

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 N 1388

DATE: 2010-03-10

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34
Document Description and Processing Languages
Secretariat: [Japan \(JISC\)](#)

DOC. TYPE	Summary of Voting/Table of Replies						
TITLE	Summary of Voting on SC 34 N 1337, ISO/IEC 26300: 2006/DCOR 1, Open Document Format for Office Applications (OpenDocument) v1.0 -- Draft TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1 [WG 6]						
SOURCE	SC 34 Secretariat						
PROJECT	JTC1.34.26300.00.00.01						
STATUS	This document is forwarded to WG 6 for resolution of comments. WG 6 is requested to prepare a disposition of comments report, revised text and a recommendation for further processing.						
ACTION ID	FYI						
DUE DATE							
DISTRIBUTION	P, O and L Members of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 ; ISO/IEC JTC 1 Secretariat; ISO/IEC ITTF						
ACCESS LEVEL	Def						
ISSUE NO.	122						
FILE	<table border="1"><tr><td>NAME</td><td>1388.pdf</td></tr><tr><td>SIZE (KB)</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>PAGES</td><td>6</td></tr></table>	NAME	1388.pdf	SIZE (KB)		PAGES	6
NAME	1388.pdf						
SIZE (KB)							
PAGES	6						

Secretariat ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 34 - IPSJ/ITSCJ (Information Processing Society of Japan/Information Technology Standards Commission of Japan)* Room 308-3, Kikai-Shinko-Kaikan Bldg., 3-5-8, Shiba-Koen, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0011 Japan *Standard Organization Accredited by JISC
Telephone: +81-3-3431-2808 ; Facsimile: +81-3-3431-2808; E-mail: kimura@itscj.ipsj.or.jp

Result of voting

Ballot Information:

Ballot reference:	SC 34 N 1337
Ballot type:	CIB
Ballot title:	ISO/IEC 26300: 2006/DCOR 1, Open Document Format for Office Applications (OpenDocument) v1.0 Draft TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1
Opening date:	2009-12-07
Closing date:	2010-03-08
Note:	

Member responses:

Votes cast (29)	Armenia (SARM) Brazil (ABNT) Canada (SCC) Chile (INN) China (SAC) Colombia (ICONTEC) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Egypt (EOS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) Thailand (TISI) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (1)	Spain (AENOR)
Votes not cast (7)	Bulgaria (BDS)

Kenya (KEBS)
Pakistan (PSQCA)
Russian Federation (GOST R)
Slovakia (SUTN)
South Africa (SABS)
Sri Lanka (SLSI)

Questions:

Q.1	"Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text"
Q.2	"IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Answers to Q.1: "Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text"

24 x	Approval as presented	Armenia (SARM) Brazil (ABNT) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Colombia (ICONTEC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Egypt (EOS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Sweden (SIS) Thailand (TISI) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
2 x	Approval with comments	Japan (JISC) Switzerland (SNV)
0 x	Disapproval of the draft	
3 x	Abstention	Chile (INN) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Netherlands (NEN)

Answers to Q.2: "IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes

will be accepted?"		
1 x	Yes	Colombia (ICONTEC)
0 x	No	
28 x	Ignore	Armenia (SARM) Brazil (ABNT) Canada (SCC) Chile (INN) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) Egypt (EOS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malaysia (DSM) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Romania (ASRO) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) Thailand (TISI) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Japan (JISC)	<i>Comment File</i>	2010-03-02 01:39:48
CommentFiles/SC_34_N_1337_JISC.doc		
Switzerland (SNV)	<i>Comment File</i>	2010-02-11 15:51:46
CommentFiles/SC_34_N_1337_SNV.doc		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Spain (AENOR)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-08 12:41:41
we abstain		

Japanese Comments on SC 34 N 1337, ISO/IEC 26300: 2006/DCOR 1, Open Document Format for Office Applications (OpenDocument) v1.0 Draft TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

1) Correction to 9.2.19

The following instructions do not make sense. Are they trying to change fonts?

Replace “horizontal” with “horizontal”;
replace “left” with “left”; replace “right” with “right”;
replace “vertical” with “vertical”.

2) Correction to 9.3

The following instruction does not make sense. Is it trying to change fonts?

The term “scale” should be replaced with “scale”.

3) Correction to 9.3.3

The editing instruction does not touch the first sentence but merely replaces the second with two sentences. However, the first sentence looks unnecessary.

4) Correction to 15.31.4

The editing instruction does not touch the first, second, and third sentences but merely replaces the rest of the paragraph. However, the second and third sentences look unnecessary. Even the first one can be removed.

5) Correction 15.36

It should be made clear where in the itemized list the four bullets should be added.

6) Rejected comment

In 15.4.1 of ISO/IEC 26300, subsection 8.8.4 of XSL is referenced, but it should be 7.8.8. Since the original defect report (SC 34 N 1084) from Japan pointed out this mistake, the upcoming COR should correct this mistake.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CH	9.3	Page 299 line 21	ed	The change which the DCOR intends to make is not clear.	Change the text formatting of the replacement text to the formatting that is used in the standard for attribute values.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.