



SAML V2.0 Channel Binding Extensions Version 1.0

Working Draft 01 10 September 2010

Specification URIs:

This Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-cd-01.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-cd-01.odt>
(Authoritative)
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-cd-01.pdf>

Previous Version:

None

Latest Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext.odt> (Authoritative)
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext.pdf>

Technical Committee:

OASIS Security Services TC

Chair(s):

Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
Nate Kingenstein, Internet2

Editor(s):

Scott Cantor, Internet2

Related Work:

This specification builds on the notion of channel bindings described in [RFC5056] and extends profiles defined in [SAML2Prof] and elsewhere.

Declared XML Namespace(s):

`urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding`

Abstract:

Protocol extensions enable extension-aware SAML requesters and responders to modify protocol behavior in a generic, layered fashion. This specification defines an extension to the SAML V2.0 protocol [SAML2Core] specification that supports the use of channel bindings [RFC5056] in conjunction with SAML profiles. It also includes a new SAML profile that applies the extension to a set of profiles that fit a particular communication pattern.

36 **Status:**

37 This document was last revised or approved by the SSTC on the above date. The level of
38 approval is also listed above. Check the current location noted above for possible later revisions
39 of this document. This document is updated periodically on no particular schedule.

40 TC members should send comments on this specification to the TC's email list. Others
41 should send comments to the TC by using the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's
42 web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security>.

43 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
44 implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the IPR
45 section of the TC web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/ipr.php>).

46 The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security)
47 [open.org/committees/security](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security).

48

Notices

49 Copyright © OASIS Open 2010. All Rights Reserved.

50 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
51 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

52 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
53 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,
54 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
55 and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may
56 not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as
57 needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical
58 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be
59 followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

60 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
61 or assigns.

62 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
63 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
64 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY
65 OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
66 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

67 OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would
68 necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to
69 notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such
70 patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced
71 this specification.

72 OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any
73 patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent
74 holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR
75 Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such
76 claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

77 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
78 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
79 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent
80 that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to
81 rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the
82 OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
83 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the
84 use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS
85 Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any
86 information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list
87 are, in fact, Essential Claims.

88 The name "OASIS" is a trademark of [OASIS](#), the owner and developer of this specification, and should be
89 used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and
90 implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against
91 misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

92

93 **Table of Contents**

94 1 Introduction..... 5
95 1.1 Notation..... 5
96 1.2 Terminology..... 6
97 1.3 Normative References..... 6
98 1.4 Non-Normative References..... 7
99 2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings..... 8
100 2.1 Required Information..... 8
101 2.2 Overview..... 8
102 2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>..... 8
103 2.4 Processing Rules..... 8
104 2.5 Use Within <saml:Advice>..... 9
105 2.6 Metadata Considerations..... 9
106 2.6.1 Metadata Example..... 9
107 3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles..... 10
108 3.1 Required Information..... 10
109 3.2 Profile Overview..... 10
110 3.3 Profile Description..... 10
111 3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity..... 10
112 3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity..... 10
113 3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity..... 11
114 3.4 Use of Metadata..... 11
115 3.5 Security Considerations..... 11
116 4 Enhanced Client or Proxy (ECP) Profile with Channel Bindings..... 12
117 4.1 Required Information..... 12
118 4.2 Profile Overview..... 12
119 5 Conformance..... 13
120 5.1 SAML V2.0 Channel Binding Extensions Version 1.0..... 13
121

1 Introduction

122

123 Channel binding, as described in [RFC5056], is a way of associating the authentication of communicating
124 peers at one layer of the network stack with a secure channel established at a lower level of the stack,
125 such as TLS. This specification describes an extension that facilitates the addition of channel bindings to
126 SAML protocol messages and assertions.

127 Protocol extensions consist of elements defined for inclusion in the `<samlp:Extensions>` element that
128 modify the behavior of SAML requesters and responders when processing extended protocol messages.
129 The protocol extension defined in this specification allows for the inclusion of channel binding information
130 into SAML requests or responses.

131 A SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] extension attribute is also defined to enable the signaling of channel
132 binding support by particular endpoints.

133 Finally, a "meta"-profile is presented that acts as an extension for a variety of existing SAML profiles that
134 fit an elementary request/response pattern.

1.1 Notation

135

136 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD
137 NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as
138 described in [RFC2119]. These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify
139 requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and
140 security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-
141 language sense.

142 Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for
143 their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the
144 example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
cb:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding	This is the SAML V2.0 channel binding extension namespace defined by this document and its accompanying schema.
saml:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
samlp:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol	This is the SAML V2.0 protocol namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
md:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata	This is the SAML V2.0 metadata namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 metadata specification [SAML2Meta].
S:	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/	This is the SOAP 1.1 envelope namespace defined in [SOAP1.1].
xsd:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.

145 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: `<ns:Element>`, `Attribute`,
146 **Datatype**, `OtherCode`.

147 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in XML listings:

148 `Listings of XML schemas appear like this.`

149

150 `Listings of XML examples appear like this. These listings are non-normative.`

151 1.2 Terminology

152 The term *TLS* as used in this specification refers to either the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) Protocol 3.0
153 [SSL3] or any version of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol [RFC2246][RFC4346][RFC5246]. As
154 used in this specification, the term *TLS* specifically does **not** refer to the SSL Protocol 2.0 [SSL2].

155 1.3 Normative References

- 156 **[CBReg]** Channel Binding Types Registry, IANA.
157 <http://www.iana.org/assignments/channel-binding-types/>
- 158 **[ChanBind-XSD]** OASIS Working Draft, *Extension Schema for SAML V2.0 Channel Binding*
159 *Extensions Version 1.0*, September 2010. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext.xsd)
160 [open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext.xsd](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext.xsd)
- 161 **[RFC2045]** N. Freed et al. *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of*
162 *Internet Message Bodies*. IETF RFC 2045, November 1996.
163 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt>
- 164 **[RFC2119]** S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF
165 RFC 2119, March 1997. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- 166 **[RFC2246]** T. Dierks, C. Allen. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.0*. IETF RFC
167 2246, January 1999. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>
- 168 **[RFC4346]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.1*. IETF
169 RFC 4346, April 2006. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt>
- 170 **[RFC5056]** N. Williams. *On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels*. IETF RFC
171 5056, November 2007. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5056.txt>
- 172 **[RFC5246]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.2*. IETF
173 RFC 5246, August 2008. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5246.txt>
- 174 **[SAML2Bind]** OASIS Standard, *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
175 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
176 [bindings-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
- 177 **[SAML2Core]** OASIS Standard, *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion*
178 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
179 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
- 180 **[SAML2Errata]** OASIS Approved Errata, *SAML V2.0 Errata*, October 2009. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf)
181 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf)
- 182 **[SAML2Meta]** OASIS Standard, *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
183 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
184 [metadata-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
- 185 **[SAML2Prof]** OASIS Standard, *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
186 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
187 [profiles-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
- 188 **[Schema1]** H. S. Thompson et al. XML Schema Part 1: Structures. World Wide Web
189 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)
190 [xmlschema-1-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)

191 **[Schema2]** Paul V. Biron, Ashok Malhotra. XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes. World Wide Web
192 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)
193 [xmlschema-2-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)

194 **[SOAP1.1]** D. Box et al. *Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1*. World Wide Web
195 Consortium Note, May 2000. <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP>

196 **[SSL3]** A. Freier, P. Karlton, P. Kocher. *The SSL Protocol Version 3.0*. Netscape
197 Communications Corp., November 18, 1996.
198 <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft302.txt>

199 **[XMLSig]** D. Eastlake et al. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition*. World
200 Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, June 2008.
201 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>

202 **1.4 Non-Normative References**

203 **[RFC5929]** J. Altman, et al. *Channel Bindings for TLS*. IETF RFC 5929, July 2010.
204 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5929.txt>

205 **[SSL2]** K. Hickman. *The SSL Protocol*. Netscape Communications Corp., February 9,
206 1995. <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft02.html>

207 **[XMLEnc]** D. Eastlake et al. *XML Encryption Syntax and Processing*. World Wide Web
208 Consortium Recommendation, December 2002. See
209 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/>

2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings

2.1 Required Information

Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

Description: Given below.

Updates: None.

2.2 Overview

This extension defines a mechanism for the communication of channel bindings at the SAML protocol layer, along with a SAML metadata extension to assist in the deployment of extended capabilities. This extension allows arbitrarily defined channel binding data to be attached to a SAML request or response message (i.e., any protocol message derived from **samlp:RequestAbstractType** or **samlp:StatusResponseType**). The extension can also be used as a SOAP header block for use with more complex profiles.

Specific definitions of channel binding data are out of scope of this specification; the IANA registry can be found at [CBReg].

2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>

The <cb:ChannelBindings> element contains typed, opaque channel bindings that are associated with a SAML request or response. The element includes the following attributes:

Type [required]

A string that identifies the type of the enclosed channel bindings. Channel binding types are registered by IANA at [CBReg].

S:actor [optional]

Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

S:mustUnderstand [optional]

Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

The content of this element consists of type-specific channel bindings, base64-encoded [RFC2045]. The element MAY be empty.

The schema for the <cb:ChannelBindings> element, and its corresponding **cb:ChannelBindingsType** complex type, is as follows:

```
<element name="ChannelBindings" type="cb:ChannelBindingsType"/>
<complexType name="ChannelBindingsType">
  <simpleContent>
    <extension base="base64Binary">
      <attribute name="Type" type="string" use="required"/>
      <attribute ref="S:actor"/>
      <attribute ref="S:mustUnderstand"/>
    </extension>
  </simpleContent>
```


2.4 Processing Rules

251 This extension is included in a protocol message by placing it in the optional `<samlp:Extensions>`
252 element. All extensions are explicitly deemed optional in SAML, so processing of the extension can never
253 be assumed, absent additional out of band knowledge or subsequent signaling. The SAML V2.0 metadata
254 extension defined in section 2.6 MAY be used to indicate the ability to process this extension at a
255 particular endpoint.

256 There are no explicit processing requirements associated with this extension, as it is expected that other
257 profiles will supply them. As a generic matter, when this element is non-empty, a message that contains
258 this extension is considered bound to the specified channel if the message can be authenticated by
259 means other than the specified channel, and if the message recipient can independently verify the channel
260 bindings in a profile-specific manner.

261 As a simple example, normatively described in section 3, a signed SAML request containing TLS channel
262 bindings [RFC5929] sent to a TLS-enabled endpoint can be bound to the TLS connection if the SAML
263 responder can verify that its channel bindings match that found in the request. More complex scenarios
264 are possible in profiles that involve active intermediaries between SAML entities.

265 This extension element MAY be empty, in which case it can be used to signal the successful
266 processing/verification of channel bindings supplied by an associated message (typically identified using
267 the `InResponseTo` attribute). For example, a response message could signal the successful verification
268 of channel bindings supplied in the associated request.

2.5 Use Within `<saml:Advice>`

270 This extension MAY be used within the `<saml:Advice>` element to indicate that an assertion was issued
271 in conjunction with the verification of channel bindings by the issuing authority. Either form (empty or non-
272 empty) MAY be used. All advice elements have optional semantics, and MAY be ignored in establishing
273 assertion validity, but relying parties MAY take into account the presence or absence of this extension in
274 determining whether to accept an assertion.

275 The use of this extension within an assertion is essentially an optimization to permit signaling that would
276 otherwise occur in a `<samlp:Response>` message to avoid signature duplication. It is analagous in that
277 regard to data such as the `InResponseTo` or `Recipient` attributes found in the
278 `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element.

2.6 Metadata Considerations

280 SAML metadata MAY be used to indicate support for this protocol extension at particular protocol
281 endpoints, using the extension capabilities of the metadata schema.

282 Support for this extension is expressed in SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] by adding an XML attribute
283 to an element derived from the **md:EndpointType** complex type, indicating that SAML protocol messages
284 sent to that endpoint MAY include this extension, and identifying which types of channel bindings are
285 supported in a whitespace-delineated list.

286 The following schema fragment defines the `cb:supportsChannelBindings` attribute:

```
287 <attribute name="supportsChannelBindings">  
288   <simpleType>  
289     <list itemType="string"/>  
290   </simpleType>  
291 </attribute>
```

292 **2.6.1 Metadata Example**

293 The example below shows a fragment of an `<md:AttributeService>` element that advertises support
294 for this extension. The namespace declaration must be in scope, but the prefix is of course arbitrary.

```
295 <md:AttributeService  
296   xmlns:cb="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding"  
297   cb:supportsChannelBindings="tls-server-end-point" .../>
```

298 3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles

299 3.1 Required Information

300 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:two-party

301 **Contact information:** security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

302 **Description:** Given below.

303 **Updates:** SAML profiles designed around a simple request/response exchange between two parties.

304 3.2 Profile Overview

305 A number of SAML profiles exist that define the use of SAML request/response message pairs between a
306 pair of entities communicating directly with each other in a simple manner. Generally such profiles are
307 used with the SAML SOAP Binding [SAML2Bind], though this is not assumed or required. Examples of
308 such profiles include, but are not limited to, the Artifact Resolution, Assertion Query/Request, Name
309 Identifier Mapping, and Single Logout Profiles [SAML2Prof] (the latter in its "back-channel" form).

310 This profile defines an enhanced variant of all such profiles that relies on the protocol extension defined in
311 section 2 to provide additional security options for SAML entities supporting such profiles by binding the
312 SAML exchange to an secure channel that is established between the parties, but not used for mutual
313 authentication of the SAML exchange.

314 This is accomplished via the SAML requester attaching channel bindings to its SAML request message.
315 The SAML responder can optionally verify the channel bindings, and adjust its behavior according to local
316 policy (suggested examples are given below). A SAML requester could also adjust its behavior in
317 subsequent communication with the SAML responder over the same channel.

318 3.3 Profile Description

319 3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity

320 A SAML request message is formulated and transitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and
321 binding requirements, but in the presence of a secure channel for transport of the SAML binding such as
322 TLS, the SAML requester MAY attach channel bindings by including a <cb:ChannelBindings>
323 extension element in the SAML request's <samlp:Extensions> element.

324 The SAML request MUST be integrity protected and authenticated (obviously by means other than the
325 secure channel), typically via an XML Signature [XMLSig].

326 3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity

327 The SAML responder SHOULD examine the <cb:ChannelBindings> extension element, if present in
328 the SAML request, and verify the channel bindings. In the event of verification failure, the SAML responder
329 MAY return an error/failure response to the requester. It MAY include a second-level status code of:

330 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding

331 If it chooses not to return an error and proceed, the SAML responder SHOULD take into account the
332 presence or absence of channel bindings in formulating its response. In their absence, the responder

333 MUST NOT assume a secure channel between itself and the requester. A typical example might include
334 choosing between XML Encryption [XMLEnc] and relying on the secure channel for confidentiality.

335 **3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity**

336 A SAML response message is formulated and transmitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and
337 binding requirements. If the responder successfully verified channel bindings supplied by the requester, it
338 MUST include a `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension element in the SAML response's
339 `<samlp:Extensions>` element, and/or in an enclosed `<saml:Assertion>`'s `<saml:Advice>`
340 element. This element MAY be empty.

341 Upon receipt of the response, the SAML requester MAY apply local policy based on the presence or
342 absence of the indication of successful verification of the channel bindings, such as adjusting its own
343 reliance on the channel in subsequent communication.

344 **3.4 Use of Metadata**

345 While use of this extended variant is backwardly compatible with profile endpoints that lack such support,
346 the metadata extension defined in section 2.6 SHOULD be used by SAML responders to indicate support
347 for the extension, and SAML requesters SHOULD make use of the metadata extension content in
348 deciding what type of channel bindings to supply.

349 **3.5 Security Considerations**

350 SAML requesters that attach channel bindings MUST ensure that the responder includes an appropriate
351 indication of successful verification before assuming the presence of a secure channel. Since SAML is not
352 defined in terms of connection-oriented communication, there is no preparatory "establishment" of a
353 security context that would signal the success or failure of the channel binding separately from the SAML
354 communication itself.

355 Channel bindings MAY be sent without confidentiality protection and knowledge of them is assumed to
356 provide no advantage to an MITM.

357 The general security considerations of channel bindings [RFC5056] and specific channel binding types
358 [CBReg] also apply.

359 **4 Conformance**

360 **4.1 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings**

361 There are no explicit conformance requirements associated with this section, but any SAML
362 implementation conformant with [SAML2Core] is expected to successfully process SAML messages are
363 assertions that contain the extension (as all such extensions are explicitly optional).

364 **4.2 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles**

365 A SAML requester that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2
366 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements
367 for SAML requesters throughout section 3.

368 A SAML responder that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2
369 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements
370 for SAML responders throughout section 3.

371

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

372 The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical
373 Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- 374 • TBD

375 The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:

- 376 • Nicolas Williams, Oracle Corporation

377

Appendix B. Revision History

378

- Working Draft 01 - Initial draft.