

**OASIS MQTT Technical Committee**  
**Minutes for the meeting of Thursday, 12th June 2014**  
**Teleconference**

**Convener:** Richard Coppen (IBM)

**Attendance:**

Attendees:

- Andrew Banks
- William Bathurst
- Geoff Brown
- Rick Bullota
- James Butler
- Raphael Cohn
- Sarah Cooper
- Richard Coppen
- Paul Duffy
- Chet Ensign
- Rahul Gupta
- Steve Huston
- David Kemper
- Peter Niblett
- Julien Niset
- Steve Upton

We have quorum.

**Approve Agenda:**

Agenda:

- Roll Call
- Approve Agenda
- Approve minutes from last meeting
- Voting Rights update
- MQTT 3.1.1 Standardization update
- Update on actions from last call
- Next steps
- Confirm date & time of next MQTT TC meeting
- Confirm date & time of next MQTT Security SC meeting
- AOB
- Call for late joiners
- Close

The agenda is approved.

**Approve minutes form last meeting 05.06.2014:**

R. Coppen proposes that we defer acceptance to the next meeting.

**Voting rights update:**

Following the meeting of 05.06.2014:

- Mr. Rick Bullotta group role has been changed to Voting Member
- Mr. Marco Carrer group role has been changed to Voting Member
- Mr. Steve Huston group role has been changed to Voting Member
- Mr. Wes Johnson group role has been changed to Member

### **MQTT 3.1.1 Standardization update (R. Coppen):**

We now have a candidate OASIS Standard.

Last week we approved the MQTT SC CNPRD Issue Resolution log. Following a request by Chet Ensign, the Security SC Issue resolution log was updated to include a line for each individual TAB change (non-material change).

G. Brown mentions that the Security SC received some very positive feedback regarding the NIST Cyber Security framework from Peter Brown (OASIS).

### **Update on actions from last call:**

R. Coppen lists the actions that were decided on the last call:

- 1) Work with OASIS to get an official position on the article
- 2) Load up JIRA with issues (as part of our futures exercise)
- 3) Publish an acknowledgment on the OASIS TC page (or elsewhere with OASIS)
- 4) Bring the TC back together next week to review

R. Coppen has discussed these actions with Chet Ensign and James Bryce Clark of OASIS. Their feedback is to take a step back and not contact Microsoft straight away. R. Coppen has invited them to participate to the call and give the TC their feedback.

C. Ensign: Nothing good comes out of pushing on a member company. If Microsoft were contacted they would probably say that, as mentioned in the original blog entry, it is the opinion of the author and not that of his employer (Microsoft).

C. Ensign and J.B. Clark recommend that the TC address the issue by responding to the technical aspect of the blog entry and invite the author to contribute.

R. Coppen asks G. Brown if he is comfortable with this recommended direction as it is different from his request of going through the official channel.

G. Brown states that he understands the feedback but questions the value of it. He is unsure of where it is going to go. This is his personal opinion. His request has been actioned hence he has no further comment.

P. Niblett has addressed some of the technical criticisms in a blog post ([https://www.ibm.com/developerworks/community/blogs/c565c720-fe84-4f63-873f-607d87787327/entry/mqtt\\_a\\_discussion\\_on\\_clemens\\_vasters\\_post?lang=en](https://www.ibm.com/developerworks/community/blogs/c565c720-fe84-4f63-873f-607d87787327/entry/mqtt_a_discussion_on_clemens_vasters_post?lang=en)).

R. Coppen emphasizes that Peter's blog entry is not intended to be a TC response. He was requested to provide some clarity from IBM.

R. Coppen asks the opinion of C. Ensign and J.B. Clark regarding the loading of JIRA issues to address some of the technical issues raised in the blog entry of C. Vasters.

C. Ensign does not see any problem in loading issues that were already discussed in the TC or discussed in the public domain. He recommends that we do not put it in his words or reference him in the JIRA. We should enter it as a generic JIRA issue. He continues by stating that we should be ok as long as the JIRA issues are not addressing comments that somehow can relate to Microsoft intellectual property.

C. Ensign: The best would be to have him contribute his comments officially to the TC through the TC mailing list.

R. Cohn: 3 options:

1. TC writes a TC statement now
2. The TC allows the Chairs to write something on behalf of the TC
3. The Chairs draft something subject to TC approval

R. Cohn suggests we choose option 2.

P. Duffy would prefer option 3.

R. Cohn proposes that the TC ask the Chairs write a response to the blog post from Clemens Vasters factoring in the advice from J.B. Clark and C. Ensign, considering the feedback received from the TC and bring this back to the TC for review and approval.

The TC agrees this should be a vote on a suitable TC call.

Proposed R. Cohn, Seconded, Paul Duffy.

No objections. TC approves.

**Confirm date & time of next TC meeting:**

Tuesday 17th June 2014.

**Confirm date & time of SC next meeting:**

No meeting scheduled.

**Any Other business:** None.

**Call for late joiners:** None.

**Close.**