



SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

Working Draft 04, 6 October 2008

Specification URIs:

TBD

Technical Committee:

OASIS Security Services TC

Chair(s):

Hal Lockhart, BEA Systems, Inc.

Brian Campbell, Ping Identity Corporation

Editors:

Tom Scavo, National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA)

Contributors:

Nate Klingenstein, Internet2

Scott Cantor, Internet2

Abstract:

The *SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile* describes the issuing and processing of holder-of-key SAML assertions. Specifically, we show how a SAML issuer binds X.509 data to a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element and how a relying party confirms that a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from a standard X.509 public key certificate.

Status

This document was last revised or approved by the SSTC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions of this document. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule.

TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others should send comments to the TC by using the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the IPR section of the TC web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php>).

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security>.

34 Notices

35 Copyright © OASIS Open 2008. All Rights Reserved.

36 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
37 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

38 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
39 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,
40 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
41 and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may
42 not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as
43 needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical
44 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be
45 followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

46 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
47 or assigns.

48 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
49 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
50 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY
51 OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
52 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

53 OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would
54 necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to
55 notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such
56 patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced
57 this specification.

58 OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any
59 patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent
60 holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR
61 Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such
62 claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

63 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
64 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
65 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent
66 that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to
67 rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the
68 OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
69 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the
70 use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS
71 Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any
72 information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list
73 are, in fact, Essential Claims.

74 The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be
75 used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and
76 implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against
77 misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

78 **Table of Contents**

79 1 Introduction.....4
80 1.1 Notation.....4
81 1.2 Normative References.....4
82 1.3 Non-normative References.....5
83 1.4 Conformance.....5
84 1.4.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile.....5
85 2 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile.....6
86 2.1 Required Information.....6
87 2.2 Profile Description.....6
88 2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage.....6
89 2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions.....7
90 2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage.....7
91 2.4.2 Example.....8
92 2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions.....9
93 2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations.....9
94 Appendix A. Acknowledgments.....11
95 Appendix B. Revision History.....12
96

1 Introduction

The *SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile* describes the issuing and processing of a holder-of-key SAML assertion, that is, an assertion containing a `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element whose `Method` attribute is set to `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key`. Specifically, we describe the structural characteristics of a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element with bound X.509 data and show how a relying party confirms that such a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from a standard X.509 public key certificate.

1.1 Notation

This specification uses normative text.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]:

...they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)...

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

| Prefix | XML Namespace | Comments |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--|
| saml: | urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion | This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core]. |
| ds: | http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig# | This is the XML Signature namespace [XMLSig]. |

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: `<SAMLElement>`, `<ns:ForeignElement>`, `Attribute`, **Datatype**, `OtherCode`.

1.2 Normative References

- [RFC2119] S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF RFC 2119, March 1997. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- [RFC4514] K. Zeilenga. *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Distinguished Names*. IETF RFC 4514, June 2006. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4514.txt>
- [RFC5280] D. Cooper, S. Santesson, S. Farrell, S. Boeyen, R. Housley, W. Polk. *Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile*. IETF RFC 5280, May 2008. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5280.txt>

132 **[SAML2Core]** S. Cantor, J. Kemp, R. Philpott, E. Maler. *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS*
133 *Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS Standard, March
134 2005. <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf>

135 **[SAML2Prof]** J. Hughes, S. Cantor, J. Hodges, F. Hirsch, P. Mishra, R. Philpott, E. Maler.
136 *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*. OASIS
137 Standard, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
138 [profiles-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)

139 **[XMLSig]** D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler. *XML Signature Syntax*
140 *and Processing (Second Edition)*. World Wide Web Consortium
141 Recommendation, 10 June 2008. <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>

142 **1.3 Non-normative References**

143 **[AIXCM]** T. Moreau. *Auto Issued X.509 Certificate Mechanism (AIXCM)*. IETF Internet-
144 Draft, 6 August 2008. See [http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-moreau-pkix-](http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-moreau-pkix-aixcm-00.txt)
145 [aixcm-00.txt](http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-moreau-pkix-aixcm-00.txt)

146 **[RFC3820]** S. Tuecke, V. Welch, D. Engert, L. Pearlman, M. Thompson. *Internet X.509*
147 *Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Proxy Certificate Profile*. IETF RFC 3820, June
148 2004. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3820.txt>

149 **[RFC4346]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol*. IETF
150 RFC 4346, April 2006. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt>

151 **1.4 Conformance**

152 **1.4.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile**

153 Both the SAML issuer and the relying party MUST conform to section 2.3.

154 A SAML issuer MUST follow the issuing rules in section 2.4. In particular, a SAML issuer MUST produce
155 <ds:KeyInfo> elements that conform to section 2.4.1. Likewise, a relying party MUST follow the
156 processing rules in section 2.5.

157 To claim conformance to this specification, a SAML issuer implementation MUST support the
158 <ds:X509Certificate> element specified in section 2.4.1. Support for the remaining child elements
159 specified in section 2.4.1 is OPTIONAL for SAML issuers.

160 Likewise a conforming relying party implementation MUST support the <ds:X509Certificate>
161 element specified in section 2.5. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.5 is
162 OPTIONAL for relying parties.

2 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

2.1 Required Information

Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:holder-of-key

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

SAML Confirmation Method Identifiers: The SAML V2.0 holder-of-key confirmation method identifier (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key) is associated with every <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element issued under this profile.

Description: Given below.

Updates: Supplements the holder-of-key confirmation method described in section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].

2.2 Profile Description

Suppose a SAML response issued by a SAML issuer contains one or more holder-of-key assertions (otherwise this specification is not applicable). By definition, a *holder-of-key SAML assertion* contains a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element whose Method attribute is set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. This specification describes how the SAML issuer binds selected X.509 data from an X.509 public key certificate to the <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a holder-of-key assertion.

The complementary process involves a relying party that confirms that the X.509 data bound to the assertion matches the data in a given X.509 certificate. We assume that the relying party trusts the SAML issuer to issue holder-of-key assertions. The SAML issuer, on the other hand, may not even know the intended relying party, so there is no underlying assumption that the SAML issuer trusts the relying party.

It is assumed that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 public key certificate that is known to be associated with the subject of the assertion. How the X.509 certificate is obtained, however, is completely out of scope.

2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage

There are no explicit requirements with respect to the X.509 certificate(s) available to the SAML issuer and the relying party. That said, this specification mandates that the X.509 data bound to the SAML assertion by the SAML issuer MUST be taken from an X.509 public key certificate. Likewise the X.509 data matched against the bound X.509 data by the relying party MUST also be taken from an X.509 public key certificate. The specific characteristics of these certificates, however, are wholly out of scope with respect to this specification. In particular, there is no expectation that either the SAML issuer or the relying party trusts the issuer of the certificate, and therefore all portions of the certificate, apart from the X.509 data specified in the following sections, are out of scope.

The only exception is the case where the <ds:X509Data> element specified in section 2.4.1 contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element or a <ds:X509SerialIssuer> element. In these two cases, the relying party MUST trust the X.509 issuer in order to confirm the subject. This is discussed more fully in section 2.5 below.

199 2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions

200 Every assertion containing a holder-of-key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element MUST conform to
201 [SAML2Core] (see section 2.4.1 of Core, and especially section 2.4.1.3) and section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].
202 Where this specification conflicts with the SAML V2.0 specification, the former takes precedence.

203 Suppose a SAML issuer wishes to issue a response containing one or more holder-of-key assertions. As
204 a prerequisite, the SAML issuer MUST have access to an X.509 public key certificate known to be
205 associated with the subject. The SAML issuer binds some or all of the X.509 data in the certificate to the
206 `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element of a SAML assertion. The expected content of a holder-of-
207 key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element is specified in the next section.

208 2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage

209 According to the SAML V2.0 specification, a holder-of-key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element
210 MUST contain at least one `<ds:KeyInfo>` element, and that the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element conform to
211 the XML Signature specification. The current specification requires that the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element
212 MUST conform to the *Second Edition* of the XML Signature specification [XMLSig] and further constrains
213 the content of each `<ds:KeyInfo>` element to contain exactly one `<ds:X509Data>` element. The
214 `<ds:X509Data>` element MUST NOT contain a `<ds:X509CRL>` element. Instead, the following content
215 options are specified, at least one of which MUST be satisfied:

- 216 • The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509Certificate>` element. If it does, the
217 `<ds:X509Certificate>` element MUST contain a base64 encoding of a DER-encoded X.509
218 certificate.
- 219 • The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509SKI>` element. If it does, the
220 `<ds:X509SKI>` element MUST contain the base64 encoding of the SHA-1 hash of the public key
221 bound to an X.509 certificate.
- 222 • The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element. If it does, the
223 `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element MUST contain the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to
224 an X.509 certificate.
- 225 • The `<ds:X509Data>` element MAY contain a `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element. If it does,
226 the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element MUST contain the issuer DN and the issuer serial
227 number (as specified in [XMLSig]) bound to an X.509 certificate.

228 Use of the `<ds:X509Certificate>` element or the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is most
229 restrictive since each implies that the exact same certificate is used by both the SAML issuer and the
230 relying party. Use of the `<ds:X509SKI>` element or the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element is less
231 restrictive since each permits a different certificate to be used by the relying party provided the certificate
232 contains the same key or DN (resp.) used by the SAML issuer.

233 Use of the `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element or the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is warranted
234 in those situations where the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate. The SAML issuer
235 SHOULD NOT bind either of these elements to the `<ds:X509Data>` element unless it knows such a trust
236 relationship exists.

237 The unencoded value of the `<ds:X509SKI>` element is the same value a certificate issuer would
238 compute for the Subject Key Identifier extension of the certificate (see section 4.2.1.2 of [RFC5280]).
239 Specifically, the value of the `<ds:X509SKI>` element is computed as follows:

- 240 1. Extract the SubjectPublicKeyInfo field from the certificate.
- 241 2. Parse the SubjectPublicKeyInfo field and extract the BIT STRING subjectPublicKey.
- 242 3. Compute the 160-bit SHA-1 hash over the BIT STRING subjectPublicKey.

302 A relying party can confirm the subject by the matching the available X.509 data to any of the above child
303 elements.

304 2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions

305 A relying party wishing to confirm the subject of a holder-of-key assertion MUST have access to an X.509
306 public key certificate known to be associated with the presenter of the assertion. The relying party
307 confirms the subject of the assertion by comparing the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data
308 bound to the assertion. If the X.509 data in the certificate matches the X.509 data bound to the assertion,
309 the subject is said to be *confirmed*.

310 Regardless of the protocol used, any assertions relied upon MUST be valid according to the processing
311 rules specified in [SAML2Core]. In particular, the relying party MUST verify the signature (if any) on each
312 assertion containing a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element. Any assertion that is not
313 valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met, SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD
314 NOT be used to establish a security context for the subject.

315 If the <ds:X509Data> element contains multiple child elements, the relying party may confirm the
316 subject based on any one of them. Specifically, the relying party MUST confirm that the certificate
317 matches the content of the <ds:X509Data> element as follows:

- 318 • If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509Certificate> element, and the relying
319 party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that
320 the DER-encoded certificate bound to the assertion matches the X.509 certificate. Matching is
321 done by comparing the certificates, or the hash values of the certificates, byte-for-byte.
- 322 • If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SKI> element, and the relying party
323 chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that the
324 hash value bound to the assertion matches the SHA-1 hash of the public key bound to the X.509
325 certificate.
- 326 • If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element, and the relying
327 party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that
328 the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the assertion matches the DN bound to the X.509
329 certificate. If, however, the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue such a DN,
330 the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.
- 331 • If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, and the relying
332 party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that
333 the issuer DN and issuer serial number bound to the assertion match the issuer DN and the
334 issuer serial number (resp.) bound to the X.509 certificate. If the relying party does not trust the
335 certificate issuer to issue X.509 certificates, however, the subject is not confirmed and the relying
336 party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.

337 In the case of a <ds:X509Certificate> element or a <ds:X509SKI> element, the matching is a
338 relatively straightforward process. If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName>
339 element or a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, however, and the relying party chooses to confirm the
340 subject based on one of these elements, the relying party MUST trust the issuer of the available certificate
341 before the subject can be considered confirmed. If such a trust relationship between the relying party and
342 the certificate issuer does not exist, the relying party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.

343 2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations

344 This profile assumes that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 public key
345 certificate. For those deployments that wish to avoid or do not require a public key infrastructure (PKI), this
346 may seem unnecessarily restrictive. In fact, the use of X.509 certificates is typical and provides a number
347 of advantages. First, observe that the SSL/TLS protocol [RFC4346] requires the use of X.509 certificates.

348 Second, and most importantly, since there is no presumption of an underlying trust model for X.509
349 certificates, the full range of possible content for the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element is avoided. Those
350 deployments that are in fact based on such a trust model, or wish to avoid X.509 certificates altogether,
351 may choose to profile additional child elements such as `<ds:KeyName>` or `<ds:KeyValue>`.

352 Deployments that rely on holder-of-key SAML assertions will no doubt impose their own requirements on
353 the X.509 certificates used to obtain those assertions. For example, some deployments will require the
354 certificate to be an X.509 end-entity certificate [RFC5280] issued by a trusted X.509 certification authority
355 (CA) or a certificate based on a trusted X.509 end-entity certificate (such as an X.509 proxy certificate
356 [RFC3820]). This specification imposes no such restrictions, however.

357 In particular, note that self-signed certificates are permitted with this specification. However, self-signed
358 certificates should be used with care since it is well known that the use of such certificates may break
359 certain implementations or protocols. For maximum interoperability, implementers are encouraged to use
360 X.509 end-entity certificates [RFC5280] whenever possible. For those deployments that wish to avoid or
361 do not require a PKI, yet want to maintain interoperability, observe that so-called "meaningless X.509
362 certificates" [AIXCM] satisfy the requirements of X.509 end-entity certificates without belaboring the
363 assumption of an underlying trust model.

364 Finally, note that some CAs use large random numbers as serial numbers to prevent sequence guessing,
365 but not all XML libraries are capable of dealing with large integers in the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>`
366 element. The problem is that the `<ds:X509SerialNumber>` child element of the
367 `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is typed as an arbitrary integer in [XMLSig] yet conforming
368 implementations are required to support only 18 decimal digits. Thus the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>`
369 element should be used with care.

370 **Appendix A. Acknowledgments**

371 The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical
372 Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- 373 • TBD

374 The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:

- 375 • Joana M. F. da Trindade, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)

Appendix B. Revision History

| Document ID | Date | Committer | Comment |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-01 | 7 Aug 2008 | T. Scavo | Initial draft. |
| sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-02 | 14 Aug 2008 | T. Scavo | Remove all refs to <code>samlp:</code> |
| sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-03 | 7 Sep 2008 | T. Scavo | Remove proof of possession requirement |
| sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-04 | 6 Oct 2008 | T. Scavo | Response to comments |