SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

Working Draft 07, 7 December 2008

Specification URIs:
TBD

Technical Committee:
OASIS Security Services TC

Chair(s):
Hal Lockhart, BEA Systems, Inc.
Brian Campbell, Ping Identity Corporation

Editors:
Tom Scavo, National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA)

Contributors:
Nate Klingenstein, Internet2
Scott Cantor, Internet2

Abstract:

The *SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile* describes the issuing and processing of holder-of-key SAML assertions. Specifically, we show how a SAML issuer binds X.509 data to a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element and how a relying party confirms that a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from a standard X.509 v3 certificate.

Status

This document was last revised or approved by the SSTC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions of this document. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule.

TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others should send comments to the TC by using the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the IPR section of the TC web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php).

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security.

Notices

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

The *SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile* describes the issuing and processing of a holder-of-key SAML assertion, that is, an assertion containing a `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element whose `Method` attribute is set to `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key`. Specifically, we describe the structural characteristics of a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element with bound X.509 data and show how a relying party confirms that such a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element matches given X.509 data. The binding material used by the SAML issuer and the matching data used by the relying party are obtained from a standard X.509 v3 certificate Error: Reference source not found.

This profile involves a SAML issuer and a SAML relying party, each with an X.509 v3 certificate in its possession. The SAML issuer uses its certificate to produce a holder-of-key SAML assertion. The relying party consumes the assertion, confirming the subject by comparing the X.509 data in the assertion with the X.509 data in its possession.

1.1 Notation

This specification uses normative text.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]:

> …they MUST only be used where it is actually required for interoperation or to limit behavior which has potential for causing harm (e.g., limiting retransmissions)…

These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

```
Listings of XML schemas appear like this.
```

```
Example code listings appear like this.
```

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
`saml:`	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
`ds:`	http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#	This is the XML Signature namespace [XMLSig].
`xs:`	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This is the XML Schema namespace [Schema1].
`xsi:`	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance	This is the XML Schema namespace for schema-related markup that appears in XML instances [Schema1].

This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: `<SAMLElement>`, `<ns:ForeignElement>`, `Attribute`, **Datatype**, `OtherCode`.

1.2 Normative References

[RFC2119] S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF RFC 2119, March 1997. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[RFC4514] K. Zeilenga. *Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP): String Representation of Distinguished Names.* IETF RFC 4514, June 2006. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4514.txt

[RFC5280] D. Cooper, S. Santesson, S. Farrell, S. Boeyen, R. Housley, W. Polk. *Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile.* IETF RFC 5280, May 2008. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5280.txt

[SAML2Core] S. Cantor, J. Kemp, R. Philpott, E. Maler. *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0.* OASIS Standard, March 2005. http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf

[SAML2Prof] J. Hughes, S. Cantor, J. Hodges, F. Hirsch, P. Mishra, R. Philpott, E. Maler. *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0.* OASIS Standard, March 2005. http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf

[Schema1] H. S. Thompson et al. *XML Schema Part 1: Structures.* World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. See http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/

[XMLSig] D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo, F. Hirsch, T. Roessler. *XML Signature Syntax and Processing (Second Edition).* World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, 10 June 2008. http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/

1.3 Non-normative References

[RFC3820] S. Tuecke, V. Welch, D. Engert, L. Pearlman, M. Thompson. *Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Proxy Certificate Profile.* IETF RFC 3820, June 2004. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3820.txt

[RFC4346] T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol.* IETF RFC 4346, April 2006. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt

1.4 Conformance

1.4.1 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

Both the SAML issuer and the relying party MUST conform to section 2.3.

A SAML issuer MUST follow the issuing rules in section 2.4. In particular, a SAML issuer MUST produce `<ds:KeyInfo>` elements that conform to section 2.4.1. Likewise, a relying party MUST follow the processing rules in section 2.5.

To claim conformance to this specification, a SAML issuer implementation MUST support the `<ds:X509Certificate>` element specified in section 2.4.1. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.4.1 is OPTIONAL for SAML issuers.

Likewise a conforming relying party implementation MUST support the `<ds:X509Certificate>` element specified in section 2.5. Support for the remaining child elements specified in section 2.5 is OPTIONAL for relying parties.

2 SAML V2.0 Holder-of-Key Assertion Profile

2.1 Required Information

Identification: `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:holder-of-key`

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

SAML Confirmation Method Identifiers: The SAML V2.0 holder-of-key confirmation method identifier (`urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key`) is associated with every `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element issued under this profile.

Description: Given below.

Updates: Supplements the holder-of-key confirmation method described in section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof].

2.2 Profile Description

Suppose a SAML response issued by a SAML issuer contains one or more holder-of-key assertions (otherwise this specification is not applicable). By definition, a *holder-of-key SAML assertion* contains a `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element whose `Method` attribute is set to `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key`. This specification describes how the SAML issuer binds selected X.509 data from an X.509 v3 certificate to the `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element of a holder-of-key assertion.

The complementary process involves a relying party that confirms that the X.509 data bound to the assertion matches the data in a given X.509 v3 certificate. We assume that the relying party trusts the SAML issuer to issue holder-of-key assertions. The SAML issuer, on the other hand, may not even know the intended relying party, so there is no underlying assumption that the SAML issuer trusts the relying party.

It is assumed that both the SAML issuer and the relying party each possess an X.509 v3 certificate that is known to be associated with the subject of the assertion. How the X.509 certificate is obtained, however, is completely out of scope.

2.3 X.509 Certificate Usage

There are no explicit requirements with respect to the X.509 certificate(s) possessed by the SAML issuer and the relying party. That said, this specification mandates that the X.509 data bound to the SAML assertion by the SAML issuer and matched against the bound X.509 data by the relying party MUST be taken from an X.509 v3 certificate Error: Reference source not found. The specific characteristics of these certificates, however, are wholly out of scope with respect to this specification. In particular, there is no expectation that either the SAML issuer or the relying party trusts the issuer of the certificate, and therefore all portions of the certificate, apart from the X.509 data specified in the following sections, are unspecified.

The only exception is the case where the `<ds:X509Data>` element specified in section 2.4.1 contains a `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element or a `<ds:X509SerialIssuer>` element. In these two cases, the relying party MUST trust the X.509 issuer in order to confirm the subject. This is discussed more fully in section 2.5 below.

In what follows, we use the term *X.509 certificate* to refer to an X.509 v3 certificate conforming to Error: Reference source not found.

2.4 Issuing Holder-of-Key Assertions

Every assertion containing a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element MUST conform to [SAML2Core] (see section 2.4.1 of Core, especially section 2.4.1.3) and section 3.1 of [SAML2Prof]. Where this specification conflicts with the SAML V2.0 specification, the former takes precedence.

Suppose a SAML issuer wishes to issue a response containing one or more holder-of-key assertions. As a prerequisite, the SAML issuer MUST possess an X.509 certificate known to be associated with the subject. The SAML issuer binds some or all of the X.509 data in the certificate to the <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element of a SAML assertion. The expected content of a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element is specified in the next section.

The SAML issuer binds a <ds:KeyInfo> element to a SAML assertion. The <ds:KeyInfo> element contains one or more of the following elements: <ds:X509Certificate>, <ds:X509SKI>, <ds:X509SubjectName>, or <ds:X509IssuerSerial>. A <ds:X509Certificate> element contains a base64 encoding of the certificate possessed by the SAML issuer. A <ds:X509SKI> element contains the base64 encoding of the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension (if there is one) bound to the certificate. A <ds:X509SubjectName> element contains the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the certificate. A <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element contains the issuer DN and the issuer serial number bound to the certificate. In each case, the content of the <ds:KeyInfo> element conforms to the XML Signature specification [XMLSig]. These requirements are spelled out more clearly in the next section.

If the SAML issuer has reason to believe that the relying party trusts the certificate issuer, the SAML issuer MAY include NotBefore or NotOnOrAfter XML attributes on the <saml:SubjectConfirmationData> element. If so, the values bound to the assertion MUST be consistent with the values in the certificate. In particular, the value of the NotBefore attribute (resp., the NotOnOrAfter attribute) MUST be greater than or equal to (resp., less than or equal to) the NotBefore field (resp., the NotOnOrAfter field) of the certificate.

Since the <ds:KeyInfo> element is extensible [XMLSig], other fields or extensions from the X.509 certificate may be bound to the holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element. These are provided as a convenience to the relying party, so that the relying party need not have to decode and parse the certificate. All such extensions are of scope with respect to this profile, however.

2.4.1 KeyInfo Usage

According to the SAML V2.0 specification, a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element MUST contain at least one <ds:KeyInfo> element and that the <ds:KeyInfo> element conform to the XML Signature specification. The current specification requires that the <ds:KeyInfo> element MUST conform to the *Second Edition* of the XML Signature specification [XMLSig] and further constrains the content of each <ds:KeyInfo> element to contain exactly one <ds:X509Data> element. The <ds:X509Data> element MUST NOT contain a <ds:X509CRL> element. Instead, the following content options are specified, at least one of which MUST be satisfied:

- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509Certificate> element. If it does, the <ds:X509Certificate> element MUST contain a base64 encoding of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.
- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509SKI> element. If it does, the <ds:X509SKI> element MUST contain the base64 encoding of the plain (i.e., *not* DER-encoded) value of the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension (as specified in [XMLSig]) of the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer. If the certificate does not contain an SKI extension, the <ds:X509Data> element MUST NOT contain a <ds:X509SKI> element.

- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509SubjectName> element. If it does, the <ds:X509SubjectName> element MUST contain the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.

- The <ds:X509Data> element MAY contain a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element. If it does, the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element MUST contain the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (as specified in [XMLSig]) bound to the X.509 certificate possessed by the SAML issuer.

Use of the <ds:X509Certificate> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is most restrictive since each implies that the exact same certificate is used by both the SAML issuer and the relying party. Use of the <ds:X509SKI> element or the <ds:X509SubjectName> element is less restrictive since each permits a different certificate to be used by the relying party provided the certificate contains the same key or DN (resp.) used by the SAML issuer.

Use of the <ds:X509SubjectName> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is warranted in those situations where the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate. The SAML issuer SHOULD NOT bind either of these elements to the <ds:X509Data> element unless it knows such a trust relationship exists.

Note that the format of the DN contained in the <ds:X509SubjectName> element or the <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element is specified in [XMLSig]. In accordance with that specification, it is RECOMMENDED that the DN conform to [RFC4514] in all cases.

2.4.2 Example

Here is an example of a holder-of-key <saml:SubjectConfirmation> element illustrating three of the content options specified in section 2.4:

```
<saml:SubjectConfirmation
  xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
  Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:holder-of-key">
  <saml:SubjectConfirmationData
    xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:type="saml:KeyInfoConfirmationDataType">
    <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
      <ds:X509Data>

        <!-- a base64 encoding of an X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509Certificate>
MIIDuDCCAqACCQCJZK8wF0xVXjANBgkqhkiG9w0BAQQFADCBnTELMAkGA1UEBhMCQlIxEzARBgNV
BAgTClNvbWUtU3RhdGUxEjAQBgNVBAcTCVNvbWUtQ2l0eTESMBAGA1UEChMJMR1NvQyAyMDA4MRIw
EAYDVQQLEwlHU29DIDIwMDgxFzAVBgNVBAMTDkpvYW5hIFRyaW5kYWRlMSQwIgYJKoZIhvcNAQkB
FhVzb21lLWFkZHJlc3NAaG9zdC5vcmcwHhcNMDgwNjE2MTcyMTQzWhcNMDkwNjE2MTcyMTQzWjCB
nTELMAkGA1UEBhMCQlIxEzARBgNVBAgTClNvbWUtU3RhdGUxEjAQBgNVBAcTCVNvbWUtQ2l0eTES
MBAGA1UEChMJMR1NvQyAyMDA4MRIwEAYDVQQLEwlHU29DIDIwMDgxFzAVBgNVBAMTDkpvYW5hIFRy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        </ds:X509Certificate>

        <!-- the above X.509 certificate does not contain a
             Subject Key Identifier extension so the SAML issuer
             must not include a <ds:X509SKI> element -->
```

```
        <!-- the subject DN (in RFC 5414 format) bound to the
             above X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509SubjectName>emailAddress=some-address@host.org,CN=Joana
Trindade,OU=GSoC 2008,O=GSoC 2008,L=Some-City,ST=Some-
State,C=BR</ds:X509SubjectName>

        <!-- the issuer DN (in RFC 5414 format) and the issuer serial
             number (in decimal) bound to the above X.509 certificate -->
        <ds:X509IssuerSerial>
          <ds:X509IssuerName>emailAddress=some-address@host.org,CN=Joana
Trindade,OU=GSoC 2008,O=GSoC 2008,L=Some-City,ST=Some-
State,C=BR</ds:X509IssuerName>
          <ds:X509SerialNumber>9900230501951362398</ds:X509SerialNumber>
        </ds:X509IssuerSerial>

      </ds:X509Data>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
  </saml:SubjectConfirmationData>
</saml:SubjectConfirmation>
```

A relying party can confirm the subject by the matching the available X.509 data to any of the above child elements of the `<ds:X509Data>` element.

2.5 Processing Holder-of-Key Assertions

A relying party wishing to confirm the subject of a holder-of-key assertion MUST possess an X.509 certificate known to be associated with the presenter of the assertion. The relying party confirms the subject of the assertion by comparing the X.509 data in the certificate to the X.509 data bound to the assertion. If the X.509 data in the certificate matches the X.509 data bound to the assertion, the subject is said to be *confirmed*.

Regardless of the protocol used, any assertions relied upon MUST be valid according to the processing rules specified in [SAML2Core]. In particular, the relying party MUST verify the signature (if any) on each assertion containing a holder-of-key `<saml:SubjectConfirmation>` element. Any assertion that is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met, SHOULD be discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the subject.

If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains multiple child elements, the relying party may confirm the subject based on any one of them. Specifically, the relying party MUST confirm that the certificate matches the content of the `<ds:X509Data>` element as follows:

- If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509Certificate>` element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the certificate bound to the assertion matches the X.509 certificate in its possession. Matching is done by comparing the base64-decoded certificates, or the hash values of the base64-decoded certificates, byte-for-byte.
- If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509SKI>` element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the value bound to the assertion matches the Subject Key Identifier (SKI) extension bound to the X.509 certificate. Matching is done by comparing the base64-decoded SKI values byte-for-byte. If the X.509 certificate does not contain an SKI extension, the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.
- If the `<ds:X509Data>` element contains a `<ds:X509SubjectName>` element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the subject distinguished name (DN) bound to the assertion matches the DN bound to the X.509 certificate. If, however, the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue such a DN, the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

- If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on this element, the relying party MUST ensure that the issuer DN and issuer serial number bound to the assertion match the issuer DN and the issuer serial number (resp.) bound to the X.509 certificate. If the relying party does not trust the certificate issuer to issue X.509 certificates, however, the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

In the case of a <ds:X509Certificate> element or a <ds:X509SKI> element, the matching process is relatively straightforward. If the <ds:X509Data> element contains a <ds:X509SubjectName> element or a <ds:X509IssuerSerial> element, however, and the relying party chooses to confirm the subject based on one of these elements, the relying party MUST trust the issuer of the X.509 certificate before the subject can be considered confirmed. If such a trust relationship between the relying party and the certificate issuer does not exist, the relying party SHOULD disregard the enclosing assertion.

If the <saml:SubjectConfirmationData> element includes NotBefore or NotOnOrAfter attributes, and the relying party trusts the issuer of the X.509 certificate, the relying party MUST confirm that the current time is greater than or equal to (resp., less than or equal to) the value of the NotBefore (resp., the NotOnOrAfter) attribute. If this requirement is not met, the subject is not confirmed and the relying party SHOULD disregard the assertion.

2.6 Security and Privacy Considerations

This profile assumes that both the SAML issuer and the relying party have access to an X.509 certificate. For those deployments that wish to avoid or do not require an X.509-based public key infrastructure (PKI), this may seem unnecessarily restrictive. In fact, the use of X.509 certificates is typical and provides a number of advantages. First, observe that the SSL/TLS protocol [RFC4346] requires the use of X.509 certificates. Second, and most importantly, since there is no presumption of an underlying trust model for X.509 certificates, the full range of possible content for the <ds:KeyInfo> element is avoided. Those deployments that are in fact based on such a trust model, or wish to avoid X.509 certificates altogether, may choose to profile additional child elements such as <ds:KeyName> or <ds:KeyValue>.

Deployments that rely on holder-of-key SAML assertions will no doubt impose their own requirements on the X.509 certificates used to obtain those assertions. For example, some deployments will require the certificate to be an X.509 end-entity certificate Error: Reference source not found issued by a trusted X.509 certification authority (CA) or a certificate based on a trusted X.509 end-entity certificate (such as an X.509 proxy certificate [RFC3820]). This specification imposes no such restrictions, however.

2.6.1 ASN.1 Encoding

For compatibility with the XML Signature specification [XMLSig], this profile intentionally avoids any discussion of the ASN.1 encoding of the X.509 certificates possessed by the SAML issuer and the relying party. Indeed, in the case of the <ds:X509Certificate> element, the ASN.1 encoding of the certificate doesn't matter. In this case, the SAML issuer simply base64-encodes the ASN.1-encoded certificate in its possession and binds it to the <ds:X509Certificate> element. Later the relying party base64-decodes the content of the <ds:X509Certificate> element and compares the resulting certificate (byte-for-byte) with the ASN.1-encoded certificate in its possession.

In the case of the <ds:X509SKI>, <ds:X509SubjectName>, or <ds:X509IssuerSerial> elements, however, the ASN.1 encoding of the certificates *does* matter. To produce these elements, the SAML issuer must ASN.1-decode the certificate in its possession and parse the ASN.1 to obtain the X.509 data to be bound to the assertion. Likewise the relying party must ASN.1-decode the certificate in its possession, parsing the ASN.1 to obtain the required X.509 data, which it compares to the X.509 data bound to the assertion.

The problem is that the ASN.1 encoding of an X.509 certificate is not specified. While it is true that an X.509 certificate is often DER-encoded, a robust implementation must be prepared to handle other ASN.1 encodings besides DER, mainly BER and CER. Consequently it is anticipated that deployments will prefer the `<ds:X509Certificate>` element for maximum interoperability. In fact, this preference is reflected in the conformance requirements of this profile (section 1.4).

2.6.2 X.509 Serial Number

Note that some CAs use large random numbers as serial numbers to prevent sequence guessing, but not all XML libraries are capable of dealing with large integers in the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element. The problem is that the `<ds:X509SerialNumber>` child element of the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element is typed as an arbitrary integer in [XMLSig] yet conforming implementations are required to support only 18 decimal digits. Thus the `<ds:X509IssuerSerial>` element should be used with care.

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

The editor would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- TBD

The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:

- Joana M. F. da Trindade, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)
- The members of the IETF PKIX Working Group
- Peter Sylvester, EdelWeb

Appendix B. Revision History

Document ID	Date	Committer	Comment
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-01	7 Aug 2008	T. Scavo	Initial draft.
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-02	14 Aug 2008	T. Scavo	Remove all refs to `samlp:`
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-03	7 Sep 2008	T. Scavo	Remove proof of possession requirement
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-04	6 Oct 2008	T. Scavo	Response to comments
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-05	20 Oct 2008	T. Scavo	Updated KeyInfo Usage rules
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-06	13 Nov 2008	T. Scavo	Dropped DER-encoding requirement
sstc-saml2-holder-of-key-draft-07	7 Dec 2008	T. Scavo	Added NotBefore/NotOnOrAfter attributes