



CTI-TC Working Session

Meeting Date:	May 29, 2018
Time:	3:00 p.m. EDT
Purpose:	Weekly CTI-TC Weekly Working Call

Attendees:

Bret Jordan - Moderator	Drew Varner	Jane Ginn – Recorder
John-Mark Gurney	Emmanuelle Vargas-Gonzalez	Subodh Kumar
Nicholas Hayden	Chris Ricard	Marlon Taylor
Gary Katz	Sarah Kelley	Rick Piazza
Ivan Kirillov	John Wunder	

Agenda:

- Update on Changes to TAXII Working Draft
- Update on one topic on STIX Working Draft

Meeting Notes:

Bret Jordan

[Went over Agenda – Asked for additional updates]

Marlon Taylor pointed out that status-details should contain a required ‘modified’ property

[Bret asked him to add as an ‘issue’ in Github to track changes]

Asked for any objections to making it ‘Required’

John Wunder

Data markings do not have a version

We’ll need to figure out what to do about that

Bret Jordan

Oh, good point John.... Can you add an Issue on that?

I’m trying to have the original people that point out these issues to open up

The issue on GitHub

Next issue is related to the API Documentation [scrolled through document]

Discussed the DELETE OBJECT – Jason has cleaned it up a bit.

[Asked people to read over Jason’s suggestions – if OK... then Bret can accept changes]

[Some discussion by John Wunder and John-Mark Gurney on the implications of delete]

[Bret Jordan asked John-Mark to add some text to explain the deletion issue]

[Some discussion on 401 vs. 404]

Gary Katz

Asked about the time for it to return

John-Mark Gurney

It should be immediate

Bret Jordan

Andrew asked the question if we have to support a 202 – in case it takes a while to

Perform the delete

What does everyone think?

John Wunder

I think we should wait until someone brings up an actual use case on it on the list
[Some more discussion on Jason's edits]

John-Mark Gurney

I'm fine with the text. I'm glad we call out that it can be system-wide or region-wide

Bret Jordan

[Accepted text – asked for John-Mark to add some text on leakage]

Now we need to verify that the Response codes are correct.... For success & failure
OK... so Working Draft 03 is still being fleshed out.

We'll clean this up and close out Marlon's suggestion

I want to bring up the issue of TAXII Query again – We want it late in the evening so

Terry McDonald can join from New Zealand

Terry and Jason have worked on the proposal.... It is important he be included

We'll go over their proposal

Walking the graph – Filling in the missing gaps

We want to make sure we can accommodate some of these things

We are going to try to target Monday evening after the PlugFest – the 11th in U.S.

So those are the only topics that I wanted to cover today....

After we get this cleaned up – I'll drop it to the list

So, after this we'll work on Query and TAXII Channels...

Is there anyone that wants to talk about anything with TAXII

John, is there anything you and Sarah would like to talk about?

John Wunder

We had some text in the custom properties for STIX – I'll share my screen

Bret Jordan

I just want to thank everyone for all of your comments on TAXII

John Wunder

[Talked about 3 characters vs. 2 characters – Due to internationalization]

8.1.1 Requirements

- A STIX Object **MAY** have any number of Custom Properties.
- Custom Property names **MUST** be in ASCII and **MUST** only contain the characters a–z (lowercase ASCII), 0–9, and underscore (_).
- Custom Property names **SHOULD** start with "x_" followed by a source unique identifier (such as a domain name with dots replaced by underscores), an underscore and then the name. For example, `x_example_com_customfield`.
- Custom Property names **MUST** have a minimum length of 3 ASCII characters.
- Custom Property names **MUST** be no longer than 250 ASCII characters in length.
- Custom Property names that do not start with "x_" may be used in a future version of the specification for a different meaning. If compatibility with future versions of this specification is required, the "x_" prefix **MUST** be used.
- Custom Properties **SHOULD** only be used when there is no existing properties defined by the STIX specification that fulfils that need.

Bret Jordan

[Some discussion about using for Dictionaries]

[Some discussion on Style Guides and how used]

John-Mark

I think part of the 3-character was the X_

OASIS CTI-TC Working Session

Bret Jordan

We didn't want people to be setting up 2-character property names

John Wunder

[Some discussion – The group appeared to agree on a 2-character name]

[More discussion]

I'm not hearing a lot of concern about changing this – I'll make a note on this

Bret Jordan

I think we are getting really close to issuing a CSD for both STIX & TAXII

Made comment to note to Marlon about the issue tracker

Ok, thanks everyone!

Meeting Terminated
