OASIS MEMBERS ONLY

The following are notes taken at our 21 June 1999 Board Meeting.

Present: Jon Parsons, Norbert Mikula, Bill Smith, Carla Corkern, Simon Nicholson, Laura Walker

AGENDA ITEM #1

Founding partners - the following orgs are showing intent as founding partners, and we need to resolve the status in advance of the Board meeting - Microsoft, SAP, Datachannel, CommerceOne, Software AG - All

\$100K Founding Partners (committed)

Sun

IBM

SAP

Software AG

Microsoft

\$100K Founding Partners (potential)

Oracle?

Xerox

PriceWaterhouseCoopers

JP Morgan

\$25K Founding Affiliate (committed)

DataChannel

CommerceOne

\$25K Founding Affiliate (potential)

Documentum

SoftQuad

Arbortext

Ariba

RealTrans

ACTION ITEMS: New possibilities to participate. Promote new Affiliate category to OASIS sponsor members.

AGENDA ITEM #2

What does it take to become a partner (funding levels) - All

Partner/Principal Discussion:

XML.org is not membership, it's funding.

XML.org funding is separate from OASIS OASIS membership must be continued Revenue-based model <\$250M

MEMBERSHIP CATEGORIES

XML.org Founding Partner - steering committee

XML.org Affiliate - steering committee

OASIS Sponsor

OASIS Contributor

OASIS Participant

OASIS Individual

OASIS Associate

Founding companies include at the partner level... and at the affiliate level...

A minimum of \$25K for Affiliate and \$100K for Partner.

Two logos

VOTE:

Proposal (Bob Sutor): It is proposed that we have two levels of sponsorship for XML.org. #1 is called XML.org partner which is for companies with revenues in excess of \$250M US and their contributions will be a minimum of \$100K US. #2 is called XML.org Affiliate which is for companies with revenues under \$250M US and their contributions will be a minimum of \$25K US. This amount is in addition to base OASIS membership dues. Jon Parsons seconded. Unanimous vote.

VOTE:

Proposal (Mary McRae): That there be a standard XML.org agreements/contract and that no company, institution or individual will receive special treatment beyond that agreement. Seconded by: Norbert Mikula.

Unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM #3

IPR - Laura and Bob

Open source. What does it mean? A lot of it comes down to patent rights. On the source code side, what are people actually providing? If we're developing source code, what are we giving to XML.org?

Source Code:

- Reference implementation
- Testing/interoperability function

OASIS position:

Source code should be open source.

Do we want to run this as an open source organization, or do we want to find another organization to own (transfer the problem)?

Affect on In-Kind Contribution

Evaluate approaches to regrep development (XML/EDI, Peter Murray-Rust, Free Software Foundation, etc.) Do we want to go to an open source development organization? Do we want to go to a vendor (RFP) for development? All contributions would be open source.

DTDs

If you put DTDs into the repository, they are considered public. Copyright? If author does not wish it to be public, then DTD should remain in a private repository (XML.org could link to it).

AGENDA ITEM #4

Partner Agreement - Laura Include opening paragraph.

AGENDA ITEM #5

Cover Sponsorships - Laura ISOGEN/DataChannel - same as last year GCA - tbd; meeting Tuesday Sun - will renew; some negotiation left tbd.

Inso - proposal for \$30K cash and in-kind contribution worth \$10K for DynaBase. Will discuss at face-to-face.

AGENDA ITEM #6

Members only - Board meeting minutes XML.org Steering Committee meeting - 13 July on the east coast.