Participants: James Helms (chair), Robbie Schaefer, Kris Luyten (secretary), Jo Vermeulen (invited)

1 Status and discussion of specification review

- Kris still has to review the template section.
- Kris argues there should be full coverage of the specification in the existing implementations. James mentions there need to be three reference implementations. These could be created after the specification. The whole specification does not have to be implemented by one renderer, but different parts could be implemented by different renderers. Kris asks for a time schedule. James refers to the 60-day public review period and will check this with the OASIS rules.

2 Specification checklist

For completeness we repeat the checklist here with comments made during the conference call:

- **Examples should be made consistent with generic vocabulary.** This should be done by everyone
- **Verify if all elements have the correct children list.** Robbie mentions the template element should be reviewed by Kris and Jo
- **Build the new 4.0 DTD** Will be done when we have a complete draft
- **Add the newly introduced Elements to section 3** James: mostly done
- **Check if some other terms that should be defined in section 1.4 such as “Layout”**
- **Check that examples use English names and are not potentially offensive**
- **Create meta-model from UIML 4.0 schema/DTD.** Kris thinks this can be done in parallel, but should check this with Jean
- **Address all inline comments in the document**
• Clean up document formatting, cross reference, and image issues caused by incompatibilities between OpenOffice and MS Word

• James will check whether the current spec is compliant to other OASIS specs. Kris suggests asking Mary McRae to have a look at it.

3 Schedule

• James asks Kris when to expect the revision of the template section. Kris plans to send this at 11 April to James. James plans to have a completely reviewed draft on 30 April. At that time the spec will be given to Heinz-Josef and Jean to review it as a complete document. All of the revisions will need to be completed by 25th of May. The final deadline for voting is 28th of May.

• Kris asks for the OASIS constraints with respect to timing; James answers there are no real constraints from OASIS, there are some constraints for Harmonia however. James will also check this with the OASIS rules and guidelines.

• James thanks everyone for a successful voting session on the IPR transition.

4 UIML paper

• Kris mentions it is difficult to write a paper in such a short timespan. James mentions April 15 and agrees it is a difficult deadline. Jo struggles with the same problem to contribute to the text.

• Kris mentions someone should glue the different pieces of text together. James will do the editing part (including the introduction based on the items).

• The overall theme of the chapter is discussed. Kris proposes a list of items that could help to structure the text.

• James will try to edit a draft by Tuesday and send this around.

• The participants will ask Jean whether there is a deadline delay possible.

• The UsiXML section is not clear: this should be checked with Jean how this could be improved.
5 OASIS Symposium

- James mentions we need to submit three slides for the lightning slides. The status of the specification and usual items can be included (What and why).

- Robbie had contact with another OASIS TC interested in using UIML at a German OASIS day, but this contact was not pursued any further.

- Robbie has some slides that make the connection between Web Services and UIML.

- Kris, Robbie and James all think a collaboration with BPEL would be useful.

- James will send the slides to the OASIS representative.