



2 SAML V2.0 Channel Binding Extensions Version 1.0

3 **Working Draft 02**
4 **8 November 2010**

5 **Technical Committee:**
6 [OASIS Security Services TC](#)

7 **Chair(s):**
8 Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.
9 Nate Kingenstein, Internet2

10 **Editor(s):**
11 Scott Cantor, Internet2

12 **Related Work:**
13 This specification builds on the notion of channel bindings described in [RFC5056] and extends
14 profiles defined in [SAML2Prof] and elsewhere.

15 **Declared XML Namespace(s):**
16 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding`

17 **Abstract:**
18 Protocol extensions enable extension-aware SAML requesters and responders to modify protocol
19 behavior in a generic, layered fashion. This specification defines an extension to the SAML V2.0
20 protocol [SAML2Core] specification that supports the use of channel bindings [RFC5056] in
21 conjunction with SAML profiles. It also includes a new SAML profile that applies the extension to
22 a set of profiles that fit a particular communication pattern.

23 **Status:**
24 This document is a Working Draft and as such as no official standing with regard to the OASIS
25 Technical Committee Process.

26 Copyright © OASIS® 2010. All Rights Reserved.

27 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
28 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

29 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
30 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,
31 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
32 and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may
33 not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as
34 needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical
35 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be
36 followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

37 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
38 or assigns.

39

40 Table of Contents

41	1 Introduction.....	3
42	1.1 Terminology and Notation.....	3
43	1.2 Normative References.....	4
44	1.3 Non-Normative References.....	5
45	2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings.....	6
46	2.1 Required Information.....	6
47	2.2 Overview.....	6
48	2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>.....	6
49	2.4 Processing Rules.....	7
50	2.5 Use Within <saml:Advice>.....	7
51	2.6 Metadata Considerations.....	7
52	2.6.1 Metadata Example.....	8
53	3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles.....	9
54	3.1 Required Information.....	9
55	3.2 Profile Overview.....	9
56	3.3 Profile Description.....	9
57	3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity.....	9
58	3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity.....	9
59	3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity.....	10
60	3.4 Use of Metadata.....	10
61	3.5 Security Considerations.....	10
62	4 Conformance.....	11
63	4.1 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings.....	11
64	4.2 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles.....	11
65	Appendix A.Acknowledgments.....	12
66	Appendix B.Revision History.....	13
67		

1 Introduction

Channel binding, as described in [RFC5056], is a way of associating the authentication of communicating peers at one layer of the network stack with a secure channel established at a lower level of the stack, such as TLS. This specification describes an extension that facilitates the addition of channel bindings to SAML protocol messages and assertions.

Protocol extensions consist of elements defined for inclusion in the `<samlp:Extensions>` element that modify the behavior of SAML requesters and responders when processing extended protocol messages. The protocol extension defined in this specification allows for the inclusion of channel binding information into SAML requests or responses.

A SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] extension attribute is also defined to enable the signaling of channel binding support by particular endpoints.

Finally, a "meta"-profile is presented that acts as an extension for a variety of existing SAML profiles that fit an elementary request/response pattern.

1.1 Terminology and Notation

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119]. These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-language sense.

The term *TLS* as used in this specification refers to either the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) Protocol 3.0 [SSL3] or any version of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol [RFC2246][RFC4346][RFC5246]. As used in this specification, the term *TLS* specifically does **not** refer to the SSL Protocol 2.0 [SSL2].

Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
cb:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding	This is the SAML V2.0 channel binding extension namespace defined by this document and its accompanying schema.
saml:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
samlp:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol	This is the SAML V2.0 protocol namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
md:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata	This is the SAML V2.0 metadata namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 metadata specification [SAML2Meta].
S:	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/	This is the SOAP 1.1 envelope namespace defined in [SOAP1.1].
xsd:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this is the default namespace and no

prefix is shown.

94 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <ns:Element>, Attribute,
95 **Datatype**, OtherCode.

96 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in XML listings:

97 Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

98 Listings of XML examples appear like this. These listings are non-normative.

99 1.2 Normative References

- 100 **[CBReg]** Channel Binding Types Registry, IANA.
101 <http://www.iana.org/assignments/channel-binding-types/>
- 102 **[ChanBind-XSD]** OASIS Working Draft, *Extension Schema for SAML V2.0 Channel Binding*
103 *Extensions Version 1.0*, November 2010. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.xsd)
104 [open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.xsd](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.xsd)
- 105 **[RFC2045]** N. Freed et al. *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format*
106 *of Internet Message Bodies*. IETF RFC 2045, November 1996.
107 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt>
- 108 **[RFC2119]** S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF
109 RFC 2119, March 1997. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- 110 **[RFC2246]** T. Dierks, C. Allen. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.0*. IETF RFC
111 2246, January 1999. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>
- 112 **[RFC4346]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.1*. IETF
113 RFC 4346, April 2006. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt>
- 114 **[RFC5056]** N. Williams. *On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels*. IETF RFC
115 5056, November 2007. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5056.txt>
- 116 **[RFC5246]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.2*. IETF
117 RFC 5246, August 2008. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5246.txt>
- 118 **[SAML2Bind]** OASIS Standard, *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
119 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
120 [bindings-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
- 121 **[SAML2Core]** OASIS Standard, *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion*
122 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
123 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
- 124 **[SAML2Errata]** OASIS Approved Errata, *SAML V2.0 Errata*, October 2009. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf)
125 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf)
- 126 **[SAML2Meta]** OASIS Standard, *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
127 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
128 [metadata-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
- 129 **[SAML2Prof]** OASIS Standard, *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
130 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
131 [profiles-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
- 132 **[Schema1]** H. S. Thompson et al. *XML Schema Part 1: Structures*. World Wide Web
133 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)
134 [xmlschema-1-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)
- 135 **[Schema2]** Paul V. Biron, Ashok Malhotra. *XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes*. World Wide Web
136 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)
137 [xmlschema-2-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)

138 **[SOAP1.1]** D. Box et al. *Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1*. World Wide Web
139 Consortium Note, May 2000. <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP>
140 **[SSL3]** A. Freier, P. Karlton, P. Kocher. *The SSL Protocol Version 3.0*. Netscape
141 Communications Corp., November 18, 1996.
142 <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft302.txt>
143 **[XMLSig]** D. Eastlake et al. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition*. World
144 Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, June 2008.
145 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>

146 **1.3 Non-Normative References**

147 **[RFC5929]** J. Altman, et al. *Channel Bindings for TLS*. IETF RFC 5929, July 2010.
148 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5929.txt>
149 **[SSL2]** K. Hickman. *The SSL Protocol*. Netscape Communications Corp., February 9,
150 1995. <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft02.html>
151 **[XMLEnc]** D. Eastlake et al. *XML Encryption Syntax and Processing*. World Wide Web
152 Consortium Recommendation, December 2002. See
153 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/>

2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings

2.1 Required Information

Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

Description: Given below.

Updates: None.

2.2 Overview

This extension defines a mechanism for the communication of channel bindings at the SAML protocol layer, along with a SAML metadata extension to assist in the deployment of extended capabilities. This extension allows arbitrarily defined channel binding data to be attached to a SAML request or response message (i.e., any protocol message derived from **samlp:RequestAbstractType** or **samlp:StatusResponseType**). The extension can also be used as a SOAP header block for use with more complex profiles.

Specific definitions of channel binding data are out of scope of this specification; the IANA registry can be found at [CBReg].

2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>

The <cb:ChannelBindings> element contains typed, opaque channel bindings that are associated with a SAML request or response. The element includes the following attributes:

Type [required]

A string that identifies the type of the enclosed channel bindings. Channel binding types are registered by IANA at [CBReg].

S:actor [optional]

Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

S:mustUnderstand [optional]

Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

The content of this element consists of type-specific channel bindings, base64-encoded [RFC2045]. The element MAY be empty.

The schema for the <cb:ChannelBindings> element, and its corresponding **cb:ChannelBindingsType** complex type, is as follows:

```
<element name="ChannelBindings" type="cb:ChannelBindingsType"/>
<complexType name="ChannelBindingsType">
  <simpleContent>
    <extension base="base64Binary">
      <attribute name="Type" type="string" use="required"/>
      <attribute ref="S:actor"/>
      <attribute ref="S:mustUnderstand"/>
    </extension>
  </simpleContent>
</complexType>
```

```
192 </simpleContent>
193 </complexType>
```

194 2.4 Processing Rules

195 This extension is included in a protocol message by placing it in the optional `<samlp:Extensions>`
196 element. All extensions are explicitly deemed optional in SAML, so processing of the extension can never
197 be assumed, absent additional out of band knowledge or subsequent signaling. The SAML V2.0 metadata
198 extension defined in section 2.6 MAY be used to indicate the ability to process this extension at a
199 particular endpoint.

200 There are no explicit processing requirements associated with this extension, as it is expected that other
201 profiles will supply them. As a generic matter, when this element is non-empty, a message that contains
202 this extension is considered bound to the specified channel if the message can be authenticated by
203 means other than the specified channel, and if the message recipient can independently verify the
204 channel bindings in a profile-specific manner.

205 As a simple example, normatively described in section 3, a signed SAML request containing TLS channel
206 bindings [RFC5929] sent to a TLS-enabled endpoint can be bound to the TLS connection if the SAML
207 responder can verify that its channel bindings match that found in the request. More complex scenarios
208 are possible in profiles that involve active intermediaries between SAML entities.

209 This extension element MAY be empty, in which case it can be used to signal the successful
210 processing/verification of channel bindings supplied by an associated message (typically identified using
211 the `InResponseTo` attribute). For example, a response message could signal the successful verification
212 of channel bindings supplied in the associated request.

213 2.5 Use Within `<saml:Advice>`

214 This extension MAY be used within the `<saml:Advice>` element to indicate that an assertion was issued
215 in conjunction with the verification of channel bindings by the issuing authority. Either form (empty or non-
216 empty) MAY be used. All advice elements have optional semantics, and MAY be ignored in establishing
217 assertion validity, but relying parties MAY take into account the presence or absence of this extension in
218 determining whether to accept an assertion.

219 The use of this extension within an assertion is essentially an optimization to permit signaling that would
220 otherwise occur in a `<samlp:Response>` message to avoid signature duplication. It is analogous in that
221 regard to data such as the `InResponseTo` or `Recipient` attributes found in the
222 `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element.

223 2.6 Metadata Considerations

224 SAML metadata MAY be used to indicate support for this protocol extension at particular protocol
225 endpoints, using the extension capabilities of the metadata schema.

226 Support for this extension is expressed in SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] by adding an XML attribute
227 to an element derived from the **md:EndpointType** complex type, indicating that SAML protocol messages
228 sent to that endpoint MAY include this extension, and identifying which types of channel bindings are
229 supported in a whitespace-delineated list.

230 The following schema fragment defines the `cb:supportsChannelBindings` attribute:

```
231 <attribute name="supportsChannelBindings">
232 <simpleType>
233 <list itemType="string"/>
234 </simpleType>
```

235 `</attribute>`

236 **2.6.1 Metadata Example**

237 The example below shows a fragment of an `<md:AttributeService>` element that advertises support
238 for this extension. The namespace declaration must be in scope, but the prefix is of course arbitrary.

```
239 <md:AttributeService  
240   xmlns:cb="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding"  
241   cb:supportsChannelBindings="tls-server-end-point" .../>
```

242 3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles

243 3.1 Required Information

244 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:two-party

245 **Contact information:** security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

246 **Description:** Given below.

247 **Updates:** SAML profiles designed around a simple request/response exchange between two parties.

248 3.2 Profile Overview

249 A number of SAML profiles exist that define the use of SAML request/response message pairs between a
250 pair of entities communicating directly with each other in a simple manner. Generally such profiles are
251 used with the SAML SOAP Binding [SAML2Bind], though this is not assumed or required. Examples of
252 such profiles include, but are not limited to, the Artifact Resolution, Assertion Query/Request, Name
253 Identifier Mapping, and Single Logout Profiles [SAML2Prof] (the latter in its "back-channel" form).

254 This profile defines an enhanced variant of all such profiles that relies on the protocol extension defined in
255 section 2 to provide additional security options for SAML entities supporting such profiles by binding the
256 SAML exchange to a secure channel that is established between the parties, but not used for mutual
257 authentication of the SAML exchange.

258 This is accomplished via the SAML requester attaching channel bindings to its SAML request message.
259 The SAML responder can optionally verify the channel bindings, and adjust its behavior according to local
260 policy (suggested examples are given below). A SAML requester could also adjust its behavior in
261 subsequent communication with the SAML responder over the same channel.

262 3.3 Profile Description

263 3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity

264 A SAML request message is formulated and transmitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and
265 binding requirements, but in the presence of a secure channel for transport of the SAML binding such as
266 TLS, the SAML requester MAY attach channel bindings by including a <cb:ChannelBindings>
267 extension element in the SAML request's <samlp:Extensions> element.

268 The SAML request MUST be integrity protected and authenticated (obviously by means other than the
269 secure channel), typically via an XML Signature [XMLSig].

270 3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity

271 The SAML responder SHOULD examine the <cb:ChannelBindings> extension element, if present in
272 the SAML request, and verify the channel bindings. In the event of verification failure, the SAML
273 responder MAY return an error/failure response to the requester. It MAY include a second-level status
274 code of:

275 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding

276 If it chooses not to return an error and proceed, the SAML responder SHOULD take into account the
277 presence or absence of channel bindings in formulating its response. In their absence, the responder

278 MUST NOT assume a secure channel between itself and the requester. A typical example might include
279 choosing between XML Encryption [XMLEnc] and relying on the secure channel for confidentiality.

280 **3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity**

281 A SAML response message is formulated and transmitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and
282 binding requirements. If the responder successfully verified channel bindings supplied by the requester, it
283 MUST include a `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension element in the SAML response's
284 `<samlp:Extensions>` element, and/or in an enclosed `<saml:Assertion>`'s `<saml:Advice>`
285 element. This element MAY be empty.

286 Upon receipt of the response, the SAML requester MAY apply local policy based on the presence or
287 absence of the indication of successful verification of the channel bindings, such as adjusting its own
288 reliance on the channel in subsequent communication.

289 **3.4 Use of Metadata**

290 While use of this extended variant is backwardly compatible with profile endpoints that lack such support,
291 the metadata extension defined in section 2.6 SHOULD be used by SAML responders to indicate support
292 for the extension, and SAML requesters SHOULD make use of the metadata extension content in
293 deciding what type of channel bindings to supply.

294 **3.5 Security Considerations**

295 SAML requesters that attach channel bindings MUST ensure that the responder includes an appropriate
296 indication of successful verification before assuming the presence of a secure channel. Since SAML is not
297 defined in terms of connection-oriented communication, there is no preparatory "establishment" of a
298 security context that would signal the success or failure of the channel binding separately from the SAML
299 communication itself.

300 Channel bindings MAY be sent without confidentiality protection and knowledge of them is assumed to
301 provide no advantage to an MITM.

302 The general security considerations of channel bindings [RFC5056] and specific channel binding types
303 [CBReg] also apply.

304 4 Conformance

305 4.1 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings

306 There are no explicit conformance requirements associated with this section, but any SAML
307 implementation conformant with [SAML2Core] is expected to successfully process SAML messages are
308 assertions that contain the extension (as all such extensions are explicitly optional).

309 4.2 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles

310 A SAML requester that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2
311 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements
312 for SAML requesters throughout section 3.

313 A SAML responder that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2
314 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements
315 for SAML responders throughout section 3.

316

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

317 The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical
318 Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

- 319 • TBD

320 The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:

- 321 • Nicolas Williams, Oracle Corporation

322

Appendix B. Revision History

323

- Working Draft 01 - Initial draft.

324

- Working Draft 02 – Apply new OASIS template and change filenames.