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Resolutions
Resolution: Meeting minutes of TC F2F meeting of 5th January 2008 accepted.
Resolution: Issue 48 is resolved with the text changes in the document linked from
Resolution: Issue 32 is resolved with the proposal contained in http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00007.html with the addition of a new profile intent called "authentication" which references the clientAuthentication intent

Actions
ACTION 20080112-01: (MIke E) Raise an Issue regarding lack of formal XML definition of Intents defined in the Policy spec.
ACTION 20080112-02: (Dave B) To formally respond to liaison committee with the text held in the email referenced above.
Action 20080112-02: (Ashok) Raise an issue regarding the places where interaction intents can be attached

Agenda
1. Roll call
2. Confirm minute taker, Mike Edwards

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes
   Vote to accept minutes from Jan 5, 2009 meeting

5. TC Logistics:
   a. Recording issue status - 5 Open
   b. F2F proposal - Jan 28,29 at Oracle in Redwood Shores

6. ACTION ITEMS
   a. 20081124-01: Rich L & Ashok - Build the detailed proposal for the resolution of Issue 57
   b. 20081215-01: (Ashok & Rich) To write up a detailed proposal for Issue 32
   c. 20090105-01: Dave B to write up spec text for Issue 33 based on latest proposal

7. New Issues
   None

8. Issue Discussion
   a. Conformance
      Email from Liaison:
      Proposed Response:
      a. ISSUE-48: Transaction defaults are not optimal
      http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-48
      Proposal:
      b. ISSUE-62: RFC2119 text updates
      http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-62
      Proposal:
      Latest Email:
c. ISSUE-33: Capabilities
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-33

Proposal:


d. ISSUE-32: Security intent which allows a client to authenticate a server
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-32

Latest email:

e. ISSUE 57: Fine grain authorization intent
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-57
Proposal:

9. AOB

a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing
No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC
Vote to accept minutes from Jan 5th, 2008 meeting

Resolution: Meeting minutes of TC F2F meeting of 5th January 2008 accepted.

(Item 5) TC Logistics
There are 5 Open Issues

a) F2F Meeting - 28/29 January
Requesting that all people who intend to attend the F2F should let Ashok know

(Item 6) Action Items
20081124-01: Rich L & Ashok - Build the detailed proposal for the resolution of Issue 57
Outstanding
20081215-01: (Ashok & Rich) To write up a detailed proposal for Issue 32
DONE
20090105-01: Dave B to write up spec text for Issue 33 based on latest proposal
Outstanding
(Item 7) New Issues
None

(Item 8) Existing Issues

Conformance
Email from Liason:

Proposed Response:

Ashok: So you're saying that the language specs depend on the Policy spec, but Policy does not depend on the language specs?
Dave: Right

Mike E: Don't the contents of Section 10 depend on the Bindings specs?
Dave B: No, the formal definition of those intents is here in the Policy spec

Mike E: There is a problem in that there is no formal XML definition of those Intents in the Policy spec

ACTION 20080112-01: (Mike E) Raise an Issue regarding lack of formal XML definition of Intents defined in the Policy spec.

ACTION 20080112-02: (Dave B) To formally respond to liaison committee with the text held in the email referenced above.

ISSUE-48: Transaction defaults are not optimal
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-48

Proposal:

Start in section 10.3 of the file sca-policy-1[1].1-spec-CD01-Rev11+issue48.doc linked from the email above.
Then 10.6.1

New section: 10.6.3
+ formal XML definition at the end of section 10.8

Ashok: So the argument for this approach is that it will be a very common pattern and so it is best to make it simple?
Dave: Yes
Dave moves to accept the text changes in the linked document as the resolution of Issue 48
Seconded by Martin Chapman
Accepted unanimously.


ISSUE-62: RFC2119 text updates
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-62

Proposal:

Dave & Mike replied here:

<Discussion of the treatment of "may" in the original text>

Ashok: I note that Issue 44 introduced formal definitions for interaction and implementation intents. But the spec does not say that interaction intents cannot be applied to implementations

Action 20080112-02: (Ashok) Raise an issue regarding the places where interaction intents can be attached

Mike Edwards will work to prepare the next draft of the proposal for this Issue
- Aim to complete in time for next week’s meeting

Ashok: Spec has many layers of changes on it - can these be accepted?

ISSUE-32: Security intent which allows a client to authenticate a server
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-32

Latest email:
- Changes for issue 32.doc attached to this email

Ashok: replace current "authentication" intent with:
- serverAuthentication
- clientAuthentication
- mutualAuthentication

last one is a profile intent combining the 1st two

Dave: The qualifiers continue? (transport & message)
Ashok: Yes - for all 3

Mike E: Isn't the "old" authentication intent actually equal to the new "clientAuthentication" - and can we provide for migration in this area

Mike E: suggest making "authentication" a profile intent, which references clientAuthentication

Ashok moves to resolve Issue 32 with the proposal contained in the email of 8th Jan 2009, with the addition of a new profile intent (for migration purposes) called "authentication" which references the clientAuthentication intent
Mike E seconds

Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 32 is resolved with the proposal contained in [http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00007.html](http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/sca-policy/200901/msg00007.html) with the addition of a new profile intent called "authentication" which references the clientAuthentication intent

**Issue Status Reporting - for Liaison Committee**

3 open issues
0 opened today
2 closed today

**AOB**

Next meeting 12th Jan
Close of Business