

---

# 1 SAML V2.0 Channel Binding Extensions Version 1.0

2 Working Draft 043

3 4822 NovemberAugust 20101

## 4 Technical Committee:

5 OASIS Security Services TC

## 6 Chair(s):

7 Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.

8 Nate Klingenstein, Internet2

## 9 Editor(s):

10 Scott Cantor, Internet2

## 11 Related Work:

12 This specification builds on the notion of channel bindings described in [RFC5056] and extends  
13 profiles defined in [SAML2Prof] and elsewhere.

## 14 Declared XML Namespace(s):

15 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding`

## 16 Abstract:

17 Protocol extensions enable extension-aware SAML requesters and responders to modify protocol  
18 behavior in a generic, layered fashion. This specification defines an extension to the SAML V2.0  
19 protocol [SAML2Core] specification that supports the use of channel bindings [RFC5056] in  
20 conjunction with SAML profiles. It also includes a new SAML profile that applies the extension to  
21 a set of profiles that fit a particular communication pattern.

## 22 Status:

23 This document is a Working Draft and as such as no official standing with regard to the OASIS  
24 Technical Committee Process.

25 Copyright © OASIS® 20101. All Rights Reserved.

26 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual  
27 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

28 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that  
29 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,  
30 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice  
31 and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may  
32 not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as  
33 needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical  
34 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be  
35 followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

36 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors  
37 or assigns.

# 38 Table of Contents

39	1 Introduction.....	3
40	1.1 Terminology and Notation.....	3
41	1.2 Normative References.....	4
42	1.3 Non-Normative References.....	5
43	2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings.....	6
44	2.1 Required Information.....	6
45	2.2 Overview.....	6
46	2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>.....	6
47	2.4 Processing Rules.....	7
48	2.5 Use Within <saml:Advice>.....	7
49	2.6 Metadata Considerations.....	7
50	2.6.1 Metadata Example.....	8
51	3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles.....	9
52	3.1 Required Information.....	9
53	3.2 Profile Overview.....	9
54	3.3 Profile Description.....	9
55	3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity.....	9
56	3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity.....	9
57	3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity.....	10
58	3.4 Use of Metadata.....	10
59	3.5 Security Considerations.....	10
60	4 Conformance.....	11
61	4.1 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings.....	11
62	4.2 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles.....	11
63	Appendix A.Acknowledgments.....	12
64	Appendix B.Revision History.....	13

# 1 Introduction

65

66 Channel binding, as described in [RFC5056], is a way of associating the authentication of communicating  
67 peers at one layer of the network stack with a secure channel established at a lower level of the stack,  
68 such as TLS. This specification describes an extension that facilitates the addition of channel bindings to  
69 SAML protocol messages and assertions.

70 Protocol extensions consist of elements defined for inclusion in the `<samlp:Extensions>` element that  
71 modify the behavior of SAML requesters and responders when processing extended protocol messages.  
72 The protocol extension defined in this specification allows for the inclusion of channel binding information  
73 into SAML requests or responses.

74 A SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] extension attribute is also defined to enable the signaling of  
75 channel binding support by particular endpoints.

76 Finally, a "meta"-profile is presented that acts as an extension for a variety of existing SAML profiles that  
77 fit an elementary request/response pattern.

## 1.1 Terminology and Notation

78

79 The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD  
80 NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as  
81 described in [RFC2119]. These keywords are thus capitalized when used to unambiguously specify  
82 requirements over protocol and application features and behavior that affect the interoperability and  
83 security of implementations. When these words are not capitalized, they are meant in their natural-  
84 language sense.

85 The term *TLS* as used in this specification refers to either the Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) Protocol 3.0  
86 [SSL3] or any version of the Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol [RFC2246][RFC4346][RFC5246]. As  
87 used in this specification, the term *TLS* specifically does **not** refer to the SSL Protocol 2.0 [SSL2].

88 Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout the listings in this specification to stand for  
89 their respective namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the  
90 example:

Prefix	XML Namespace	Comments
cb:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding	This is the SAML V2.0 channel binding extension namespace defined by this document and its accompanying schema.
saml:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion	This is the SAML V2.0 assertion namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
samlp:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:protocol	This is the SAML V2.0 protocol namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 core specification [SAML2Core].
md:	urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:metadata	This is the SAML V2.0 metadata namespace defined in the SAML V2.0 metadata specification [SAML2Meta].
S:	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/	This is the SOAP 1.1 envelope namespace defined in [SOAP1.1].
xsd:	http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema	This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema

listings, this is the default namespace and no prefix is shown.

91 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in text: <ns:Element>, Attribute,  
92 **Datatype**, OtherCode.

93 This specification uses the following typographical conventions in XML listings:

94 Listings of XML schemas appear like this.

95 Listings of XML examples appear like this. These listings are non-normative.

## 96 1.2 Normative References

- 97 **[CBReg]** Channel Binding Types Registry, IANA.  
98 <http://www.iana.org/assignments/channel-binding-types/>
- 99 ~~**[ChanBind-XSD]** OASIS Working Draft, *Extension Schema for SAML V2.0 Channel Binding*  
100 *Extensions Version 1.0*, November 2010. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.xsd)  
101 [open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.xsd](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-channel-binding-ext-v1.0.xsd)~~
- 102 **[RFC2045]** N. Freed et al. *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format*  
103 *of Internet Message Bodies*. IETF RFC 2045, November 1996.  
104 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2045.txt>
- 105 **[RFC2119]** S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. IETF  
106 RFC 2119, March 1997. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>
- 107 **[RFC2246]** T. Dierks, C. Allen. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.0*. IETF RFC  
108 2246, January 1999. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>
- 109 **[RFC4346]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.1*. IETF  
110 RFC 4346, April 2006. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4346.txt>
- 111 **[RFC5056]** N. Williams. *On the Use of Channel Bindings to Secure Channels*. IETF RFC  
112 5056, November 2007. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5056.txt>
- 113 **[RFC5246]** T. Dierks, E. Rescorla. *The Transport Layer Security Protocol Version 1.2*. IETF  
114 RFC 5246, August 2008. <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5246.txt>
- 115 **[SAML2Bind]** OASIS Standard, *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*  
116 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)  
117 [bindings-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
- 118 **[SAML2Core]** OASIS Standard, *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion*  
119 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)  
120 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
- 121 **[SAML2Errata]** OASIS Approved Errata, *SAML V2.0 Errata*, October 2009. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf)  
122 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/sstc-saml-approved-errata-2.0.pdf)
- 123 **[SAML2Meta]** OASIS Standard, *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*  
124 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)  
125 [metadata-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
- 126 **[SAML2Prof]** OASIS Standard, *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*  
127 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)  
128 [profiles-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf)
- 129 **[Schema1]** H. S. Thompson et al. *XML Schema Part 1: Structures*. World Wide Web  
130 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)  
131 [xmlschema-1-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-1-20010502/)
- 132 **[Schema2]** Paul V. Biron, Ashok Malhotra. *XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes*. World Wide Web  
133 Consortium Recommendation, May 2001. [http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)  
134 [xmlschema-2-20010502/](http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlschema-2-20010502/)

135       **[SOAP1.1]**       D. Box et al. *Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1*. World Wide Web  
136                               Consortium Note, May 2000. <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP>

137       **[SSL3]**            A. Freier, P. Karlton, P. Kocher. *The SSL Protocol Version 3.0*. Netscape  
138                               Communications Corp., November 18, 1996.  
139                               <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft302.txt>

140       **[XMLSig]**         D. Eastlake et al. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition*. World  
141                               Wide Web Consortium Recommendation, June 2008.  
142                               <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>

### 143   **1.3 Non-Normative References**

144       **[RFC5929]**        J. Altman, et al. *Channel Bindings for TLS*. IETF RFC 5929, July 2010.  
145                               <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5929.txt>

146       **[SSL2]**            K. Hickman. *The SSL Protocol*. Netscape Communications Corp., February 9,  
147                               1995. <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft02.html>

148       **[XMLEnc]**         D. Eastlake et al. *XML Encryption Syntax and Processing*. World Wide Web  
149                               Consortium Recommendation, December 2002. See  
150                               <http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210/>

---

## 2 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings

### 2.1 Required Information

**Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:protocol:ext:channel-binding

**Contact information:** [security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)

**Description:** Given below.

**Updates:** None.

### 2.2 Overview

This extension defines a mechanism for the communication of channel bindings at the SAML protocol layer, along with a SAML metadata extension to assist in the deployment of extended capabilities. This extension allows arbitrarily defined channel binding data to be attached to a SAML request or response message (i.e., any protocol message derived from **samlp:RequestAbstractType** or **samlp:StatusResponseType**). The extension can also be used as a SOAP header block for use with more complex profiles.

Specific definitions of channel binding data are out of scope of this specification; the IANA registry can be found at [CBReg].

### 2.3 Element <cb:ChannelBindings>

The <cb:ChannelBindings> element contains typed, opaque channel bindings that are associated with a SAML request or response. The element includes the following attributes:

Type [**requiredoptional**]

A string that identifies the type of the enclosed channel bindings. Channel binding types are registered by IANA at [CBReg]. For some applications, the type of channel binding in use will be unknown to the layer that creates the extension, so this attribute is optional.

S:actor [optional]

Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

S:mustUnderstand [optional]

Supports the element's use as a SOAP header block, unused otherwise.

The content of this element consists of **application- and** type-specific channel bindings, base64-encoded. The element MAY be empty. The actual content of the element must be specified by SAML profiles or other specifications that make use of this extension. Such specifications MUST ensure that the data is base-64 encoded, usually as a final encoding step.

The schema for the <cb:ChannelBindings> element, and its corresponding **cb:ChannelBindingsType** complex type, is as follows:

```
<element name="ChannelBindings" type="cb:ChannelBindingsType"/>
<complexType name="ChannelBindingsType">
  <simpleContent>
    <extension base="base64Binary">
      <attribute name="Type" type="string" use="required"/>
    </extension>
  </simpleContent>
</complexType>
```

```
189     <attribute ref="S:actor"/>
190     <attribute ref="S:mustUnderstand"/>
191   </extension>
192 </simpleContent>
193 </complexType>
```

## 194 2.4 Processing Rules

195 This extension is included in a protocol message by placing it in the optional `<samlp:Extensions>`  
196 element. All extensions are explicitly deemed optional in SAML, so processing of the extension can never  
197 be assumed, absent additional out of band knowledge or subsequent signaling. The SAML V2.0 metadata  
198 extension defined in section 2.6 MAY be used to indicate the ability to process this extension at a  
199 particular endpoint.

200 There are no explicit processing requirements associated with this extension, as it is expectedrequired  
201 that other profiles will supply them. As a generic matter, when this element is non-empty, a message that  
202 contains this extension is considered bound to the specified channel if the message can be authenticated  
203 by means other than the specified channel, and if the message recipient can independently verify the  
204 channel bindings ss in a profile-specific manner.

205 As a simple example, normatively described in section 3, a signed SAML request containing TLS channel  
206 bindings [RFC5929] sent to a TLS-enabled endpoint can be bound to the TLS connection if the SAML  
207 responder can verify that its channel bindings match that found in the request. More complex scenarios  
208 are possible in profiles that involve active intermediaries between SAML entities.

209 This extension element MAY be empty, in which case it can be used to signal the successful  
210 processing/verification of channel bindings supplied by an associated message (typically identified using  
211 the `InResponseTo` attribute). For example, a response message could signal the successful verification  
212 of channel bindings supplied in the associated request.

## 213 2.5 Use Within `<saml:Advice>`

214 This extension MAY be used within the `<saml:Advice>` element to indicate that an assertion was issued  
215 in conjunction with the verification of channel bindings by the issuing authority. Either form (empty or non-  
216 empty) MAY be used. All advice elements have optional semantics, and MAY be ignored in establishing  
217 assertion validity, but relying parties MAY take into account the presence or absence of this extension in  
218 determining whether to accept an assertion.

219 The use of this extension within an assertion is essentially an optimization to permit signaling that would  
220 otherwise occur in a `<samlp:Response>` message to avoid signature duplication. It is analogous in that  
221 regard to data such as the `InResponseTo` or `Recipient` attributes found in the  
222 `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element.

## 223 2.6 Metadata Considerations

224 SAML metadata MAY be used to indicate support for this protocol extension at particular protocol  
225 endpoints, using the extension capabilities of the metadata schema.

226 Support for this extension is expressed in SAML V2.0 metadata [SAML2Meta] by adding an XML attribute  
227 to an element derived from the **md:EndpointType** complex type, indicating that SAML protocol messages  
228 sent to that endpoint MAY include this extension, and identifying which types of channel bindings are  
229 supported in a whitespace-delineated list.

230 The following schema fragment defines the `cb:supportsChannelBindings` attribute:

```
231 <attribute name="supportsChannelBindings">
```

```
232 <simpleType>
233   <list itemType="string"/>
234 </simpleType>
235 </attribute>
```

## 236 2.6.1 Metadata Example

237 The example below shows a fragment of an `<md:AttributeService>` element that advertises support  
238 for this extension. The namespace declaration must be in scope, but the prefix is of course arbitrary.

```
239 <md:AttributeService
240   xmlns:cb="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding"
241   cb:supportsChannelBindings="tls-server-end-point" .../>
```

---

## 242 3 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles

### 243 3.1 Required Information

244 **Identification:** urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:two-party

245 **Contact information:** [security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org](mailto:security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org)

246 **Description:** Given below.

247 **Updates:** SAML profiles designed around a simple request/response exchange between two parties.

### 248 3.2 Profile Overview

249 A number of SAML profiles exist that define the use of SAML request/response message pairs between a  
250 pair of entities communicating directly with each other in a simple manner. Generally such profiles are  
251 used with the SAML SOAP Binding [SAML2Bind], though this is not assumed or required. Examples of  
252 such profiles include, but are not limited to, the Artifact Resolution, Assertion Query/Request, Name  
253 Identifier Mapping, and Single Logout Profiles [SAML2Prof] (the latter in its "back-channel" form).

254 This profile defines an enhanced variant of all such profiles that relies on the protocol extension defined in  
255 section 2 to provide additional security options for SAML entities supporting such profiles by binding the  
256 SAML exchange to an secure channel that is established between the parties, but not used for mutual  
257 authentication of the SAML exchange.

258 This is accomplished via the SAML requester attaching channel bindings to its SAML request message.  
259 The SAML responder can optionally verify the channel bindings, and adjust its behavior according to local  
260 policy (suggested examples are given below). A SAML requester could also adjust its behavior in  
261 subsequent communication with the SAML responder over the same channel.

### 262 3.3 Profile Description

#### 263 3.3.1 SAML Request issued by Requesting Entity

264 A SAML request message is formulated and transitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and  
265 binding requirements, but in the presence of a secure channel for transport of the SAML binding such as  
266 TLS, the SAML requester MAY attach one or more channel bindings by including one or more a-  
267 `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension elements in the SAML request's `<samlp:Extensions>` element.

268 Within each extension element, the `Type` attribute MUST be set to the channel binding type, and the raw  
269 channel binding data MUST be base64-encoded and the result used as the content of the element.

270 The SAML request MUST be integrity protected and authenticated (obviously by means other than the  
271 secure channel), typically via an XML Signature [XMLSig].

#### 272 3.3.2 Verification of Channel Bindings by Responding Entity

273 The SAML responder SHOULD examine the `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension element(s), if present  
274 in the SAML request, and verify at least one of the channel bindings. In the event of verification failure,  
275 the SAML responder MAY return an error/failure response to the requester. It MAY include a second-level  
276 status code of:

277 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:ext:channel-binding

278 If it chooses not to return an error and proceed, the SAML responder SHOULD take into account the  
279 presence or absence of channel bindings in formulating its response. In their absence, the responder  
280 MUST NOT assume a secure channel between itself and the requester. A typical example might include  
281 choosing between XML Encryption [XMLEnc] and relying on the secure channel for confidentiality.

### 282 **3.3.3 SAML Response issued by Responding Entity**

283 A SAML response message is formulated and transmitted in accordance with existing SAML profile and  
284 binding requirements. If the responder successfully verified channel bindings supplied by the requester, it  
285 MUST include at least one `<cb:ChannelBindings>` extension element in the SAML response's  
286 `<samlp:Extensions>` element, and/or in an enclosed `<saml:Assertion>`'s `<saml:Advice>`  
287 element.

288 ~~This~~The extension element(s) MAY be empty, but MUST contain a Type attribute indicating the type of  
289 channel bindings verified. More than one element MAY be included if the responder verified more than  
290 one type of channel bindings.

291 Upon receipt of the response, the SAML requester MAY apply local policy based on the presence or  
292 absence of the indication of successful verification of the channel bindings, such as adjusting its own  
293 reliance on the channel in subsequent communication.

### 294 **3.4 Use of Metadata**

295 While use of this extended variant is backwardly compatible with profile endpoints that lack such support,  
296 the metadata extension defined in section 2.6 SHOULD be used by SAML responders to indicate support  
297 for the extension, and SAML requesters SHOULD make use of the metadata extension content in  
298 deciding what type of channel bindings to supply.

### 299 **3.5 Security Considerations**

300 SAML requesters that attach channel bindings MUST ensure that the responder includes an appropriate  
301 indication of successful verification before assuming the presence of a secure channel. Since SAML is not  
302 defined in terms of connection-oriented communication, there is no preparatory "establishment" of a  
303 security context that would signal the success or failure of the channel binding separately from the SAML  
304 communication itself.

305 Channel bindings MAY be sent without confidentiality protection and knowledge of them is assumed to  
306 provide no advantage to an MITM.

307 The general security considerations of channel bindings [RFC5056] and specific channel binding types  
308 [CBReg] also apply.

---

309 **4 Conformance**

310 **4.1 SAML V2.0 Protocol Extension for Channel Bindings**

311 There are no explicit conformance requirements associated with this section, but any SAML  
312 implementation conformant with [SAML2Core] is expected to successfully process SAML messages are  
313 assertions that contain the extension (as all such extensions are explicitly optional).

314 **4.2 Use of Protocol Extension with Two-Party Profiles**

315 A SAML requester that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2  
316 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements  
317 for SAML requesters throughout section 3.

318 A SAML responder that supports one or more profiles compatible with the variant described in section 3.2  
319 supports the variant/extended version of those same profiles if it conforms to the normative requirements  
320 for SAML responders throughout section 3.

---

321

## Appendix A. Acknowledgments

322

The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

323

- TBD

324

The editor would also like to acknowledge the following contributors:

325

- Nicolas Williams, Oracle Corporation

326

- [Simon Josefsson, SJD AB](#)

327

328

---

## Appendix B. Revision History

329

- Working Draft 01 — Initial draft.

330

- Working Draft 02 – Apply new OASIS template and change filenames.

331

- Working Draft 03 – Fixes to template and corrected Nate's name.

332

- Working Draft 04 – Clarify that encoding of CB data is left to profiles, and nail down encoding for the inline profile.

333