Process for handling Inputs To Improve PLCSLib

TOG

10. August 2012
Change Control board:

- **Purpose:**
  - Review and agree resolution of issues
  - Authorize & Implement changes to plcslib components

- **Frequency**
  - Meet every Monday 14:00 UK time

- **Governance:**
  - Chair: TOG Chair
  - Open to all including non OASIS TC members – but they have no voting rights
  - Decisions based on consensus
  - If consensus not achieved, decision based on consensus by Core team
  - If consensus not achieved by Core team – decision goes to vote, only CCB OASIS TC members can vote and one vote per organization
  - If vote not decisive, Final decision made by TOG Chair
  - Inform TC at each meeting of visibility and content of next release

**CM Release technician**
- Responsible for release PLCSlib component under instruction from CCB

**Core team:**
- Provide Technical oversight of PLCSlib
- Nominated technical experts
Issue trackers @ SourceForge

Issues managed using trackers on Sourceforge

https://sourceforge.net/projects/plcslib/

PLCS PSMmodel
Issues against the PLCS PSM model

PLCSlib infrastructure
Issues against the PLCSlib infrastructure

PLCS templates
Issues against the PLCSlib templates

PLCS Ref. data
Issues against PLCS reference data

PLCS MUguide
Issues against model usage guides

PLCS DEX
Issues against PLCS DXEs
Email exploder

- **PLCS TOG exploder used to for Change Control Board discussions**
Example Issue

Tracker: PLCS PSMmodel

Summary: TaskElement encapsulation incorrect - ID: 3554802

Details: TaskElement is encapsulated where ever used, this includes TaskElementRelationship which is incorrect. Suggest we apply the same approach as used for PropertyValue encapsulation and explicitly exclude the relationships from the encapsulation rules.

Submitted: Phil Spiby (philsp) - 2012-08-06 04:32:35 PDT
Priority: 5 - Medium
Status: Open
Resolution: None
Tracker: PLCS PSMmodel

Assigned: philsp (admin)
Category: PLCS PSM Model (admin)
Group: None (admin)
Visibility: Private

Response: None (admin)

Comment:
### Issue record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Issue Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Used to track status of Issue</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Issue raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Issue resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Issue addressed but solution not signed off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deleted</td>
<td>Issue deleted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Issue Resolution</strong></th>
<th><strong>Used to track issues resolution</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>CCB accepted issue, assigned to a resource and set Group to a release so will be addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejected</td>
<td>CCB rejected issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later</td>
<td>CCB accepted issue, but no resource available, Group set to none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Issue addressed, change reviewed and accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Issue Group</strong></th>
<th><strong>Used to track releases issues assigned to</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Issue not assigned to a release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>CCB accepted issue and assigned to a release R1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>