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1 Introduction

This specification defines a domain-specific policy assertion for reliable messaging for use with WS-Policy [WS-Policy] and WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM]. It is often a requirement for two Web services that wish to communicate to do so reliably in the presence of software component, system, or network failures. The primary goal of this specification is to create a modular mechanism for reliable message delivery. It defines a messaging protocol to identify, track, and manage the reliable delivery of messages between exactly two parties, a source and a destination. It also defines a SOAP binding that is required for interoperability. Additional bindings may be defined.

This mechanism is extensible allowing additional functionality, such as security, to be tightly integrated. This specification integrates with and complements the WS-Security, WS-Policy, and other Web services specifications. Combined, these allow for a broad range of reliable, secure messaging options.

1.1 Goals and Requirements

1.1.1 Requirements

1.2 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [KEYWORDS].

This specification uses the following syntax to define normative outlines for messages:

- The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in italics indicate data types instead of values.
- Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate cardinality:
  - "?" (0 or 1)
  - "*" (0 or more)
  - "+" (1 or more)
- The character "|" is used to indicate a choice between alternatives.
- The characters "[" and "]" are used to indicate that contained items are to be treated as a group with respect to cardinality or choice.
- An ellipsis (i.e. "...") indicates a point of extensibility that allows other child, or attribute, content. Additional children elements and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If an extension is not recognized it SHOULD be ignored.
- XML namespace prefixes (See Section Namespace) are used to indicate the namespace of the element being defined.

|
1.3 Namespace

The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510/

Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

The following namespaces are used in this document:

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Namespace</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wsrmp</td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510">http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510</a></td>
<td>This specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope">http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsrmp</td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510">http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsrm</td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/">http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wse</td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wse/2004/01/oasis-200401-wse-">http://docs.oasis-open.org/wse/2004/01/oasis-200401-wse-</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wseecurity-secext-1.0.xsd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xs</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The normative schema for WS-Reliable Messaging can be found at:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/wsrmp.xsd

All sections explicitly noted as examples are informational and are not to be considered normative.

If an action URI is used, and one is not already defined per the rules of the WS-Addressing specification [WS-Addressing], then the action URI MUST consist of the reliable messaging namespace URI concatenated with the element name. For example:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/SequenceAcknowledgement

1.4 Compliance

An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace identifier for this specification (listed in Section Namespace) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this specification.

Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take precedence over the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] descriptions. An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace identifier for this specification (listed in Section Namespace) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this specification.
2 RM Policy Assertions

WS-Policy Framework [WS-Policy] and WS-Policy Attachment [WS-PolicyAttachment] collectively define a framework, model and grammar for expressing the requirements, and general characteristics of entities in an XML Web services-based system. To enable an RM Destination and an RM Source to describe their requirements for a given Sequence, this specification defines a single RM policy assertion that leverages the WS-Policy framework.

2.1 Assertion Model

The RM policy assertion indicates that the RM Source and RM Destination MUST use WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] to ensure reliable delivery of messages. Specifically, the WS-ReliableMessaging protocol determines invariants maintained by the reliable messaging endpoints and the directives used to track and manage the delivery of a Sequence of messages.

The assertion defines a maximum message number parameter that the RM Destination MAY include to indicate the maximum message number the RM Destination will accept. This is useful for RM Destinations that may be running in constrained environments that can not accept values as large as the default value of a maximum unsigned long.

Finally, this assertion defines an acknowledgement interval parameter that the RM Destination MAY include. Per WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM], acknowledgements are sent on return messages or sent stand-alone. If a return message is not available to send an acknowledgement, an RM Destination MAY wait for up to the acknowledgement interval before sending a stand-alone acknowledgement. If there are no unacknowledged messages, the RM Destination MAY choose not to send an acknowledgement. This parameter does not alter the formulation of messages or acknowledgements as transmitted; it does not alter the meaning of the wsrn:AckRequested directive. Its purpose is to communicate the timing of acknowledgements so that the RM Source may tune appropriately.

The RM assertion parameters do not affect the messages which are sent on the wire.

2.2 Normative Outline

The normative outline for the RM version assertion is:

```xml
<wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ...>
  <wsrmp:AcknowledgementInterval Milliseconds="xs:unsignedLong" ... />
  <wsrmp:MaxMessageNumber Number="xs:unsignedLong" ... />
...</wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

The following describes additional, normative constraints on the outline listed above:

/wsrm:RMAssertion

A policy assertion that specifies that WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] protocol MUST be used for a Sequence.

/wsrm:RMAssertion/@wsp:Optional="true"

Per WS-Policy [WS-Policy], this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case, that WS-ReliableMessaging MAY be used.

/wsrm:RMAssertion/wsrn:AcknowledgementInterval
A parameter that specifies the duration after which the RM Destination will transmit an acknowledgement. If omitted, there is no implied value.

The acknowledgement interval, specified in milliseconds.

A parameter that specifies the maximum message number that the RM Destination will accept. If omitted, the default value of the maximum unsigned long will be assumed.

The maximum message number.

### 2.3 Assertion Attachment

Because the RM policy assertion indicates endpoint behavior over an RM Sequence, the assertion has Endpoint Policy Subject [WS-PolicyAttachment].

WS-PolicyAttachment defines three WSDL [WSDL 1.1] policy attachment points with Endpoint Policy Subject:

- **wsdl:portType** – A policy expression containing the RM policy assertion MUST NOT be attached to a wsdl:portType; the RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior whereas the wsdl:portType is an abstract construct.
- **wsdl:binding** – A policy expression containing the RM policy assertion SHOULD be attached to a wsdl:binding.
- **wsdl:port** – A policy expression containing the RM policy assertion MAY be attached to a wsdl:port.

If the RM policy assertion appears in a policy expression attached to both a wsdl:port and its corresponding wsdl:binding, the parameters in the former MUST be used and the latter ignored.

### 2.4 Assertion Example

Table 2 lists an example use of the RM policy assertion.

#### Table 2: Example policy with RM policy assertion

```xml
<wsp:UsingPolicy wsdl:required="true" />
<wsp:Policy wsu:Id="MyPolicy" >
<wsrmp:RMAssertion>
<wsrmp:AcknowledgementInterval Milliseconds="200" />
</wsrmp:RMAssertion>
</wsp:Policy>
```

171 A parameter that specifies the duration after which the RM Destination will transmit an acknowledgement. If omitted, there is no implied value.

172 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsrm:AcknowledgementInterval/@Milliseconds

174 The acknowledgement interval, specified in milliseconds.

175 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsrm:MaxMessageNumber

176 A parameter that specifies the maximum message number that the RM Destination will accept. If omitted, the default value of the maximum unsigned long will be assumed.

178 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsrm:MaxMessageNumber/@Number

179 The maximum message number.

182 Because the RM policy assertion indicates endpoint behavior over an RM Sequence, the assertion has Endpoint Policy Subject [WS-PolicyAttachment].

183 WS-PolicyAttachment defines three WSDL [WSDL 1.1] policy attachment points with Endpoint Policy Subject:

185 • **wsdl:portType** – A policy expression containing the RM policy assertion MUST NOT be attached to a wsdl:portType; the RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior whereas the wsdl:portType is an abstract construct.

187 • **wsdl:binding** – A policy expression containing the RM policy assertion SHOULD be attached to a wsdl:binding.

190 • **wsdl:port** – A policy expression containing the RM policy assertion MAY be attached to a wsdl:port.

192 If the RM policy assertion appears in a policy expression attached to both a wsdl:port and its corresponding wsdl:binding, the parameters in the former MUST be used and the latter ignored.
Line (09) in Table 2 indicates that WS-Policy [WS-Policy] is in use as a required extension.

Lines (11-16) are a policy expression that includes a RM policy assertion (Lines 12-14) to indicate that WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] must be used. Line (13) indicates the RM Destination may buffer acknowledgements for up to two-tenths of a second.

Lines (20-23) are a WSDL [WSDL 1.1] binding. Line (21) indicates that the policy in Lines (11-16) applies to this binding, specifically indicating that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used over all the messages in the binding.

Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in-turn take precedence over the XML Schema [XML Schema Part 1, Part 2] descriptions.
3 Reliable Messaging Model

Many errors may interrupt a conversation. Messages may be lost, duplicated or reordered. Further the host systems may experience failures and lose volatile state.

The WS-ReliableMessaging specification defines an interoperable protocol that requires a Reliable Messaging (RM) Source and Reliable Messaging (RM) Destination to ensure that each message transmitted by the RM Source is successfully received by an RM Destination, or barring successful receipt, that an RM Source can, except in the most extreme circumstances, accurately determine the disposition of each message transmitted as perceived by the RM Destination, so as to resolve any in-doubt status.

In addition, the protocol allows the RM Source and RM Destination to provide their respective Application Source and Application Destination a guarantee that a message that is sent by an Application Source will be delivered to the Application Destination.

This guarantee is specified as a delivery assurance. It is the responsibility of the RM Source and RM Destination to fulfill the delivery assurances on behalf of their respective Application counterparts, or raise an error. The protocol defined here allows endpoints to meet this guarantee for the delivery assurances defined below. However, the means by which these delivery assurances are manifested by either the RM Source or RM Destination roles is an implementation concern, and is out of scope of this specification.

Note that the underlying protocol defined in this specification remains the same regardless of the delivery assurance.

Persistence considerations related to an endpoint’s ability to satisfy the delivery assurances defined below are the responsibility of the implementation and do not affect the wire protocol. As such, they are out of scope of this specification.

There are four basic delivery assurances that endpoints can provide:

AtMostOnce Messages will be delivered at most once without duplication or an error will be raised on at least one endpoint. It is possible that some messages in a sequence may not be delivered.

AtLeastOnce Every message sent will be delivered or an error will be raised on at least one endpoint. Some messages may be delivered more than once.

ExactlyOnce Every message sent will be delivered without duplication or an error will be raised on at least one endpoint. This delivery assurance is the logical “and” of the two prior delivery assurances.

InOrder Messages will be delivered in the order that they were sent. This delivery assurance may be combined with any of the above delivery assurances. It requires that the messages within a Sequence will be delivered in an order so that the message numbers are monotonically increasing. Note that this assurance says nothing about duplications or emissions. Note also that it is only applicable to messages in the same Sequence. Cross-Sequence ordering of messages is not in the scope of this specification.

Figure 1 below illustrates the entities and events in a simple reliable message exchange.

First, the Application Source Sends a message for reliable delivery. The Reliable Messaging (RM) Source accepts the message and Transmits it one or more times. After receiving the—
message, the RM Destination Acknowledges it. Finally, the RM Destination delivers the message to the Application Destination. The exact roles the entities play and the complete meaning of the events will be defined throughout this specification.

**Figure 1: Reliable Messaging Model**

### 3.1 Glossary

The following definitions are used throughout this specification:

**Endpoint:** A referencable entity, processor, or resource where Web service messages are originated or targeted.

**Application Source:** The endpoint that Sends a message.

**Application Destination:** The endpoint to which a message is Delivered.

**Delivery Assurance:** The guarantee that the messaging infrastructure provides on the delivery of a message.

**Receive:** The act of reading a message from a network connection and qualifying it as relevant to RM Destination functions.

**RM Source:** The endpoint that transmits the message.

**RM Destination:** The endpoint that receives the message.

**Send:** The act of submitting a message to the RM Source for reliable delivery. The reliability guarantee begins at this point.

**Deliver:** The act of transferring a message from the RM Destination to the Application Destination. The reliability guarantee is fulfilled at this point.

**Transmit:** The act of writing a message to a network connection.

**Receive:** The act of reading a message from a network connection.

**Acknowledgement:** The communication from the RM Destination to the RM Source indicating the successful receipt of a message.
3.2 Protocol Preconditions

The correct operation of the protocol requires that a number of preconditions MUST be established prior to the processing of the initial sequenced message:

- The RM Source MUST have an endpoint reference that uniquely identifies the RM Destination endpoint; correlations across messages addressed to the unique endpoint MUST be meaningful.
- The RM Source MUST have knowledge of the destination's policies, if any, and the RM Source MUST be capable of formulating messages that adhere to this policy.

If a secure exchange of messages is required, then the RM Source and RM Destination MUST have a security context.

3.3 Protocol Invariants

During the lifetime of the protocol, two invariants are REQUIRED for correctness:

- The RM Source MUST assign each reliable message a sequence number (defined below) beginning at 1 and increasing by exactly 1 for each subsequent reliable message.

Every acknowledgement issued by the RM Destination MUST include within an acknowledgement range or ranges the sequence number of every message successfully received by the RM Destination and MUST exclude sequence numbers of any messages not yet received.

3.4 Example Message Exchange

Figure 2 illustrates a possible message exchange between two reliable messaging endpoints A and B.
Figure 2: The WS-ReliableMessaging Protocol

1. The protocol preconditions are established. These include: policy exchange, endpoint resolution, establishing trust.
2. The RM Source requests creation of a new Sequence.
3. The RM Destination creates a Sequence by returning a globally unique identifier.
4. The RM Source begins sending messages beginning with MessageNumber 1. In the figure the RM Source sends 3 messages.
5. Since the 3rd message is the last in this exchange, the RM Source includes a `<wsrm:LastMessage>` token.
6. The 2nd message is lost in transit.
7. The RM Destination acknowledges receipt of message numbers 1 and 3 in response to the RM Source's `<wsrm:LastMessage>` token.
8. The RM Source retransmits the 2nd message. This is a new message on the underlying transport, but since it has the same sequence identifier and message number so the RM Destination can recognize it as equivalent to the earlier message, in case both are received.
9. The RM Source includes an `<wsrm:AckRequested>` element so the RM Destination will expedite an acknowledgement.
10. The RM Destination receives the second transmission of the message with MessageNumber 2 and acknowledges receipt of message numbers 1, 2, and 3 which carried the `<wsrm:LastMessage>` token.
11. The RM Source receives this acknowledgement and sends a TerminateSequence message to the RM Destination indicating that the sequence is completed and reclaims any resources associated with the Sequence.
12. The RM Destination receives the TerminateSequence message indicating that the RM Source will not be sending any more messages, and reclaims any resources associated with the Sequence.

Now that the basic model has been outlined, the details of the elements used in this protocol are now provided in Section 3.
4 RM Protocol Elements

The protocol elements define extensibility points at various places. Additional children elements and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If a receiver does not recognize an extension, the receiver SHOULD ignore the extension.

4.1 Sequences

The RM protocol uses a <wsrm:Sequence> header block to track and manage the reliable delivery of messages. Messages for which the delivery assurance applies MUST contain a <wsrm:Sequence> header block. Each Sequence MUST have a unique <wsrm:Identifier> element and each message within a Sequence MUST have a <wsrm:MessageNumber> element that increments by 1 from an initial value of 1. These values are contained within a <wsrm:Sequence> header block accompanying each message being delivered in the context of a Sequence. In addition to mandatory <wsrm:Identifier> and <wsrm:MessageNumber> elements, the header MAY include a <wsrm:LastMessage> element.

There MUST be no more than one <wsrm:Sequence> header block in any message.

The purpose of the <wsrm:LastMessage> element is to signal to the RM Destination that the message represents the last message in the Sequence.

A following exemplar defines its syntax:

```xml
<wsrm:Sequence ...>
  <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:MessageNumber> xs:unsignedLong </wsrm:MessageNumber>
  <wsrm:LastMessage/>?
</wsrm:Sequence>
```

The following describes the content model of the Sequence header block:

```
<wsm:Sequence>
  <wsrm:Identifier uc:Identifier/@{any}
  <wsrm:MessageNumber> xs:unsignedLong-Value </wsrm:MessageNumber>
  <wsrm:LastMessage/>
</wsm:Sequence>
```

This is the element containing Sequence information for WS-ReliableMessaging. The <wsrm:Sequence> element MUST be understood by the RM Destination. The <wsrm:Sequence> element MUST have a `mustUnderstand` attribute with a value `1/true` from the namespace corresponding to the version of SOAP to which the <wsrm:Sequence> SOAP header block is bound.

This REQUIRED element MUST contain an absolute URI conformant with RFC2396 that uniquely identifies the Sequence.

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

This REQUIRED element MUST contain an xs:unsignedLong representing the ordinal position of the message within a Sequence. Sequence MessageNumbers start at 1 and monotonically increase throughout the Sequence. If the message number exceeds the internal limitations of an RM Source or...
RM Destination or reaches the maximum value of an xs:unsignedLong (18,446,744,073,709,551,615), the RM Source or Destination MUST issue a MessageNumberRollover fault.

/wsrn:Sequence/wsrn:LastMessage

This element MAY be included by the RM Source endpoint. The <wsrm:LastMessage> element has no content.

/wsrn:Sequence/{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

/wsrn:Sequence/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

A RM Source endpoint MUST include a <wsrm:LastMessage> element in the <wsrm:Sequence> element for the last message in a Sequence. An RM Destination endpoint MUST respond with a <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> upon receipt of a <wsrm:LastMessage> element. A Sequence MUST NOT use a <wsrm:MessageNumber> value greater than that which accompanies a <wsrm:LastMessage> element. An RM Destination MUST generate a LastMessageNumberExceeded (See Section 5.6) fault upon receipt of such a message. In the event that an RM Source needs to close a Sequence and there is no application message, the RM Source MAY send a message with an empty body containing <wsrm:Sequence> header with the <wsrm:LastMessage> element. In this usage, the action URI MUST be:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrn/200510/LastMessage

in preference to the pattern defined in Section 1.2.

The following example illustrates a Sequence header block.

<wsrm:Sequence>
    <wsrm:Identifier>http://example.com/abc</wsrm:Identifier>
    <wsrm:MessageNumber>10</wsrm:MessageNumber>
    <wsrm:LastMessage/>
</wsrm:Sequence>

4.2 Sequence Acknowledgement

The RM Destination informs the RM Source of successful message receipt using a header block. The <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> header block MAY be transmitted independently or included on return messages. The RM Destination MAY send a <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> header block at any point during which the sequence is valid. The timing of acknowledgements can be advertised using policy and acknowledgements can be explicitly requested using the <wsrm:AckRequested> directive (see Section 4.3). If a non-mustUnderstand fault occurs when processing an RM Header that was piggy-backed on another message, a fault MUST be generated, but the processing of the original message MUST NOT be affected.

The following exemplar defines its syntax:

<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
    <wsrm:Identifier uris:anyURI/>
    <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange uris:anyURI/>
</wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
The following describes the content model of the `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>` header block.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>`  
This element contains the Sequence acknowledgement information.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:Identifier>`  
This REQUIRED element MUST contain an absolute URI conformant with RFC2396 that uniquely identifies the Sequence.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:Identifier/@{any}>`  
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:AcknowledgementRange>`  
This OPTIONAL element, if present, can occur 1 or more times. It contains a range of message Sequence MessageNumbers successfully received by the receiving endpoint manager. The ranges SHOULD NOT overlap. This element MUST NOT be present if either the `<wsrm:Nack>` or `<wsrm:None>` elements are also present as a child of `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>`.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:AcknowledgementRange/@Upper>`  
This REQUIRED attribute contains an `xs:unsignedLong` representing the `<wsrm:MessageNumber>` of the highest contiguous message in a Sequence range received by the RM Destination.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:AcknowledgementRange/@Lower>`  
This REQUIRED attribute contains an `xs:unsignedLong` representing the `<wsrm:MessageNumber>` of the lowest contiguous message in a Sequence range received by the RM Destination.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:AcknowledgementRange/@{any}>`  
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:Final>`  
This OPTIONAL element, if present, indicates that the RM Destination is not receiving new messages for the specified Sequence. The RM Source can be assured that the ranges of messages acknowledged by this SequenceAcknowledgement header block will not change in the future. This element MUST be present when the Sequence is no longer receiving new message for the specified sequence. Note: this element MUST NOT be used when sending a Nack, it can only be used when sending AcknowledgementRanges.

`<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/wsrm:Nack>`  
This OPTIONAL element, if present, MUST contain an `xs:unsignedLong` representing the `<wsrm:MessageNumber>` of an unreceived message in a Sequence. This element permits the gap analysis of the `<wsrm:AcknowledgementRange>` elements to be performed at the RM Destination.
rather than at the RM Source which may yield performance benefits in certain environments. The
<wsrm:Nack> element MUST NOT be present if either the <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange> or
<wsrm:None> elements are also present as a child of <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>. Upon the
receipt of a Nack, an RM Source SHOULD retransmit the message identified by the Nack. The RM
Destination MUST NOT issue a <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> containing a <wsrm:Nack> for
a message that it has previously acknowledged within a <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange>. The RM
Source SHOULD ignore a <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> containing a <wsrm:Nack> for a
message that has previously been acknowledged within a <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange>.

<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>/wsrm:None

This OPTIONAL element, if present, MUST be used when the RM Destination has not received any
messages for the specified sequence. The <wsrm:None> element MUST NOT be present if either the
<wsrm:AcknowledgementRanges> or <wsrm:Nack> elements are also present as a child of the
<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>.

<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement{/any}>

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema,
to be passed.

<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement/@{any}>

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the
element.

The following examples illustrate <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> elements:

- Message numbers 1...10 inclusive in a Sequence have been received by the RM Destination.

```xml
<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
  <wsrm:Identifier>http://example.com/abc</wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="10" Lower="1"/>
</wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
```

- Message numbers 1..2, 4..6, and 8..10 inclusive in a Sequence have been received by the RM
  Destination, messages 3 and 7 have not been received.

```xml
<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
  <wsrm:Identifier>http://example.com/abc</wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="2" Lower="1"/>
  <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="6" Lower="4"/>
  <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="10" Lower="8"/>
</wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
```

- Message number 3 in a Sequence has not been received by the RM Destination.

```xml
<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
  <wsrm:Identifier>http://example.com/abc</wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:Nack>3</wsrm:Nack>
</wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
```

### 4.3 Request Acknowledgement

The purpose of the <wsrm:AckRequested> header block is to signal to the RM Destination that the RM Source is requesting that a <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgements> be returned. At any time, the RM Source may request an acknowledgement message from the RM Destination endpoint using an <wsrm:AckRequested> header block.
The RM Source endpoint requests this acknowledgement by including an `<wsrm:AckRequested>` header block in the message. An RM Destination that receives a message that contains an `<wsrm:AckRequested>` header block MUST respond with a message containing a `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>` header block. If a non-mustUnderstand fault occurs when processing an RM Header that was piggy-backed on another message, a fault MUST be generated, but the processing of the original message MUST NOT be affected.

The following exemplar defines its syntax:

```
<wsrm:AckRequested ...>
  <wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  <wsrm:MessageNumber> xs:unsignedLong </wsrm:MessageNumber> ?
</wsrm:AckRequested>
```

This element requests an acknowledgement for the identified sequence.

```
/wsrm:AckRequested
```

This REQUIRED element MUST contain an absolute URI, conformant with RFC2396, that uniquely identifies the Sequence to which the request applies.

```
/wsrm:AckRequested/wsrm:Identifier/@{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

```
/wsrm:AckRequested/wsrm:MessageNumber
```

This OPTIONAL element, if present, MUST contain an xs:unsignedLong representing the highest `MessageNumber` sent by the RM Source within the Sequence. If present, it MAY be treated as a hint to the RM Destination as an optimization to the process of preparing to transmit a `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>`.

```
/wsrm:AckRequested/{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

```
/wsrm:AckRequested/@{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

### 4.4 Sequence Creation

The RM Source MUST request creation of an outbound Sequence by sending a `<wsrm:CreateSequence>` element in the body of a message to the RM Destination which in turn responds either with a `<wsrm:CreateSequenceResponse>` or a `CreateSequenceRefused` fault in the body of the response message. `<wsrm:CreateSequence>` MAY carry an offer to create an inbound sequence which is either accepted or rejected in the...
The RM Destination of the outbound sequence is the WS-Addressing EndpointReference- [WS-Addressing] to which <wsrm:CreateSequence> is sent. The RM Destination of the inbound sequence is the WS-Addressing <wsa:ReplyTo> of the <wsrm:CreateSequence>.

The following exemplar defines the <wsrm:CreateSequence> syntax:

```
<wrm:CreateSequence ...>
    __<wsrm:AcksTo ...> wsa:EndpointReferenceType </wsrm:AcksTo>
    __<wsrm:Expires ...> xs:duration </wsrm:Expires> ?
    __<wsrm:Offer ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Offer>
    __<wsrm:Expires ...> xs:duration </wsrm:Expires> ?
    __<wsrm:Offer> ?
    ...
</wrm:CreateSequence>
```
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This element, if present, of type xs:duration specifies the duration for the Sequence. A value of ‘PT0S’ indicates that the Sequence will never expire. Absence of the element indicates an implied value of ‘PT0S’.

/wsrn:CreateSequence/wsrn:Offer/wsrn:Expires/@{any}
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

/wsrn:CreateSequence/wsrn:Offer/{any}
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

/wsrn:CreateSequence/wsrn:Offer/@{any}
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

/OPTIONAL/wsrn:CreateSequence/{any}
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

/wsrn:CreateSequence/@{any}
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

A <wsrm:CreateSequenceResponse> is sent in the body of a response message by an RM Destination in response to receipt of a <wsrm:CreateSequence> request message. It carries the <wsrm:Identifier> of the created Sequence and indicates that the RM Source may begin sending messages in the context of the identified Sequence.

The following exemplar defines the <wsrm:CreateSequenceResponse> syntax:

```
<wsrn:CreateSequenceResponse ...
  ...<wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
  ...<wsrm:Expires> xs:duration </wsrm:Expires> ?
  ...<wsrm:AcksTo ...> wsa:EndpointReferenceType </wsrm:AcksTo>
  ...
  ...
</wsrm:CreateSequenceResponse>
```

This element is sent in the body of the response message in response to a <wsrm:CreateSequence> request message. It indicates that the RM Destination has created a new Sequence at the request of the RM Source. This element MUST NOT be sent as a header block.

/wsrn:CreateSequenceResponse/wsrn:Identifier
This REQUIRED element MUST contain an absolute URI conformant with RFC2396 of the Sequence that has been created by the RM Destination.

/wsrn:CreateSequenceResponse/wsrn:Identifier/@{any}
This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.
This element, if present, of type `xs:duration` accepts or refines the RM Source's requested duration for the Sequence. A value of `PT0S` indicates that the Sequence will never expire. Absence of the element indicates an implied value of `PT0S`. This value MUST be equal or lesser than the value requested by the RM Source in the corresponding `<wsrm:CreateSequence>` message.

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

This element, if present, enables an RM Destination to accept the offer of a corresponding Sequence for the reliable exchange of messages transmitted from RM Destination to RM Source. This element MUST be present if the corresponding `<wsrm:CreateSequence>` message contained an `<wsrm:Offer>` element.

This REQUIRED element, of type `wsa:EndpointReferenceType` as specified by WS-Addressing [WS-Addressing], specifies the endpoint reference to which `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>` messages related to the accepted Sequence are to be sent.

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

### 4.5 Sequence Termination

When the RM Source has completed its use of the Sequence, it sends a `<wsrm:TerminateSequence>` element, in the body of a message to the RM Destination to indicate that the Sequence is complete, and that it will not be sending any further messages related to the Sequence. The RM Destination can safely reclaim any resources associated with the Sequence upon receipt of the `<wsrm:TerminateSequence>` message. Note, under normal usage the RM source will complete its use of the sequence when all of the messages in the Sequence have been acknowledged. However, the RM Source is free to Terminate or Close a Sequence at any time regardless of the acknowledgement state of the messages.

The following exemplar defines the `TerminateSequence` syntax:
4.6 Closing A Sequence

There may be times during the use of an RM Sequence that the RM Source or RM Destination will wish to discontinue using a Sequence even if some of the messages have not been successfully delivered to the RM Destination.

In the case where the RM Source wishes to discontinue use of a sequence, while it can send a TerminateSequence to the RM Destination, since this is a one-way message and due to the possibility of late arriving (or lost) messages and Acknowledgements, this would leave the RM Source unsure of the final ranges of messages that were successfully delivered to the RM Destination.

To alleviate this, the RM Source can send a <wsrm:CloseSequence> element, in the body of a message, to the RM Destination to indicate that RM Destination MUST NOT receive any new messages for the specified sequence, other than those already received at the time the <wsrm:CloseSequence> element is interpreted by the RMD. Upon receipt of this message the RM Destination MUST send a SequenceAcknowledgement to the RM Source. Note, this SequenceAcknowledgement MUST include the <wsrm:Final> element.

While the RM Destination MUST NOT receive any new messages for the specified sequence it MUST still process RM protocol messages. For example, it MUST respond to AckRequested, TerminateSequence as well as CloseSequence messages. Note, subsequent CloseSequence messages have no effect on the state of the sequence.
In the case where the RM Destination wishes to discontinue use of a sequence it may "close" the sequence itself. Please see wsrm:Final above and the SequenceClosed fault below.

Note, the SequenceClosed Fault SHOULD be used in place of the SequenceTerminated Fault, whenever possible, to allow the RM Source to still receive Acknowledgements.

The following exemplar defines the CloseSequence syntax:

```xml
<wsrm:CloseSequence wsrm:Identifier="xs:anyURI"/>
```

This element is sent by an RM Source to indicate that the RM Destination MUST NOT receive any new messages for this sequence. A SequenceClosed fault MUST be generated by the RM Destination when it receives a message for a sequence that is closed.

```xml
/wsrm:CloseSequence@Identifier
```

This REQUIRED attribute contains an absolute URI conformant with RFC2396 that uniquely identifies the sequence.

```xml
/wsrm:CloseSequence/{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

```xml
/wsrm:CloseSequence@{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

A `<wsrm:CloseSequenceResponse>` is sent in the body of a response message by an RM Destination in response to receipt of a `<wsrm:CloseSequence>` request message. It indicates that the RM Destination has closed the sequence.

The following exemplar defines the `<wsrm:CloseSequenceResponse>` syntax:

```xml
<wsrm:CloseSequenceResponse/>
```

This element is sent in the body of a response message by an RM Destination in response to receipt of a `<wsrm:CloseSequence>` request message. It indicates that the RM Destination has closed the sequence.

```xml
/wsrm:CloseSequenceResponse@{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

```xml
/wsrm:CloseSequenceResponse@{any}
```

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.
### 5 Faults

The fault definitions defined in this section reference certain abstract properties, such as [fault endpoint], that are defined in section 3 of the WS-Addressing specification. Endpoints compliant with this specification MUST include required Message-Addressing Properties on all fault messages.

Sequence creation uses a CreateSequence, CreateSequenceResponse request-response pattern. Faults for this operation are treated as defined in WS-Addressing. CreateSequenceRefused is a possible fault reply for this operation. UnknownSequence is a fault generated by endpoints when messages carrying RM header blocks targeted at unrecognized sequences are detected, these faults are also treated as defined in WS-Addressing. All other faults in this section relate to the processing of RM header blocks targeted at known sequences and are collectively referred to as sequence faults. Sequence faults SHOULD be sent to the same [destination] as <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement> messages. These faults are correlated using the Sequence identifier carried in the detail.

WS-ReliableMessaging faults MUST include as the [action] property the default fault action URI defined in the version of WS-Addressing used in the message. The value from the current version is below for informational purposes:

```
http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/08/addressing/fault
```

The faults defined in this section are generated if the condition stated in the preamble is met. Fault handling rules are defined in section 4 of WS-Addressing.

The definitions of faults use the following properties:

- [Code] The fault code.
- [Subcode] The fault subcode.
- [Detail] The detail element. If absent, no detail element is defined for the fault.

The [Code] property MUST be either "Sender" or "Receiver". These properties are serialized into text XML as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOAP Version</th>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>Receiver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOAP 1.1</td>
<td>S11:Client</td>
<td>S11:Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAP 1.2</td>
<td>S:Sender</td>
<td>S:Receiver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The properties above bind to a SOAP 1.2 fault as follows:

```
<S:Envelope>
  <S:Header>
    <wsa:Action>
      http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/08/addressing/fault
    </wsa:Action>
  </S:Header>
  <S:Body>
    <S:Fault>
      <S:Code/>
    </S:Fault>
  </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```
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The properties above bind to a SOAP 1.1 fault as follows when the fault is triggered by processing an RM header block:

```
<S11:Envelope>
  <S11:Header>
    <wsrm:SequenceFault>
      <!-- Headers elided for clarity. -->
    </wsrm:SequenceFault>
  </S11:Header>
  <S11:Body>
    <S11:Fault>
      <faultcode> [Code] </faultcode>
      <faultstring xml:lang="en"> [Reason] </faultstring>
    </S11:Fault>
  </S11:Body>
</S11:Envelope>
```

The properties bind to a SOAP 1.1 fault as follows when the fault is generated as a result of processing a `<wsrm:CreateSequence>` request message:

```
<S11:Envelope>
  <S11:Body>
    <S11:Fault>
      <faultcode> [Subcode] </faultcode>
      <faultstring xml:lang="en"> [Reason] </faultstring>
    </S11:Fault>
  </S11:Body>
</S11:Envelope>
```

### 5.1 SequenceFault Element

The purpose of the `<wsrm:SequenceFault>` element is to carry the specific details of a fault generated during the reliable messaging specific processing of a message belonging to a Sequence. The `<wsrm:SequenceFault>` container MUST only be used in conjunction with the SOAP 1.1 fault mechanism. It MUST NOT be used in conjunction with the SOAP 1.2 binding.

The following exemplar defines its syntax:

```
<wsrm:SequenceFault>...
```

The following describes the content model of the `SequenceFault` element.

```
<wrm:SequenceFault/>
```

This is the element containing Sequence information for WS-ReliableMessaging.

```
<wrm:SequenceFault/wsrm:FaultCode>

This element, if present, MUST contain a qualified name from the set of fault codes defined below.

```
<wrm:SequenceFault/{any}>

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a schema, to be passed.

```
<wrm:SequenceFault/@{any}>

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

### 5.2 Sequence Terminated

This fault is sent by either the RM Source or the RM Destination to indicate that the endpoint that generated the fault has either encountered an unrecoverable condition, or has detected a violation of the protocol and as a consequence, has chosen to terminate the sequence. The endpoint that generates this fault should make every reasonable effort to notify the corresponding endpoint of this decision.

Properties:

- [Code] Sender or Receiver
- [Subcode] wsrm:SequenceTerminated
- [Reason] The Sequence has been terminated due to an unrecoverable error.
- [Detail]

```
<wrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wrm:Identifier>
```

### 5.3 Unknown Sequence

This fault is sent by either the RM Source or the RM Destination in response to a message containing an unknown sequence identifier.

Properties:

- [Code] Sender
- [Subcode] wsrm:UnknownSequence
- [Reason] The value of wsrm:Identifier is not a known Sequence identifier.
- [Detail]

```
<wrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wrm:Identifier>
```
5.4 Invalid Acknowledgement

This fault is sent by the RM Source in response to a `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>` that violates the cumulative acknowledgement invariant. An example of such a violation would be a SequenceAcknowledgement covering messages that have not been sent.

[Code] Sender

[Subcode] wsrm:InvalidAcknowledgement

[Reason] The SequenceAcknowledgement violates the cumulative acknowledgement invariant.

[Detail]

```xml
<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement ...> ... </wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
```

5.5 Message Number Rollover

This fault is sent to indicate that message numbers for a sequence have been exhausted.

Properties:

[Code] Sender

[Subcode] wsrm:MessageNumberRollover

[Reason] The maximum value for wsrm:MessageNumber has been exceeded.

[Detail]

```xml
<wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
```

5.6 Last Message Number Exceeded

This fault is sent by an RM Destination to indicate that it has received a message that has a `<wsrm:MessageNumber>` within a Sequence that exceeds the value of the `<wsrm:MessageNumber>` element that accompanied a `<wsrm:LastMessage>` element for the Sequence.

Properties:

[Code] Sender

[Subcode] wsrm:LastMessageNumberExceeded

[Reason] The value for wsrm:MessageNumber exceeds the value of the MessageNumber accompanying a LastMessage element in this Sequence.

[Detail]

```xml
<wsrm:Identifier ...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
```

5.7 Create Sequence Refused

This fault is sent in response to a create sequence request that cannot be satisfied.
Properties:

[Code] Sender

[Subcode] wsrm:CreateSequenceRefused

[Reason] The create sequence request has been refused by the RM Destination.

[Detail] empty

5.8 Sequence-Closed

This fault is sent by an RM Destination to indicate that the specified sequence has been closed. This fault MUST be generated when an RM Destination is asked to receive a message for a sequence that is closed.

Properties:

[Code] Sender

[Subcode] wsrm:SequenceClosed

[Reason] The sequence is closed and can not receive new messages.

[Detail] <wsrm:Identifier...> xs:anyURI </wsrm:Identifier>
6 Security Considerations

It is strongly RECOMMENDED that policies and assertions be signed to prevent tampering. In order to properly secure messages, the body and all relevant headers need to be included in the signature. Specifically, the `<wsrm:Sequence>` header needs to be signed with the body in order to "bind" the two together. The `<wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>` header may be signed independently because a reply independent of the message is not a security concern.

It is RECOMMENDED that policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an associated security token to specify the signer has proper claims for the given policy. That is, a relying party shouldn't rely on a policy unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient claims to pass the relying parties acceptance criteria. Because Sequences are expected to exchange a number of messages, it is recommended that a security context be established using the mechanisms described in WS-Trust and WS-SecureConversation [SecureConversation]. If a Sequence is bound to a specific endpoint, then the security context needs to be established or shared with the endpoint servicing the Sequence. While the context can be established at any time, it is critical that the messages establishing the Sequence be secured even if they precede security context establishment. However, it is recommended that the security context be established first. Security contexts are independent of reliable messaging Sequences. Consequently, security contexts can come and go independent of the lifetime of the Sequence. In fact, it is recommended that the lifetime of a security context be less than the lifetime of the Sequence unless the Sequence is very short-lived.

It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be secured as part of a SOAP message using WS-Security [WSS] or embedded within other objects using object-specific security mechanisms. It is common for message Sequences to exchange a number of messages (or a large amount of data). As a result, the usage profile of a Sequence is such that it is susceptible to key attacks. For this reason it is strongly recommended that the keys be changed frequently. This "re-keying" can be effected a number of ways. The following list outlines four common techniques:

- Closing and re-establishing a security context
- Exchanging new secrets between the parties
- Using a derived key sequence and switch "generations"
- Attaching a nonce to each message and using it in a derived key function with the shared secret

The security context may be re-established using the mechanisms described in WS-Trust and WS-SecureConversation. Similarly, secrets can be exchanged using the mechanisms described in WS-Trust. Note, however, that the current shared secret should not be used to encrypt the new shared secret. Derived keys, the preferred solution from this list, can be specified using the mechanisms described in WS-SecureConversation.

There is a core tension between security and reliable messaging that can be problematic if not considered in implementations. That is, one aspect of security is to prevent message replay and the core tenet of reliable messaging is to replay messages until they are acknowledged. Consequently, if the security sub-system processes a message but a failure occurs before the reliable messaging sub-system records the message (or the message is considered "processed"), then it is possible (and likely) that the security sub-system will treat subsequent copies as replays and discard them. At the same time, the reliable messaging sub-system will likely continue to expect and even solicit the missing message(s). Care should be taken to avoid and prevent this rare condition.
The following list summarizes common classes of attacks that apply to this protocol and identifies the mechanism to prevent/mitigate the attacks:

- **Message alteration** — Alteration is prevented by including signatures of the message information using WS-Security.

- **Message disclosure** — Confidentiality is preserved by encrypting sensitive data using WS-Security.

- **Key integrity** — Key integrity is maintained by using the strongest algorithms possible (by comparing secured policies — see WS-Policy and WS-SecurityPolicy).

- **Authentication** — Authentication is established using the mechanisms described in WS-Security and WS-Trust. Each message is authenticated using the mechanisms described in WS-Security.

- **Accountability** — Accountability is a function of the type of and string of the key and algorithms being used. In many cases, a strong symmetric key provides sufficient accountability. However, in some environments, strong PKI signatures are required.

- **Availability** — All reliable messaging services are subject to a variety of availability attacks. Replay detection is a common attack and it is recommended that this be addressed by the mechanisms described in WS-Security. (Note that because of legitimate message replays, detection should include a differentiator besides message id such as a timestamp). Other attacks, such as network-level denial-of-service attacks are harder to avoid and are outside the scope of this specification. That said, care should be taken to ensure that minimal state is saved prior to any authenticating sequences.
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Appendix A. Schema

The normative schema for WS-ReliableMessaging is located at:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrp/200510/wsrp.xsd

The following copy is provided for reference:

```xml
<xs:schema targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrp/200510/"
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrp/200510/"

elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified"


<!-- Protocol Elements -->

<xs:complexType name="SequenceType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="wsrm:Identifier"/>
    <xs:element name="MessageNumber" type="xs:unsignedLong"/>
    <xs:element name="LastMessage" minOccurs="0">
      <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence/>
      </xs:complexType>
    </xs:element>
    <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:element name="Sequence" type="wsrm:SequenceType"/>

<xs:element name="SequenceAcknowledgement">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element ref="wsrm:Identifier"/>
      <xs:choice>
        <ws:sequence>
          <xs:element name="AcknowledgementRange" maxOccurs="unbounded">
            <xs:complexType>
              <xs:sequence/>
              <xs:attribute name="Upper" type="xs:unsignedLong" use="required"/>
              <xs:attribute name="Lower" type="xs:unsignedLong" use="required"/>
            </xs:complexType>
          </xs:element>
          <ws:element name="Final" minOccurs="0">
            <xs:complexType>
              <xs:sequence/>
            </xs:complexType>
          </ws:element>
        </ws:sequence>
      </xs:choice>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>

<xs:element name="Nack" type="xs:unsignedLong" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>

<xs:element name="None" minOccurs="0">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:sequence/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
```

<xs:complexType name="AckRequestedType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="wsrm:Identifier"/>
    <xs:element name="MessageNumber" type="xs:unsignedLong" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:element name="AckRequested" type="wsrm:AckRequestedType"/>

<xs:complexType name="Identifier">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
This type is for elements whose [children] is an anyURI and can have arbitrary attributes.
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="xs:anyURI">
      <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="FaultCodes">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:QName">
    <xs:enumeration value="wsrm:UnknownSequence"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="wsrm:SequenceTerminated"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="wsrm:InvalidAcknowledgement"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="wsrm:MessageNumberRollover"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="wsrm:CreateSequenceRefused"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="wsrm:LastMessageNumberExceeded"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="SequenceFaultType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element name="FaultCode" type="wsrm:FaultCodes"/>
    <xs:any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:element name="SequenceFault" type="wsrm:SequenceFaultType"/>

<xs:element name="CreateSequence" type="wsrm:CreateSequenceType"/>

<xs:element name="CreateSequenceResponse" type="wsrm:CreateSequenceResponseType"/>

<xs:element name="CloseSequence" type="wsrm:CloseSequenceType"/>

<xs:element name="CloseSequenceResponse" type="wsrm:CloseSequenceResponseType"/>

It is the author's intent that this extensibility be used to transfer a Security Token Reference as defined in WS-Security.
<xs:complexType name="AcceptType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element ref="wsrm:AcksTo"/>
    <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:element name="Expires">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base="xs:duration">
        <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
</xs:schema>
Appendix B. Message Examples
B.1. Create Sequence

Create Sequence

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
  xmlns:wrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wrm/200510/"
  <S:Header>
    <wsa:MessageID>http://Business456.com/guid/0baaf88d-483b-4eef-a6d8-a7e2eb546817</wsa:MessageID>
    <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
    <wsa:Action>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wrm/200510/CreateSequence</wsa:Action>
  </S:Header>
  <S:Body>
    <wrm:CreateSequence/>
  </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```

Create Sequence Response

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
  xmlns:wrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wrm/200510/"
  <S:Header>
    <wsa:To>http://Business456.com/serviceA/789</wsa:To>
    <wsa:RelatesTo>http://Business456.com/guid/0baaf88d-483b-4eef-a6d8-a7e2eb546817</wsa:RelatesTo>
    <wsa:Action>http://docs.oasis-open.org/wrm/200510/CreateSequenceResponse</wsa:Action>
  </S:Header>
  <S:Body>
    <wrm:CreateSequenceResponse>
    </wrm:CreateSequenceResponse>
  </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```
B.2. Initial Transmission

The following example WS-ReliableMessaging headers illustrate the message exchange in the above figure. The three messages have the following headers; the third message is identified as the last message in the sequence:

**Message 1**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/
    <S:Header>
        <wsa:MessageID>http://Business456.com/guid/71e0654e-5ce8-477b-bb9d-34f05ebe9e</wsa:MessageID>
        <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
        <wsa:Action>http://example.com/serviceB/123/request</wsa:Action>
        <wsrm:Sequence>
            <wsrm:MessageNumber>1</wsrm:MessageNumber>
        </wsrm:Sequence>
    </S:Header>
    <S:Body>
        <!-- Some Application Data -->
    </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```

**Message 2**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/
    <S:Header>
        <wsa:MessageID>http://Business456.com/guid/daa74d04c092-e06e-476c-a9a4-d164154e38de</wsa:MessageID>
        <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
        <wsa:Action>http://example.com/serviceB/123/request</wsa:Action>
        <wsrm:Sequence>
            <wsrm:MessageNumber>2</wsrm:MessageNumber>
        </wsrm:Sequence>
    </S:Header>
    <S:Body>
        <!-- Some Application Data -->
    </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```

**Message 3**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/
    <S:Header>
        <wsa:MessageID>http://Business456.com/guid/daa74d04c092-e06e-476c-a9a4-d164154e38de</wsa:MessageID>
        <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
        <wsa:Action>http://example.com/serviceB/123/request</wsa:Action>
        <wsrm:Sequence>
            <wsrm:MessageNumber>3</wsrm:MessageNumber>
        </wsrm:Sequence>
    </S:Header>
    <S:Body>
        <!-- Some Application Data -->
    </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```
xml:soap="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/08/addressing">
    <S:Header>
        <wsa:MessageID>http://Business456.com/guid/0baaf88d-483b-4ecf-a6d8-a7e2eb546819</wsa:MessageID>
        <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
        <wsa:Action>http://example.com/serviceB/123/request</wsa:Action>
        <wsrm:Sequence>
            <wsrm:MessageNumber>3</wsrm:MessageNumber>
            <wsrm:LastMessage/>
        </wsrm:Sequence>
    </S:Header>
    <S:Body>
        <!-- Some Application Data -->
    </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
B.3. First Acknowledgement

Message number 2 has not been received by the RM Destination due to some transmission error so it responds with an acknowledgement for messages 1 and 3:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
    xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/"
    <S:Header>
        <wsa:MessageID>
            http://example.com/guid/0baaf88d-483b-4ecf-a6d8-a7c2eb546810
        </wsa:MessageID>
        <wsa:To>http://Business456.com/serviceA/789</wsa:To>
        <wsa:From>
            <wsa:Address>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:Address>
        </wsa:From>
        <wsa:Action>
            http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/SequenceAcknowledgement
        </wsa:Action>
        <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
            <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="1" Lower="1"/>
            <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="3" Lower="3"/>
        </wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
    </S:Header>
    <S:Body/>
</S:Envelope>
```
B.4. Retransmission

The sending endpoint discovers that message number 2 was not received so it resends the message and requests an acknowledgement:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
    xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/
    <S:Header>
        <wsa:MessageID>
            http://Business456.com/guid/daa7d0b2-c8e0-476e-a9a4-d164154e38de
        </wsa:MessageID>
        <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
        <wsa:From>
        </wsa:From>
        <wsa:Action>http://example.com/serviceB/123/request</wsa:Action>
        <wsrm:Sequence>
            <wsrm:MessageNumber>2</wsrm:MessageNumber>
        </wsrm:Sequence>
        <wsrm:AckRequested>
        </wsrm:AckRequested>
    </S:Header>
    <S:Body>
        <!-- Some Application Data -->
    </S:Body>
</S:Envelope>
```
The RM Destination now responds with an acknowledgement for the complete sequence—which can then be terminated:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/"
  <S:Header>
    <wsa:MessageID>http://example.com/guid/0baaf88d-483b-4ecf-a6d8-a7e2eb546811</wsa:MessageID>
    <wsa:To>http://Business456.com/serviceA/789</wsa:To>
    <wsa:From><wsa:Address>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:Address></wsa:From>
    <wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
      <wsrm:AcknowledgementRange Upper="3" Lower="1"/>
    </wsrm:SequenceAcknowledgement>
  </S:Header>
  <S:Body/>
</S:Envelope>
```

Terminate Sequence

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<S:Envelope xmlns:S="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope"
xmlns:wsrm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/"
  <S:Header>
    <wsa:MessageID>http://Business456.com/guid/0baaf88d-483b-4ecf-a6d8-a7e2eb546812</wsa:MessageID>
    <wsa:To>http://example.com/serviceB/123</wsa:To>
    <wsrm:TerminateSequence>
    </wsrm:TerminateSequence>
  </S:Header>
  <S:Body/>
</S:Envelope>
```
Appendix C. WSDL

The non-normative WSDL 1.1 definition for WS-ReliableMessaging is located at:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/wsd1/wsd1.wsdl

The following non-normative copy is provided for reference.

```xml
<wsdl:definitions xmlns:wsdl="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema
xmlns:rm="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/
xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/wsd1"
targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/wsd1">
	<wsd1:types>
		<xs:schema>
			<xs:import namespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/
schemaLocation="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/wrm.wsdl"/>
		</xs:schema>
	</wsdl:types>
	<wsd1:message name="CreateSequence">
		<wsd1:part name="create" element="rm:CreateSequence"/>
	</wsdl:message>
	<wsd1:message name="CreateSequenceResponse">
		<wsd1:part name="createResponse" element="rm:CreateSequenceResponse"/>
	</wsdl:message>
	<wsd1:message name="CloseSequence">
		<wsd1:part name="close" element="rm:CloseSequence"/>
	</wsdl:message>
	<wsd1:message name="CloseSequenceResponse">
		<wsd1:part name="closeResponse" element="rm:CloseSequenceResponse"/>
	</wsdl:message>
	<wsd1:message name="TerminateSequence">
		<wsd1:part name="terminate" element="rm:TerminateSequence"/>
	</wsdl:message>
	<wsd1:message name="TerminateSequenceResponse">
		<wsd1:part name="terminateResponse" element="rm:TerminateSequenceResponse"/>
	</wsdl:message>
	<wsd1:portType name="SequenceAbstractPortType">
		<wsd1:operation name="CreateSequence">
			<wsd1:input message="tns:CreateSequence"/>
		</wsdl:operation>
		<wsd1:operation name="CloseSequence">
			<wsd1:input message="tns:CloseSequence" wsa:Action="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/CreateSequenceResponse"/>
		</wsdl:operation>
		<wsd1:operation name="TerminateSequence">
			<wsd1:input message="tns:TerminateSequence" wsa:Action="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/TerminateSequenceResponse"/>
		</wsdl:operation>
	</wsdl:portType>
```
</wsl:definitions>
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B. XML Schema

A normative copy of the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] description for this specification may be retrieved from the following address:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510/wsrmp-1.1-schema-200510.xsd

The following copy is provided for reference.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!--
OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any
intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to
the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be
available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to
identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect
to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website.
Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any
assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt
made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such
proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be
obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.
OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any
copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights
which may cover technology that may be required to implement this
specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive
Director.
Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.
This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to
others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or
assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and
distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind,
provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included
on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself
does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice
or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing
OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined
in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or
as required to translate it into languages other than English.
The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be
revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.
This document and the information contained herein is provided on an
"AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED,
INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION
HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF
MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
-->
<xs:schema xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510"
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200510"
elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="RMAssertion">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element
          name="AcknowledgementInterval" minOccurs="0">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:attribute
              name="Milliseconds" type="xs:unsignedLong" use="required"/>
```
<xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="MaxMessageNumber" minOccurs="0">
  <xs:complexType>
    <xs:attribute name="Number" type="xs:unsignedLong" use="required"/>
    <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
<xs:sequence>
  <xs:element />
  <xs:sequence processContents="lax" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
</xs:sequence>
<xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
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<tr>
<td>Rev</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>By-Whom</td>
<td>What</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/">http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsrm/200510/)–</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wd-05</td>
<td>2005-09-30</td>
<td>Anish Karmarkar</td>
<td>i045 (Include SecureConversation as a reference and move it to non-normative citation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wd-05</td>
<td>2005-09-30</td>
<td>Anish Karmarkar</td>
<td>i046 (change the type of wsrm:FaultCode element)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Notices

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.
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