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1 Introduction

The Level of Assurance Authentication Context Profiles for SAML 2.0 describes two profiles of the SAML Authentication Context [SAMLAC] specification:

- A general, restricted version of the AuthnContext schema that may be used as the basis for representing levels of assurance (or other abstract authentication models) defined by external documentation.
- A specific set of AuthnContextClass schema derived from the general case which implements the [NIST 800-63] levels of assurance.

1.1 Motivation [Non-Normative]

Many existing (and potential) SAML federation deployments have adopted a "levels of assurance" (or LOA) model for categorizing the wide variety of authentication methods into a small number of levels, typically based on some notion of the strength of the authentication. Federation members (service providers or "relying parties") then decide which level of assurance is required to access specific protected resources, based on some assessment of "value" or "risk".

The SAML authentication context mechanisms provide a variety of possible options for representing the details of a LOA scheme. However, this profile is motivated by two related considerations:

- The SAML authentication context scheme is comprehensive, but quite complex. Deployers find that this complexity is a barrier to designing authentication contexts that match their LOA requirements.
- Representing the details of a LOA scheme using the full expressiveness of the authentication context schema results in XML documents that must be passed in-band with authentication events and parsed by SAML implementations. In most cases, the processing requirements are not sustainable and interoperability issues have not been explored.

The approach taken here simply represents each level in a LOA scheme as a separate authentication context class. Each level class is characterized by a URI, and the body of the schema simply contains a reference to the external documentation that defines the LOA scheme. These URI values are conveyed in the <RequestedAuthnContext> element of an authentication request and the <AuthnContextClassRef> element in the authentication response.

1.2 Limitations [Non-Normative]

There are at least two limitations to using this approach:

- The URIs representing the levels must be configured into every system in the deployment, and the ordering of the URI levels must be decided and configured out-of-band.
- The authentication assertions carrying these LOA authentication context URIs do not convey any details about the authentication event, although such details are implied by the level indicated by the URI.

1.3 Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in IETF [RFC 2119]:...
they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior
which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)…

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol
and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations.
When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for
their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the
example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>XML Namespace</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ds:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#</a></td>
<td>This is the XML Signature namespace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xs:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
<td>This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <SAMLElement>,
<ns:ForeignElement>, Attribute, Datatype, OtherCode.

1.4 Normative References


1.5 Non-normative References

[Reference] [reference citation]
[Reference] [reference citation]
2 General Level-of-Assurance Profile

The following schema redefines the basic abstract AuthnContextDeclarationBaseType to limit the allowed elements to the GoverningAgreements.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    finalDefault="extension"
    blockDefault="substitution" version="2.0">
    <xs:redefine schemaLocation="saml-schema-authn-context-types-2.0.xsd">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:documentation>
                Base class for building level-of-assurance style AuthnContext class definitions.
            </xs:documentation>
        </xs:annotation>
        <xs:complexType name="AuthnContextDeclarationBaseType">
            <xs:complexContent>
                <xs:restriction base="AuthnContextDeclarationBaseType">
                    <xs:sequence>
                        <xs:element ref="Identification" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
                        <xs:element ref="TechnicalProtection" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
                        <xs:element ref="OperationalProtection" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
                        <xs:element ref="AuthnMethod" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
                        <xs:element ref="GoverningAgreements" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
                        <xs:element ref="Extension" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
                    </xs:sequence>
                    <xs:attribute name="ID" type="xs:ID" use="optional"/>
                </xs:restriction>
            </xs:complexContent>
        </xs:complexType>
        <xs:complexType name="GoverningAgreementRefType">
            <xs:annotation>
                <xs:documentation>
                    A specific restriction of this type specifying or enumerating the governing document(s) and/or section within such document(s) that define this particular level of assurance.
                </xs:documentation>
            </xs:annotation>
            <xs:restriction base="GoverningAgreementRefType">
                <xs:attribute name="governingAgreementRef" type="xs:anyURI" use="required"/>
            </xs:restriction>
        </xs:complexType>
    </xs:redefine>
</xs:schema>
```
The functional definition of the GoverningAgreementRefType is not changed from the original schema in [SAMLAC], but documentation is added to serve as a reminder that definitions derived from this schema should redefine GoverningAgreementRefType to suit a particular LOA purpose.

### 2.1 Example Derived Class

The following schema is based on the general LOA schema above, and further constrains the governing agreements to be limited to an enumerated set of references:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
  targetNamespace="urn:oasis:loa:example"
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  xmlns="urn:oasis:loa:example"
  finalDefault="extension"
  blockDefault="substitution"
  version="2.0">

  <xs:redefine schemaLocation="saml-schema-authn-context-loa-profile.xsd">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        Class identifier: urn:oasis:loa:example
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>

    <xs:complexType name="GoverningAgreementRefType">
      <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="GoverningAgreementRefType">
          <xs:attribute name="governingAgreementRef" use="required">
            <xs:simpleType>
              <xs:restriction base="xs:anyURI">
                <xs:enumeration value="http://example.com/loa-1.pdf"/>
                <xs:enumeration value="http://example.com/loa-2.pdf"/>
              </xs:restriction>
            </xs:simpleType>
        </xs:attribute>
      </xs:restriction>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:redefine>
</xs:schema>
```
3 NIST 800-63 LOA Using SAML LOA Profile

We define the following URIs to represent the four levels of assurance described in [NIST 800-63].


The following schema define these URIs using the SAML LOA Profile described in section 2.

Editors Note: it occurs to me that these schema might also be represented as AuthenticationContextDeclaration instances, based on a class defined with an enumeration such as the example above. One might also employ an extension to explicitly indicate the numeric level as an integer. I welcome comments as to whether this alternative approach should be presented.

3.1 Level 1 Schema

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  finalDefault="extension"
  blockDefault="substitution"
  version="2.0">
  <xs:redefine schemaLocation="saml-schema-authn-context-loa-profile.xsd">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        Class identifier:
        Document identifier:
        saml-schema-authn-context-nist-level1.xsd
        Defines Level 1 of NIST LOA scheme.
        See Section 8.2.1 of SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf (URL below)
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:complexType name="GoverningAgreementRefType">
      <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="GoverningAgreementRefType">
          <xs:attribute name="governingAgreementRef"
                        type="xs:anyURI"
                        fixed="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-63/SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf"
                        use="required"/>
        </xs:restriction>
      </xs:complexContent>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:redefine>
</xs:schema>
```
3.2 Level 2 Schema

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  finalDefault="extension"
  blockDefault="substitution"
  version="2.0">
  <xs:redefine schemaLocation="saml-schema-authn-context-loa-profile.xsd">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        Class identifier:
        Document identifier:
        saml-schema-authn-context-nist-level2.xsd
        Defines Level 2 of NIST LOA scheme.
        See Section 8.2.2 of SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf (URL below)
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:complexType name="GoverningAgreementRefType">
      <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="GoverningAgreementRefType">
          <xs:attribute name="governingAgreementRef"
            type="xs:anyURI"
            fixed="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-63/SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf"
            use="required"/>
        </xs:restriction>
      </xs:complexContent>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:redefine>
</xs:schema>
```

3.3 Level 3 Schema

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  finalDefault="extension"
  blockDefault="substitution"
  version="2.0">
  <xs:redefine schemaLocation="saml-schema-authn-context-loa-profile.xsd">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        Class identifier:
        Governing Agreement Reference Type
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:complexType name="GoverningAgreementRefType">
      <xs:complexContent>
        <xs:restriction base="GoverningAgreementRefType">
          <xs:attribute name="governingAgreementRef"
            type="xs:anyURI"
            fixed="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-63/SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf"
            use="required"/>
        </xs:restriction>
      </xs:complexContent>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:redefine>
</xs:schema>
```
### 3.4 Level 4 Schema

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
   xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
   finalDefault="extension"
   blockDefault="substitution"
   version="2.0">
   <xs:redefine schemaLocation="saml-schema-authn-context-loa-profile.xsd">
       <xs:annotation>
           <xs:documentation>
               Document identifier:
               saml-schema-authn-context-loa-profile.xsd
               Defines Level 4 of NIST LOA scheme.
               See Section 8.2.4 of SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf (URL below)
           </xs:documentation>
       </xs:annotation>
       <xs:complexType name="GoverningAgreementRefType">
           <xs:complexContent>
               <xs:restriction base="GoverningAgreementRefType">
                   <xs:attribute name="governingAgreementRef"
                     type="xs:anyURI"
                     fixed="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-63/SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf"
                     use="required"/>
               </xs:restriction>
           </xs:complexContent>
       </xs:complexType>
   </xs:redefine>
</xs:schema>
```
</xs:schema>
4 SAML LOA Profile Conformance

To conform to this profile, implementations MUST implement the provisions of sections 3.3.2.2.1 of [SAMLCore] concerning the processing of `<RequestedAuthnContext>`.

4.1 NIST 800-63 LOA Profile Conformance

To conform to the NIST 800-63 profile, implementations MUST understand the URIs described in section 3, and MUST process these according to their relative ordering, where level 1 is weakest and level 4 is strongest.

Editors Note: We may want to add additional conformance clauses describing the specific SAML Bindings and other settings (e.g., encryption and signing) that must be used for each of the levels. This is described in the NIST document, but a concise statement here might be beneficial.
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