
1 SAML V2.0 Approved Errata

2 Working Draft 54

3 3 November 2011

4 Technical Committee:

5 OASIS Security Services TC

6 Chair(s):

7 Thomas Hardjono, M.I.T.

8 Nate Klingenstein, Internet2

9 Editor:

10 Scott Cantor, Internet2

11 Related Work:

12 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-authn-context-2.0-os.pdf>

13 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-bindings-2.0-os.pdf>

14 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf>

15 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf>

16 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-metadata-2.0-os.pdf>

17 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-profiles-2.0-os.pdf>

18 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-sec-consider-2.0-os.pdf>

19 Abstract:

20 This document lists approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard.

21 Status:

22 This document is a Working Draft and as such has no official standing with regard to the OASIS
23 Technical Committee Process.

24 Copyright © OASIS® 2011. All Rights Reserved.

25 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
26 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

27 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
28 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published,
29 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
30 and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may
31 not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as
32 needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical
33 Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be
34 followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

35 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
36 or assigns.

Table of Contents

38	1 Introduction.....	5
39	1.1 Normative References.....	5
40	1.2 Non-Normative References.....	6
41	2 Approved Errata.....	7
42	E0: Incorrect Section Reference.....	7
43	E1: Relay State for HTTP Redirect.....	7
44	E2: Metadata Clarifications for HTTP Artifact Binding.....	7
45	E4: No Role for SAML V1.1 Artifacts in SAML V2.0.....	7
46	E6: Clarify Constraints on Encrypted NameID.....	8
47	E7: Metadata for Agreeing to Sign Authentication Requests.....	8
48	E8: SLO and NameID Termination	8
49	E10: Logout Request Reason Mismatch with Schema	9
50	E11: Improperly Labeled Feature.....	9
51	E12: Clarification on ManageNameIDRequest.....	9
52	E13: Inaccurate Description of Authorization Decision	10
53	E14: AllowCreate.....	10
54	E15: NameID Policy Adherence.....	12
55	E17: Authentication Response IssuerName vs. Assertion IssuerName.....	12
56	E18: Reference to Identity Provider Discovery Service in ECP Profile.....	12
57	E19: Clarification on Error Processing.....	13
58	E20: ECP SSO Profile and Metadata.....	13
59	E21: PAOS Version.....	14
60	E22: Error in Profile/ECP.....	14
61	E24: HTTPS in URI Binding.....	14
62	E25: Metadata Feature in Conformance.....	14
63	E26: Ambiguities Around Multiple Assertions and Statements in the SSO Profile.....	15
64	E27: Incorrect Step Number in ECP Profile.....	17
65	E28: Profile Labeling in Conformance.....	17
66	E29: Incomplete Listing of Features in Conformance.....	18
67	E30: Key Replacement.....	18
68	E31: Various Minor Errors in Binding.....	18
69	E32: Missing Required Information in Profiles.....	19
70	E33: References to Assertion Request Protocol.....	19
71	E34: RequestedAttribute Section Heading.....	19
72	E35: Response Consumer URL Rules and Example.....	19
73	E36: Clarification on Action Element.....	19
74	E37: Clarification in Metadata on Indexed Endpoints.....	20
75	E38: Clarification Regarding Index on <LogoutRequest>.....	20
76	E39: Error in SAML Profile Example.....	20
77	E40: Holder of Key.....	21
78	E41: EndpointType ResponseLocation Clarification in Metadata.....	21
79	E42: Match Authorities to Queries in Conformance.....	21

80	E43: Key Location in saml:EncryptedData.....	22
81	E45: AuthnContext Comparison Order.....	24
82	E46: AudienceRestriction Clarifications.....	25
83	E47: Clarification on SubjectConfirmation.....	25
84	E48: Clarification on Encoding for Binary Values in LDAP Profile.....	26
85	E49: Clarification on Attribute Name Format	26
86	E50: Clarification on SSL Ciphersuites	27
87	E51: Schema Type of Contents of <AttributeValue>	27
88	E52: Clarification on NotOnOrAfter Attribute for Subject Confirmation.....	27
89	E53: Correction to LDAP/X.500 Profile Attribute.....	28
90	E54: Corrections to ECP URN	28
91	E55: Language Cleanup Around Name Identifier Management.....	28
92	E56: Confirmation Method Typo.....	29
93	E57: SAMLmime Reference.....	30
94	E58: KeyDescriptor Typos in Profiles.....	30
95	E59: SSO Response When Using HTTP-Artifact.....	30
96	E60: Incorrect URI for Unspecified NameID Format.....	30
97	E61: Reference to Non-Existent Element.....	31
98	E62: TLS Keys in KeyDescriptor.....	31
99	E63: IdP Discovery Cookie Interpretation.....	31
100	E64: Liberty Moniker Used Inappropriately.....	31
101	E65: Second-level StatusCode.....	32
102	E66: Metadata and DNSSEC.....	32
103	E68: Use of Multiple <KeyDescriptor> Elements.....	33
104	E69: Semantics of <ds:KeyInfo> in <KeyDescriptor>.....	33
105	E70: Obsolete reference to UUID URN namespace.....	33
106	E71: Missing namespace definition in Profiles.....	33
107	E74: Update XML Signature Reference.....	34
108	E75: Clarify Handling of SubjectConfirmation in AuthnRequest.....	34
109	E76: Clarify nested validUntil/cacheDuration.....	34
110	E77: Generalize scope of Metadata specification.....	35
111	E78: Reassignment of persistent identifiers.....	35
112	E79: Clarification of SessionNotOnOrAfter.....	35
113	E81: Algorithm statement in XML Signature profile.....	35
114	E82: Empty <ContactPerson> element.....	35
115	E83: Weaken claim made about Exclusive C14N.....	36
116	E84: Incorrect NameID Format constant.....	36
117	E85: Conflicting language on profile error responses.....	36
118	E86: Pseudorandom requirement for persistent NameID format.....	36
119	E87: Clarify default rules for <md:AttributeConsumingService>.....	37
120	E88: Human readability of <md:ServiceName>.....	37
121	E89: NameFormat defaulting for <md:RequestedAttribute>.....	37
122	E90: RelayState sanitization.....	37
123	E91: Disallow <ds:Object> element in signatures.....	38
124	3 Acknowledgments.....	39

1 Introduction

126

127 This document lists the approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard. Each one has been given an
128 *Err* designation. Numbers in the sequence are missing wherever a reported problem (a “proposed
129 erratum”, or PE) resulted in a TC decision not to issue an erratum to any V2.0 specification text, or where
130 an issue has not yet been disposed.

131 As required by the OASIS Technical Committee Process, the approved errata represent changes that are
132 not “substantive”. The changes focus on clarifications to ambiguous or conflicting specification text, where
133 different compliant implementations might have reasonably chosen different interpretations. The intent of
134 the Security Services TC has been to resolve such issues in service of improved interoperability based on
135 implementation and deployment experience.

136 In this document, errata change instructions are presented with surrounding context as necessary to
137 make the intent clear. Original specification text is often presented as follows, with problem text
138 highlighted in bold:

139 This is an original specification sentence. **The second sentence needs to be changed, removed, or**
140 **replaced.**

141 New specification text is typically presented as follows, with new or changed text highlighted in bold:

142 This is a **highly** original specification sentence. **This is the wholly new content to replace the old second**
143 **sentence. It runs on and on and on.**

144 In a few cases, text needs only to be struck, in which case the change is shown as follows, with text to be
145 removed both highlighted in bold and struck through:

146 This is yet another original specification sentence which contains **an inappropriately** long description.

147 In addition to this normative document, non-normative “errata composite” documents may be provided
148 that combine the prescribed corrections with the original specification text, illustrating the changes with
149 margin change bars, struck-through original text, and highlighted new text. These documents, if available,
150 will be found at the same location as this approved form.

151 All cited line numbers refer to the PDF forms of the original OASIS Standard specifications in question,
152 not to line numbers in this document or in the errata composite documents.

1.1 Normative References

153

- 154 **[SAMLAuthCtx]** OASIS Standard, *Authentication Context for the OASIS Security Assertion*
155 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-
open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-authn-context-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-
156 open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-authn-context-2.0-os.pdf)
- 157 **[SAMLBind]** OASIS Standard, *Bindings for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
158 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-
bindings-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-
159 bindings-2.0-os.pdf)
- 160 **[SAMLConf]** OASIS Standard, *Conformance Requirements for the OASIS Security Assertion*
161 *Mark Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-
open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-
162 open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-conformance-2.0-os.pdf)
- 163 **[SAMLCore]** OASIS Standard, *Assertions and Protocols for the OASIS Security Assertion*
164 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-
open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-
165 open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-core-2.0-os.pdf)
- 166 **[SAMLMeta]** OASIS Standard, *Metadata for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
167 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-
metadata-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-
168 metadata-2.0-os.pdf)
- 169 **[SAMLProf]** OASIS Standard, *Profiles for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language*
170 *(SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-
profiles-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-
171 profiles-2.0-os.pdf)

172 **[SAMLSec]** OASIS Standard, *Security Considerations for the OASIS Security Assertion*
173 *Markup Language (SAML) V2.0*, March 2005. [http://docs.oasis-](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-sec-consider-2.0-os.pdf)
174 [open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-sec-consider-2.0-os.pdf](http://docs.oasis-open.org/security/saml/v2.0/saml-sec-consider-2.0-os.pdf)

175 **1.2 Non-Normative References**

176 **[Sec2011]** *From Multiple Credentials to Browser-based Single Sign-On:*
177 *Are We More Secure?*, in the Proceedings of the 26th IFIP TC-11
178 International Information Security Conference (SEC 2010), Luzern,
179 Switzerland, June 7-9, 2011. <http://www.ai-lab.it/armando/pub/sec2011.pdf>

2 Approved Errata

180

181 Following are the approved errata to the SAML V2.0 OASIS Standard.

182

E0: Incorrect Section Reference

183 Change [SAMLCore] at line 2660 to refer to section **3.7.3** rather than **3.6.3** for `Reason` codes. This was a
184 typographical error.

185

E1: Relay State for HTTP Redirect

186 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.4.3 at lines 551-553 to reflect the fact that, indeed, the `RelayState`
187 parameter is covered by the query string signature described in Section 3.4.4.1 (DEFLATE encoding).
188 Note that Section 3.5.3, which has similar original wording, remains correct for its case.

189 Original:

190 RelayState data MAY be included with a SAML protocol message transmitted with this binding. The value
191 MUST NOT exceed 80 bytes in length and SHOULD be integrity protected by the entity creating the
192 message. **Signing is not realistic given the space limitation, but because the value is exposed to
193 third-party tampering, the entity SHOULD insure that the value has not been tampered with by using
194 a checksum, a pseudo-random value, or similar means.**

195 New:

196 RelayState data MAY be included with a SAML protocol message transmitted with this binding. The value
197 MUST NOT exceed 80 bytes in length and SHOULD be integrity protected by the entity creating the
198 message, **either via a digital signature (see Section 3.4.4.1) or by some independent means.**

199

E2: Metadata Clarifications for HTTP Artifact Binding

200 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.7 at lines 1188-1191 to clarify metadata requirements on profiles using
201 the HTTP Artifact binding.

202 Original:

203 Support for the HTTP Artifact binding SHOULD be reflected by indicating URL endpoints at which requests
204 and responses for a particular protocol or profile should be sent. Either a single endpoint or distinct request
205 and response endpoints MAY be supplied. **One or more indexed endpoints for processing
206 <samlp:ArtifactResolve> messages SHOULD also be described.**

207 New:

208 Support for **receiving messages using** the HTTP Artifact binding SHOULD be reflected by indicating URL
209 endpoints at which requests and responses for a particular protocol or profile should be sent. **Support for
210 sending messages using this binding SHOULD be accompanied by one or more indexed
211 <md:ArtifactResolutionService> endpoints for processing <samlp:ArtifactResolve> messages.**

212

E4: No Role for SAML V1.1 Artifacts in SAML V2.0

213 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.4 at line 1067 to clarify that SAML V1.1 artifacts have no role in SAML
214 V2.0.

215 New:

216 The following describes the single artifact type defined by SAML V2.0. **Although the general artifact
217 structure resembles that used in prior versions of SAML and the type code of the single format
218 described below does not conflict with previously defined formats, there is explicitly no
219 correspondence between SAML V2.0 artifacts and those found in any previous specifications, and
220 artifact formats not defined specifically for use with SAML V2.0 MUST NOT be used with this binding.**

221

E6: Clarify Constraints on Encrypted NameID

222 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1 at line 2139 to clarify that, if encrypted name identifiers are chosen,
223 no further description of the type of name identifier will be available in SAML messages..

224 New:

225 The special Format value `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted` indicates
226 that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain `<EncryptedID>` elements instead of plaintext. The underlying
227 name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the identity provider for the requested
228 subject. **It is not possible for the service provider to specifically request that a particular kind of
229 identifier be returned if it asks for encryption. The `<md:NameIDFormat>` metadata element (see
230 [SAMLMeta]) or other out-of-band means MAY be used to determine what kind of identifier to encrypt
231 and return.**

232

E7: Metadata for Agreeing to Sign Authentication Requests

233 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.3 at line 710, 741-742, and 744-747 to remove ambiguity about how to
234 accomplish signing when the IdP SSO descriptor includes the setting `WantAuthnRequestsSigned` and the
235 SP SSO descriptor includes the setting `AuthnRequestsSigned` .

236 New at line 710:

237 **The `WantAuthnRequestsSigned` attribute is intended to indicate to service providers whether or not
238 they can expect an unsigned `<AuthnRequest>` message to be accepted by the identity provider. The
239 identity provider is not obligated to reject unsigned requests nor is a service provider obligated to
240 sign its requests, although it might reasonably expect an unsigned request will be rejected. In some
241 cases, a service provider may not even know which identity provider will ultimately receive and
242 respond to its requests, so the use of this attribute in such a case cannot be strictly defined.**

243
244 **Furthermore, note that the specific method of signing that would be expected is binding dependent.
245 The HTTP Redirect binding (see [SAMLBind]) requires that the signature be applied to the URL-
246 encoded value rather than placed within the XML message, while other bindings generally permit the
247 signature to be within the message in the usual fashion.**

248
249 The following schema fragment defines the `<IDPSSODescriptor>` element and its `IDPSSODescriptorType`
250 complex type:

251 New at lines 741-742:

252 **Optional attribute that indicates whether the `<samlp:AuthnRequest>` messages sent by this service
253 provider will be signed. If omitted, the value is assumed to be false. A value of false (or omission of this
254 attribute) does not imply that the service provider will never sign its requests or that a signed
255 request should be considered an error. However, an identity provider that receives an unsigned
256 `<samlp:AuthnRequest>` message from a service provider whose metadata contains this attribute
257 with a value of true MUST return a SAML error response and MUST NOT fulfill the request.**

258 New at lines 744-747:

259 **Optional attribute that indicates a requirement for the `<saml:Assertion>` elements received by this
260 service provider to be signed. If omitted, the value is assumed to be false. This requirement is in addition to
261 any requirement for signing derived from the use of a particular profile/binding combination. Note that an
262 enclosing signature at the SAML binding or protocol layer does not suffice to meet this requirement,
263 for example signing a `<samlp:Response>` containing the assertion(s) or a TLS connection.**

264

E8: SLO and NameID Termination

265 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3 at lines 2479-2480 to clarify the rules around SP single logout
266 behavior when a name identifier has been terminated.

267 Original:

268 The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented
269 by the name identifier has been terminated. **It can choose to invalidate the active session(s) of a**
270 **principal for whom a relationship has been terminated.**

271 New:

272 The receiving provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented
273 by the name identifier has been terminated. **In general it SHOULD NOT invalidate any active session(s)**
274 **of the principal for whom the relationship has been terminated. If the receiving provider is an identity**
275 **provider, it SHOULD NOT invalidate any active session(s) of the principal established with other**
276 **service providers. A requesting provider MAY send a <LogoutRequest> message prior to initiating a**
277 **name identifier termination by sending a <ManageNameIDRequest> message if that is the requesting**
278 **provider's intent (e.g., the name identifier termination is initiated via an administrator who wished to**
279 **terminate all user activity). The requesting provider MUST NOT send a <LogoutRequest> message**
280 **after the <ManageNameIDRequest> message is sent.**

281 E10: Logout Request Reason Mismatch with Schema

282 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1 at line 2540 to resolve an apparent conflict between the specification
283 text and the schema. (Note that although in this case the schema could have been more specific, text in
284 SAML specifications is allowed to impose further restrictions on syntactic constraints imposed by a
285 schema, and this technique has been used here to resolve the issue without a substantive change.)

286 New:

287 An indication of the reason for the logout, in the form of a URI reference. **The Reason attribute is specified**
288 **as a string in the schema. This specification further restricts the schema by requiring that the**
289 **Reason attribute MUST be in the form of a URI reference.**

290 E11: Improperly Labeled Feature

291 Change [SAMLConf] in Section 3.2 (Table 2) to make the labels in feature rows 6 through 9 consistent.

292 Original labels:

293 Name Identifier Management, HTTP Redirect (IdP-initiated)
294 Name Identifier Management, SOAP (IdP-initiated)
295 Name Identifier Management, HTTP Redirect
296 Name Identifier Management, SOAP

297 New labels:

298 **Name Identifier Management (IdP-Initiated), HTTP Redirect**
299 **Name Identifier Management (IdP-Initiated), SOAP**
300 **Name Identifier Management (SP-Initiated), HTTP Redirect**
301 **Name Identifier Management (SP-Initiated), SOAP**

302 E12: Clarification on ManageNameIDRequest

303 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.6 at lines 2412-2413 and 2438, and change [SAMLProf] Section 4.5 at
304 lines 1320-1321, to remove incorrect implications that the name identifier format can be changed in the
305 course of the protocol.

306 New [SAMLCore] at lines 2412-2413:

307 After establishing a name identifier for a principal, an identity provider wishing to change the value **and/or-**
308 **format** of the identifier that it will use when referring to the principal, or to indicate that a name identifier will
309 no longer be used to refer to the principal, informs service providers of the change by sending them a
310 <ManageNameIDRequest> message.

311 New [SAMLCore] at line 2438:

312 If the requester is the identity provider, the new value will appear in subsequent <NameID> elements as the
313 element's content. **In either case, if the <NewEncryptedID> is used, its encrypted content is just a**

314 <NewID> element containing only the new value for the identifier (format and qualifiers cannot be
315 changed once established).

316 New [SAMLProf] at lines 1320-23121:

317 Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the **format and/or**
318 value that it will use to identify the same principal in the future.

319 **E13: Inaccurate Description of Authorization Decision**

320 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2 at lines 357-358 to complete the list of potential results from an
321 authorization decision.

322 New:

323 Authorization Decision: A request to allow the assertion subject to access the specified resource has been
324 granted or denied **or is indeterminate**.

325 **E14: AllowCreate**

326 Change [SAMLCore] at lines 2123-2129, 2130, 2143-2147, 2419-2420, and 2480, and change
327 [SAMLProf] at lines 521-524, to clarify the semantics of `AllowCreate`.

328 Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1, lines 2123-2129:

329 A Boolean value used to indicate whether the identity provider **is allowed**, in the course of fulfilling the
330 request, to create a new identifier **to represent the principal**. Defaults to "false". **When "false", the**
331 **requester constrains the identity provider to only issue an assertion to it if an acceptable identifier**
332 **for the principal has already been established. Note that this does not prevent the identity provider**
333 **from creating such identifiers outside the context of this specific request (for example, in advance**
334 **for a large number of principals).**

335 New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1, lines 2123-2129:

336 A Boolean value used to indicate whether the **requester grants to** the identity provider, in the course of
337 fulfilling the request, **permission to create a new identifier or to associate an existing identifier**
338 **representing the principal with the relying party**. Defaults to "false" if not present or the entire element
339 **is omitted**.

340 New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1, line 2130 (just after the above changes):

341 **The `AllowCreate` attribute may be used by some deployments to influence the creation of state**
342 **maintained by the identity provider pertaining to the use of a name identifier (or any other persistent,**
343 **uniquely identifying attributes) by a particular relying party, for purposes such as dynamic identifier**
344 **or attribute creation, tracking of consent, subsequent use of the Name Identifier Management**
345 **protocol (see Section 3.6), or other related purposes.**

346
347 **When "false", the requester tries to constrain the identity provider to issue an assertion only if such**
348 **state has already been established or is not deemed applicable by the identity provider to the use of**
349 **an identifier. Thus, this does not prevent the identity provider from assuming such information**
350 **exists outside the context of this specific request (for example, establishing it in advance for a large**
351 **number of principals).**

352
353 **A value of "true" permits the identity provider to take any related actions it wishes to fulfill the**
354 **request, subject to any other constraints imposed by the request and policy (the `IsPassive`**
355 **attribute, for example).**

356
357 **Generally, requesters cannot assume specific behavior from identity providers regarding the initial**
358 **creation or association of identifiers on their behalf, as these are details left to implementations or**
359 **deployments. Absent specific profiles governing the use of this attribute, it might be used as a hint**
360 **to identity providers about the requester's intention to store the identifier or link it to a local value.**

361
362 **A value of "false" might be used to indicate that the requester is not prepared or able to do so and**
363 **save the identity provider wasted effort.**

365 **Requesters that do not make specific use of this attribute SHOULD generally set it to “true” to**
366 **maximize interoperability.**
367
368 **The use of the AllowCreate attribute MUST NOT be used and SHOULD be ignored in conjunction with**
369 **requests for or assertions issued with name identifiers with a Format of**
370 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:transient (they preclude any such state in**
371 **and of themselves).**

372 Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6, lines 2419-2420:

373 A service provider also uses this message to register or change the SPProvidedID value to be included
374 when the underlying name identifier is used to communicate with it, or to terminate the use of a name
375 identifier between itself and the identity provider.

376
377 **Note that this protocol is typically not used with “transient” name identifiers, since their value is not**
378 **intended to be managed on a long-term basis.**

379 New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6, lines 2419-2420:

380 A service provider also uses this message to register or change the SPProvidedID value to be included
381 when the underlying name identifier is used to communicate with it, or to terminate the use of a name
382 identifier between itself and the identity provider.

383
384 **This protocol MUST NOT be used in conjunction with the**
385 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameidformat:transient <NameID> Format.**

386 New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3, line 2480 (note that E8 and E55 specify additional changes to the
387 original text shown here):

388 If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in the case
389 of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the case of an
390 identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider about the principal. The receiving
391 provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name
392 identifier has been terminated. It can choose to invalidate the active session(s) of a principal for whom a
393 relationship has been terminated.

394
395 **If the receiving provider is maintaining state associated with the name identifier, such as the value of**
396 **the identifier itself (in the case of a pair-wise identifier), an SPProvidedID value, the sender’s**
397 **consent to the identifier’s creation/use, etc., then the receiver can perform any maintenance with the**
398 **knowledge that the relationship represented by the name identifier has been terminated.**

399
400 **Any subsequent operations performed by the receiver on behalf of the sender regarding the**
401 **principal (for example, a subsequent <AuthnRequest>) SHOULD be carried out in a manner**
402 **consistent with the absence of any previous state.**

403
404 **Termination is potentially the cleanup step for any state management behavior triggered by the use**
405 **of the AllowCreate attribute in the Authentication Request protocol (see Section 3.4). Deployments**
406 **that do not make use of that attribute are likely to avoid the use of the <Terminate> element or**
407 **would treat it as a purely advisory matter.**

408
409 **Note that in most cases (a notable exception being the rules surrounding the SPProvidedID**
410 **attribute), there are no requirements on either identity providers or service providers regarding the**
411 **creation or use of persistent state. Therefore, no explicit behavior is mandated when the**
412 **<Terminate> element is received. However, if persistent state is present pertaining to the use of an**
413 **identifier (such as if an SPProvidedID attribute was attached), the <Terminate> element provides a**
414 **clear indication that this state SHOULD be deleted (or marked as obsolete in some fashion).**

415 Original at [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.1, lines 521-524:

416 If the identity provider cannot or will not satisfy the request, it MUST respond with a <Response> message
417 containing an appropriate error status code or codes.

418
419 **If the service provider wishes to permit the identity provider to establish a new identifier for the**
420 **principal if none exists, it MUST include a <NameIDPolicy> element with the AllowCreate attribute**

421 set to "true". Otherwise, only a principal for whom the identity provider has previously established
422 an identifier usable by the service provider can be authenticated successfully.

423 New at [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.1, lines 521-524:

424 If the identity provider cannot or will not satisfy the request, it MUST respond with a <Response> message
425 containing an appropriate error status code or codes.

426 **This profile does not provide any guidelines for the use of AllowCreate; see [SAMLCore] for**
427 **normative rules on using AllowCreate.**

429 E15: NameID Policy Adherence

430 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1 at line 2139 to clarify that the expressed name identifier policy must
431 be adhered to.

432 New (note that E6 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

433 The special Format value `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted` indicates
434 that the resulting assertion(s) MUST contain <EncryptedID> elements instead of plaintext. The underlying
435 name identifier's unencrypted form can be of any type supported by the identity provider for the requested
436 subject.

437 **When a Format defined in Section Error: Reference source not found 8.3 other than**
438 **`urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:unspecified` or**
439 **`urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:encrypted` is used, then if the identity provider**
440 **returns any assertions:**

- 441 ● the Format value of the <NameID> within the <Subject> of any <Assertion> MUST be identical
442 to the Format value supplied in the <NameIDPolicy>, and
- 443 ● if SPNameQualifier is not omitted in <NameIDPolicy>, the SPNameQualifier value of the
444 <NameID> within the <Subject> of any <Assertion> MUST be identical to the SPNameQualifier
445 value supplied in the <NameIDPolicy>.

449 E17: Authentication Response IssuerName vs. Assertion 450 IssuerName

451 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.2 at lines 541-543 to accurately reflect the conditions under which
452 issuer information is required and how issuer information at the different levels must correlate.

453 Original:

454 **The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the**
455 **issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of**
456 **`urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity`.**

457 New:

458 **If the <Response> message is signed or if an enclosed assertion is encrypted, then the <Issuer>**
459 **element MUST be present. Otherwise it MAY be omitted. If present it MUST contain the unique identifier**
460 **of the issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of**
461 **`urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity`.**

462 E18: Reference to Identity Provider Discovery Service in ECP 463 Profile

464 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.2 at lines 725-726 to remove the incorrect implication that an ECP is a
465 direct participant in the identity provider discovery profile.

466 New:

467 In step 3, the ECP obtains the location of an endpoint at an identity provider for the authentication request
468 protocol that supports its preferred binding. The means by which this is accomplished is implementation-
469 dependent. **The ECP MAY use the SAML identity provider discovery profile described in Section 4.3.**

470 E19: Clarification on Error Processing

471 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.2.2.1 at lines 310-317 and Section 3.2.3.3 at line 378 to clarify SAML error
472 processing and its relationship to SOAP error processing.

473 Original at Section 3.2.2.1, lines 310-317:

474 The SAML responder **MUST** return **either a SAML response element within the body of another SOAP**
475 **message or generate a SOAP fault.** The SAML responder **MUST NOT** include more than one SAML
476 response per SOAP message or include any additional XML elements in the SOAP body. **If a SAML**
477 **responder cannot, for some reason, process a SAML request, it MUST generate a SOAP fault.** SOAP
478 fault codes **MUST NOT** be sent for errors within the SAML problem domain, for example, inability to find an
479 extension schema or as a signal that the subject is not authorized to access a resource in an authorization
480 query. (SOAP 1.1 faults and fault codes are discussed in [SOAP11] Section 4.1.)

481 New at Section 3.2.2.1, lines 310-317:

482 The SAML responder **SHOULD** return a **SOAP message containing either a SAML response element in**
483 **the body or a SOAP fault.** The SAML responder **MUST NOT** include more than one SAML response per
484 SOAP message or include any additional XML elements in the SOAP body. SOAP fault codes **SHOULD**
485 **NOT** be sent for errors within the SAML problem domain, for example, inability to find an extension schema
486 or as a signal that the subject is not authorized to access a resource in an authorization query. **See Section**
487 **3.2.3.3 for more information about error handling.** (SOAP 1.1 faults and fault codes are discussed in
488 [SOAP11] Section 4.1.)

489 Original at Section 3.2.3.3, line 378:

490 In the case of a SAML processing error, the SOAP HTTP server **MUST** respond with "200 OK" and
491 include a SAML-specified <samlp:Status> element in the SAML response within the SOAP body.

492 New at Section 3.2.3.3, line 378:

493 In the case of a SAML processing error, the SOAP HTTP server **SHOULD** respond with "200 OK" and
494 include a SAML-specified <samlp:Status> element in the SAML response within the SOAP body.

495 E20: ECP SSO Profile and Metadata

496 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1081 to add a new subsection, Section 4.2.6, in order to add metadata
497 considerations to the ECP profile.

498 New (small portion of previous subsection shown):

499 The ECP **SHOULD** be authenticated to the identity provider, such as by maintaining an authenticated
500 session. Any HTTP exchanges subsequent to the delivery of the <AuthnRequest> message and before
501 the identity provider returns a <Response> **MUST** be securely associated with the original request.

502 4.2.6 Use of Metadata

503 **The rules specified in the browser SSO profile in Section 4.1.6 apply here as well. Specifically, the**
504 **indexed endpoint element <md:AssertionConsumerService> with a binding of**
505 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:bindings:PAOS MAY be used to describe the supported**
506 **binding and location(s) to which an identity provider may send responses to a service provider**
507 **using this profile. IN addition, the endpoint <md:SingleSignOnService> with a binding of**
508 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:bindings:SOAP MAY be used to describe the supported**
509 **binding and location(s) to which an service provider may send requests to an identity provider using**
510 **this profile.**
511
512

E21: PAOS Version

513

514 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.3.3 at line 474 to clarify the PAOS version required. New:

515
516

- The HTTP PAOS Header field **MUST** be present and specify the PAOS version with "urn:liberty:paos:2003-08" **at a minimum**.

E22: Error in Profile/ECP

517

518 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.4.1 at line 907 to refer to the **AssertionConsumerServiceURL** attribute
519 rather than the **AssertionServiceConsumerURL** attribute. This was a typographical error.

E24: HTTPS in URI Binding

520

521 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.7 at lines 1349-1351 to make the HTTP support requirements more
522 appropriate in the context of the URI binding.

523 Original:

524
525
526

Like SOAP, URI resolution can occur over multiple underlying transports. This binding has **transport-independent** aspects, but also calls out the **use of HTTP with SSL 3.0 [SSL3] or TLS 1.0 [RFC2246] as REQUIRED (mandatory to implement)**.

527 New:

528
529

Like SOAP, URI resolution can occur over multiple underlying transports. This binding has **protocol-independent** aspects, but also calls out **as mandatory the implementation of HTTP URIs**.

E25: Metadata Feature in Conformance

530

531 Change [SAMLConf] in Section 3.2 (Tables 2 and 4) to add feature rows, and at line 231 to add two
532 subsections, Sections 3.6 and 3.7, in order to reflect conformance aspects of the SAML metadata feature.

533 New in Table 2:

534
535
536

Feature	IdP	IdP Lite	SP	SP Lite	ECP
Metadata Structures	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT	N/A
Metadata Interoperation	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT	N/A

537 New in Table 4:

538
539
540

Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
Metadata Structures	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT
Metadata Interoperation	OPT	OPT	OPT	OPT

541 New at line 231 (small portion of previous subsection shown):

542
543

If a SAML authority uses SSL 3.0 or TLS 1.0, it **MUST** use a server-side certificate.

544

3.6 Metadata Structures

545

546
547
548

Implementations claiming conformance to SAML V2.0 may declare each operational mode's conformance to SAML V2.0 Metadata [SAMLMeta] through election of the Metadata Structures option.

549

550

With respect to each operational mode, such conformance entails the following:

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

- **Implementing SAML metadata according to the extensible SAML V2.0 Metadata format in all cases where an interoperating peer has the option, as stated in SAML V2.0 specifications, of depending on the existence of SAML V2.0 Metadata. Electing the Metadata Structures option has the effect of requiring that such metadata be available to the interoperating peer. The Metadata Interoperation feature, described below, provides a means of satisfying this requirement.**

- **Referencing, consuming, and adhering to the SAML metadata, according to [SAMLMeta], of an**

interoperating peer when the known metadata relevant to that peer and the particular operation, and the current exchange, has expired or is no longer valid in cache, provided the metadata is available and is not prohibited by policy or the particular operation and that specific exchange.

3.7 Metadata Interoperation

Election of the Metadata Interoperation option requires the implementation to offer, in addition to any other mechanism, the well-known location publication and resolution mechanism described in the SAML metadata specification [SAMLMeta].

E26: Ambiguities Around Multiple Assertions and Statements in the SSO Profile

Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.2 at lines 541-572, Section 4.1.4.3 at lines 576-591, and Section 4.1.4.5 at lines 600-601 to resolve ambiguities around the usage of multiple assertions and multiple statements within an assertion in the SSO profile.

Original at Section 4.1.4.2, lines 541-572:

- The `<Issuer>` element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the issuing identity provider; the `Format` attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity`.
- It MUST contain at least one `<Assertion>`. Each assertion's `<Issuer>` element MUST contain the unique identifier of the **issuing** identity provider; the `Format` attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity`.
- **The set of one or more assertions MUST contain at least one `<AuthnStatement>` that reflects the authentication of the principal to the identity provider.**
- **At least one assertion containing an `<AuthnStatement>` MUST contain a `<Subject>` element with at least one `<SubjectConfirmation>` element containing a `Method` of `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer`. If the identity provider supports the **Single Logout profile**, defined in Section 4.4, any such authentication statements MUST include a `SessionIndex` attribute to enable per-session logout requests by the service provider.**
- **The bearer `<SubjectConfirmation>` element described above MUST contain a `<SubjectConfirmationData>` element that contains a `Recipient` attribute containing the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a `NotOnOrAfter` attribute that limits the window during which the assertion can be delivered. It MAY contain an `Address` attribute limiting the client address from which the assertion can be delivered. It MUST NOT contain a `NotBefore` attribute. If the containing message is in response to an `<AuthnRequest>`, then the `InResponseTo` attribute MUST match the request's ID.**
- Other statements and confirmation methods MAY be included in the assertion(s) at the discretion of the identity provider. In particular, `<AttributeStatement>` elements MAY be included. The `<AuthnRequest>` MAY contain an `AttributeConsumingServiceIndex` XML attribute referencing information about desired or required attributes in [SAMLMeta]. The identity provider MAY ignore this, or send other attributes at its discretion.
- **The assertion(s) containing a bearer subject confirmation MUST contain an `<AudienceRestriction>` including the service provider's unique identifier as an `<Audience>`.**
- Other conditions (and other `<Audience>` elements) MAY be included as requested by the service provider or at the discretion of the identity provider. (Of course, all such conditions MUST be understood by and accepted by the service provider in order for the assertion to be considered valid.) The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of `<Conditions>` in the `<AuthnRequest>`, if any.
- The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of `<Conditions>` in the `<AuthnRequest>`, if any.

New at Section 4.1.4.2, lines 541-572 (note that E17 specifies additional changes to the first bullet item shown here):

- 609 • The <Issuer> element MAY be omitted, but if present it MUST contain the unique identifier of the
610 issuing identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a value of
611 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity.
- 612 • It MUST contain at least one <Assertion>. Each assertion's <Issuer> element MUST contain the
613 unique identifier of the **responding** identity provider; the Format attribute MUST be omitted or have a
614 value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity. **Note that this profile**
615 **assumes a single responding identity provider, and all assertions in a response MUST be issued**
616 **by the same entity.**
- 617 • **If multiple assertions are included, then each assertion's <Subject> element MUST refer to the**
618 **same principal. It is allowable for the content of the <Subject> elements to differ (e.g. using**
619 **different <NameID> or alternative <SubjectConfirmation> elements).**
- 620 • **Any assertion issued for consumption using this profile MUST contain a <Subject> element**
621 **with at least one <SubjectConfirmation> element containing a Method of**
622 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer. Such an assertion is termed a bearer**
623 **assertion. Bearer assertions MAY contain additional <SubjectConfirmation> elements.**
- 624 • **Assertions without a bearer <SubjectConfirmation> MAY also be included; processing of**
625 **additional assertions or <SubjectConfirmation> elements is outside the scope of this**
626 **profile.**
- 627 • **At least one bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element MUST contain a**
628 **<SubjectConfirmationData> element that itself MUST contain a Recipient attribute**
629 **containing the service provider's assertion consumer service URL and a NotOnOrAfter**
630 **attribute that limits the window during which the assertion can be [PE52]confirmed by the relying**
631 **party. It MAY also contain an Address attribute limiting the client address from which the**
632 **assertion can be delivered. It MUST NOT contain a NotBefore attribute. If the containing**
633 **message is in response to an <AuthnRequest>, then the InResponseTo attribute MUST**
634 **match the request's ID.**
- 635 • **The set of one or more bearer assertions MUST contain at least one <AuthnStatement> that**
636 **reflects the authentication of the principal to the identity provider. Multiple <AuthnStatement>**
637 **elements MAY be included, but the semantics of multiple statements is not defined by this profile.**
- 638 • **If the identity provider supports the Single Logout profile, defined in Section Error: Reference**
639 **source not found, any authentication statements MUST include a SessionIndex attribute to**
640 **enable per-session logout requests by the service provider.**
- 641 • Other statements MAY be included in the **bearer** assertion(s) at the discretion of the identity provider. In
642 particular, <AttributeStatement> elements MAY be included. The <AuthnRequest> MAY contain
643 an AttributeConsumingServiceIndex XML attribute referencing information about desired or
644 required attributes in [SAMLMeta]. The identity provider MAY ignore this, or send other attributes at its
645 discretion.
- 646 • **Each bearer** assertion MUST contain an <AudienceRestriction> including the service provider's
647 unique identifier as an <Audience>.
- 648 • Other conditions (and other <Audience> elements) MAY be included as requested by the service
649 provider or at the discretion of the identity provider. (Of course, all such conditions MUST be understood
650 by and accepted by the service provider in order for the assertion to be considered valid.) The identity
651 provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of <Conditions> in the <AuthnRequest>, if any.
- 652 • The identity provider is NOT obligated to honor the requested set of <Conditions> in the
653 <AuthnRequest>, if any.

654 Original at Section 4.1.4.3, lines 576-591:

- 655 • Verify that the Recipient attribute in any bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> matches the assertion
656 consumer service URL to which the <Response> or artifact was delivered
- 657
- 658 • Verify that the NotOnOrAfter attribute in any bearer <SubjectConfirmationData> has not passed,
659 subject to allowable clock skew between the providers
- 660

- 661 • Verify that the `InResponseTo` attribute in the bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` equals the ID of
662 its original `<AuthnRequest>` message, unless the response is unsolicited (see Section 4.1.5), in which
663 case the attribute MUST NOT be present
- 664 • Verify that any assertions relied upon are valid in other respects.
- 665 • If any bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` includes an `Address` attribute, the service provider MAY
666 check the user agent's client address against it.
- 667 • Any assertion which is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met SHOULD be
668 discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the principal.
- 669 • If an `<AuthnStatement>` used to establish a security context for the principal contains a
670 `SessionNotOnOrAfter` attribute, the security context SHOULD be discarded once this time is reached,
671 unless the service provider reestablishes the principal's identity by repeating the use of this profile.

672 New at Section 4.1.4.3, lines 576-591:

- 673 • Verify that the `Recipient` attribute in **the** bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` matches the assertion
674 consumer service URL to which the `<Response>` or artifact was delivered
- 675
- 676 • Verify that the `NotOnOrAfter` attribute in **the** bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` has not passed,
677 subject to allowable clock skew between the providers
- 678
- 679 • Verify that the `InResponseTo` attribute in the bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` equals the ID of
680 its original `<AuthnRequest>` message, unless the response is unsolicited (see Section 4.1.5), in which
681 case the attribute MUST NOT be present
- 682 • Verify that any assertions relied upon are valid in other respects. **Note that while multiple bearer**
683 **`<SubjectConfirmation>` elements may be present, the successful evaluation of a single such**
684 **element in accordance with this profile is sufficient to confirm an assertion. However, each**
685 **assertion, if more than one is present, MUST be evaluated independently.**
- 686 • If **any the** bearer `<SubjectConfirmationData>` includes an `Address` attribute, the service provider
687 MAY check the user agent's client address against it.
- 688 • Any assertion which is not valid, or whose subject confirmation requirements cannot be met SHOULD be
689 discarded and SHOULD NOT be used to establish a security context for the principal.
- 690 • If an `<AuthnStatement>` used to establish a security context for the principal contains a
691 `SessionNotOnOrAfter` attribute, the security context SHOULD be discarded once this time is reached,
692 unless the service provider reestablishes the principal's identity by repeating the use of this profile. **Note**
693 **that if multiple `<AuthnStatement>` elements are present, the `SessionNotOnOrAfter` value closest**
694 **to the present time SHOULD be honored.**

695 Original at Section 4.1.4.5, lines 600-601:

696 If the HTTP POST binding is used to deliver the `<Response>`, the enclosed assertion(s) MUST be signed.

697 New at Section 4.1.4.5, lines 600-601:

698 If the HTTP POST binding is used to deliver the `<Response>`, **each assertion MUST be protected by a**
699 **digital signature. This can be accomplished by signing each individual `<Assertion>` element or by**
700 **signing the `<Response>` element.**

701 **E27: Incorrect Step Number in ECP Profile**

702 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.4.3 at line 947 to change the reference to the step number from **5** to **7**.
703 This was a typographical error.

704 **E28: Profile Labeling in Conformance**

705 Change [SAMLConf] Section 2 at Table 1 to make its labeling and categorization of profiles more
706 consistent.

- 707 Combine the profile rows labeled **Artifact Resolution**, **Authentication Query**, **Attribute Query**, and
 708 **Authorization Decision Query** into a single profile row labeled **Assertion Query/Request** in column 1,
 709 with the breakdown of these four protocol types moved to column 2 (message flows) for that row.
 710 Remove the profile rows labeled **SAML URI binding** and **Metadata**.

711 **E29: Incomplete Listing of Features in Conformance**

712 Change [SAMLConf] Section 3.2 at Table 2 to include missing feature rows. New:

713 Feature	IdP	IdP Lite	SP	SP Lite	ECP
714 Request for Assertion by Identifier	OPT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
715 SAML URI Binding	OPT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

716 **E30: Key Replacement**

717 Change [SAMLCore] Section 6.1 at line 3110 to improve wording around key replacement. Original:

718 Encrypted data and **optionally one** or more encrypted keys **MUST** replace the plaintext information in the
 719 same location within the XML instance.

720 New:

721 Encrypted data and **zero** or more encrypted keys **MUST** replace the plaintext information in the same
 722 location within the XML instance.

723 **E31: Various Minor Errors in Binding**

724 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.3.5 at line 511, Section 3.5.3 at line 785, and Section 3.6.5 at lines 1136
 725 and 1397 to clean up various minor wording errors.

726 At Section 3.3.5, line 511, capitalize the word **RECOMMENDED**.

727 Original at Section 3.5.3, line 785:

728 If no such **value** is included with a SAML request message, or if the SAML response message is being
 729 generated without a corresponding request ...

730 New at Section 3.5.3, line 785:

731 If no such **RelayState data** is included with a SAML request message, or if the SAML response message is
 732 being generated without a corresponding request ...

733 Original at Section 3.6.5, line 1136:

734 The SAML requester determines the SAML responder by examining the artifact, and issues a
 735 <samlp:ArtifactResolve> request containing the artifact to the SAML responder using a **direct** SAML
 736 binding, as in step 3.

737 New at Section 3.6.5, line 1136:

738 The SAML requester determines the SAML responder by examining the artifact, and issues a
 739 <samlp:ArtifactResolve> request containing the artifact to the SAML responder using a **synchronous**
 740 SAML binding, as in step 3.

741 Original at Section 3.6.5, line 1397:

742 Note that the use of wildcards **is not allowed for on** such queries.

743 New at Section 3.6.5, line 1397:

744 Note that **the URI syntax does not support** the use of wildcards **in** such **ID** queries.

745

E32: Missing Required Information in Profiles

746 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1092. New subsection added at line 1092 as Section 4.3.1, incrementing the
747 subsection numbers of the existing Sections 4.3.1 through 4.3.3:

748

4.3.1 Required Information

749

Identification: urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:idp-discovery

750

Contact information: security-services-comment@lists.oasis-open.org

751

Description: Given below.

752

Updates: None.

753

E33: References to Assertion Request Protocol

754 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.3 at line 700, Section 2.4.5 at line 838, Section 2.4.6 at line 871, and
755 Section 2.4.7 at line 904 to change references to the **Assertion Request** protocol to **Assertion**
756 **Query/Request**. This is just a typographical error.

757

E34: RequestedAttribute Section Heading

758 Change [SAMLMeta] at line 809 to make the Section **2.4.4.2** heading be a level below, at **2.4.4.1.1**, for
759 consistency in reflecting element nesting in the document outline.

760

E35: Response Consumer URL Rules and Example

761 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.4.1 at lines 906-908, and Section 4.2.4.3 at line 964, to make the
762 example conform to the rules for a response consumer URL and explain these rules more clearly.

763 Original at Section 4.2.4.1, lines 906-908:

764

Specifies where the ECP is to send an error response. Also used to verify the correctness of the identity
765 provider's response, by cross checking this location against the **AssertionServiceConsumerURL** in the
766 ECP response header block. This value **MUST** be the same as the AssertionServiceConsumerURL (or the
767 URL referenced in metadata) conveyed in the <AuthnRequest>.

768

New at lines Section 4.2.4.1, 906-908:

769

Specifies where the ECP is to send an error response. Also used to verify the correctness of the identity
770 provider's response, by cross checking this location against the **AssertionConsumerServiceURL** in the
771 ECP response header block. This value **MUST** be the same as the AssertionServiceConsumerURL (or the
772 URL referenced in metadata) conveyed in the <AuthnRequest> **and SHOULD NOT be a relative URL**.

773

Original at Section 4.2.4.3, line 964:

774

```
<paos:Request xmlns:paos="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08"  
775 responseConsumerURL="http://identity-service.example.com/abc"
```

776

New at Section 4.2.4.3, line 964:

777

```
<paos:Request xmlns:paos="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08"  
778 responseConsumerURL="  
779 https://ServiceProvider.example.com/ecp_assertion_consumer"
```

780

E36: Clarification on Action Element

781 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.7.4.2 at lines 1359-1363 to remove the incorrect specification text that
782 says the action namespace is optional (the schema mandates it, and in cases of disagreement, the
783 schema takes precedence).

784 Original:

785

Namespace **[Optional]**

786 A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be interpreted.
787 **If this element is absent, the namespace urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:action:rwe-dc-negation**
788 **specified in Section 8.1.2 is in effect.**

789 New:

790 **Namespace [Required]**

791 A URI reference representing the namespace in which the name of the specified action is to be interpreted.

792 **E37: Clarification in Metadata on Indexed Endpoints**

793 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.2.3 at line 272 to clarify what it means for two endpoints to be “like”.

794 Original:

795 In any such sequence of **like** endpoints **based on this type**, the default endpoint is the first such endpoint
796 with the `isDefault` attribute set to true.

797 New:

798 In any such sequence of **indexed** endpoints **that share a common element name and namespace (i.e. all**
799 **instances of <md:AssertionConsumerService> within a role)**, the default endpoint is the first such
800 endpoint with the `isDefault` attribute set to true.

801 **E38: Clarification Regarding Index on <LogoutRequest>**

802 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1 at line 2546 and [SAMLProf] Section 4.4.4.1 at lines 1302-1304 to
803 clarify requirements around session indexes in logout requests.

804 Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1, line 2546:

805 `<SessionIndex>` [Optional]

806 **The identifier that indexes this session at the message recipient.**

807 New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.7.1, line 2546:

808 `<SessionIndex>` [Optional]

809 **The index of the session between the principal identified by the <saml:BaseID>, <saml:NameID>, or <saml:EncryptedID> element, and the session authority. This must correlate to the**
810 **SessionIndex attribute, if any, in the <saml:AuthnStatement> of the assertion used to establish**
811 **the session that is being terminated.**

813 New at [SAMLProf] Section 4.4.4.1, lines 1302-1304:

814 If the requester is a session participant, it MUST include at least one `<SessionIndex>` element in the
815 request. **(Note that the session participant always receives a SessionIndex attribute in the**
816 **<saml:AuthnStatement> elements that it receives to initiate the session, per Section 4.1.4.2 of**
817 **the Web Browser SSO Profile.)** If the requester is a session authority (or acting on its behalf), then it MAY
818 omit any such elements to indicate the termination of all of the principal's applicable sessions.

819 **E39: Error in SAML Profile Example**

820 **Note:** E39 corrects text in a section that is affected by E53, which deprecates the entire
821 section. Please see E53 for details.

822 Change [SAMLProf] Section 8.5.6 at lines 2095-2098 to move the `ldapprof:Encoding` attribute to the
823 correct location.

824 Original:

825 `<saml:Attribute`
826 `xmlns:xacmlprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:XACML"`
827 `xmlns:ldapprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:LDAP"`

```

828     xacmlprof:DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
829     ldapprof:Encoding="LDAP"
830     NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
831     Name="urn:oid:2.5.4.42" FriendlyName="givenName">
832     <saml:AttributeValue xsi:type="xs:string">By-Tor</saml:AttributeValue>
833 </saml:Attribute>

```

834 New:

```

835 <saml:Attribute
836   xmlns:xacmlprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:XACML"
837   xmlns:ldapprof="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:attribute:LDAP"
838   xacmlprof:DataType="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string"
839   NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
840   Name="urn:oid:2.5.4.42" FriendlyName="givenName">
841   <saml:AttributeValue xsi:type="xs:string"
842     ldapprof:Encoding="LDAP">By-Tor</saml:AttributeValue>
843 </saml:Attribute>

```

844 E40: Holder of Key

845 Change [SAMLProf] Section 3.1 at lines 335-337 to align the description of Holder of Key in the profiles
 846 specification with the language in the core specification.

847 Original:

848 As described in [XMLSig], each `<ds:KeyInfo>` element holds a key or information that enables an
 849 application to obtain a key. The holder of a specified key is considered to be **the subject of** the assertion by
 850 the asserting party.

851 New (note that E47 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

852 As described in [XMLSig], each `<ds:KeyInfo>` element holds a key or information that enables an
 853 application to obtain a key. The holder of a specified key is considered to be **an acceptable attesting entity**
 854 **for** the assertion by the asserting party.

855 E41: EndpointType ResponseLocation Clarification in Metadata

856 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.2.2 at line 242 to clarify correct behavior when the response location is
 857 omitted from the metadata.

858 New:

859 The `ResponseLocation` attribute is used to enable different endpoints to be specified for receiving request
 860 and response messages associated with a protocol or profile, not as a means of load-balancing or
 861 redundancy (multiple elements of this type can be included for this purpose). When a role contains an
 862 element of this type pertaining to a protocol or profile for which only a single type of message (request or
 863 response) is applicable, then the `ResponseLocation` attribute is unused. **If the `ResponseLocation`**
 864 **attribute is omitted, any response messages associated with a protocol or profile may be assumed**
 865 **to be handled at the URI indicated by the `Location` attribute.**

866 E42: Match Authorities to Queries in Conformance

867 Change [SAMLConf] Section 3.2 at Table 4 to indicate more precisely the relationship between SAML
 868 authorities and queries for types of assertion statements that those authorities do not specialize in
 869 producing.

870 Original:

871 Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
872 Authentication Query, SOAP	MUST	OPT	OPT	OPT
873 Attribute Query, SOAP	OPT	MUST	OPT	OPT
874 Authorization Decision Query, SOAP	OPT	OPT	MUST	OPT

875 New:

876	Feature	Authn	Attrib	Authz	Requester
877	Authentication Query, SOAP	MUST	N/A	N/A	OPT
878	Attribute Query, SOAP	N/A	MUST	N/A	OPT
879	Authorization Decision Query, SOAP	N/A	N/A	MUST	OPT

880 E43: Key Location in saml:EncryptedData

881 Change [SAMLCore] at line 3116 by replacing the existing Section 6.2 with new Sections 6.2 and 6.3 to
882 reflect correct application and usage of the XML Encryption standard and to add several examples to fully
883 demonstrate this.

884 Original:

885 6.2 Combining Signatures and Encryption

886 Use of XML Encryption and XML Signature MAY be combined. When an assertion is to be signed and
887 encrypted, the following rules apply. A relying party MUST perform signature validation and
888 decryption in the reverse order that signing and encryption were performed.

889 • When a signed <Assertion> element is encrypted, the signature MUST first be calculated and
890 placed within the <Assertion> element before the element is encrypted.

891 • When a <BaseID>, <NameID>, or <Attribute> element is encrypted, the encryption MUST be
892 performed first and then the signature calculated over the assertion or message containing the
893 encrypted element.

894 New:

895 6.2 Key and Data Referencing Guidelines

896 If an encrypted key is NOT included in the XML instance, then the relying party must be able to
897 locally determine the decryption key, per [XMLEnc].

898 Implementations of SAML MAY implicitly associate keys with the corresponding data they are used
899 to encrypt, through the positioning of <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements next to the associated
900 <xenc:EncryptedData> element, within the enclosing SAML parent element. However, the
901 following set of explicit referencing guidelines are suggested to facilitate interoperability.

902 If the encrypted key is included in the XML instance, then it SHOULD be referenced within the
903 associated <xenc:EncryptedData> element, or alternatively embedded within the
904 <xenc:EncryptedData> element. When an <xenc:EncryptedKey> element is used, the
905 <ds:KeyInfo> element within <xenc:EncryptedData> SHOULD reference the
906 <xenc:EncryptedKey> element using a <ds:RetrievalMethod> element of Type
907 <http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#EncryptedKey>.

908 In addition, an <xenc:EncryptedKey> element SHOULD contain an <xenc:ReferenceList>
909 element containing a <xenc:DataReference> that references the corresponding
910 <xenc:EncryptedData> element(s) that the key was used to encrypt.

911 In scenarios where the encrypted element is being “multicast” to multiple recipients, and the key
912 used to encrypt the message must be in turn encrypted individually and independently for each of
913 the multiple recipients, the <xenc:CarriedKeyName> element SHOULD be used to assign a
914 common name to each of the <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements so that a <ds:KeyName> can be
915 used from within the <xenc:EncryptedData> element’s <ds:KeyInfo> element.

916 Within the <xenc:EncryptedData> element, the <ds:KeyName> can be thought of as an “alias” that
917 is used for backwards referencing from the <xenc:CarriedKeyName> element in each individual
918 <xenc:EncryptedKey> element. While this accommodates a “multicast” approach, each recipient
919 must be able to understand (at least one) <ds:KeyName>. The Recipient attribute is used to
920 provide a hint as to which key is meant for which recipient.

921 The SAML implementation has the discretion to accept or reject a message where multiple
922 Recipient attributes or <ds:KeyName> elements are understood. It is RECOMMENDED that
923 implementations simply use the first key they understand and ignore any additional keys.

924

6.3 Examples

925

In the following example, the parent element (<EncryptedID>) contains <xenc:EncryptedData> and (referenced) <xenc:EncryptedKey> elements as siblings (note that the key can in fact be anywhere in the same instance, and the key references the <xenc:EncryptedData> element):

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

```
<saml:EncryptedID xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_DATA_ID"
    Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
    <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
      <ds:RetrievalMethod URI="#Encrypted_KEY_ID"
        Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#EncryptedKey"/>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
  </xenc:EncryptedData>

  <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>PzA5X...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
    <xenc:ReferenceList>
      <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
    </xenc:ReferenceList>
  </xenc:EncryptedKey>
</saml:EncryptedID>
```

954

In the following <EncryptedAttribute> example, the <xenc:EncryptedKey> element is contained within the <xenc:EncryptedData> element, so there is no explicit referencing:

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

```
<saml:EncryptedAttribute
  xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_DATA_ID"
    Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
    <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
      <xenc:EncryptedKey Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID">
        <xenc:EncryptionMethod
          Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
        <xenc:CipherData>
          <xenc:CipherValue>SDFSDF... </xenc:CipherValue>
        </xenc:CipherData>
      </xenc:EncryptedKey>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
  </xenc:EncryptedData>
</saml:EncryptedAttribute>
```

977

The final example shows an assertion encrypted for multiple recipients, using the

978

<xenc:CarriedKeyName> approach:

979

980

981

982

```
<saml:EncryptedAssertion
  xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion">
  <xenc:EncryptedData xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
    Id="Encrypted_DATA_ID"
    Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
    <xenc:EncryptionMethod
      Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
    <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
      <ds:RetrievalMethod URI="#Encrypted_KEY_ID"
        Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#EncryptedKey"/>
    </ds:KeyInfo>
    <xenc:CipherData>
      <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
    </xenc:CipherData>
  </xenc:EncryptedData>
</saml:EncryptedAssertion>
```

```

983     Type="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#Element">
984     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
985         Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#aes128-cbc"/>
986     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
987         <ds:KeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</ds:KeyName>
988     </ds:KeyInfo>
989     <xenc:CipherData>
990         <xenc:CipherValue>Nk4W4mx...</xenc:CipherValue>
991     </xenc:CipherData>
992 </xenc:EncryptedData>
993
994 <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
995     Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID_1" Recipient="https://sp1.org">
996     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
997         Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
998     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
999         <ds:KeyName>KEY_NAME_1</ds:KeyName>
1000     </ds:KeyInfo>
1001     <xenc:CipherData>
1002         <xenc:CipherValue>xyzABC...</xenc:CipherValue>
1003     </xenc:CipherData>
1004     <xenc:ReferenceList>
1005         <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
1006     </xenc:ReferenceList>
1007
1008     <xenc:CarriedKeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</xenc:CarriedKeyName>
1009 </xenc:EncryptedKey>
1010
1011 <xenc:EncryptedKey xmlns:xenc="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#"
1012     Id="Encrypted_KEY_ID_2" Recipient="https://sp2.org">
1013     <xenc:EncryptionMethod
1014         Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/04/xmlenc#rsa-1_5"/>
1015     <ds:KeyInfo xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
1016         <ds:KeyName>KEY_NAME_2</ds:KeyName>
1017     </ds:KeyInfo>
1018     <xenc:CipherData>
1019         <xenc:CipherValue>abcXYZ...</xenc:CipherValue>
1020     </xenc:CipherData>
1021     <xenc:ReferenceList>
1022         <xenc:DataReference URI="#Encrypted_DATA_ID"/>
1023     </xenc:ReferenceList>
1024
1025     <xenc:CarriedKeyName>MULTICAST_KEY_NAME</xenc:CarriedKeyName>
1026 </xenc:EncryptedKey>
1027 </saml:EncryptedAssertion>

```

E45: AuthnContext Comparison Order

1028
1029 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.3.2.2.1 at lines 1815-1819 and 1826 to clarify the lack of orderedness in
1030 the comparison of a set of authentication contexts.

1031 Original at Section 3.3.2.2.1, lines 1815-1819:

1032 Either a set of class references or a set of declaration references can be used. The set of supplied
1033 references MUST be evaluated as an ordered set, where the first element is the most preferred
1034 authentication context class or declaration. If none of the specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in
1035 accordance with the rules below, then the responder MUST return a <Response> message with a second-
1036 level <StatusCode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext.

1037 New at Section 3.3.2.2.1, lines 1815-1819:

1038 Either a set of class references or a set of declaration references can be used. **If ordering is relevant to**
1039 **the evaluation of the request, then** the set of supplied references MUST be evaluated as an ordered set,

1040 where the first element is the most preferred authentication context class or declaration. If none of the
1041 specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the responder
1042 MUST return a <Response> message with a second-level <StatusCode> of
1043 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext. **For example, ordering is significant**
1044 **when using this element in an <AuthnRequest> message but not in an <AuthnQuery> message.**

1045 Original at Section 3.3.2.2.1, line 1826:

1046 If *Comparison* is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement
1047 MUST be stronger (as deemed by the responder) than **any** of the authentication contexts specified.

1048 New at Section 3.3.2.2.1, line 1826:

1049 If *Comparison* is set to "better", then the resulting authentication context in the authentication statement
1050 MUST be stronger (as deemed by the responder) than **one** of the authentication contexts specified.

1051 E46: AudienceRestriction Clarifications

1052 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.5.1.4 at lines 924-925 to clarify the logical sense with respect to individual
1053 audience elements within an audience-restriction condition grouping.

1054 Original:

1055 Note that multiple <AudienceRestriction> elements MAY be included in a single assertion, and each
1056 MUST be evaluated independently. The effect of this requirement and the preceding definition is that within a
1057 given **condition**, the **audiences** form a disjunction (an "OR") while multiple **conditions** form a conjunction
1058 (an "AND").

1059 New:

1060 Note that multiple <AudienceRestriction> elements MAY be included in a single assertion, and each
1061 MUST be evaluated independently. The effect of this requirement and the preceding definition is that within a
1062 given <AudienceRestrictions>, the <Audience> **elements** form a disjunction (an "OR") while multiple
1063 <AudienceRestrictions> **elements** form a conjunction (an "AND").

1064 E47: Clarification on SubjectConfirmation

1065 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.4.1.1 at line 698, and change [SAMLProf] Section 3.1 at lines 336 and
1066 341 and Section 3.3 at lines 361-363, in order to clarify behavior around the subject confirmation element
1067 and the intent of the embedded secondary identifier.

1068 New at [SAMLCore] Section 2.4.1.1, line 698 (add text just before the schema listing introduction):

1069 **If the <SubjectConfirmation> element in an assertion subject contains an identifier the issuer**
1070 **authorizes the attesting entity to wield the assertion on behalf of that subject. A relying party MAY**
1071 **apply additional constraints on the use of such an assertion at its discretion, based upon the**
1072 **identities of both the subject and the attesting entity.**

1073 **If an assertion is issued for use by an entity other than the subject, then that entity SHOULD be**
1074 **identified in the <SubjectConfirmation> element.**

1075 The following schema fragment defines the <SubjectConfirmation> element and its
1076 SubjectConfirmationType complex type:

1077 Original at [SAMLProf] Section 3.1, line 336:

1078 As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables an
1079 application to obtain a key. The holder of **a specified key** is considered to be the subject of the assertion by
1080 the asserting party.

1081 New at [SAMLProf] Section 3.1, line 336 (note that E40 specified additional changes to the original text
1082 shown here):

1083 As described in [XMLSig], each <ds:KeyInfo> element holds a key or information that enables an
1084 application to obtain a key. The holder of **one or more of the specified keys** is considered to be the subject
1085 of the assertion by the asserting party.

1086 New at [SAMLProf] Section 3.1, line 341 (add text just before the example):

1087 **If the <SubjectConfirmation> element in an assertion subject contains an identifier the issuer**
1088 **authorizes the attesting entity to wield the assertion on behalf of that subject. A relying party MAY**
1089 **apply additional constraints on the use of such an assertion at its discretion, based upon the**
1090 **identities of both the subject and the attesting entity.**

1091 **If an assertion is issued for use by an entity other than the subject, then that entity SHOULD be**
1092 **identified in the <SubjectConfirmation> element.**

1093 Example: The holder of the key named "By-Tor" or the holder of the key named "Snow Dog" can confirm
1094 itself as the subject.

1095 Original at [SAMLProf] Section 3.3, lines 361-363:

1096 The subject of the assertion is **the bearer of the assertion**, subject to optional constraints on confirmation
1097 using the attributes that MAY be present in the <SubjectConfirmationData> element, as defined by
1098 [SAMLCore].

1099 New at [SAMLProf] Section 3.3, lines 361-363:

1100 The subject of the assertion is **considered to be an acceptable attesting entity for the assertion by the**
1101 **asserting party**, subject to optional constraints on confirmation using the attributes that MAY be present in
1102 the <SubjectConfirmationData> element, as defined by [SAMLCore].

1103 **If the intended bearer is known by the asserting party to be an entity other than the subject, then the**
1104 **asserting party SHOULD identify that entity to the relying party by including a SAML identifier**
1105 **representing it in the enclosing <SubjectConfirmation> element.**

1106 **If multiple attesting entities are to be permitted to use the assertion based on bearer semantics, then**
1107 **multiple <SubjectConfirmation> elements SHOULD be included.**

1108 **E48: Clarification on Encoding for Binary Values in LDAP Profile**

1109 **Note:** E48 corrects text in a section that is affected by E53, which deprecates the entire
1110 section. Please see E53 for details.

1111 Change [SAMLProf] at line 1762. Original:

1112 For all other LDAP syntaxes, the attribute value is encoded, as the content of the <AttributeValue>
1113 element, by base64-encoding [RFC2045] the **encompassing** ASN.1 OCTET STRING-encoded LDAP
1114 attribute value. The `xsi:type` XML attribute MUST be set to `xs:base64Binary`. The profile-specific
1115 Encoding XML attribute is provided, with a value of "LDAP".

1116 New:

1117 For all other LDAP syntaxes, the attribute value is encoded, as the content of the <AttributeValue>
1118 element, by base64-encoding [RFC2045] the **contents of the** ASN.1 OCTET STRING-encoded LDAP
1119 attribute value (**not including the ASN.1 OCTET STRING wrapper**). The `xsi:type` XML attribute MUST
1120 be set to `xs:base64Binary`. The profile-specific Encoding XML attribute is provided, with a value of
1121 "LDAP".

1122 **E49: Clarification on Attribute Name Format**

1123 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.7.3.1 at line 1217 to clarify the relationship between an attribute's
1124 NameFormat setting and its syntax.

1125 New (add text to the end of the definition of <AttributeValue>):

1126 <AttributeValue> [Any Number]

1127 Contains a value of the attribute. If an attribute contains more than one discrete value, it is
1128 RECOMMENDED that each value appear in its own <AttributeValue> element. If more than one
1129 <AttributeValue> element is supplied for an attribute, and any of the elements have a datatype
1130 assigned through `xsi:type`, then all of the <AttributeValue> elements must have the identical
1131 datatype assigned.

1132 **Attributes are identified/named by the combination of the `NameFormat` and `Name` XML attributes**
1133 **described above. Neither one in isolation can be assumed to be unique, but taken together, they**
1134 **ought to be unambiguous within a given deployment.**

1135 **The SAML profiles specification [SAMLProf] includes a number of attribute profiles designed to**
1136 **improve the interoperability of attribute usage in some identified scenarios. Such profiles typically**
1137 **include constraints on attribute naming and value syntax. There is no explicit indicator when an**
1138 **attribute profile is in use, and it is assumed that deployments can establish this out of band, based**
1139 **on the combination of `NameFormat` and `Name`.**

1140 **E50: Clarification on SSL Ciphersuites**

1141 Change [SAMLConf] Section 4 at line 235 and Section 5 at line 257 to clarify that the named ciphersuites
1142 are not the only ones that can be supported.

1143 New at Section 4, line 235:

1144 SAML V2.0 uses XML Signature [XMLSig] to implement XML signing and encryption functionality for
1145 integrity, and source authentication. SAML V2.0 uses XML Encryption [XMLEnc] to implement confidentiality,
1146 including encrypted identifiers, encrypted assertions, and encrypted attributes. **The algorithms listed below**
1147 **as being required for SAML V2.0 conformance are based on the mandated algorithms in the W3C**
1148 **recommendations for XML Signature and for XML Encryption, but modified by the SSTC to ensure**
1149 **interoperability of conformant SAML implementations. While the SAML-defined set of algorithms is a**
1150 **minimal set for conformance, additional algorithms supported by XML Signature and XML**
1151 **Encryption MAY be used. Note, however, that the use of non-mandated algorithms may introduce**
1152 **interoperability issues if those algorithms are not widely implemented. As additional algorithms**
1153 **become mandated for use in XML Signature and XML Encryption, the set required for SAML**
1154 **conformance may be extended.**

1155 New at Section 5, line 257:

1156 In any SAML V2.0 use of SSL 3.0 [SSL3] or TLS 1.0 [RFC 2246], servers MUST authenticate to clients using
1157 a X.509 v3 certificate. The client MUST establish server identity based on contents of the certificate (typically
1158 through examination of the certificate's subject DN field). **The set of algorithms required for SAML V2.0**
1159 **conformance is equivalent to that defined in SAML V1.0 and SAML V1.1. These mandated algorithms**
1160 **were chosen by the SSTC because of their wide implementation support in the industry. While the**
1161 **algorithms defined below are the minimal set for SAML conformance, additional algorithms**
1162 **supported by SSL 3.0 and TLS 1.0 MAY be used.**

1163 **E51: Schema Type of Contents of <AttributeValue>**

1164 Change [SAMLProf] Section 8.1.4 at line 1670 to change the reference from **Section 3.3** to **Section 3**, in
1165 order to fix a typographical error that would have improperly restricted the valid types for attribute values
1166 to derived types, rather than the larger category of built-in types.

1167 **E52: Clarification on NotOnOrAfter Attribute for Subject** 1168 **Confirmation**

1169 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.4.2 at line 557 to correctly reflect the type of validity period that applies to
1170 subject confirmation.

1171 Original:

1172 The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a
1173 <SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a `Recipient` attribute containing the service
1174 provider's assertion consumer service URL and a `NotOnOrAfter` attribute that limits the window during
1175 which the assertion can be **delivered**. It MAY contain an `Address` attribute limiting the client address from
1176 which the assertion can be delivered.

1177 New (note that E26 specifies additional changes to the original text shown here):

1178 The bearer <SubjectConfirmation> element described above MUST contain a
1179 <SubjectConfirmationData> element that contains a `Recipient` attribute containing the service

1180 provider's assertion consumer service URL and a `NotOnOrAfter` attribute that limits the window during
1181 which the assertion can be **confirmed by the relying party**. It MAY contain an `Address` attribute limiting
1182 the client address from which the assertion can be delivered.

1183 **E53: Correction to LDAP/X.500 Profile Attribute**

1184 Deprecate [SAMLProf] Section 8.2 at lines 1677-1799 by adding a notice after line 1677.

1185 New:

1186 **8.2 X.500/LDAP Attribute Profile – Deprecated**

1187 **NOTE: This attribute profile is deprecated because of a flaw that makes it schema-invalid. The SSTC**
1188 **has replaced it with a separately published SAML V2.0 X.500/LDAP Attribute Profile specification that**
1189 **removes this flaw.**

1190 Directories based on the ITU-T X.500 specifications [X.500] and the related IETF Lightweight Directory
1191 Access Protocol specifications [LDAP] are widely deployed....

1192 **E54: Corrections to ECP URN**

1193 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.3.1 at lines 757 and 763-764 to correct the usage of quotation marks in
1194 HTTP headers.

1195 New at line 757 (add double quotation marks around the URN):

1196 Furthermore, support for this profile **MUST** be specified in the HTTP `PAOS` Header field as a service value,
1197 with the value `"urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp"`.

1198 Original at lines 763-764 (single quotation marks are problematic):

```
1199 GET /index HTTP/1.1  
1200 Host: identity-service.example.com  
1201 Accept: text/html; application/vnd.paos+xml  
1202 PAOS: ver='urn:liberty:paos:2003-08' ;  
1203 'urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp'
```

1204 New at lines 763-764 (double quotation marks used instead):

```
1205 GET /index HTTP/1.1  
1206 Host: identity-service.example.com  
1207 Accept: text/html; application/vnd.paos+xml  
1208 PAOS: ver="urn:liberty:paos:2003-08" ;  
1209 "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:profiles:SSO:ecp"
```

1210 **E55: Language Cleanup Around Name Identifier Management**

1211 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3 at lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487, and Section 8.3.7 at lines 3337-
1212 3339, and change [SAMLProf] Section 4.5 at lines 1319 and 1323 to clear up ambiguities around name
1213 identifier management and its application to various name identifier formats and differing identities for a
1214 principal.

1215 Original at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3, lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487:

1216 If the `<Terminate>` element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in the case
1217 of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the case of an
1218 identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider **about the principal**. The receiving
1219 provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name
1220 identifier has been terminated.

1221 If the service provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a `<NewID>` (or
1222 `<NewEncryptedID>`) element, the identity provider **MUST** include the element's content as the
1223 `SPProvidedID` when subsequently communicating to the service provider **regarding this principal**.

1224 If the identity provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or
1225 <NewEncryptedID>) element, the service provider **MUST** use the element's content as the
1226 <saml:NameID> element content when subsequently communicating with the identity provider **regarding**
1227 **this principal**.

1228 New at [SAMLCore] Section 3.6.3, lines 2477, 2483, and 2486-2487 (note that E8 specifies additional
1229 changes to the original text shown here):

1230 If the <Terminate> element is included in the request, the requesting provider is indicating that (in the case
1231 of a service provider) it will no longer accept assertions from the identity provider or (in the case of an
1232 identity provider) it will no longer issue assertions to the service provider **using that identifier**. The receiving
1233 provider can perform any maintenance with the knowledge that the relationship represented by the name
1234 identifier has been terminated.

1235 If the service provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or
1236 <NewEncryptedID>) element, the identity provider **MUST** include the element's content as the
1237 SPProvidedID when subsequently communicating to the service provider **using the primary identifier**.

1238 If the identity provider requests that its identifier for the principal be changed by including a <NewID> (or
1239 <NewEncryptedID>) element, the service provider **MUST** use the element's content as the
1240 <saml:NameID> element content when subsequently communicating with the identity provider **in any case**
1241 **where the identifier being changed would have been used**.

1242 New at [SAMLCore] Section 8.4.7, lines 3337-3339:

1243 The element's SPNameQualifier attribute, if present, **MUST** contain the unique identifier of the service
1244 provider or affiliation of providers for whom the identifier was generated (see Section 8.3.6). It **MAY** be
1245 omitted if the element is contained in a message intended only for consumption directly by the service
1246 provider, and the value would be the unique identifier of that service provider.

1247 **The element's SPProvidedID attribute MUST contain the alternative identifier of the principal most**
1248 **recently set by the service provider or affiliation, if any (see Section 3.6). If no such identifier has**
1249 **been established, then the attribute MUST be omitted.**

1250 Original at [SAMLProf] Section 4.5, lines 1319 and 1323:

1251 In the scenario supported by the Name Identifier Management profile, an identity provider has exchanged
1252 some form of **persistent** identifier for a principal with a service provider, allowing them to share a common
1253 identifier for some length of time. Subsequently, the identity provider may wish to notify the service provider
1254 of a change in the format and/or value that it will use to identify the same principal in the future. Alternatively
1255 the service provider may wish to attach its own "alias" for the principal in order to ensure that the identity
1256 provider will include it when communicating with it in the future **about the principal**. Finally, one of the
1257 providers may wish to inform the other that it will no longer issue or accept messages using a particular
1258 identifier. To implement these scenarios, a profile of the SAML Name Identifier Management protocol is
1259 used.

1260 New at [SAMLProf] Section 4.5, lines 1319 and 1323 (note that E12 specifies additional changes to the
1261 original text shown here):

1262 In the scenario supported by the Name Identifier Management profile, an identity provider has exchanged
1263 some form of **long-term** identifier (**including but not limited to identifiers with a Format of**
1264 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:persistent**) for a principal with a service
1265 provider, allowing them to share a common identifier for some length of time. Subsequently, the identity
1266 provider may wish to notify the service provider of a change in the format and/or value that it will use to
1267 identify the same principal in the future. Alternatively the service provider may wish to attach its own "alias"
1268 for the principal in order to ensure that the identity provider will include it when communicating with it in the
1269 future **using that identifier**. Finally, one of the providers may wish to inform the other that it will no longer
1270 issue or accept messages using a particular identifier. To implement these scenarios, a profile of the SAML
1271 Name Identifier Management protocol is used.

1272 **E56: Confirmation Method Typo**

1273 Change [SAMLProf] Section 3 at line 326 to change the reference from <ConfirmationMethod> (an
1274 element that no longer exists) to **Method** (an attribute, used instead of the element beginning in V2.0 of
1275 SAML).

1276

E57: SAMLmime Reference

1277 Change [SAMLBind] Section 4 at lines 1468-1469 to replace a reference to an expired IETF I-D for the
1278 SAMLmime definition to a persistent reference for the same definition.

1279 Original:

1280 [SAMLmime] application/saml+xml Media Type Registration, IETF Internet-Draft,
1281 <http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-hodges-saml-mediatype-01.txt>.

1282 New:

1283 [SAMLmime] OASIS Security Services Technical Committee (SSTC),
1284 "application/samlassertion+xml MIME Media Type Registration", IANA
1285 MIME Media Types Registry application/samlassertion+xml, December
1286 2004. See [http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-](http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/application/samlassertion+xml)
1287 [types/application/samlassertion+xml](http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/application/samlassertion+xml).

1288

E58: KeyDescriptor Typos in Profiles

1289 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.6 at lines 626 and 627 to expand the keyword **sign** to **signing** and to
1290 expand the keyword **encrypt** to **encryption**. These were typographical errors.

1291 Original:

1292 The providers MAY document the key(s) used to sign requests, responses, and assertions with
1293 <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of **sign**. When encrypting SAML elements,
1294 <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of **encrypt** MAY be used to document supported
1295 encryption algorithms and settings, and public keys used to receive bulk encryption keys.

1296 New:

1297 The providers MAY document the key(s) used to sign requests, responses, and assertions with
1298 <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of **signing**. When encrypting SAML elements,
1299 <md:KeyDescriptor> elements with a use attribute of **encryption** MAY be used to document
1300 supported encryption algorithms and settings, and public keys used to receive bulk encryption keys.

1301

E59: SSO Response When Using HTTP-Artifact

1302 Change [SAMLBind] Section 3.6.5.2 at line 1173 to observe for clarity's sake that particular message
1303 delivery mechanisms are not mandated for the "nested" message exchange that takes place as part of
1304 the HTTP-Artifact binding.

1305 New:

1306 Note also that there is no mechanism defined to protect the integrity of the relationship between the artifact
1307 and the "RelayState" value, if any. That is, an attacker can potentially recombine a pair of valid HTTP
1308 responses by switching the "RelayState" values associated with each artifact. As a result, the
1309 producer/consumer of "RelayState" information MUST take care not to associate sensitive state information
1310 with the "RelayState" value without taking additional precautions (such as based on the information in the
1311 SAML protocol message retrieved via artifact).

1312 **Finally, note that the use of the Destination attribute in the root SAML element of the protocol**
1313 **message is unspecified by this binding, because of the message indirection involved.**

1314

E60: Incorrect URI for Unspecified NameID Format

1315 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.2.2 at line 460 to change the name identifier format from
1316 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:nameid-format:unspecified` to
1317 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameid-format:unspecified`. This was a typographical error.

1318 **E61: Reference to Non-Existent Element**

1319 Change [SAMLCore] Section 7.1.2 at lines 3160.

1320 Original:

1321 The following SAML protocol **elements** are intended specifically for use as extension points in an extension
1322 schema; **their types** are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base of a derived type:

- 1323 • **<Request>** and RequestAbstractType
- 1324 • **<SubjectQuery>** and SubjectQueryAbstractType

1325 New:

1326 The following SAML protocol **constructs** are intended specifically for use as extension points in an
1327 extension schema; **the types listed** are set to abstract, and are thus usable only as the base of a derived
1328 type:

- 1329 • RequestAbstractType
- 1330 • **<SubjectQuery>** and SubjectQueryAbstractType

1331 **E62: TLS Keys in KeyDescriptor**

1332 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.1.1 at line 624 to specify more clearly how to interpret the
1333 `KeyDescriptor` element's `use` attribute.

1334 New (just after the conclusion of the definition list for `KeyDescriptorType`):

1335 **A use value of "signing" means that the contained key information is applicable to both signing**
1336 **and TLS/SSL operations performed by the entity when acting in the enclosing role.**

1337 **A use value of "encryption" means that the contained key information is suitable for use in**
1338 **wrapping encryption keys for use by the entity when acting in the enclosing role.**

1339 **If the use attribute is omitted, then the contained key information is applicable to both of the above**
1340 **uses.**

1341 The following schema fragment defines the `<KeyDescriptor>` element and its `KeyDescriptorType`
1342 complex type:

1343 **E63: IdP Discovery Cookie Interpretation**

1344 Change [SAMLProf] Section 4.3.1 at line 1105 to clear up confusion over interpretation of the contents of
1345 an IdP Discovery cookie. (Note that E32 specifies changes to Section 4 that result in a new Section 4.3.1
1346 being inserted before the original one; E63 applies to the original Section 4.3.1.)

1347 New:

1348 Cookie syntax should be in accordance with IETF RFC 2965 [RFC2965] or [NSCookie]. The cookie MAY be
1349 either session-only or persistent. This choice may be made within a deployment, but should apply uniformly
1350 to all identity providers in the deployment. **Note that while a session-only cookie can be used, the intent**
1351 **of this profile is not to provide a means of determining whether a user actually has an active session**
1352 **with one or more of the identity providers stored in the cookie. The cookie merely identifies identity**
1353 **providers known to have been used in the past. Service providers MAY instead rely on the**
1354 **IsPassive attribute in their `<samlp:AuthnRequest>` message to probe for active sessions.**

1355 **E64: Liberty Moniker Used Inappropriately**

1356 Change [SAMLSec] Section 7.1.1.9, Impersonation without Reauthentication to replace an accidental use
1357 of the moniker "Liberty" in place of "SAML V2.0".

1358 New:

1359 Cookies posted by identity providers MAY be used to support this validation process, though **LibertySAML**
1360 **V2.0** does not mandate a cookie-based approach.

1361 **E65: Second-level StatusCode**

1362 Change various sections as follows in [SAMLCore] to constrain the optional second-level <StatusCode>
1363 element used, and clarify that use of second-level codes is optional.

1364 Change section 3.3.2.2.1, lines 1817-1819.

1365 New:

1366 If none of the specified classes or declarations can be satisfied in accordance with the rules below, then the
1367 responder MUST return a <Response> message with a **top-level <StatusCode> value of**
1368 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:Responder** and **MAY return a second-level**
1369 **<StatusCode> of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:NoAuthnContext.**

1370 Change section 3.4.1.2, lines 2172-2173.

1371 New:

1372 In profiles specifying an active intermediary, the intermediary MAY examine the list and return a
1373 <Response> message with an error <Status> and **optionally a second-level <StatusCode> of**

1374 Change section 3.4.1.5.1, lines 2282-2285.

1375 Original:

1376 An identity provider MUST NOT proxy a request where <ProxyCount> is set to zero. The identity
1377 provider MUST return an error <Status> containing a second-level <StatusCode> value of
1378 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:ProxyCountExceeded, unless it can directly
1379 authenticate the presenter.

1380 New:

1381 **Unless the identity provider can directly authenticate the presenter, it MUST return a <Response>**
1382 **message with a top-level <StatusCode> value of**
1383 **urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:Responder** and **MAY return a second-level**
1384 **<StatusCode> value of urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:ProxyCountExceeded.**

1385 Change section 3.8.3, lines 2729-2731.

1386 New:

1387 If the responder does not recognize the principal identified in the request, it MAY respond with an error
1388 <Status>, **optionally** containing a second-level <StatusCode> of
1389 urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:status:UnknownPrincipal.

1390 **E66: Metadata and DNSSEC**

1391 Change [SAMLMeta] to update the DNSSEC reference from RFC 2535 to RFC 4035.

1392 Updated line 1253:

1393 It is RECOMMENDED that entities publish their resource records in signed zone files using ~~[RFC2535]~~
1394 **[RFC4035]**

1395 Original at lines 1447-1448:

1396 [RFC2535] D. Eastlake. *Domain Name System Security Extensions*. IETF RFC 2535, March 1999. See
1397 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2535.txt>.

1398 New at lines 1447-1448:

1399 **[RFC4035] R. Arends et al. *Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions*. IETF RFC 4035,**
1400 **March 2005. See <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4035.txt>.**

1401

E68: Use of Multiple <KeyDescriptor> Elements

1402 Add text to section 2.4.1.1 of [SAMLMeta] to clarify the meaning of identically-purposed
1403 <KeyDescriptor> elements within a role.

1404 New at line 625:

1405 **The inclusion of multiple <KeyDescriptor> elements with the same use attribute (or no such**
1406 **attribute) indicates that any of the included keys may be used by the containing role or affiliation. A**
1407 **relying party SHOULD allow for the use of any of the included keys. When possible the signing or**
1408 **encrypting party SHOULD indicate as specifically as possible which key it used to enable more**
1409 **efficient processing.**

1410 The following schema fragment defines the <KeyDescriptor> element and its KeyDescriptorType
1411 complex type:

1412

E69: Semantics of <ds:KeyInfo> in <KeyDescriptor>

1413 Add text to section 2.4.1.1 of [SAMLMeta] to clarify the limitations of the specification regarding the
1414 semantics of various kinds of common key representations.

1415 New at line 625 (this change should appear after E68 above):

1416 **The <ds:KeyInfo> element is a highly generic and extensible means of communicating key**
1417 **material. This specification takes no position on the allowable or suggested content of this element,**
1418 **nor on its meaning to a relying party. As a concrete example, no implications of including an X.509**
1419 **certificate by value or reference are to be assumed. Its validity period, extensions, revocation status,**
1420 **and other relevant content may or may not be enforced, at the discretion of the relying party. The**
1421 **details of such processing, and their security implications, are out of scope; they may, however, be**
1422 **addressed by other SAML profiles.**

1423 The following schema fragment defines the <KeyDescriptor> element and its KeyDescriptorType
1424 complex type:

1425

E70: Obsolete reference to UUID URN namespace

1426 Change [SAMLProf] to update the Internet Draft reference for the UUID URN namespace to RFC 4122.
1427 Updated Section 8.3.3.1, line 1836:

1428 values are equal in the sense of [<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-mealling-uuid-urn-05.txt>][RFC4122].
1429 The

1430 Updated Section 8.4.3.1, line 1885:

1431 values are equal in the sense of [<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-mealling-uuid-urn-05.txt>][RFC4122].
1432 The

1433 Original at lines 2111-2112:

1434 [Mealling] P Leach et al. *A UUID URN Namespace*. IETF Internet-Draft, December 2004. See
1435 <http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-mealling-uuid-urn-05.txt>.

1436 New at lines 2111-2112:

1437 [RFC4122] P. Leach et al. *A Universally Unique Identifier (UUID) URN Namespace*. IETF RFC 4122,
1438 July 2005. See <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4122.txt>.

1439

E71: Missing namespace definition in Profiles

1440 Change [SAMLProf] to add the "xs" namespace prefix to the table in Section 1.

1441 New row of table in Section 1, between lines 267-268:

1442 **xs :**

1443 <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema>

1444 This namespace is defined in the W3C XML Schema specification [Schema1]. In schema listings, this
1445 is the default namespace and no prefix is shown. For clarity, the prefix is generally shown in
1446 specification text when XML Schema-related constructs are mentioned.

1447 **E74: Update XML Signature Reference**

1448 Update the XML Signature specification reference in [SAMLCore], [SAMLBind], [SAMLProf], [SAMLMeta],
1449 [SAMLAuthCtx], [SAMLConf], [SAMLSec] to the "Second Edition". Also remove a stale non-normative
1450 reference in [SAMLCore].

1451 Strike [SAMLCore], lines 3439-3440:

1452 ~~[RFC 3075] D. Eastlake, J. Reagle, D. Solo. XML Signature Syntax and Processing. IETF RFC 3075, March~~
1453 ~~2001. See <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3075.txt>.~~

1454 Original at [SAMLCore] lines 3415-3416, [SAMLBind] lines 1489-1491, [SAMLProf] lines 2205-2206,
1455 [SAMLMeta] lines 1490-1491, [SAMLAuthCtx] lines 3926-3928, [SAMLConf] lines 410-412, [SAMLSec] lines
1456 1078-1079:

1457 If the `Format` value is omitted or set to
1458 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameidformat:unspecified`[XMLSig] D. Eastlake et al. XML-
1459 Signature Syntax and Processing. World Wide Web Consortium, February 2002. See
1460 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/>. Note that this specification normatively references [XMLSig-XSD], listed
1461 below.

1462 New at [SAMLCore] lines 3415-3416, [SAMLBind] lines 1489-1491, [SAMLProf] lines 2205-2206,
1463 [SAMLMeta] lines 1490-1491, [SAMLAuthCtx] lines 3926-3928, [SAMLConf] lines 410-412, [SAMLSec]
1464 lines 1078-1079:

1465 **[XMLSig] D. Eastlake et al. XML Signature Syntax and Processing, Second Edition. World**
1466 **Wide Web Consortium, June 2008. See <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/>.**

1467 **E75: Clarify Handling of SubjectConfirmation in AuthnRequest**

1468 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.4 to clarify an identity provider's obligation to return an error if it can't
1469 honor the requirements of a `<SubjectConfirmation>` element in an `<AuthnRequest>` message.

1470 New at line 2247:

1471 In such a case, the identifier's physical content MAY be different, but it MUST refer to the same principal. **If**
1472 **the identity provider cannot or will not produce assertions with a strongly matching subject, then it**
1473 **MUST return a `<Response>` with an error `<Status>`, and MAY return a second-level `<StatusCode>`**
1474 **that reflects the reason for the failure.**

1475 **E76: Clarify nested validUntil/cacheDuration**

1476 Add text to [SAMLMeta] to clarify the processing of nested `validUntil` or `cacheDuration` attributes.

1477 New in Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2, before lines 336 and 409:

1478 When not used as the root element of a metadata instance, a `validUntil` or `cacheDuration` attribute
1479 MAY be used to impose a shorter expiration or cache duration than that of the parent or root element, but
1480 never a longer one; the smaller value takes precedence.

1481 New in Sections 2.4.1 and 2.5, before lines 589 and 972:

1482 A `validUntil` or `cacheDuration` attribute MAY be used to impose a shorter expiration or cache duration
1483 than that of the parent or root element, but never a longer one; the smaller value takes precedence.

1484 **E77: Generalize scope of Metadata specification**

1485 Change [SAMLMeta] to address inadvertent language appearing to restrict use of SAML metadata to only
1486 SAML profiles.

1487 New in Section 1, before line 137:

1488 A variety of extension points are also included to allow for the use of SAML metadata in non-SAML
1489 specifications, profiles, and deployments, and such use is encouraged.

1490 Updated Section 2, lines 153-154:

1491 SAML metadata is organized around an extensible collection of roles representing common combinations of
1492 SAML (and potentially non-SAML) protocols and profiles supported by system entities.

1493 Remove the word "SAML" from lines 226, 230, 311, 323, 332, 360, 372, 397, 403, 444, 478, 531, and
1494 940.

1495 **E78: Reassignment of persistent identifiers**

1496 Add text to [SAMLCore] Section 8.3.7, at line 3325, to clarify that non-reassignment to different principals
1497 is a required property of "persistent" name identifiers.

1498 New:

1499 Persistent name identifier values MUST NOT exceed a length of 256 characters. **A given value, once**
1500 **associated with a principal, MUST NOT be assigned to a different principal at any time in the future.**

1501 **E79: Clarification of SessionNotOnOrAfter**

1502 Change [SAMLCore] Section 2.7.2, lines 1062-1065 to loosen wording around the
1503 `SessionNotOnOrAfter` attribute and defer more explicitly to profiles.

1504 Original:

1505 Specifies a time instant at which the session between the principal identified by the subject and the SAML
1506 authority issuing this statement MUST be considered ended. The time value is encoded in UTC, as
1507 described in Section 1.3.3. There is no required relationship between this attribute and a `NotOnOrAfter`
1508 condition attribute that may be present in the assertion.

1509 New:

1510 **Indicates an upper bound on sessions with the subject derived from the enclosing assertion.** The
1511 time value is encoded in UTC, as described in Section 1.3.3. There is no required relationship between this
1512 attribute and a `NotOnOrAfter` condition attribute that may be present in the assertion. **It's left to profiles**
1513 **to provide specific processing rules for relying parties based on this attribute.**

1514 **E81: Algorithm statement in XML Signature profile**

1515 Change [SAMLCore] Section 5.4.1, lines 2926-2927, and [SAMLMeta] Section 3.1.1, lines 1182-1183, to
1516 relax the implication that RSA with SHA1 is the only supported algorithm.

1517 Original:

1518 SAML processors SHOULD support the use of RSA signing and verification for public key operations in
1519 accordance with the algorithm identified by <http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1>.

1520 New:

1521 Any algorithm defined for use with the XML Signature specification MAY be used.

1522 **E82: Empty <ContactPerson> element**

1523 Add text to [SAMLMeta] Section 2.3.2.2, before line 500, to clarify that child elements should be included.

1524 New:

1525 At least one child element SHOULD be present in a `<ContactPerson>` element.

1526 **E83: Weaken claim made about Exclusive C14N**

1527 Change [SAMLCore] Section 5.4.3, lines 2939-2940, and [SAMLMeta] Section 3.1.3, lines 1196-1197, to
1528 better explain the purpose of using exclusive canonicalization.

1529 Original:

1530 Use of Exclusive Canonicalization ensures that signatures created over SAML messages embedded in an
1531 XML context can be verified independent of that context.

1532 New:

1533 Use of Exclusive Canonicalization facilitates the verification of signatures created over SAML messages
1534 when placed into a different XML context than present during signing.

1535 Note that use of this algorithm alone does not guarantee that a particular signed object can be moved from
1536 one context to another safely, nor is that a requirement of signed SAML objects in general, though it MAY be
1537 required by particular profiles

1538 **E84: Incorrect NameID Format constant**

1539 Change [SAMLCore] Section 3.4.1.1., lines 2133-2134 to fix reference to incorrect constant.

1540 Original:

1541 If the `Format` value is omitted or set to
1542 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameidformat:unspecified`

1543 New:

1544 If the `Format` value is omitted or set to
1545 `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.1:nameidformat:unspecified`

1546 **E85: Conflicting language on profile error responses**

1547 Add text to [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.3.5., before line 487, to more strongly encourage support for returning
1548 error responses to Service Providers with appropriate security considerations.

1549 New:

1550 Identity provider implementations SHOULD support the issuance of `<saml2p:Response>` messages (with
1551 appropriate status codes) in the event of an error condition, provided that the user agent remains available
1552 and an acceptable location to which to deliver the response is available. The criteria for "acceptability" of a
1553 response location are not formally specified, but are subject to identity provider policy and reflect its
1554 responsibility to protect users from being sent to untrusted or possibly malicious parties.

1555 **E86: Pseudorandom requirement for persistent NameID format**

1556 Change [SAMLCore] Section 8.3.7., lines 3321-3323 to relax requirement for cryptographic pseudo-
1557 randomness in the generation of persistent name identifier values.

1558 Original:

1559 Persistent name identifiers generated by identity providers MUST be constructed using pseudo-random
1560 values that have no discernible correspondence with the subject's actual identifier (for example, username).

1561 New:

1562 Persistent name identifiers generated by identity providers MUST be constructed using values that have no
1563 discernible correspondence with the subject's actual identity (for example, username). They MAY be
1564 pseudo-random values, or generated in any other manner, provided there is no guessable relationship

1565 between the value and the subject's underlying identity, and that they are unique within the range of values
1566 generated by a given identity provider for a given service provider or affiliation of providers.

1567 **E87: Clarify default rules for <md:AttributeConsumingService>**

1568 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.4., lines 755-756 to align defaulting rules to similar elements.

1569 Original:

1570 At most one <AttributeConsumingService> element can have the attribute `isDefault` set to true. It
1571 is permissible for none of the included elements to contain an `isDefault` attribute set to true.

1572 New:

1573 At most one <AttributeConsumingService> element can have the attribute `isDefault` set to true.
1574 The default element is the first element with the `isDefault` attribute set to true. If no such elements exist,
1575 the default element is the first element without the `isDefault` attribute set to false. If no such elements
1576 exist, the default element is the first element in the sequence.

1577 **E88: Human readability of <md:ServiceName>**

1578 Change [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.4.1., line 788 to clarify requirement for human readability.

1579 Original:

1580 One or more language-qualified names for the service.

1581 New:

1582 One or more language-qualified names for the service that are suitable for human consumption.

1583 **E89: NameFormat defaulting for <md:RequestedAttribute>**

1584 Add text to [SAMLMeta] Section 2.4.4.2., before line 816, to clarify default value of `NameFormat` attribute.

1585 New:

1586 If no `NameFormat` value is provided, the identifier `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-`
1587 `format:unspecified` (see Section 8.2.1 of [SAMLCore]) is in effect.

1588 **E90: RelayState sanitization**

1589 Security analysis of SAML implementations in [Sec2011] suggests that guidance is needed to advise
1590 implementers how to avoid enabling a class of attacks involving misuse of the RelayState feature
1591 supported by SAML bindings. The TC thanks the following for their identification of the problem, and their
1592 assistance in drafting this material:

- 1593 • Alessandro Armando, University of Genova and Fondazione Bruno Kessler
- 1594 • Roberto Carbone, Fondazione Bruno Kessler
- 1595 • Luca Compagna, SAP
- 1596 • Jorge Cuellar, Siemens
- 1597 • Giancarlo Pellegrino, SAP
- 1598 • Alessandro Sorniotti, IBM
- 1599 • The EU Projects AVANTSSAR, SPaCioS, and SIAM

1600 Add text to [SAMLBind] Section 3.1.1., before line 233:

1601 New:

1602 Some bindings that define a "RelayState" mechanism do not provide for end to end origin authentication or
1603 integrity protection of the RelayState value. Most such bindings are defined in conjunction with HTTP, and
1604 RelayState is often involved in the preservation of HTTP resource state that may involve the use of HTTP
1605 redirects, or embedding of RelayState information in HTTP responses, HTML content, etc. In such cases,
1606 implementations need to beware of Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) and other attack vectors (e.g., Cross-Site

1607 Request Forgery, CSRF) that are common to such scenarios.
1608
1609 Implementations MUST carefully sanitize the URL schemes they permit (for example, disallowing anything
1610 but "http" or "https"), and should disallow unencoded characters that may be used in mounting such attacks.
1611 This caution applies to both identity and service provider implementations.

1612 Add text to [SAMLBind] Section 3.4.5.2. before line 678, Section 3.5.5.2. before line 861, and Section
1613 3.6.5.2. before line 1174:

1614 New:

1615 When using RelayState in conjunction with HTTP redirects or response information, implementations MUST
1616 carefully sanitize the URL schemes they permit (for example, disallowing anything but "http" or "https"), and
1617 should disallow unencoded characters that may be used in mounting such attacks.

1618 Add text to [SAMLProf] Section 4.1.5., before line 617:

1619 New:

1620 Note that the use of unsolicited responses can lead to Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) vulnerabilities
1621 due to the inability to ensure that a request from the client originated the SAML profile transaction. Service
1622 providers SHOULD have a means of disabling the acceptance of unsolicited responses if circumstances
1623 warrant. The use of solicited responses may also be vulnerable to such attacks, the use of cookies to
1624 correlate the issuance of SAML requests and responses with the same client being one possible solution.
1625 However, if unsolicited responses cannot be prevented, no improvement to the solicited case will be of use.

1626 Add text to [SAMLProf] before line 617, after previous addition:

1627 New:

1628 4.1.6 Use of Relay State

1629 The RelayState feature of the various HTTP-based bindings defined for use with this profile MAY be used to
1630 preserve information about resources requested by the user agent prior to the use of the profile. As
1631 discussed in [SAMLBind], the lack of integrity protection in many scenarios, including the case of unsolicited
1632 responses, makes it essential for identity and service providers to perform appropriate sanitization of the
1633 RelayState value and any URLs derived from it. The URL scheme eventually derived SHOULD be limited to
1634 "https" or "http", and protection against unencoded executable content must be applied.

1635 Add text to [SAMLProf] Section 4.2.5., before line 1082:

1636 New:

1637 The RelayState header block defined for use with this profile MAY be used to preserve information about
1638 resources requested by the client prior to the use of the profile. As discussed in [SAMLBind], the lack of
1639 integrity protection in many scenarios, including the case of unsolicited responses, makes it essential for
1640 identity and service providers to perform appropriate sanitization of the RelayState value and any URLs
1641 derived from it. The URL scheme eventually derived SHOULD be limited to "https" or "http", and protection
1642 against unencoded executable content must be applied.

1643 **E91: Disallow <ds:Object> element in signatures**

1644 Add text to [SAMLCore] before line 2951:

1645 New:

1646 5.4.5 Object

1647 The <ds:Object> element is not defined for use with SAML signatures, and SHOULD NOT be present.
1648 Since it can be used in service of an attacker by carrying unsigned data, verifiers SHOULD reject signatures
1649 that contain a <ds:Object> element.

1650 3 Acknowledgments

1651 The editor would like to acknowledge the contributions of the OASIS Security Services Technical
1652 Committee, whose voting members at the time of publication were:

1653 • TBD

1654 The editors also would like to gratefully acknowledge **Jahan Moreh** of Sigaba and **Eve Maler** (then at
1655 Sun Microsystems), who during their tenures on the TC were editors of the errata working document and
1656 made major substantive contributions to all of the errata materials.