

**[WSCALENDAR-571] conformance targets not well-defined** Created: 24/Feb/16 Updated: 09/May/16 Resolved: 09/May/16

<b>Status:</b>	Applied
<b>Project:</b>	OASIS Web Services Calendar (WS-Calendar) TC
<b>Component/s:</b>	MIN
<b>Affects Version/s:</b>	MIN CSDPR01
<b>Fix Version/s:</b>	MIN WD07

<b>Type:</b>	Bug	<b>Priority:</b>	Major
<b>Reporter:</b>	<a href="#">Toby Considine</a>	<b>Assignee:</b>	<a href="#">William Cox</a>
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Labels:</b>	None		
<b>Environment:</b>	TAB Review		

<b>Resolution:</b>	<p>Defined two conformance targets as follows:</p> <p>(1) Conformance of an application to PIM  (2) Conformance of a specification to PIM</p> <p>Note that the term implementation may apply to both an application that uses MIN and a specification that extends or otherwise reuses PIM.</p> <p>This clarifies the "implementations and specifications" concept, and is more specific.</p>
--------------------	---

**Description**

The conformance targets or possible implementations that are subject to conformance are not well defined: we read "Implementations and specifications " in the conformance clause. These need be defined even if only intuitively. What is the difference between Implementations and specifications ? Can we use another term than "specifications" which is rather overloaded (also used for "this specifications" - are we talking of different classes of specifications?). At some other place we read "an application or specification..." - needs be defined too in this context. The base specification (PIM V1.0) also uses "specifications" as conformance target, but not defined.

**Comments**

Comment by <a href="#">William Cox</a> [ 08/Mar/16 ]
Cross reference to PIM issue: <a href="#">WSCALENDAR-572</a>
Comment by <a href="#">William Cox</a> [ 09/May/16 ]
Back port this notion to PIM which has a similar but not identical set of issues in <a href="#">WSCALENDAR-572</a> .

**[WSCALENDAR-570] non-normative content recommended/required in conformance clause** Created: 24/Feb/16 Updated: 09/May/16 Resolved: 09/May/16

<b>Status:</b>	Applied
<b>Project:</b>	OASIS Web Services Calendar (WS-Calendar) TC
<b>Component/s:</b>	MIN
<b>Affects Version/s:</b>	MIN CSDPR01
<b>Fix Version/s:</b>	MIN WD07

<b>Type:</b>	Bug	<b>Priority:</b>	Major
<b>Reporter:</b>	<a href="#">Toby Considine</a>	<b>Assignee:</b>	<a href="#">William Cox</a>
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Labels:</b>	None		
<b>Environment:</b>	TAB Review		

<b>Resolution:</b>	Reword to eliminate SHOULD; the sections of PIM are non-normative. Added note mentioning that precision is addressed in XSD.
--------------------	--

**Description**

In MIN conformance clause we read: "This non-normative section SHOULD be considered by conforming implementations and specifications".

**[WCALENDAR-569] Various Ungrammatical and Awkward bits** Created: 08/Feb/16 Updated: 30/May/16 Resolved: 30/May/16

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved
<b>Project:</b>	<a href="#">OASIS Web Services Calendar (WS-Calendar) TC</a>
<b>Component/s:</b>	<a href="#">MIN</a>
<b>Affects Version/s:</b>	<a href="#">MIN CSDPR01</a>
<b>Fix Version/s:</b>	<a href="#">MIN WD08</a>

<b>Type:</b>	Improvement	<b>Priority:</b>	Minor
<b>Reporter:</b>	<a href="#">Toby Considine</a>	<b>Assignee:</b>	<a href="#">Toby Considine</a>
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Labels:</b>	None		
<b>Environment:</b>	Toby Considine		

<b>Proposal:</b>	Fix as suggested
------------------	------------------

#### Description

Line 74 space in uri  
 Line 105 Capitalization  
 Line 107 Fix sentence  
 Line 115 Effects / re-scheduling, etc  
 Line 142 "Everything is calendar related" – In what?  
 Line 177 Capitalize "Fully Bind" to imply normative use  
 Line 201 – 203: Up until this section language is...odd  
 Line 231: Alternately is  Alternately, if

#### Comments

Comment by [Toby Considine](#) [ 30/May/16 ]

Accepted Recommendations