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1 Introduction

The SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile describes the issuing and processing of a holder-of-key SAML assertion, that is, an assertion containing a `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element whose Method attribute is set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. Specifically, we describe the structural characteristics of a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element with bound X.509 data and show how a relying party confirms that such a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from a standard X.509 public key certificate.

1.1 Notation

This specification uses normative text.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]:

...they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)...

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

Example code listings appear like this.

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>XML Namespace</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>saml:</td>
<td>urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion</td>
<td>This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#</a></td>
<td>This is the XML Signature namespace [XMLSigs].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: `<SAMLElement>`, `<ns:ForeignElement>`, Attribute, Datatype, OtherCode.

1.2 Normative References


1.4 Conformance

1.4.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

Both the SAML issuer and the relying party MUST conform to section 2.3.

A SAML issuer MUST follow the issuing rules in section 2.4. In particular, a SAML issuer MUST produce
<ds:KeyInfo> elements that conform to section 2.4.1. Likewise, a relying party MUST follow the
processing rules in section 2.5.

To claim conformance to this specification, a SAML issuer implementation MUST support the
<ds:X509Certificate> element specified in section 2.4.1. Support for the remaining child elements
specified in section 2.4.1 is OPTIONAL for SAML issuers.

Likewise a conforming relying party implementation MUST support the <ds:X509Certificate>
 element specified in section 2.5. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.5 is
OPTIONAL for relying parties.
2.1 Required Information

Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:holder-of-key

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

SAML Confirmation Method Identifiers: The SAML V2.0 holder-of-key confirmation method identifier (urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key) is associated with every <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element issued under this profile.

Description: Given below.

Updates: Supplements the holder-of-key confirmation method described in section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].

2.2 Profile Description

Suppose a SAML response issued by a SAML issuer contains one or more holder-of-key assertions (otherwise this specification is not applicable). By definition, a holder-of-key SAML assertion contains a <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element whose Method attribute is set to urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key. This specification describes how the SAML issuer binds selected X.509 data from an X.509 public key certificate to the <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a holder-of-key assertion.

The complementary process involves a relying party that confirms that the X.509 data bound to the assertion matches the data in a given X.509 certificate. We assume that the relying party trusts the SAML issuer to issue holder-of-key assertions. The SAML issuer, on the other hand, may not even know the intended relying party, so there is no underlying assumption that the SAML issuer trusts the relying party.

It is assumed that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 public key certificate that is known to be associated with the subject of the assertion. How the X.509 certificate is obtained, however, is completely out of scope.

2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage

There are no explicit requirements with respect to the X.509 certificate(s) available to the SAML issuer and the relying party. That said, this specification mandates that the X.509 data bound to the SAML assertion by the SAML issuer MUST be taken from an X.509 public key certificate. Likewise the X.509 data matched against the bound X.509 data by the relying party MUST also be taken from an X.509 public key certificate. The specific characteristics of these certificates, however, are wholly out of scope with respect to this specification. In particular, there is no expectation that either the SAML issuer or the relying party trusts the issuer of the certificate, and therefore all portions of the certificate, apart from the X.509 data specified in the following sections, are out of scope.

The only exception is the case where the <ds:X509Data> element specified in section 2.4.1 contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element or a <ds:X509SerialIssuer> element. In these two cases, the relying party MUST trust the X.509 issuer in order to confirm the subject. This is discussed more fully in section 2.5 below.
2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions

Every assertion containing a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element MUST conform to [SAML2Core] (see section 2.4.1 of Core, and especially section 2.4.1.3) and section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof]. Where this specification conflicts with the SAML V2.0 specification, the former takes precedence.

Suppose a SAML issuer wishes to issue a response containing one or more holder-of-key assertions. As a prerequisite, the SAML issuer MUST have access to an X.509 public key certificate known to be associated with the subject. The SAML issuer binds some or all of the X.509 data in the certificate to the <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a SAML assertion. The expected content of a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element is specified in the next section.

2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage

According to the SAML V2.0 specification, a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element MUST contain at least one <ds:KeyInfo> element, and that the <ds:KeyInfo> element conform to the XML Signature specification. The current specification requires that the <ds:KeyInfo> element MUST conform to the Second Edition of the XML Signature specification [XMLSig] and further constrains the content of each <ds:KeyInfo> element to contain exactly one <ds:X509Data> element. The <ds:X509Data> element MUST NOT contain a <ds:X509CRL> element. Instead, the following content options are specified, at least one of which MUST be satisfied:

- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509Certificate> element. If it does, the <ds:X509Certificate> element MUST contain a base64 encoding of a DER-encoded X.509 certificate.
- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509SKI> element. If it does, the <ds:X509SKI> element MUST contain the base64 encoding of the SHA-1 hash of the public key bound to an X.509 certificate.
- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509SubjectName> element. If it does, the <ds:X509SubjectName> element MUST contain the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to an X.509 certificate.
- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element. If it does, the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element MUST contain the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (as specified in [XMLSig]) bound to an X.509 certificate.

Use of the <ds:X509Certificate> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is most restrictive since each implies that the exact same certificate is used by both the SAML issuer and the relying party. Use of the <ds:X509SKI> element or the <ds:X509SubjectName> element is less restrictive since each permits a different certificate to be used by the relying party provided the certificate contains the same key or DN (resp.) used by the SAML issuer.

Use of the <ds:X509SubjectName> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is warranted in those situations where the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate. The SAML issuer SHOULD NOT bind either of these elements to the <ds:X509Data> element unless it knows such a trust relationship exists.

The unencoded value of the <ds:X509SKI> element is the same value a certificate issuer would compute for the Subject Key Identifier extension of the certificate (see section 4.2.1.2 of [RFC5280]). Specifically, the value of the <ds:X509SKI> element is computed as follows:

1. Extract the SubjectPublicKeyInfo field from the certificate.
2. Parse the SubjectPublicKeyInfo field and extract the BIT STRING subjectPublicKey.
3. Compute the 160-bit SHA-1 hash over the BIT STRING subjectPublicKey.
4. Compute the base64 encoding of the SHA-1 hash.

The preceding algorithm produces a 28-character string value for the <ds:X509SKI> element.

Note that the format of the DN contained in the <ds:X509SubjectName> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is specified in [XMLSig]. In accordance with that specification, it is RECOMMENDED that the DN conform to [RFC4514] in all cases.

2.4.2 Example

Here is an example of a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element illustrating each of the content options specified in section 2.4:

```xml
<saml:SubjectConfirmation Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key">
  <saml:SubjectConfirmationData xsi:type="saml:KeyInfoConfirmationDataType">
    <ds:KeyInfo>
      <ds:X509Data>
        <!-- a base64 encoding of a DER-encoded X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509Certificate>
          MIIDuDCCAqACCQCJZK8wF0xVjJANBgkqhkiG9w0BAQQQADCnTELMAkGA1UEBhMCQIIXEzARBgNV
          BAgTCilvWUTU3RsdhGUExJAgQNVBAcTCVNVbWUQ21leTE5MBAGA1UECMBRJ1NvQyAyMDA4MRIw
          EAIDVQQLewisHUz9DlDiWdgFzAZBqNVBAcMTDkpyW5hIFRyaW5kYWRIMSwgIgJ1Ko2IhvcNAAQkB
          FhVzby21LWkKZx3NAAg9zdC5vcmcWhcNMDgwNJ2EMSy5cMTQzWwhcNMDgwNfZE2MScyMTQzWjWC
          nTELMAk1UEE8HMcQ1lxExZERBqNVBAcTCilvWUTU3RsdhGUExJAgQNVBAcTCVNVbWUQ21leTES
          MBAGA1UECMBRJ1NvQyAyMDA4MRIwEAYDVQQLewisHUz9DlDiWdgFzAZBqNVBAcMTDkpyW5hIFRy
          aW5kYWRICMQgVFyhjvv2z1LWkKZx3NAAg9zdC5vcmcwggEiMA0GCSqGSIb3D
          DsEBAQAUA41IBdawE4KQoIBBAQDlVODk502CCYVA0Tsp0PcmSnNicJ7q79cAcrpgFwvK13m1k7
          PuVnWh3c2XcPyT2s6ks3Eg5T4HlMa5e1+I0pN8VbTunVdSrM54r2uKX8+8aQX7M0Qwq+98iGw9E2an5q
          xrZ2RfqEIT575W/a/G1e2TGlmp521W3k1Ntf88Y39jPwBSZMeW7uHOSZ0Kt/PvVqPTGg7U6T6
          BiRh7FwslRLoMMMBvQ623ZmVsv20E1FbFzwszh4XlqGxJ9bnylZUosuuWS9Y4p4bvyL3GJ/hpx
          mjRjXSxsaIpi0V3MH+jVJ98eOhmU1FPaE83xxcC8lns+FCC3QZKRsnaLZrC87AgMBAAEQDwQYJ
          Ko2HhvcNAAEE8hRMAcWnWN6Sewq5aE7QbdDNNYoxk34Ry91f969Y9w77J+U7QRdE42GERJS
          DVKB9N595EJL5f5d0vByvO0Q8WbZVQ7eB0n9xaZ7Mhs5b1YNDysx9vuv1V2Dy32q1j5nCS9zg3Dyl
          1VFWE9UQMCJ0606ib3M08Q399kmnabgYXf2Xe86N6odV6+1mLI/XTt/nnCgk4Rs78B4kX26nnRlj
dE/YnibPlA7lidMhsPQrv49J6p9PsImOdQ3xKCT3tSrRzrpyWc81JoGuBYQH19Km7WEs4CSX712jW
          E32frMAxvaVCTm/nGtCcb82T2ax12PSLOF1954vapMjB9q3VIT6QWR/wPE=
        </ds:X509Certificate>
        <!-- the base64 encoding of the SHA-1 hash of the public key bound to the above X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509SKI>YphoxnLNAx/S0sdbdN3nD01wuR8=</ds:X509SKI>
        <!-- the subject DN (in RFC 5414 format) bound to the above X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509SubjectName>emailAddress=some-address@host.org,CN=JoanaTrindade,OU=GSoC2008,O=GSoC2008,L=Some-City,ST=Some-State,C=BR</ds:X509SubjectName>
        <!-- the issuer DN (in RFC 5414 format) and the issuer serial number (in decimal) bound to the above X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509IssuerName>emailAddress=some-address@host.org,CN=JoanaTrindade,OU=GSoC2008,O=GSoC2008,L=Some-City,ST=Some-State,C=BR</ds:X509IssuerName>
        <ds:X509SerialNumber>9900230501951362398</ds:X509SerialNumber>
      </ds:X509Data>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
  </saml:SubjectConfirmationData>
</saml:SubjectConfirmation>
```
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A relying party can confirm the subject by matching the available X.509 data to any of the above child elements.

2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions

A relying party wishing to confirm the subject of a holder-of-key assertion MUST have access to an X.509 public key certificate known to be associated with the presenter of the assertion. The relying party confirms the subject of the assertion by comparing the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data bound to the assertion. If the X.509 data in the certificate matches the X.509 data bound to the assertion, the subject is said to be confirmed.

Regardless of the protocol used, any assertions relied upon MUST be valid according to the processing rules specified in [SAML2Core]. In particular, the relying party MUST verify the signature (if any) on each assertion containing a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element. Any assertion that is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met, SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the subject.

If the <ds:X509Data> element contains multiple child elements, the relying party may confirm the subject based on any one of them. Specifically, the relying party MUST confirm that the certificate matches the content of the <ds:X509Data> element as follows:

- If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509Certificate> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that the DER-encoded certificate bound to the assertion matches the X.509 certificate. Matching is done by comparing the certificates, or the hash values of the certificates, byte-for-byte.
- If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SKI> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that the hash value bound to the assertion matches the SHA-1 hash of the public key bound to the X.509 certificate.
- If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the assertion matches the DN bound to the X.509 certificate. If, however, the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue such a DN, the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.
- If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST confirm that the issuer DN and issuer serial number bound to the assertion match the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (resp.) bound to the X.509 certificate. If the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue X.509 certificates, however, the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.

In the case of a <ds:X509Certificate> element or a <ds:X509SKI> element, the matching is a relatively straightforward process. If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element or a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, however, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on one of these elements, the relying party MUST trust the issuer of the available certificate before the subject can be considered confirmed. If such a trust relationship between the relying party and the certificate issuer does not exist, the relying party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.

2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations

This profile assumes that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 public key certificate. For those deployments that wish to avoid or do not require a public key infrastructure (PKI), this may seem unnecessarily restrictive. In fact, the use of X.509 certificates is typical and provides a number of advantages. First, observe that the SSL/TLS protocol [RFC4346] requires the use of X.509 certificates.
Second, and most importantly, since there is no presumption of an underlying trust model for X.509 certificates, the full range of possible content for the `<ds:KeyInfo>` element is avoided. Those deployments that are in fact based on such a trust model, or wish to avoid X.509 certificates altogether, may choose to profile additional child elements such as `<ds:KeyName>` or `<ds:KeyValue>`.

Deployments that rely on holder-of-key SAML assertions will no doubt impose their own requirements on the X.509 certificates used to obtain those assertions. For example, some deployments will require the certificate to be an X.509 end-entity certificate [RFC5280] issued by a trusted X.509 certification authority (CA) or a certificate based on a trusted X.509 end-entity certificate (such as an X.509 proxy certificate [RFC3820]). This specification imposes no such restrictions, however.

In particular, note that self-signed certificates are permitted with this specification. However, self-signed certificates should be used with care since it is well known that the use of such certificates may break certain implementations or protocols. For maximum interoperability, implementers are encouraged to use X.509 end-entity certificates [RFC5280] whenever possible. For those deployments that wish to avoid or do not require a PKI, yet want to maintain interoperability, observe that so-called "meaningless X.509 certificates" [AIXCM] satisfy the requirements of X.509 end-entity certificates without belaboring the assumption of an underlying trust model.

Finally, note that some CAs use large random numbers as serial numbers to prevent sequence guessing, but not all XML libraries are capable of dealing with large integers in the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element. The problem is that the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is typed as an arbitrary integer in [XMLSig] yet conforming implementations are required to support only 18 decimal digits. Thus the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element should be used with care.
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