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Resolutions

Issue 100 is opened.
Issue 101 is opened.

Actions

ACTION 20090727-01: Dave to raise an issue against the Policy spec to deal with the inconsistency relating to the support of intents
ACTION 20090727-02: Dave to remove the 2nd prereq from POL-TA-80001 & POL-TA-80002 & POL-TA-80003

Agenda

1. Roll call

2. Confirm minute taker

3. Agenda bashing

4. Meeting Minutes
   Vote to accept minutes from July 20 2009

5. TC Administrivia:
   a. Recording issue status - 15 Open

6. PRD status update
a. 9 issues from PR 01 comment list that need responses

7. ACTION ITEMS:
a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95
b. 20090720-01: status=done; Rich & Ashok to create some clarifying words for intents, qualified intents and their meaning
c. 20090720-02: status=done; Ashok to raise an issue against POL30020 and its implied requirement for an intentMap if there is a qualifiable intent
   http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100
ACTION 20090720-03: Mike to add a new TA for the implementation policy case of POL40004
ACTION 20090720-04: Dave - strike last row of POL-TA-40021

8. New Issues
a. ISSUE 100: POL30020 is incorrect
   http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100
b. ISSUE 101: EJB Binding spec (SCA-J TC) has defined a new intent - EJB
   http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-101

9. Blocking Issue Discussion
None

10. Testing Discussion
a. Complete the review of latest test assertion document

11. Additional Issue Discussion
a. ISSUE 97: Suggestion to address suspected default/unqualified intent ambiguity
   http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-97

b. Policy content in the Assembly spec:

c. ISSUE 73: XPath samples are invalid by syntax
   http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-73

d. ISSUE 76: Conformance statement 30011 is unclear
   http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-76
12. AOB
  a. straggler roll

(Item 3) Agenda Bashing
No changes

(Item 4) Minutes from previous meeting of Policy TC
Minutes from 20 July 2009
Minutes are accepted without changes
Resolution: Minutes of Policy TC meeting of July 20th are accepted

(Item 5) TC Administrivia
15 open issues

(Item 6) Public Review status
9 issues received from PR 01 comment list that need responses.

(Item 7) Action Items
a. 20090706-01: status=pending; Mike E: Prepare an updated version of the Specification containing the resolution of Issue 95
b. 20090720-01: status=done; Rich & Ashok to create some clarifying words for intents, qualified intents and their meaning
c. 20090720-02: status=done; Ashok to raise an issue against POL30020 and its implied requirement for an intentMap if there is a qualifiable intent
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100
ACTION 20090720-03: status=pending Mike to add a new TA for the implementation policy case of POL40004
ACTION 20090720-04: status=pending Dave - strike last row of POL-TA-40021

(Item 8) New Issues

ISSUE 100: POL30020 is incorrect
http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-100

Ashok presents the issue
Ashok moves to open Issue 100
Rich seconds
Motion passes unanimously.

Resolution: Issue 100 is opened.
ISSUE 101: EJB Binding spec (SCA-J TC) has defined a new intent - EJB

http://www.osoa.org/jira/browse/POLICY-101

Dave presents the issue
Issue is raised by the SCA-J TC

Dave moves to open Issue 101.
Simon Holdsworth seconds.
Motion passes unanimously

Resolution: Issue 101 is opened.

(Item 9) Existing issues

(Item 10) Testing discussion

Latest Document Update:


Later discussion of this document:

Highlights in the document:
2 New POL-TA-400xx added for POL40019
POL-TA-40029
POL-TA-40030
POL-TA-40031
POL-TA-40032
POL-TA-40033
POL-TA-40034
Dave: This one and the next TA deal with 2 alternative runtime cases that are described in the spec
POL-TA-40035
POL-TA-50001
Just rewordings in this one
POL-TA-70001
Are these statements saying that the intents are optional?
<Discussion about whether valid tests can be derived - since the actual function is not mandatory>
Ashok: Don't you (Mike) want to raise an issue on this point? That intents must be satisfied...otherwise we should mark all of these optional
EricW: If TC's are unimplementable then how can they be mandatory?
Mike: It is simply a question of the TAs being mandatory but that we don't have the resources to implement an actual testcase - the TAs are perfectly valid
Dave: My original question stems from the statement that support for the intents is optional
Eric: Conformance statement in Section 11 has problems
EricW: What deos it mean to support an intent? - Need a definition?

**ACTION 20090727-01: Dave to raise an issue against the Policy spec to deal with the inconsistency relating to the support of intents**

Changes in section 7 are simply rewordings
Section 8
POL-TA-80001
Ashok - I would remove the 2nd prereq from these (ie there is a failure...)

**ACTION 20090727-02: Dave to remove the 2nd prereq from POL-TA-80001 & POL-TA-80002 & POL-TA-80003**

EricW: POL-TA-80002 - How to test message is delivered zero times?
Mike: need to ask messaging product people how they do that

Section 9
Ashok: POL-TA-90014, POL-TA-90015 have been removed - Why?
Dave: POL-TA-90014 is covered by POL-TA-90013 now
& POL-TA-90015 is covered by POL-TA-90012
POL-TA-90019 again removed as it is a negative version of POL-TA-90018
EricW: What was the motive for removing the prereq's for the TA's early in section 9?
Stopped at POL-TA-90022

Dave: Vote on this document next week.

**AOB**

None

Next meeting 3rd August
Close of Business