishes the principal's identity by repeating the use of
 668 this profile. **Note that if multiple <AuthnStatement> elements are present, the**
 669 **SessionNotOnOrAfter value closest to the present time SHOULD be honored.**

670 Original at [Section 4.1.4.5](#), lines 600-601:

671 If the HTTP POST binding is used to deliver the <Response>, the enclosed assertion(s) MUST be
 672 signed.

673 New at [Section 4.1.4.5](#), lines 600-601:

674 If the HTTP POST binding is used to deliver the <Response>, **each assertion MUST be**
 675 **protected by a digital signature. This can be accomplished by signing each individual**
 676 **<Assertion> element or by signing the <Response> element.**

677 E27: Incorrect Step Number in ECP Profile

678 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.2.4.3](#) at line 947 to change the reference to the step number from
 679 5 to 7. [This was a typographical error.](#)

680 E28: Profile Labeling in Conformance

681 Change [SAMLConf] [Section 2](#) at Table 1 to make its labeling and categorization of profiles more
 682 consistent.

683 Combine the profile rows labeled **Artifact Resolution**, **Authentication Query**, **Attribute Query**,
 684 and **Authorization Decision Query** into a single profile row labeled **Assertion Query/Request**
 685 in column 1, with the breakdown of these four protocol types moved to column 2 (message flows)
 686 for that row.

687 Remove the profile rows labeled **SAML URI binding** and **Metadata**.

688 E29: Incomplete Listing of Features in Conformance

689 Change [SAMLConf] [Section 3.2](#) at Table 2 to include missing feature rows. New:

690 Feature	IdP	IdP Lite	SP	SP Lite	ECP
691 Request for Assertion by Identifier	OPT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
692 SAML URI Binding	OPT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

693 E30: ~~Considerations for~~ Key Replacement

694 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 6.1](#) at line 3110 [to improve wording around key replacement.](#)
 695 Original:

696 Encrypted data and **optionally one** or more encrypted keys MUST replace the plaintext
697 information in the same location within the XML instance.

698 New:

699 Encrypted data and **zero** or more encrypted keys MUST replace the plaintext information in the
700 same location within the XML instance.

701 E31: Various Minor Errors in Binding

702 Change [SAMLBind] [Section 3.3.5](#) at lines 511, [Section 3.5.3 at line 785](#), [and Section 3.6.5 at](#)
703 [lines 1136](#), and 1397 [to clean up various minor wording errors](#).

704 At [Section 3.3.5](#), line 511, capitalize the word **RECOMMENDED**.

705 Original at [Section 3.5.3](#), line 785:

706 If no such **value** is included with a SAML request message, or if the SAML response message is
707 being generated without a corresponding request ...

708 New at [Section 3.5.3](#), line 785:

709 If no such **RelayState data** is included with a SAML request message, or if the SAML response
710 message is being generated without a corresponding request ...

711 Original at [Section 3.6.5](#), line 1136:

712 The SAML requester determines the SAML responder by examining the artifact, and issues a
713 `<samlp:ArtifactResolve>` request containing the artifact to the SAML responder using a
714 **direct** SAML binding, as in step 3.

715 New at [Section 3.6.5](#), line 1136:

716 The SAML requester determines the SAML responder by examining the artifact, and issues a
717 `<samlp:ArtifactResolve>` request containing the artifact to the SAML responder using a
718 **synchronous** SAML binding, as in step 3.

719 Original at [Section 3.6.5](#), line 1397:

720 Note that the use of wildcards **is not allowed for on** such queries.

721 New at [Section 3.6.5](#), line 1397:

722 Note that **the URI syntax does not support** the use of wildcards **in** such ID queries.

723 E32: Missing Required Information in Profiles

724 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1092. New subsection added at line 1092 as Section 4.3.1,
725 incrementing the subsection numbers of the existing Sections 4.3.1 through 4.3.3:

726 4.3.1 Required Information

727 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:idp-discovery

728 **Contact information:** security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

729 **Description:** Given below.

730 **Updates:** None.

731 E33: References to Assertion Request Protocol

732 Change [SAMLMeta] [Section 2.4.3](#) at lines 700, [Section 2.4.5 at line 838](#), [Section 2.4.6 at line](#)
733 [871](#), and [Section 2.4.7 at line 904](#) to change references to the **Assertion Request** protocol to
734 **Assertion Query/Request**. [This is just a typographical error](#).

735 **E34: RequestedAttribute Section Heading**

736 Change [SAMLMeta] at line 809 to make the Section 2.4.4.2 heading be a level below, at
737 [2.4.4.1.1, for consistency in reflecting element nesting in the document outline.](#)

738 **E35: Response Consumer URL Rules and Example in Profiles**

739 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.2.4.1](#) at lines 906-908, and [Section 4.2.4.3](#) at line 964, to make the
740 [example conform to the rules for a response consumer URL and explain these rules more clearly.](#)

741 Original at [Section 4.2.4.1](#), lines 906-908:

742 Specifies where the ECP is to send an error response. Also used to verify the correctness of the
743 identity provider's response, by cross checking this location against the
744 **AssertionServiceConsumerURL** in the ECP response header block. This value MUST be the
745 same as the AssertionServiceConsumerURL (or the URL referenced in metadata) conveyed in the
746 <AuthnRequest>.

747 New at lines [Section 4.2.4.1](#), 906-908:

748 Specifies where the ECP is to send an error response. Also used to verify the correctness of the
749 identity provider's response, by cross checking this location against the
750 **AssertionConsumerServiceURL** in the ECP response header block. This value MUST be the
751 same as the AssertionServiceConsumerURL (or the URL referenced in metadata) conveyed in the
752 <AuthnRequest> **and SHOULD NOT be a relative URL.**

753 Original at [Section 4.2.4.3](#), line 964:

```
754 <paos:Request xmlns:paos="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08"  
755 responseConsumerURL="http://identity-service.example.com/abc"
```

756 New at [Section 4.2.4.3](#), line 964:

```
757 <paos:Request xmlns:paos="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08"  
758 responseConsumerURL="  
759 https://ServiceProvider.example.com/ecp_assertion_consumer"
```

760 **E36: Clarification on Action Element**

761 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 2.7.4.2](#) at lines 1359-1363 [to remove the incorrect specification text](#)
762 [that says the action namespace is optional \(the schema mandates it, and in cases of](#)
763 [disagreement, the schema takes precedence\).](#)

764 -Original:

765 Namespace **[Optional]**
766 A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be
767 interpreted. **If this element is absent, the namespace**
768 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwdc-negation specified in Section 8.1.2 is in effect.**

769 New:

770 Namespace **[Required]**
771 A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be
772 interpreted.

773 **E37: Clarification in Metadata on Indexed Endpoints**

774 Change [SAMLMeta] [Section 2.2.3](#) at line 272 [to clarify what it means for two endpoints to be](#)
775 ["like".](#)

776 -Original:

777 In any such sequence of **like** endpoints **based on this type**, the default endpoint is the first such
778 endpoint with the `isDefault` attribute set to true.

779 New:

780 In any such sequence of **indexed** endpoints **that share a common element name and**
781 **namespace (i.e. all instances of <md:AssertionConsumerService> within a role)**, the
782 default endpoint is the first such endpoint with the `isDefault` attribute set to true.

783 **E38: Clarification Regarding Index on <LogoutRequest>**

784 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.7.1](#) at line 2546 and [SAMLProf] [Section 4.4.4.1](#) at lines 1302-
785 1304 [to clarify requirements around session indexes in logout requests](#).

786 Original at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.7.1](#), line 2546:

787 <SessionIndex> [Optional]

788 **The identifier that indexes this session at the message recipient.**

789 New at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.7.1](#), line 2546:

790 <SessionIndex> [Optional]

791 **The index of the session between the principal identified by the <saml:BaseID>,
792 <saml:NameID>, or <saml:EncryptedID> element, and the session authority. This must
793 correlate to the SessionIndex attribute, if any, in the <saml:AuthnStatement> of the
794 assertion used to establish the session that is being terminated.**

795 New at [SAMLProf] [Section 4.4.4.1](#), lines 1302-1304 [\(add text between the two sentences\)](#):

796 If the requester is a session participant, it MUST include at least one <SessionIndex> element in
797 the request. **(Note that the session participant always receives a SessionIndex attribute in
798 the <saml:AuthnStatement> elements that it receives to initiate the session, per
799 Section 4.1.4.2 of the Web Browser SSO Profile.)** If the requester is a session authority (or
800 acting on its behalf), then it MAY omit any such elements to indicate the termination of all of the
801 principal's applicable sessions.

802 **E39: Error in SAML Profile Example ~~[@@ISSUE: see E53]~~**

803 [Note: E39 corrects text in a section that is affected by E53, which deprecates the](#)
804 [entire section. Please see E53 for details.](#)

805 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 8.5.6](#) at lines 2095-2098 [to move the ldapprof:Encoding](#)
806 [attribute to the correct location](#).

807 -Original:

```
808 <saml:Attribute
809   xmlns:xacmlprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:XACML"
810   xmlns:ldapprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:LDAP"
811  xacmlprof:DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
812   ldapprof:Encoding="LDAP"
813   NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
814   Name="urn:oid:2.5.4.42" FriendlyName="givenName">
815   <saml:AttributeValue xsi:type="xs:string">By-Tor</saml:AttributeValue>
816 </saml:Attribute>
```

817 New:

```
818 <saml:Attribute
819   xmlns:xacmlprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:XACML"
820   xmlns:ldapprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:LDAP"
821  xacmlprof:DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
822   NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
```

```

823     Name="urn:oid:2.5.4.42" FriendlyName="givenName">
824     <saml:AttributeValue xsi:type="xs:string"
825     ldaprof:Encoding="LDAP">By-Tor</saml:AttributeValue>
826 </saml:Attribute>

```

827 E40: Holder of Key

828 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 3.1](#) at lines 335-337 to align the description of Holder of Key in the
829 profiles specification with the language in the core specification.

830 -Original:

831 As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables
832 an application to obtain a key. The holder of a specified key is considered to be **the subject of the**
833 assertion by the asserting party.

834 New (note that E47 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

835 As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables
836 an application to obtain a key. The holder of a specified key is considered to be **an acceptable**
837 **attesting entity for** the assertion by the asserting party.

838 E41: EndpointType ResponseLocation Clarification in Metadata

839 Change [SAMLMeta] [Section 2.2.2](#) at line 242 to clarify correct behavior when the response
840 location is omitted from the metadata.

841 -New:

842 The ResponseLocation attribute is used to enable different endpoints to be specified for
843 receiving request and response messages associated with a protocol or profile, not as a means of
844 load-balancing or redundancy (multiple elements of this type can be included for this purpose).
845 When a role contains an element of this type pertaining to a protocol or profile for which only a
846 single type of message (request or response) is applicable, then the ResponseLocation attribute is
847 unused. **If the ResponseLocation attribute is omitted, any response messages associated**
848 **with a protocol or profile may be assumed to be handled at the URI indicated by the**
849 **Location attribute.**

850 E42: Match Authorities to Queries in Conformance

851 Change [SAMLConf] [Section 3.2](#) at Table 4 to indicate more precisely the relationship between
852 SAML authorities and queries for types of assertion statements that those authorities do not
853 specialize in producing.

854 -Original:

855	Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
856	Authentication Query, SOAP	MUST	OPT	OPT	OPT
857	Attribute Query, SOAP	OPT	MUST	OPT	OPT
858	Authorization Decision Query, SOAP	OPT	OPT	MUST	OPT

859 New:

860	Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
861	Authentication Query, SOAP	MUST	N/A	N/A	OPT
862	Attribute Query, SOAP	N/A	MUST	N/A	OPT
863	Authorization Decision Query, SOAP	N/A	N/A	MUST	OPT

864 E43: Key Location in saml:EncryptedData

865 Change [SAMLCore] at line 3116 by replacing the existing Section 6.2 with new Sections 6.2 and
866 6.3 to reflect correct application and usage of the XML Encryption standard and to add several
867 examples to fully demonstrate this.

868 -Original:

869 6.2 Combining Signatures and Encryption

870 Use of XML Encryption and XML Signature MAY be combined. When an assertion is to be
871 signed and encrypted, the following rules apply. A relying party MUST perform signature
872 validation and decryption in the reverse order that signing and encryption were performed.

873 • When a signed <Assertion> element is encrypted, the signature MUST first be calculated
874 and placed within the <Assertion> element before the element is encrypted.

875 • When a <BaseID>, <NameID>, or <Attribute> element is encrypted, the encryption MUST
876 be performed first and then the signature calculated over the assertion or message
877 containing the encrypted element.

878 New:

879 6.2 Key and Data Referencing Guidelines

880 If an encrypted key is NOT included in the XML instance, then the relying party must be able
881 to locally determine the decryption key, per [XMLEnc].

882 Implementations of SAML MAY implicitly associate keys with the corresponding data they
883 are used to encrypt, through the positioning of <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements next to the
884 associated <xenc:EncryptedData> element, within the enclosing SAML parent element.
885 However, the following set of explicit referencing guidelines are suggested to facilitate
886 interoperability.

887 If the encrypted key is included in the XML instance, then it SHOULD be referenced within
888 the associated <xenc:EncryptedData> element, or alternatively embedded within the
889 <xenc:EncryptedData> element. When an <xenc:EncryptedKey> element is used, the
890 <ds:KeyInfo> element within <xenc:EncryptedData> SHOULD reference the
891 <xenc:EncryptedKey> element using a <ds:RetrievalMethod> element of Type
892 <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#EncryptedKey>.

893 In addition, an <xenc:EncryptedKey> element SHOULD contain an
894 <xenc:ReferenceList> element containing a <xenc:DataReference> that references the
895 corresponding <xenc:EncryptedData> element(s) that the key was used to encrypt.

896 In scenarios where the encrypted element is being “multicast” to multiple recipients, and
897 the key used to encrypt the message must be in turn encrypted individually and
898 independently for each of the multiple recipients, the <xenc:CarriedKeyName> element
899 SHOULD be used to assign a common name to each of the <xenc:EncryptedKey>
900 elements so that a <ds:KeyName> can be used from within the <xenc:EncryptedData>
901 element’s <ds:KeyInfo> element.

902 Within the <xenc:EncryptedData> element, the <ds:KeyName> can be thought of as an
903 “alias” that is used for backwards referencing from the <xenc:CarriedKeyName> element
904 in each individual <xenc:EncryptedKey> element. While this accommodates a “multicast”
905 approach, each recipient must be able to understand (at least one) <ds:KeyName>. The
906 Recipient attribute is used to provide a hint as to which key is meant for which recipient.

907 The SAML implementation has the discretion to accept or reject a message where multiple
908 Recipient attributes or <ds:KeyName> elements are understood. It is RECOMMENDED
909 that implementations simply use the first key they understand and ignore any additional
910 keys.

911 6.3 Examples

912
913
914
915

916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941

942
943

944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964

965
966

967
968
969
970

In the following example, the parent element (<EncryptedID>) contains <xenc:EncryptedData> and (referenced) <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements as siblings (note that the key can in fact be anywhere in the same instance, and the key references the <xenc:EncryptedData> element):

```
<saml:EncryptedID xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_DATA_ID"
    Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
    <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
      <ds:RetrievalMethod URI="#Encrypted_KEY_ID"
        Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#EncryptedKey"/>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
  </xenc:EncryptedData>

  <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>PzA5X...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
    <xenc:ReferenceList>
      <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
    </xenc:ReferenceList>
  </xenc:EncryptedKey>
```

In the following <EncryptedAttribute> example, the <xenc:EncryptedKey> element is contained within the <xenc:EncryptedData> element, so there is no explicit referencing:

```
<saml:EncryptedAttribute
  xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_DATA_ID"
    Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
    <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
      <xenc:EncryptedKey Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID">
        <xenc:EncryptionMethod
          Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
        <xenc:CipherData>
          <xenc:CipherValue>SDFSDF... </xenc:CipherValue>
        </xenc:CipherData>
      </xenc:EncryptedKey>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
  </xenc:EncryptedData>
</saml:EncryptedAttribute>
```

The final example shows an assertion encrypted for multiple recipients, using the <xenc:CarriedKeyName> approach:

```
<saml:EncryptedAssertion
  xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_DATA_ID"
```



```

971     Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
972     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
973         Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
974     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
975         <ds:KeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</ds:KeyName>
976     </ds:KeyInfo>
977     <xenc:CipherData>
978         <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
979     </xenc:CipherData>
980 </xenc:EncryptedData>
981
982 <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
983     Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID_1" Recipient="https://sp1.org">
984     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
985         Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
986     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
987         <ds:KeyName>KEY_NAME_1</ds:KeyName>
988     </ds:KeyInfo>
989     <xenc:CipherData>
990         <xenc:CipherValue>xyzABC...</xenc:CipherValue>
991     </xenc:CipherData>
992     <xenc:ReferenceList>
993         <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
994     </xenc:ReferenceList>
995
996     <xenc:CarriedKeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</xenc:CarriedKeyName>
997 </xenc:EncryptedKey>
998
999 <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
1000     Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID_2" Recipient="https://sp2.org">
1001     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
1002         Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
1003     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
1004         <ds:KeyName>KEY_NAME_2</ds:KeyName>
1005     </ds:KeyInfo>
1006     <xenc:CipherData>
1007         <xenc:CipherValue>abcXYZ...</xenc:CipherValue>
1008     </xenc:CipherData>
1009     <xenc:ReferenceList>
1010         <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
1011     </xenc:ReferenceList>
1012
1013     <xenc:CarriedKeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</xenc:CarriedKeyName>
1014 </xenc:EncryptedKey>
1015 </saml:EncryptedAssertion>

```

1016 | **E45: AuthnContext Comparison Order Clarifications**

1017 | Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.3.2.2.1](#) at lines 1815-1819 and 1826 [to clarify the lack of](#)
1018 | [orderedness in the comparison of a set of authentication contexts](#).

1019 | -Original at [Section 3.3.2.2.1](#), lines-1815-1819:

1020 | Either a set of class references or a set of declaration references can be used. The set of supplied
1021 | references MUST be evaluated as an ordered set, where the first element is the most preferred
1022 | authentication context class or declaration. If none of the specified classes or declarations can be
1023 | satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the responder MUST return a <Response>
1024 | message with a second-level <StatusCode> of
1025 | urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext.

1026 | New at [Section 3.3.2.2.1](#), lines 1815-1819:

1027 Either a set of class references or a set of declaration references can be used. **If ordering is**
1028 **relevant to the evaluation of the request, then** the set of supplied references MUST be
1029 evaluated as an ordered set, where the first element is the most preferred authentication context
1030 class or declaration. If none of the specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in accordance
1031 with the rules below, then the responder MUST return a <Response> message with a second-level
1032 <StatusCode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext. **For**
1033 **example, ordering is significant when using this element in an <AuthnRequest> message**
1034 **but not in an <AuthnQuery> message.**

1035 | Original at [Section 3.3.2.2.1](#), line 1826:

1036 | If `Comparison` is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication
1037 statement MUST be stronger (as deemed by the responder) than **any** of the authentication
1038 contexts specified.

1039 | New at [Section 3.3.2.2.1](#), line 1826:

1040 | If `Comparison` is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication
1041 statement MUST be stronger (as deemed by the responder) than **one** of the authentication
1042 contexts specified.

1043 E46: AudienceRestriction Clarifications

1044 | Change [SAMLCore] [Section 2.5.1.4](#) at lines 924-925 [to clarify the logical sense with respect to](#)
1045 [individual audience elements within an audience-restriction condition grouping](#).

1046 | -Original:

1047 | Note that multiple <AudienceRestriction> elements MAY be included in a single assertion,
1048 and each MUST be evaluated independently. The effect of this requirement and the preceding
1049 definition is that within a given **condition**, the **audiences** form a disjunction (an "OR") while
1050 multiple **conditions** form a conjunction (an "AND").

1051 | New:

1052 | Note that multiple <AudienceRestriction> elements MAY be included in a single assertion,
1053 and each MUST be evaluated independently. The effect of this requirement and the preceding
1054 definition is that within a given <AudienceRestrictions>, the <Audience> **elements** form a
1055 disjunction (an "OR") while multiple <AudienceRestrictions> **elements** form a conjunction (an
1056 "AND").

1057 E47: Clarification on SubjectConfirmation

1058 | Change [SAMLCore] [Section 2.4.1.1](#) at line 698, and [change](#) [SAMLProf] [Section 3.1](#) at lines 336
1059 [and](#); 341; and [Section 3.3](#) at lines 361-363, [in order to clarify behavior around the subject](#)
1060 [confirmation element and the intent of the embedded secondary identifier](#).

1061 | New at [SAMLCore] [Section 2.4.1.1](#), line 698 (add text just before the schema listing
1062 introduction):

1063 | **If the <SubjectConfirmation> element in an assertion subject contains an identifier the**
1064 **issuer authorizes the attesting entity to wield the assertion on behalf of that subject. A**
1065 **relying party MAY apply additional constraints on the use of such an assertion at its**
1066 **discretion, based upon the identities of both the subject and the attesting entity.**

1067 | **If an assertion is issued for use by an entity other than the subject, then that entity SHOULD**
1068 **be identified in the <SubjectConfirmation> element.**

1069 | The following schema fragment defines the <SubjectConfirmation> element and its
1070 SubjectConfirmationType complex type:

1071 | Original at [SAMLProf] [Section 3.1](#), line 336:

1072 As described in [XMLSig], each `<ds:KeyInfo>` element holds a key or information that enables
1073 an application to obtain a key. The holder of a **specified key** is considered to be the subject of the
1074 assertion by the asserting party.

1075 New at [SAMLProf] [Section 3.1](#), line 336 (note that E40 specified additional changes to the
1076 original text shown here):

1077 As described in [XMLSig], each `<ds:KeyInfo>` element holds a key or information that enables
1078 an application to obtain a key. The holder of **one or more of the specified keys** is considered to
1079 be the subject of the assertion by the asserting party.

1080 New at [SAMLProf] [Section 3.1](#), line 341 (add text just before the example):

1081 **If the `<SubjectConfirmation>` element in an assertion subject contains an identifier the
1082 issuer authorizes the attesting entity to wield the assertion on behalf of that subject. A
1083 relying party MAY apply additional constraints on the use of such an assertion at its
1084 discretion, based upon the identities of both the subject and the attesting entity.**

1085 **If an assertion is issued for use by an entity other than the subject, then that entity SHOULD
1086 be identified in the `<SubjectConfirmation>` element.**

1087 Example: The holder of the key named "By-Tor" or the holder of the key named "Snow Dog" can
1088 confirm itself as the subject.

1089 Original at [SAMLProf] [Section 3.3](#), lines 361-363:

1090 The subject of the assertion is **the bearer of** the assertion, subject to optional constraints on
1091 confirmation using the attributes that MAY be present in the `<SubjectConfirmationData>`
1092 element, as defined by [SAMLCore].

1093 New at [SAMLProf] [Section 3.3](#), lines 361-363:

1094 The subject of the assertion is **considered to be an acceptable attesting entity for** the assertion
1095 **by the asserting party**, subject to optional constraints on confirmation using the attributes that
1096 MAY be present in the `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element, as defined by [SAMLCore].

1097 **If the intended bearer is known by the asserting party to be an entity other than the subject,
1098 then the asserting party SHOULD identify that entity to the relying party by including a
1099 SAML identifier representing it in the enclosing `<SubjectConfirmation>` element.**

1100 **If multiple attesting entities are to be permitted to use the assertion based on bearer
1101 semantics, then multiple `<SubjectConfirmation>` elements SHOULD be included.**

1102 E48: Clarification on Encoding for Binary Values in LDAP Profile

1103 ~~[@@ISSUE: see E53]~~

1104 **Note:** E48 corrects text in a section that is affected by E53, which deprecates the
1105 entire section. Please see E53 for details.

1106 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1762. Original:

1107 For all other LDAP syntaxes, the attribute value is encoded, as the content of the
1108 `<AttributeValue>` element, by base64-encoding [RFC2045] the **encompassing** ASN.1 OCTET
1109 STRING-encoded LDAP attribute value. The `xsi:type` XML attribute MUST be set to
1110 `xs:base64Binary` . The profile-specific `Encoding` XML attribute is provided, with a value of
1111 `"LDAP"` .

1112 New:

1113 For all other LDAP syntaxes, the attribute value is encoded, as the content of the
1114 `<AttributeValue>` element, by base64-encoding [RFC2045] the **contents of the** ASN.1
1115 OCTET STRING-encoded LDAP attribute value (**not including the ASN.1 OCTET STRING
1116 wrapper**). The `xsi:type` XML attribute MUST be set to `xs:base64Binary` . The profile-specific
1117 `Encoding` XML attribute is provided, with a value of `"LDAP"` .

1118 E49: Clarification on Attribute Name Format

1119 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 2.7.3.1](#) at line 1217 [to clarify the relationship between an attribute's](#)
1120 [NameFormat setting and its syntax](#).

1121 -New (add text to the end of the definition of <AttributeValue>):

1122 <AttributeValue> [Any Number]

1123 Contains a value of the attribute. If an attribute contains more than one discrete value, it is
1124 RECOMMENDED that each value appear in its own <AttributeValue> element. If more than
1125 one <AttributeValue> element is supplied for an attribute, and any of the elements have a
1126 datatype assigned through `xsi:type`, then all of the <AttributeValue> elements must have
1127 the identical datatype assigned.

1128 **Attributes are identified/named by the combination of the NameFormat and Name XML**
1129 **attributes described above. Neither one in isolation can be assumed to be unique, but taken**
1130 **together, they ought to be unambiguous within a given deployment.**

1131 **The SAML profiles specification [SAMLProf] includes a number of attribute profiles**
1132 **designed to improve the interoperability of attribute usage in some identified scenarios.**
1133 **Such profiles typically include constraints on attribute naming and value syntax. There is no**
1134 **explicit indicator when an attribute profile is in use, and it is assumed that deployments can**
1135 **establish this out of band, based on the combination of NameFormat and Name.**

1136 E50: Clarification on SSL Ciphersuites

1137 Change [SAMLConf] [Section 4](#) at lines 235 and [Section 5 at line 257](#) [to clarify that the named](#)
1138 [ciphersuites are not the only ones that can be supported](#).

1139 New at [Section 4](#), line 235:

1140 SAML V2.0 uses XML Signature [XMLSig] to implement XML signing and encryption functionality
1141 for integrity, and source authentication. SAML V2.0 uses XML Encryption [XMLEnc] to implement
1142 confidentiality, including encrypted identifiers, encrypted assertions, and encrypted attributes. **The**
1143 **algorithms listed below as being required for SAML V2.0 conformance are based on the**
1144 **mandated algorithms in the W3C recommendations for XML Signature and for XML**
1145 **Encryption, but modified by the SSTC to ensure interoperability of conformant SAML**
1146 **implementations. While the SAML-defined set of algorithms is a minimal set for**
1147 **conformance, additional algorithms supported by XML Signature and XML Encryption MAY**
1148 **be used. Note, however, that the use of non-mandated algorithms may introduce**
1149 **interoperability issues if those algorithms are not widely implemented. As additional**
1150 **algorithms become mandated for use in XML Signature and XML Encryption, the set**
1151 **required for SAML conformance may be extended.**

1152 New at [Section 5](#), line 257:

1153 In any SAML V2.0 use of SSL 3.0 [SSL3] or TLS 1.0 [RFC 2246], servers MUST authenticate to
1154 clients using a X.509 v3 certificate. The client MUST establish server identity based on contents of
1155 the certificate (typically through examination of the certificate's subject DN field). **The set of**
1156 **algorithms required for SAML V2.0 conformance is equivalent to that defined in SAML V1.0**
1157 **and SAML V1.1. These mandated algorithms were chosen by the SSTC because of their**
1158 **wide implementation support in the industry. While the algorithms defined below are the**
1159 **minimal set for SAML conformance, additional algorithms supported by SSL 3.0 and TLS 1.0**
1160 **MAY be used.**

1161 E51: Schema Type of Contents of <AttributeValue>

1162 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 8.1.4](#) at lines [47009-9161670](#) to change the reference from **Section**
1163 **3.3 to Section 3, in order to fix a typographical error that would have improperly restricted the**
1164 [valid types for attribute values to derived types, rather than the larger category of built-in types](#).

1165 **E52: Clarification on NotOnOrAfter Attribute for Subject**
1166 **Confirmation**

1167 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.1.4.2](#) at line 557 to correctly reflect the type of validity period that
1168 applies to subject confirmation.

1169 -Original:

1170 The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a
1171 <SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a Recipient attribute containing the
1172 service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotOnOrAfter attribute that limits the
1173 window during which the assertion can be **delivered**. It MAY contain an Address attribute limiting
1174 the client address from which the assertion can be delivered.

1175 New (note that E26 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

1176 The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a
1177 <SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a Recipient attribute containing the
1178 service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotOnOrAfter attribute that limits the
1179 window during which the assertion can be **confirmed by the relying party**. It MAY contain an
1180 Address attribute limiting the client address from which the assertion can be delivered.

1181 **E53: Correction to LDAP/X.500 Profile Attribute** ~~[@@ISSUE]~~

1182 ~~Change/Deprecate~~ [SAMLProf] [Section 8.2](#) at lines 1677-176699 by adding a notice after line
1183 1677.

1184 New:

1185 **8.2 X.500/LDAP Attribute Profile – Deprecated**

1186 **NOTE: This attribute profile is deprecated because of a flaw that makes it schema-invalid.**
1187 **The SSTC has replaced it with a separately published SAML V2.0 X.500/LDAP Attribute**
1188 **Profile specification that removes this flaw.**

1189 Directories based on the ITU-T X.500 specifications [X.500] and the related IETF Lightweight
1190 Directory Access Protocol specifications [LDAP] are widely deployed.... [@@NOTE: MAJOR-
1191 ISSUE WITH THIS ERRATUM. The plan is to deprecate the entire profile once an updated
1192 separate profile document can be issued. The latter has now been published as a working draft.
1193 What to do? Should this still be PE53? Also note that E48 specifies a change to the wording within
1194 this profile section having to do with the usage the Encoding attribute under discussion.]

1195 **E54: Corrections to ECP URN**

1196 Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.2.3.1](#) at lines 757 and 763-764 to correct the usage of quotation
1197 marks in HTTP headers.

1198 New at line 757 (add double quotation marks around the URN):

1199 Furthermore, support for this profile MUST be specified in the HTTP PAOS Header field as a service
1200 value, with the value "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp".

1201 Original at lines 763-764 (single quotation marks are problematic):

```
1202 GET /index HTTP/1.1  
1203 Host: identity-service.example.com  
1204 Accept: text/html; application/vnd.paos+xml  
1205 PAOS: ver='urn:liberty:paos:2003-08' ;  
1206 'urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp'
```

1207 New at lines 763-764 (double quotation marks used instead):

```
1208 GET /index HTTP/1.1  
1209 Host: identity-service.example.com
```



```
1210 Accept: text/html; application/vnd.paos+xml
1211 PAOS: ver="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08" ;
1212 "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp"
```

1213 E55: Language Cleanup Around Name Identifier Management

1214 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6.3](#) at lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487, and [Section 8.3.7](#) at lines
1215 3337-3339, and [change \[SAMLProf\] Section 4.5](#) at lines 1319 and 1323 [to clear up ambiguities](#)
1216 [around name identifier management and its application to various name identifier formats and](#)
1217 [differing identities for a principal](#).

1218 Original at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6.3](#), lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487:

1219 If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in
1220 the case of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the
1221 case of an identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider **about the**
1222 **principal**. The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the
1223 relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated.

1224 If the service provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a
1225 <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the identity provider MUST include the element's
1226 content as the SPProvidedID when subsequently communicating to the service provider
1227 **regarding this principal**.

1228 If the identity provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a
1229 <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the service provider MUST use the element's content
1230 as the <saml:NameID> element content when subsequently communicating with the identity
1231 provider **regarding this principal**.

1232 New at [SAMLCore] [Section 3.6.3](#), lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487 (note that E8 specifies
1233 additional changes to the original text shown here):

1234 If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in
1235 the case of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the
1236 case of an identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider **using that**
1237 **identifier**. The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the
1238 relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated.

1239 If the service provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a
1240 <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the identity provider MUST include the element's
1241 content as the SPProvidedID when subsequently communicating to the service provider **using**
1242 **the primary identifier**.

1243 If the identity provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a
1244 <NewID> (or <NewEncryptedID>) element, the service provider MUST use the element's content
1245 as the <saml:NameID> element content when subsequently communicating with the identity
1246 provider **in any case where the identifier being changed would have been used**.

1247 New at [SAMLCore] [Section 8.4.7](#), lines 3337-3339:

1248 The element's SPNameQualifier attribute, if present, MUST contain the unique identifier of the
1249 service provider or affiliation of providers for whom the identifier was generated (see Section 8.3.6).
1250 It MAY be omitted if the element is contained in a message intended only for consumption directly
1251 by the service provider, and the value would be the unique identifier of that service provider.

1252 ~~The element's SPProvidedID attribute MUST contain the alternative identifier of the~~
1253 ~~principal most recently set by the service provider or affiliation, if any (see Section 3.6). If no~~
1254 ~~such identifier has been established, then the attribute MUST be omitted.~~

1255 Original at [SAMLProf] [Section 4.5](#), lines 1319 and 1323:

1256 In the scenario supported by the Name Identifier Management profile, an identity provider has
1257 exchanged some form of **persistent** identifier for a principal with a service provider, allowing them
1258 to share a common identifier for some length of time. Subsequently, the identity provider may wish
1259 to notify the service provider of a change in the format and/or value that it will use to identify the

1260 same principal in the future. Alternatively the service provider may wish to attach its own "alias" for
1261 the principal in order to ensure that the identity provider will include it when communicating with it in
1262 the future **about the principal**. Finally, one of the providers may wish to inform the other that it will
1263 no longer issue or accept messages using a particular identifier. To implement these scenarios, a
1264 profile of the SAML Name Identifier Management protocol is used.

1265 | New at [SAMLProf] [Section 4.5](#), lines 1319 and 1323 (note that E12 specifies additional changes
1266 to the original text shown here):

1267 In the scenario supported by the Name Identifier Management profile, an identity provider has
1268 exchanged some form of **long-term** identifier (**including but not limited to identifiers with a**
1269 **Format Of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:persistent**) for a principal
1270 with a service provider, allowing them to share a common identifier for some length of time.
1271 Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the format
1272 and/or value that it will use to identify the same principal in the future. Alternatively the service
1273 provider may wish to attach its own "alias" for the principal in order to ensure that the identity
1274 provider will include it when communicating with it in the future **using that identifier**. Finally, one of
1275 the providers may wish to inform the other that it will no longer issue or accept messages using a
1276 particular identifier. To implement these scenarios, a profile of the SAML Name Identifier
1277 Management protocol is used.

1278 E56: Confirmation Method Typo

1279 | Change [SAMLProf] [Section 3](#) at line 326 to change the reference from **<ConfirmationMethod>**
1280 (**an element that no longer exists**) to **Method** (**an attribute, used instead of the element beginning**
1281 **in V2.0 of SAML**).

1282 E57: SAMLmime Reference

1283 | Change [SAMLBind] [Section 4](#) at lines 1468-1469 **to replace a reference to an expired IETF I-D**
1284 **for the SAMLmime definition to a persistent reference for the same definition**.

1285 | -Original:

1286 | [SAMLmime] **application/saml+xml Media Type Registration, IETF Internet-Draft,**
1287 **http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-hodges-saml-mediatype-01.txt.**

1288 | New:

1289 | [SAMLmime] **OASIS Security Services Technical Committee (SSTC),**
1290 **"application/samlassertion+xml MIME Media Type Registration", IANA**
1291 **MIME Media Types Registry application/samlassertion+xml, December**
1292 **2004. See http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-**
1293 **types/application/samlassertion+xml.**

1294 E58: KeyDescriptor Typos in Profiles

1295 | Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.1.6](#) at lines 626 and 627 **to expand the keyword sign to signing**
1296 **and to expand the keyword encrypt to encryption. These were typographical errors.**

1297 | -Original:

1298 | The providers MAY document the key(s) used to sign requests, responses, and assertions with
1299 **<md:KeyDescriptor>** elements with a **use** attribute of **sign**. When encrypting SAML elements,
1300 **<md:KeyDescriptor>** elements with a **use** attribute of **encrypt** MAY be used to document
1301 supported encryption algorithms and settings, and public keys used to receive bulk encryption
1302 keys.

1303 | New:

1304 | The providers MAY document the key(s) used to sign requests, responses, and assertions with
1305 **<md:KeyDescriptor>** elements with a **use** attribute of **signing**. When encrypting SAML

1306 elements, <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of **encryption** MAY be used to
1307 document supported encryption algorithms and settings, and public keys used to receive bulk
1308 encryption keys.

1309 E59: SSO Response When Using HTTP-Artifact

1310 Change [SAMLBind] [Section 3.6.5.2](#) at line 1173 to observe for clarity's sake that particular
1311 message delivery mechanisms are not mandated for the "nested" message exchange that takes
1312 place as part of the HTTP-Artifact binding.

1313 -New ~~(add text after paragraph):~~

1314 Note also that there is no mechanism defined to protect the integrity of the relationship between the
1315 artifact and the "RelayState" value, if any. That is, an attacker can potentially recombine a pair of
1316 valid HTTP responses by switching the "RelayState" values associated with each artifact. As a
1317 result, the producer/consumer of "RelayState" information MUST take care not to associate
1318 sensitive state information with the "RelayState" value without taking additional precautions (such
1319 as based on the information in the SAML protocol message retrieved via artifact).

1320 **Finally, note that the use of the Destination attribute in the root SAML element of the**
1321 **protocol message is unspecified by this binding, because of the message indirection**
1322 **involved.**

1323 E60: Incorrect URI for Unspecified NameID Format

1324 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 2.2.2](#) at line 460 to change the name identifier format from
1325 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:nameid-format:unspecified to
1326 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:unspecified. This was a
1327 typographical error.

1328 E61: Reference to Non-Existent Element ~~{@@ISSUE}~~

1329 Change [SAMLCore] [Section 7.1.2](#) at lines 3160. Original:

1330 The following SAML protocol **elements are** intended specifically for use as extension points in an
1331 extension schema; **their types are** set to abstract, and **are** thus usable only as the base of a
1332 derived type:

- 1333 • ~~<Request>~~ and RequestAbstractType
- 1334 • <SubjectQuery> and SubjectQueryAbstractType

1335 New: ~~{@@Note that it wasn't as simple as just deleting the first bullet, since~~
1336 ~~RequestAbstractType is unique in that it's the only SAML abstract built upon by "non-abstract"~~
1337 ~~SAML-native elements, and is mentioned as a key component of a SAML protocol exchange.~~
1338 ~~Section 7 purports to "discuss only elements and types that have been specifically designed to~~
1339 ~~support extensibility" and this one seems to qualify. Does this revision look okay?}~~

1340 ~~The following SAML protocol element is intended specifically for use as an extension point in an~~
1341 ~~extension schema; its type is set to abstract, and it is thus usable only as the base of a derived~~
1342 ~~type:~~
1343 ~~The following SAML protocol constructs are intended specifically for use as extension points~~
1344 ~~in an extension schema; the types listed are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base~~
1345 ~~of a derived type:~~

- 1345 • RequestAbstractType
- 1346 • <SubjectQuery> and SubjectQueryAbstractType

1347 **The following SAML abstract complex type is available for use as an extension point in an**
1348 **extension schema, along with the other abstract types mentioned in Section 7 and SAML's non-**
1349 **abstract types:**

1350 | ~~RequestAbstractType~~

1351 | **PE62: TLS Keys in KeyDescriptor** ~~[@TBS]~~

1352 | Change [SAMLMeta] [Section 2.4.1.1](#) at line 624 to specify more clearly how to interpret the
1353 | [KeyDescriptor](#) element's use attribute.s...

1354 | New (just after the conclusion of the definition list for [KeyDescriptorType](#)):

1355 | A use value of "signing" means that the contained key information is applicable to both
1356 | signing and TLS/SSL operations performed by the entity when acting in the enclosing role.

1357 | A use value of "encryption" means that the contained key information is suitable for
1358 | use in wrapping encryption keys for use by the entity when acting in the enclosing role.

1359 | If the use attribute is omitted, then the contained key information is applicable to both of
1360 | the above uses.

1361 | The following schema fragment defines the [<KeyDescriptor>](#) element and its
1362 | [KeyDescriptorType](#) complex type:

1363 | ~~[@@TBS: Waiting for disposition of this PE]~~

1364 | **PE63: IdP Discovery Cookie Interpretation** ~~[@TBS]~~

1365 | Change [SAMLProf] [Section 4.3.1](#) at line 1105s... to clear up confusion over interpretation of the
1366 | contents of an IdP Discovery cookie. (Note that E32 specifies changes to Section 4 that result in
1367 | a new Section 4.3.1 being inserted before the original one; E63 applies to the original Section
1368 | 4.3.1.)

1369 | New:

1370 | Cookie syntax should be in accordance with IETF RFC 2965 [RFC2965] or [NSCookie]. The cookie
1371 | MAY be either session-only or persistent. This choice may be made within a deployment, but
1372 | should apply uniformly to all identity providers in the deployment. Note that while a session-only
1373 | cookie can be used, the intent of this profile is not to provide a means of determining
1374 | whether a user actually has an active session with one or more of the identity providers
1375 | stored in the cookie. The cookie merely identifies identity providers known to have been
1376 | used in the past. Service providers MAY instead rely on the [IsPassive](#) attribute in their
1377 | [<samlp:AuthnRequest>](#) message to probe for active sessions.

1378 | ~~[@@TBS: Waiting for disposition of this PE]~~

3 References

1379

1380 In general, the latest revisions of all errata-related documents will be listed and linked from the
1381 public SSTC home page at [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=security)
1382 [open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=security](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=security). Links for the latest revisions at
1383 publication time have been provided below.

- 1384 **[SAMLBind]** S. Cantor et al. *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup*
1385 *Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See
1386 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf>.
- 1387 **[SAMLBindErr]** S. Cantor et al. *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup*
1388 *Language (SAML) V2.0 – Errata Composite*. OASIS SSTC, January
1389 2007. At publication time, the current revision is 034; see
1390 [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21804/sste-saml-bindings-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf)
1391 [open.org/committees/download.php/21804/sste-saml-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21804/sste-saml-bindings-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf)
1392 [bindings-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22381/sstc-saml-bindings-errata-2.0-wd-04-diff.pdf)[open.org/committees/download.php/22381/sstc-saml-bindings-errata-](http://www.oasis-
1393 <a href=)
 [2.0-wd-04-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22381/sstc-saml-bindings-errata-2.0-wd-04-diff.pdf).
- 1394 **[SAMLConf]** P. Mishra et al. *Conformance Requirements for the OASIS Security*
1395 *Assertion Mark Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS SSTC, March
1396 2005. See [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf)
1397 [conformance-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf).
- 1398 **[SAMLConfErr]** P. Mishra et al. *Conformance Requirements for the OASIS Security*
1399 *Assertion Mark Markup Language (SAML) V2.0 – Errata Composite*.
1400 OASIS SSTC, January 2007. At publication time, the current revision is
1401 03; see [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21806/sste-saml-core-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf)
1402 [open.org/committees/download.php/21806/sste-saml-core-errata-2.0-wd-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21806/sste-saml-core-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf)
1403 [03-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22383/sstc-saml-conformance-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf)[open.org/committees/download.php/22383/sstc-saml-conformance-](http://www.oasis-
1404 <a href=)
1405 [errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22383/sstc-saml-conformance-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf).
- 1406 **[SAMLCore]** S. Cantor et al. *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security*
1407 *Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS SSTC, March 2005.
1408 See <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf>.
- 1409 **[SAMLCoreErr]** S. Cantor et al. *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security*
1410 *Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0 – Errata Composite*. OASIS
1411 SSTC, January 2007. ~~SA~~At publication time, the current revision is 04; see
1412 [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/documents.php?wg_abbrev=security)
1413 [open.org/committees/documents.php?wg_abbrev=security](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/documents.php?wg_abbrev=security)[sis-](http://www.oa-
1414 <a href=)
1415 [open.org/committees/download.php/22385/sstc-saml-core-errata-2.0-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22385/sstc-saml-core-errata-2.0-wd-04-diff.pdf)
 [wd-04-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22385/sstc-saml-core-errata-2.0-wd-04-diff.pdf).
- 1416 **[SAMLErrWork]** E. Maler. *Errata Working Document for SAML V2.0*. OASIS SSTC,
1417 January 2007. At publication time, the current revision is 389; see
1418 [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21801/sste-saml-errata-2.0-draft-38.pdf)
1419 [open.org/committees/download.php/21801/sste-saml-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21801/sste-saml-errata-2.0-draft-38.pdf)
1420 [errata-2.0-draft-38.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22378/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-draft-39.pdf)[open.org/committees/download.php/22378/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-draft-](http://www.oasis-
1421 <a href=)
 [39.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22378/sstc-saml-errata-2.0-draft-39.pdf).
- 1422 **[SAMLMeta]** S. Cantor et al. *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup*
1423 *Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See
1424 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf>.
- 1425 **[SAMLMetaErr]** S. Cantor et al. *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup*
1426 *Language (SAML) V2.0 – Errata Composite*. OASIS SSTC, January
1427 2007. At publication time, the current revision is 023; see
1428 [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/19858/sste-saml-metadata-errata-2.0-wd-02-diff.pdf)
1429 [open.org/committees/download.php/19858/sste-saml-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/19858/sste-saml-metadata-errata-2.0-wd-02-diff.pdf)
 [metadata-errata-2.0-wd-02-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/19858/sste-saml-metadata-errata-2.0-wd-02-diff.pdf)[66 | sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0-wd-024
67 | Copyright © OASIS Open 2007. All Rights Reserved.](http://www.oasis-</p></div><div data-bbox=)

1430 | [open.org/committees/download.php/22387/sstc-saml-metadata-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22387/sstc-saml-metadata-errata-2.0-wd-03-diff.pdf).
1431 |
1432 | **[SAMLProf]** S. Cantor et al. *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS SSTC, March 2005. See
1433 | <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf>.
1434 |
1435 | **[SAMLProfErr]** S. Cantor et al. *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0 – Errata Composite*. OASIS SSTC, January
1436 | 2007. At publication time, the current revision is 045; see
1437 | <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/21808/sstc-saml-profiles-errata-2.0-wd-04-diff.pdf>
1438 | [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22389/sstc-saml-profiles-errata-2.0-wd-05-diff.pdf)
1439 | [open.org/committees/download.php/22389/sstc-saml-profiles-errata-2.0-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22389/sstc-saml-profiles-errata-2.0-wd-05-diff.pdf)
1440 | [wd-05-diff.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/22389/sstc-saml-profiles-errata-2.0-wd-05-diff.pdf).
1441 |
1442 | _____

1443

Appendix A. Notices

1444 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights
1445 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this
1446 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available;
1447 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on
1448 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS
1449 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
1450 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission
1451 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification, can be
1452 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

1453 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent
1454 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to
1455 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

1456 Copyright © The Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards [OASIS]
1457 2007. All Rights Reserved.

1458 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works
1459 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
1460 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the
1461 above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
1462 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the
1463 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
1464 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual
1465 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other
1466 than English.

1467 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its
1468 successors or assigns.

1469 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
1470 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
1471 ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
1472 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
1473 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

1474

Appendix B.Acknowledgments

1475 The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical
1476 Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

1477 • @@TBS

1478 The editors also would like to gratefully acknowledge Jahan Moreh of Sigaba, who during his
1479 tenure on the SSTC was the primary editor of the ~~working~~-errata working document and who
1480 made major substantive contributions to all of the errata materials.

1481

1482