Meeting Date: June 21, 2022
Time: 10:00 AM US EDT
Purpose: TAC TC Full TC Meeting

Attendees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maroney, Patrick</td>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Voting Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, Dean</td>
<td>Australia and New Zealand Banking Group</td>
<td>Voting Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginn, Jane</td>
<td>Cyber Threat Intelligence Network, Inc.</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey, Tim</td>
<td>DarkLight, Inc.</td>
<td>Voting Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohimer, Ryan</td>
<td>DarkLight, Inc.</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shu, Xiaokui</td>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>Observer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mavroeidis, Vasileios</td>
<td>University of Oslo</td>
<td>Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zych, Mateusz</td>
<td>University of Oslo</td>
<td>Voting Member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda:
- Review Working Sessions 1 & 2
- Discussion of Next Steps

Meeting Notes:
Vasileios Mavroeidis
Welcome to new TC Member, Xiaokui Shu.
Reviewed the activities of the previous working sessions
Noted meeting with Ryan to chart course forward

Ryan Hohimer [From Slack]
I am in strong agreement with Vasileios that the TAC ontology should be refactored. At this stage of development we have come to a place where we can make some informed design decisions that will improve the ontology significantly.

1. First, we must define a “parti” (basic general scheme of an architectural design). We should document the parti. So that the entire TAC-TC knows what we are creating and individual members can help with the work that needs to be done.
2. After forming and documenting the parti, the implementation of the plan can begin.

In my opinion the TAC ontology GitHub repository’s Issue Tracker should be used to track the implementation of the parti. But that is something we as a technical committee can decide upon.

The goal of this refactor should be to improve the useability of the TAC ontology. We need to make it clear and understandable. As soon as is possible we need to make an official release of the TAC ontology. In the past couple of months our TC has been forming the understanding that a roadmap to an official release was needed. Now is our opportunity to do so with the development of a documented plan, and a documented execution of that plan.
Vasileios Mavroeidis
Reviewed some of the basic principles of Semantic Technology and purpose of the TAC TC
Notes on resources shared in the Chat panel:
- https://www.w3.org/RDF/
- https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-sparql-query/
- https://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData

Some notes on reaching levels of maturity when using Linked Data.. as shown on the cup

Recording of first 9 minutes:
https://transcripts.gotomeeting.com/#/s/ce955f60bb8f7636e63457a6656f6677ee690eb6e1f6aad1b548cf75b770b160

Meeting adjourned